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Abstract. We present the results of a BeppoSAX observation of PSR J0218+4232 which, for the first time, provides detailed information on the pulsar’s temporal and spectral emission properties in the broad band 1–10 keV. We detected X-ray pulses with a pulsed fraction of 73±12%. The pulse profile is characterized by two peaks phase separated by Δφ = 0.47 ± 0.05. The pulsed spectrum is best described by a power–law photon index 0.61±0.32 with an unabsorbed (2–10 keV) X-ray flux of 4.1×10^{-12} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} implying a luminosity of L_x = 1.3×10^{32} Θ \cdot (d/5.7 kpc)^2 erg s^{-1} and an X-ray efficiency of L_x/E = 4.8×10^{-4} Θ \cdot (d/5.7 kpc)^2 where Θ is the solid angle spanned by the emission beam.

8.1. Introduction

PSR J0218+4232 is a 2.3 ms pulsar in a two day orbit around a ~ 0.2 M_☉ white dwarf companion (Navarro et al. 1995) with a period derivative of P = 8 × 10^{-20} s s^{-1}. The pulsar has a spin-down energy of E = 2.5 × 10^{35} erg s^{-1}, a dipolar magnetic field component at the star surface of B_⊥ = 4.3 × 10^8 G and a spin-down age of ≤ 4.6 × 10^8 years. The pulsar distance inferred from its dispersion measure and from the electron density model of Taylor & Cordes (1993) is ≥ 5.7 kpc. A detection of the companion star at optical wavelength was reported recently by Van Kerkwijk (1996).

Soft X-ray emission from the pulsar was first detected by Verbunt et al. (1996) using the ROSAT HRI. A follow-up observation confirmed the detection and discovered X-ray pulsation at a significance of about 5σ using 200 HRI counts (Kuiper et al. 1998). The X-ray pulse profile deduced from those data is characterized by a sharp main pulse with an indication of a second peak at a phase separation of Δφ ≈ 0.47. The pulsed fraction inferred from the ROSAT HRI data is 37±13%. Furthermore, Kuiper et al. (1998) show that the measured large DC component is consistent with a ~ 14'' diameter compact nebula surrounding the pulsar, but confirmation is required. However, it is interesting to note that also in the radio domain the source exhibits an unusually high DC component of ~ 50% (Navarro et al. 1995). The HRI provides no spectral information and the number of counts recorded in a serendipitous off-axis PSPC observation does not allow spectral modeling.

Also ASCA detected this source, however, the observation was too short: no pulsation could be seen, and a spectral fit with a power–law photon index of 1.6±0.6 could only be made to the weak total excess (Kawai & Saito 1999). Therefore, no detailed spectral information on the pulsed X-ray emission was available prior to the BeppoSAX observation reported in this paper (see Becker & Trümper 1999 for a review of the X-ray properties of millisecond pulsars).

Noting the spatial coincidence of PSR J0218+4232 with the EGRET source 2EG J0220+4228, Verbunt et al. (1996) tentatively identified the pulsar with the high-energy γ-ray source. Using some additional EGRET observations, and applying a combination of spatial and timing analysis, Kuiper et al. (1999) conclude that 2EG J0220+4228 is probably a multiple source: between 0.1 and 1 GeV PSR J0218+4232 is the most likely counterpart, and above 1 GeV the bright BL Lac 3C 66A is the best candidate counterpart. The third EGRET catalog (Hartman et al. 1999), which is based on more viewing periods than the 2EG catalog, identifies 3EG J0222+4253 (2EG J0220+4228) with 3C 66A, rather than with the ms-pulsar. However, in a note on this source, they indicate that the identification with 3C 66A stems from the catalog position based on the > 1 GeV map. Furthermore, they confirm that for lower energies (100-300 MeV) the EGRET map is consistent with all the source flux coming from the pulsar, 3C 66A being statistically excluded.

