

IDENTIFICATION OF SOME STEREOISOMERIC DISACCHARIDES BY MASS SPECTROMETRY

J. Vink and J.J. de Ridder
Laboratory for Analytical Chemistry
University of Utrecht, The Netherlands

J.P. Kamerling and J.F.G. Vliegthart
Laboratory for Organic Chemistry
University of Utrecht, The Netherlands

Received January 26, 1971

SUMMARY: The mass spectra of the trimethylsilyl derivatives of the disaccharides α -lactose, β -lactose, β -cellobiose and the equilibrium mixtures α , β -lactose and α , β -cellobiose have been recorded. A close investigation of peak intensity ratios shows persistent differences for the compounds investigated, allowing a new method of identification. The configuration of the anomeric C-atom of the reducing unit turned out to be the most important factor influencing the relative intensity of several peaks in the mass spectra.

The structure determination of oligomers as peptides (1, 2, 3), nucleotides (4, 5) and saccharides by mass spectrometry is still gaining interest. The analysis of oligosaccharides, however, offers special difficulties. Besides the identification of the constituent monosaccharides and their sequence, the position as well as the configuration of the glycosidic linkages are to be determined. Obviously, each of these structural aspects will have a more or less profound influence on the mass spectrum. Kochetkov et al. (6) observed that mass spectrometry can be used to determine the type of glycosidic link in trimethylsilyl (TMS) ethers of di- and trisaccharides. However, they stated that this technique is insensitive to the stereochemistry of the constituent monosaccharides, so that sequence determination is impossible. Kärkkäinen (7), investigating TMS-disaccharide alditols, as well as Johnson et al. (8), studying 1-phenylflavazole peracetates of oligosaccharides, concluded that the differences in the mass spectra of these

stereoisomeric compounds were too small to be used for sequence determinations. However, the results obtained by Heyns et al. (9, 10), showing that stereoisomeric permethylated monosaccharides can be distinguished from each other, stimulated us to investigate the mass spectra of some stereoisomeric disaccharides in more detail.

We have chosen the trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatives for our study, because we used these derivatives also in gas-liquid chromatography (11) and proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (12). In the present study we investigated the mass spectra of the TMS-derivatives of two disaccharides varying in the non-reducing unit and in the anomeric form : α -lactose, β -lactose, β -cellobiose and the anomeric mixtures⁺ α , β -lactose and α , β -cellobiose. Because TMS- α -cellobiose was not available, its mass spectrum could not be recorded.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The TMS-derivatives of α -lactose (4-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl- α -D-glucopyranose), β -lactose (4-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl- β -D-glucopyranose), β -cellobiose (4-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl- β -D-glucopyranose) and of the anomeric mixtures α , β -lactose (4-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl-D-glucopyranose) and α , β -cellobiose (4-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-D-glucopyranose) were prepared as described before (12). The carbohydrates were purchased as α -D(+)-lactose monohydrate, β -lactose anhydrous and β -D-cellobiose from J.T. Baker Chemicals N.V., Calbiochem Inc. and Fluka A.G. respectively.

The ratio of the anomers in the equilibrium mixture of the anomeric pyranose forms has been determined by gas-liquid chromatography (11) and turned out to be 7 to 10 for TMS- α -lactose and TMS- β -lactose respectively in the anomeric lactose mixture, as well as for TMS- α -cellobiose and TMS- β -cellobiose in the anomeric cellobiose mixture.

The mass spectra have been recorded with an AEI MS9 or MS902 mass spectrometer using the direct introduction technique under the following conditions :

⁺ See materials and methods.

electron accelerating voltage 70 V; ionizing current 100 μ A (MS9) or 500 μ A (MS902); ion accelerating voltage 8 kV; ion source temperature 140-160°C; scan speed 14 sec/octave (MS9) or 8 sec/decade (MS902); electron multiplier voltage 2.5 to 3.2 kV.

Of each trimethylsilylated carbohydrate at least 4 times about 60 μ g has been introduced into the mass spectrometer, and no less than 4 consecutive scans have been made, yielding 16 - 40 spectra per compound. Before each separate introduction of any TMS-compound, the mass spectrometer was baked out at 300°C during one hour at least.

The arithmetic mean (R) of the intensity ratios and the standard deviation (s.d.) (13) have been calculated using a Ferranti Argus 500 computer. Values of the intensity ratios out of the range $R \pm 2$ s.d. are rejected by the program. The entire calculation is repeated until no more values of the intensity ratios are out of the specified range. The remaining number of values for the intensity ratios has been called the number of determinations considered (n).


RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As might be expected on account of the uniform carbon skeleton, the mass spectra of the investigated TMS-aldohexosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-aldohexoses are at first sight very similar. In the figure the mass spectrum of TMS- α , β -lactose is shown as a typical example.

A close investigation of the peak intensities, however, shows minor, but definite differences. These differences become more pronounced when intensity ratios of particular fragment ions are compared. In the table, a number of the most characteristic peak intensity ratios (R), their standard deviations (s.d.) and the number of determinations considered (n)⁺ are compiled.

The results as compiled in the table will be discussed in terms of anomers, epimers and diastereoisomers. The possibility of distinction between anomeric

⁺See materials and methods.



A typical example of the mass spectrum of a TMS-aldohexosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-aldohexose.

mixtures and the corresponding α or β compound, and between any lactose and any cellobiose will be demonstrated.

ANOMERS : The table shows that the two lactose anomers can be distinguished unequivocally by using all peak intensity ratios, the ratios 668/578⁺⁺, 578/539 and 569/521 excepted.