In this paper we present the results of a BeppoSAX observation of PSR J0218+4232 which, for the first time, provides detailed information on the pulsar’s temporal and spectral emission properties across a wide band from 1 to 10 keV.
8.2. Observation

PSR J0218+4232 was observed on January 1999 14–16 by the Narrow Field Instruments (NFIs) aboard BeppoSAX satellite (Boella et al. 1997a). We report in this paper only results from data collected with the MECS instrument (Boella et al. 1997b), sensitive in the energy range 1–10 keV, the net exposure time was 82.795 s. The LECS instrument (0.1–10 keV; Parmar et al. 1997) observed the source for a much shorter time due to the constraint on operating only during spacecraft flight. The HPGSPC (4–60 keV; Manzo et al. 1997) was not working during the observation. A signal detected with the PDS (13–200 keV; Frontera et al. 1997) was not working during the observation. No pulsed signals have been detected in the LECS and PDS data.

During the observation only two of the three MECS detectors were operating (MECS2 and MECS3). MECS has a field of view of 56’ and an angular resolution of about 1.2 at 6 keV. During the observation of PSR J0218+4232 the instrument operated in direct mode, transmitting to ground information on each individual photon. Standard procedures and selection criteria were applied on the observation data to avoid the South Atlantic Anomaly, solar, bright Earth and particle contaminations. Event reduction has been performed using the SAXDAS v.2.0.0 package.

8.3. Spatial analysis

A 100 ks ROSAT HRI (0.1–2.4 keV) observation of PSR J0218+4232 (see Kuiper et al. 1998) revealed 7 X-ray sources within a radius of ~ 5’ around our target (see Fig. 8.1). Given the extended tails of the MECS Point-Spread Function (PSF), accurate spatial analysis is required to separate the signal of PSR J0218+4232 from that of any neighbouring sources. We used a Maximum Likelihood approach in searching for individual sources on top of a background model, as well as for analyzing simultaneously several sources and a background model. The search is applied on various equidistant trial positions within a selected part of the instrument field of view, where it checks for the presence of a source taking into account the Poissonian nature of the data. Maximum Likelihood Ratio (MLR) tests are performed describing in the zero \( H_0 \) hypothesis the 2-d event distribution in terms of a flat background model only, while in the alternative \( H_1 \) hypothesis the data is described in terms of a point source at the trial position and a flat background model (see e.g. Kuiper et al. 1998 for a more detailed description).

The improvement in the likelihood \( L \) between \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \) computed from the quantity \( Q = -2 \ln (L_{H_0}/L_{H_1}) \) yields simultaneously the detection significance and strength of the source. The probability distribution of \( Q \) is that of a \( \chi^2 \) for \( n_1 - n_0 \) degree of freedom (d.o.f.) where \( n_0 \) and \( n_1 \) are the d.o.f. for the \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \) hypotheses, respectively. In searching for sources \( n_1 - n_0 = 3 \), while in a detection test of a known source \( n_1 = n_0 = 1 \). The distribution of \( Q \) as a function of trial position is the MLR–map.

We applied the above approach to the MECS data without energy selection allowing for maximum source statistics. We used an energy averaged PSF assuming a power–law parametric form given in Boella et al. (1997b). Furthermore, we investigated the impact of the assumed power–law index on the MLR results and found that this is negligible, as expected by the moderate dependence on energy of the PSF.

The resulting MLR map/image is shown in Fig. 8.1a. The maximum value in this figure (> 2 \( \sigma \)) is reached at a position consistent with that determined by the ROSAT HRI for PSR J0218+4232 (indicated by a star symbol). It is, however, evident that several of the sources detected by ROSAT below 2.4 keV (indicated by filled triangles) contribute significantly to the extended excess. We can show this better, by repeating the search for sources on top of a flat background model and a point source at the pulsar position (effectively “subtracting” the counts from PSR J0218+4232) from that of any neighbouring sources. We can show this better, by repeating the search for sources on top of a flat background model and a point source at the pulsar position (effectively “subtracting” the counts from PSR J0218+4232) from that of any neighbouring sources. We can show this better, by repeating the search for sources on top of a flat background model and a point source at the pulsar position (effectively “subtracting” the counts from PSR J0218+4232) from that of any neighbouring sources.

The position of PSR J0218+4232 determined from the MLR map shown in Fig. 8.1a is shifted by ~ 23” with respect to the radio position, well within the systematic uncertainty of the accuracy of the BeppoSAX pointing reconstruction. The spatial analysis allows also the evaluation of an optimum event extraction radius by computing the Signal-to-Noise ratio as a function of radial distance \( r \) from the source position. Taking as source radial profile the PSF, and as noise the measured radial count distribution, we obtained the value \( r = 100” \). Note that none of the nearby sources fall within this radius. The selected region contains 62 % (250 counts) of the MECS source signal; the number of background events in the same area is 92 with a contribution from the neighbouring sources of 12 counts.

### Table 8.1. Ephemeris of PSR J0218+4232

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right Ascension (J2000)</td>
<td>02° 18′ 60″ 350′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declination (J2000)</td>
<td>42° 32′ 17″ 44″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epoch validity start/end (MJD)</td>
<td>49092 – 50900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>430.4610674213 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency derivative</td>
<td>$-1.4342 \times 10^{-14}$ Hz s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epoch of the period (MJD)</td>
<td>49996.000000023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital period</td>
<td>175292.3020 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude of periastron</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of ascending node (MJD)</td>
<td>49996.637640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\int_0^\infty E^{-1.6} \cdot (S_0(E) + S_1(E)) \cdot PSF(x, y | E) \cdot dE \int_0^\infty E^{-1.6} \cdot S_0(E) \cdot dE
\]

where \( S_0(E) \) and \( S_1(E) \) are the sensitive areas of the two operating MECS units. The \( PSF(x, y | E) \) is modelled using the parametric form given in Boella et al. (1997b). Furthermore, we investigated the impact of the assumed power–law index on the MLR results and found that this is negligible, as expected by the moderate dependence on energy of the PSF.

1. see http://www.sdc.asi.it/software/cookbook as a reference about the data analysis software and reduction procedures.

2. see http://www.sdc.asi.it/software/cookbook/attitude.html
8.4. Timing analysis

The arrival times of all selected events were converted to the Solar System Barycentric Frame using BARYCONV\(^2\), then folded according to the radio ephemeris (see Table 8.1), correcting for the pulsar binary motion. The pulse phase distribution deviates from a statistically flat distribution at a 6.8 \(\sigma\) level applying a \(Z^2\) test (Buccheri et al. 1983) using the first two harmonics.

The MECS timing resolution is dominated by the precision of the OBT (On Board Time)-UTC conversion: an inspection of the residuals of the OBT-UTC linear fit reveals a systematic scatter with an rms of the order of \(\sim 0.2\) ms that cannot be reduced by fitting higher order polynomials. This effect corresponds to an uncertainty in the photon phases of 0.087.

The light curve resulting from folding all MECS events (1–10 keV) with a phase resolution of 18 bins (\(< 0.13\) ms) is shown in Fig. 8.2 (top panel): two cycles are plotted for clarity. The MECS background level, indicated with a grey band (\(\pm 1\) \(\sigma\) errors), is determined in the spatial analysis and therefore includes the contributions from the neighbouring sources. In the same figure (bottom panel) the 610 MHz profile as derived by Stairs et al. (1999) is also presented. The phase relation between the two profiles is unknown for the time being: they have been arbitrarily aligned. Notice that, like in the radio light curve, the MECS profile is not simple: it has a prominent double peak structure with a relative phase separation (centre to centre) of 0.470.05, confirming the value derived by Kuiper et al. (1998) from ROSAT data below 2.4 keV.

The phase histograms for 1.6–4 keV and 4–10 keV are shown respectively in the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 8.3. The ROSAT (0.1–2.4 keV) profile (Kuiper et al. 1998), shifted in phase to obtain the highest peak coincident with the most significant one in the MECS softer light curve (middle panel), is also shown for comparison in the top panel of Fig. 8.3. These profiles clearly show a change of the relative peak intensities. The peak at phase 0.8 is stronger in the low energy histograms (top and middle panels), while that at phase 0.3 is more prominent at high energies (bottom panel).