EPIMERS : For a distinction between the C4-epimers TMS- β -lactose and TMS- β -cellobiose all peak intensity ratios reported in the table can be used. Because of the equal extent of anomerization for TMS- α,β -lactose and TMS- α,β -cellobiose, in this case these anomeric mixtures can be considered as epimers. Therefore, all peak intensity ratios can also be used for a distinction between both anomeric mixtures. Taking the standard deviations into account, the ratios 578/569, however, appear to have a small overlap.

DIASTEREOISOMERS : The diastereoisomers TMS- α -lactose and TMS- β -cellobiose are distinguishable using all peak intensity ratios reported in the table.

ANOMERIC MIXTURE AND α OR β COMPOUND : In order to decide whether we are dealing with a β -compound or with an anomeric mixture, the intensity ratios 668/611, 611/578, 578/569, 569/539, 539/521 and 521/451 can be used for TMS-lactose as well as for TMS-cellobiose. For a distinction between TMS- α -lactose and TMS- α,β -lactose also the intensity ratio 683/668 can be used.

LACTOSES AND CELLOBIOSSES : The peak intensity ratios 668/578, 578/539 and 569/521 appeared to be very useful in order to distinguish the TMS-lactoses (α , β or α,β) from the TMS-cellobioses (β or α,β).

The differences between the peak intensity ratios of TMS- β -lactose and TMS- β -cellobiose are very similar to those for both anomeric mixtures. This might be expected on account of the equal extent of anomerization.

In general, it turned out that the anomers show greater differences in peak intensity ratios than the epimers. In the case of the ratios 668/578, 578/539 and 569/521, however, the epimers result in the greatest differences.

⁺⁺The intensity ratio 668/578 stands for : the intensity of the fragment ion at m/e 668, divided by the intensity of the fragment ion at m/e 578 in the mass spectrum. This notation is used throughout the present paper.

fragment ions	TMS- α -lactose		TMS- β -lactose		TMS- β -cellobiose		TMS- α , β -lactose		TMS- α , β -cellobiose						
	R	s.d.	n	R	s.d.	n	R	s.d.	n	R	s.d.	n			
723/683	0.45	0.06	14	0.85	0.06	19	1.38	0.36	12	0.59	0.14	30	0.99	0.14	29
683/668	2.62	0.59	17	0.53	0.08	21	0.27	0.05	12	0.77	0.16	33	0.31	0.08	31
668/611	0.37	0.04	15	2.78	0.54	23	7.41	1.18	10	1.67	0.57	35	4.08	1.08	29
668/578	2.07	0.57	14	1.42	0.17	17	0.75	0.17	17	1.86	0.34	23	0.91	0.16	26
611/578	5.23	1.74	15	0.55	0.09	20	0.11	0.02	10	1.25	0.26	25	0.24	0.06	28
578/569	0.11	0.01	12	1.06	0.20	24	1.49	0.18	16	0.39	0.16	32	0.68	0.17	32
578/539	0.22	0.03	13	0.17	0.03	22	0.62	0.04	13	0.16	0.04	31	0.66	0.04	24
569/539	1.72	0.18	15	0.17	0.03	22	0.40	0.04	15	0.48	0.15	38	0.91	0.23	33
569/521	0.25	0.04	18	0.17	0.04	23	0.74	0.04	13	0.25	0.09	36	0.84	0.04	18
539/521	0.14	0.02	18	0.98	0.04	14	1.71	0.20	16	0.56	0.13	34	0.99	0.27	32
525/521	0.11	0.01	15	0.22	0.02	21	0.43	0.05	15	0.16	0.04	32	0.37	0.05	27
521/451	2.85	0.24	16	0.94	0.07	20	0.40	0.03	12	1.35	0.26	36	0.63	0.10	31

Mean intensity ratios R, the standard deviations s.d. and the number of determinations considered n, of some fragment ions of TMS-lactoses and TMS-cellobioses.

On the basis of these results, we conclude that the disaccharides investigated can be distinguished from each other by measuring one particular peak intensity ratio, while identification of μg amounts of stereoisomeric disaccharides is expected to be possible by comparing a number of peak intensity ratios with the spectra of a series of reference compounds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are indebted to miss Joke Lijnse for computer programming. This investigation was supported in part by the Netherlands Foundation for Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (ZWO).

REFERENCES

1. E. Lederer, *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 17, 489 (1968)
2. J.P. Kamerling, W. Heerma and J.F.G. Vliegthart, *Org. Mass Spectrom.* 1, 351 (1968)
3. J.F.G. Vliegthart and L. Dorland, *Biochem. J.* 117, 31P (1970)
4. D.F. Hunt, C.E. Hignite and K. Biemann, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 33, 378 (1968)
5. J.J. Dolhun and J.L. Wiebers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 91, 7755 (1969)
6. N.K. Kochetkov, O.S. Chizhov and N.V. Molodtsov, *Tetrahedron* 24, 5587 (1968)
7. J. Kärkkäinen, *Carb. Res.* 11, 247 (1969)
8. G.S. Johnson, W.S. Ruliffson and R.G. Cooks, *Chem. Commun.* 1970, 587
9. K. Heyns and H. Scharmann, *Ann.* 667, 183 (1963)
10. K. Heyns and H. Scharmann, *Tetrahedron* 21, 507 (1965)
11. J. Haverkamp, J.P. Kamerling and J.F.G. Vliegthart, to be published
12. J.P. Kamerling, D. Rosenberg and J.F.G. Vliegthart, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 38, 794 (1970)
13. W.J. Dixon and F.J. Massey, *Introduction to Statistical Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Inc./Kogakusha Ltd., New York/Tokyo, 2nd ed., p. 19.