The structure measured in the MECS light curves of two peaks separated by narrow valleys and the uncertainty due to the OBT-UTC conversion residuals make it difficult to establish an unpulsed interval: slightly different values could affect the unpulsed level. Notice, moreover, that while in the ROSAT profile a DC component is apparent above the background level, the same does not hold for the 4–10 keV profile, where the background level is consistent with those measured in the valleys of the light curve. In the intermediate energy profile, at face value, there might be some evidence of a DC component but not as high as in the ROSAT profile. Such effect could be due to the presence of a quite soft unpulsed emission. In an attempt to quantify the evidence for a DC component, we applied the bootstrap method proposed by Swanepoel et al. (1996), which allows to estimate the DC level, and therefore also the pulsed fraction, working directly on the phases of individual photons. However, in the available form, this method is able to find only one unpulsed interval and cannot account for systematic errors. One should therefore realize that the quoted errors on the calculated parameters are only statistical. Using this algorithm we found, for the whole MECS energy band, an unpulsed interval of 0.47–0.61 and a corresponding pulsed fraction of 0.73\(\pm 0.12\) (1 \(\sigma\) error). Notice that the average count level in the interval –0.08–0.15 is statistically not different from that measured in the former interval. The resulting DC–fractions for the three bands of Fig. 8.3 are shown in Fig. 8.4. The decrease with energy is clearly visible, but the error bars are large and the trend deviates only at the 2.4 \(\sigma\) level from a flat distribution. Given the large error bars and the systematic uncertainties, we did not derive a detailed spectrum of the DC component.

The relatively high scatter in the residuals of our timing solution (up to \(\sim 0.2\) ms) does not allow to determine accurately the intrinsic widths of the two pulses. The total scatter in the event arrival times at the SSB might even be consistent with the measured width of P1 in Fig. 8.2.

---

\(^2\) see http://www.sdc.asi.it/software/saxdas/baryconv.html
Fig. 8.2. PSR J0218+4232 light curve in the 1–10 keV range as observed by the MECS (top panel) and at 610 MHz (bottom panel, Stairs et al. 1999). In the top panel a typical statistical 1σ error bar is shown and the MECS background level as determined in the spatial analysis is indicated as a grey band, representing the ±1σ widths. The two horizontal bars above the MECS profile indicate the definitions of the phase intervals for the two peaks, Peak 1 (P1) and Peak 2 (P2), as explained in the text. The alignment between the two curves is arbitrary.

We defined the phase intervals containing the two pulses as follows: Peak 1 (P1) phase interval 0.61–0.95 and Peak 2 (P2) 0.10–0.47, where P1 is identified with the obvious ROSAT peak and appears to have a softer spectrum than P2. The statistical significance of the suggested change in morphology of the phase histogram with energy can be evaluated by the P2/P1 ratio. This ratio, computed in the energy bands 1.6–4 keV and 4–10 keV after background subtraction, is shown in Fig. 8.5. The ROSAT point derived from the data of Kuiper et al. (1998), indicated by a filled circle, is evaluated using the same phase definitions. Including the ROSAT point, a correlation of P2/P1 with energy is evident, but the statistical significance is only 2σ, and confirmation is needed.

8.5. Spectral analysis

Spectral fits were performed on the background subtracted MECS data binned logarithmically after checking that each single energy channel contains at least 20 photons. The N_H was fixed to the value of 5×10^{20} cm^{-2} (see Verbunt et al. 1996). We fitted the total pulsed spectrum, i.e. the excess counts above the unpulsed level in the phase histogram, with a power-law model, taking into account the energy dependent interstellar absorption. The resulting photon index is α = 0.61±0.32, with a 2–10 keV flux F = 4.1×10^{-18}
erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), and a reduced \(\chi^2\) of 0.7 (3 dof). A fit with a black body spectral distribution gives \(kT = 2.3 \pm 0.5\) keV, with a \(\chi^2 = 1.4\) (3 dof). Both the \(\chi^2\) values are acceptable, the black body temperature \(T = 2.6 \times 10^7\) K, however, is quite higher than the values measured for the thermal components of other pulsars that typically does not exceed \(< 5 \times 10^6\) K (Greiveldinger et al. 1996, Becker & Trümper 1997, 1999). Fig. 8.6 also shows the spectra for the pulses P1 and P2 separately, using the same energy bins as for the total pulsed spectrum. We fitted again power-law models for each of the spectra, but the low statistics result in large errors in the estimated parameters. The measured spectral indices of P1 and P2 are \(0.84 \pm 0.35\) and \(0.42 \pm 0.36\) respectively, in agreement with the trend seen in the P2/P1 ratio.

Finally, we determined the total spectrum (pulsed plus DC) applying the Maximum Likelihood approach to the spatial distributions in smaller energy intervals (see also Fig. 8.6). The fit with a power-law gives a photon spectral index \(\alpha = 0.94 \pm 0.22\) with a 2–10 keV flux \(F = 4.3 \times 10^{-12}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), about 10% higher than the pulsed value given above, and a reduced \(\chi^2\) of 0.56 (8 dof). Given the hard spectra found above, we also analysed the PDS data to investigate whether there was any signal from PSR J0218+4232 at higher energies, even though an extrapolation of the total spectrum measured in the MECS to the PDS range predicts a flux well below the PDS sensitivity threshold. Indeed, no pulsed signal was found, but in the 17-25 keV band a DC signal was detected at the \(-4\) \(\sigma\) level. Moreover the fit of MECS+PDS spectra with a power-law plus a constant factor to take into account the intercalibration uncertainties between the two instruments leads to a spectral index of 1.4 and to a value for the constant \(-8\), well above the expected range of variability (0.7-1, Cusumano et al. 2000). Furthermore, the PDS light curve shows that most of the source counts are concentrated in the first half of the observation. Therefore, it is likely that we observed a variable source in the large field of view of the PDS collimators (1\(^\circ\)3 x 1\(^\circ\)3 FWHM). Note that there are several sources within a 3\(^\circ\) region in the soft X-ray catalogs, but none in the hard X-ray ones.

### 8.6. Discussion

The BeppoSAX observation of PSR J0218+4232 provided the first detection of pulsed emission from this millisecond pulsar for energies up to 10 keV. The source shows a double peaked pulse shape with a remarkably flat (photon index \(-0.6\)) spectral distribution and indications that the peak intensity ratio depends on photon energy. In particular, the P2 peak, which is not prominent in the ROSAT low energy phase histogram, becomes the dominant feature above 5 keV.

Our fit for a black body spectrum gives the quite high value of \(2.6 \times 10^7\) K for the temperature of the emitting region. If we assume that the radiation comes from the pulsar polar cap, heated, for instance, by the interactions with starwards moving high energy particles, we can compute the expected luminosity. The polar cap area of PSR J0218+4232, defined as usual by the open field lines, is of the order of \(A \approx 2\pi R^2 [1 - \sqrt{1 - (R_\odot/c)]} = 2.9 \times 10^{33}\) cm\(^2\), where \(R_\odot\) is the stellar radius; from the Stefan’s law we obtain a bolometric luminosity of \(5 \times 10^{36}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), a value that must be doubled if the other cap is taken into account. Such luminosity is several orders of magnitude higher than that derived from the phase averaged flux \(L_x = 1.3 \times 10^{32}\) \(\Theta (d/5.7\) kpc\(^2\) erg s\(^{-1}\) (corresponding to an X-ray efficiency of \(L_x / E = 4.8 \times 10^{-4}\) \(\Theta (d/5.7\) kpc\(^2\)\)) where \(\Theta\) is the solid angle spanned by the emission beam. It is moreover significantly greater than the spin-down energy loss rate. This inconsistency could be solved with the assumption that the emission spot covers a very small fraction, of the order of about \(10^{-4}\) or even less, of the whole cap. The flux of heating particles should be then collimated within a very narrow angle of \(\sim 0.2\) or smaller. Furthermore, the heating flux into the two polar caps must be different to explain the spectral

---

**Fig. 8.4.** The DC–fraction as a function of energy. The ROSAT point (filled circle) was derived using the same width of the unpulsed interval as obtained for the whole MECS range.

**Fig. 8.5.** The energy dependence of P2/P1, according to the phase interval defined in the text. The ROSAT point is indicated by a filled circle.
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The variation of the peak ratio with energy recalls a simi-
ilar effect observed for the Crab pulsar (see, for instance, the re-
cent BeppoSAX data described by Mineo et al. 1997). The possi-
bility of detecting such effect could be due to a particular orien-
tation among the rotation and magnetic axes and the line of
sight. The Crab has an orthogonal alignment, however, Navarro et al. (1995), on the basis of the radio pulse shape, inferred for PSR J0218+4232 a nearly aligned rotator. The same con-
clusion is reached by Stairs et al. (1999) who analyzed high-
precision, coherently-dispersed polarization profiles at the two
frequencies of 410 and 610 MHz. Their Rotating Vector Model
(RVM) fits support the classification of PSR J0218+4232 as a
nearly aligned rotator with magnetic inclination consistent with
\( \psi' \), namely (8±11) deg at 410 MHz and (8±15) at 610 MHz. Un-
fortunately, the impact angle parameters for their RVM fits have
large uncertainties and therefore the line-of-sight inclination is un-
constrained.

The Crab-like double pulse X-ray profile of PSR J0218+4232 and its hard non-thermal spectrum suggests a common magneto-
spheric origin of the high-energy emission. The comparison with
Crab is additionally enforced by the similar values of the mag-
netic field at the light cylinder, as already pointed out by Kuiper et al. (1998) and Kawai & Saito (1999). This follows directly from
the classical assumption that the pulse braking is entirely due to the
Poynting flux at the light cylinder, independently of the actual
structure of the magnetic field in the magnetosphere:

\[
B_L = B_{L,Cr} \left( \frac{r_c}{R_{Cr}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{P}{P_{Cr}} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{P}{P_{Cr}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

where the suffix \( Cr \) is referred to Crab. Assuming that the mo-
ments of inertia of the two pulsars are equal, we found \( B_L \approx \frac{1}{5} B_{L,Cr} \), \( \approx 3 \times 10^5 \) Gauss.

The nature of the non-thermal mechanism responsible for the
X-ray emission is still unclear. A possibility is that X-ray pho-
tons are produced by curvature radiation from relativistic elec-
trons moving outwards along the field lines in proximity of the light
cylinder. The Lorentz factor of these particles to radiate photons
of frequency \( \nu \) is given by

\[
\gamma = \left( \frac{4\pi \nu P}{3c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

where \( \rho \approx c/(3\Omega) \) is the curvature radius of the last closed line near the light cylinder in a dipole field. For \( \nu = 10^{18} \) Hz, corre-
sponding to \( \sim 4 \) keV, we have:

\[
\gamma \approx \left( \frac{\rho}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 8 \times 10^4.
\]

The radiative life time of the electrons is long enough to allow
them to reach the light cylinder, namely:

\[
\tau_e = \frac{\gamma}{d\gamma/dt} = \frac{9}{8\pi} \frac{\rho}{r_c \nu} = 2 \times 10^2 \frac{P}{\nu},
\]

where \( r_c \) is the classical radius of the electron; for \( P = 2.3 \) ms
and \( \nu = 10^{18} \) Hz we have \( \tau_e \approx 5 \) s. These electrons could be ac-
celerated either in the outer gaps or above the polar caps. In the
latter case, we expect that a copious number of electron-positron
pairs will reach, after suffering radiation losses, the light cylinder with energy high enough for X-ray emission.

An origin of the high-energy X-rays in a narrow outer gap can
also explain the narrow profile (intrinsically < 0.04 phase; Kuiper et al. 1998) measured in the ROSAT light curve, as well as the
double peak profile, e.g. for the wide fan-beam geometry proposed by Romani (1996). However, an additional constraint is needed:
the length of the gap over which the hard X-rays are produced should be small. In fact, the very strong curvature of the magnetic
field lines would produce a broader pulse profile for a long gap.
However, as Ho (1989) pointed out, strongly curved magnetic field
lines, as in millisecond pulsars, enhance the production of non-
thermal emission, allowing outer gaps shorter than those of normal
radio pulsars.

According Kuiper et al. (1999), PSR J0218+4232 is the
most likely counterpart of the high-energy EGRET source 2EG
J0220+4232 / 3EG J0222+4253 for energies between 100 MeV
and 1 GeV. This would suggest a cut-off energy at least four or-
most likely counterpart of the high-energy EGRET source 2EG
J0220+4232 / 3EG J0222+4253 for energies between 100 MeV
and 1 GeV. This would suggest a cut-off energy at least four or-
most likely counterpart of the high-energy EGRET source 2EG
J0220+4232 / 3EG J0222+4253 for energies between 100 MeV
and 1 GeV. This would suggest a cut-off energy at least four or-
most likely counterpart of the high-energy EGRET source 2EG
J0220+4232 / 3EG J0222+4253 for energies between 100 MeV
and 1 GeV. This would suggest a cut-off energy at least four or-
more convincing.

The difference of the two peaks. A non-thermal origin of the X-ray
emission seems therefore more convincing.

Fig. 8.6. PSR J0218+4232 spectra as detected by the MECS. The
lines represent the power–law used as fitting model

\[
B_L = B_{L,Cr} \left( \frac{r_c}{R_{Cr}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{P}{P_{Cr}} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{P}{P_{Cr}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

where the suffix \( Cr \) is referred to Crab. Assuming that the mo-
ments of inertia of the two pulsars are equal, we found \( B_L \approx \frac{1}{5} B_{L,Cr} \), \( \approx 3 \times 10^5 \) Gauss.

The nature of the non-thermal mechanism responsible for the
X-ray emission is still unclear. A possibility is that X-ray pho-
tons are produced by curvature radiation from relativistic elec-
trons moving outwards along the field lines in proximity of the light
cylinder. The Lorentz factor of these particles to radiate photons
of frequency \( \nu \) is given by

\[
\gamma = \left( \frac{4\pi \nu P}{3c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

where \( \rho \approx c/(3\Omega) \) is the curvature radius of the last closed line near the light cylinder in a dipole field. For \( \nu = 10^{18} \) Hz, corre-
sponding to \( \sim 4 \) keV, we have:

\[
\gamma \approx \left( \frac{\rho}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 8 \times 10^4.
\]

The radiative life time of the electrons is long enough to allow
them to reach the light cylinder, namely:

\[
\tau_e = \frac{\gamma}{d\gamma/dt} = \frac{9}{8\pi} \frac{\rho}{r_c \nu} = 2 \times 10^2 \frac{P}{\nu},
\]

where \( r_c \) is the classical radius of the electron; for \( P = 2.3 \) ms
and \( \nu = 10^{18} \) Hz we have \( \tau_e \approx 5 \) s. These electrons could be ac-
celerated either in the outer gaps or above the polar caps. In the
latter case, we expect that a copious number of electron-positron
pairs will reach, after suffering radiation losses, the light cylinder with energy high enough for X-ray emission.

An origin of the high-energy X-rays in a narrow outer gap can
also explain the narrow profile (intrinsically < 0.04 phase; Kuiper et al. 1998) measured in the ROSAT light curve, as well as the
double peak profile, e.g. for the wide fan-beam geometry proposed by Romani (1996). However, an additional constraint is needed:
the length of the gap over which the hard X-rays are produced should be small. In fact, the very strong curvature of the magnetic
field lines would produce a broader pulse profile for a long gap.
However, as Ho (1989) pointed out, strongly curved magnetic field
lines, as in millisecond pulsars, enhance the production of non-
thermal emission, allowing outer gaps shorter than those of normal
radio pulsars.

According Kuiper et al. (1999), PSR J0218+4232 is the
most likely counterpart of the high-energy EGRET source 2EG
J0220+4232 / 3EG J0222+4253 for energies between 100 MeV
and 1 GeV. This would suggest a cut-off energy at least four or-
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