

THE DECAY  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$  AS A MEANS FOR DETECTING  
S WAVE RESONANCES AND C VIOLATIONJ. PRENTKI and M. VELTMAN  
*CERN, Geneva*

Received 17 May 1965

In this note we would like to point out that a careful study of the decay  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$  can give interesting information on both even spin  $\pi\pi$  resonances with isospin 0 and the possible existence of C violating effects in strong interactions [1,2].

Suppose that C is conserved to a high degree in strong and electromagnetic interactions. Remembering that spin, parity, G parity, isotopic spin and intrinsic C of the  $\varphi$  are 1, -, -, 0 and -

respectively, we note that the decay  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$  must proceed with the two pions in a state of even angular momentum, i.e.,  $l = 2n$ . Thus this reaction furnishes us a sample of events with pions in an even wave (and I-spin 0), which is extremely well adapted for study in the region where otherwise P waves (p-resonance) would be important.

Recently, some evidence was presented [3] in favour of a large  $\pi^+\pi^-S$  wave resonance called

$\epsilon^0$ , around 720 MeV. Especially for this mass, separation of  $\rho^0$  and  $\epsilon^0$  is usually quite difficult, but in the  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$  the  $\epsilon^0$  would show up as a clear bump in the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  invariant mass spectrum\*. The angular distribution of  $\pi^+$  (or  $\pi^-$ ) with respect to the  $\gamma$ -line of flight can give information on the spin of such a resonance. Of course ABC and  $a$  resonances could be studied here also.

The recently proposed [1] possibility of  $C$  violation in strong interactions could be tested here. If  $C$  is violated  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$  may proceed with the pions in a state of odd angular momentum. One expects then a bump (due to the  $p$ ) around 760 MeV of a  $P$  wave nature. It may be noted that in any case this  $p$  is depressed by a factor  $10^{-1} - 10^{-2}$  associated with the  $C$  violating interaction. Again study of the angular distributions of  $\pi^+$  or  $\pi^-$  could reveal the characteristic features of a  $P$  wave. This  $C$  violating effect could be seen rather easily through the interference with the  $C$  conserving  $S$  wave mode  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ : the  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$  angular distributions and energy spectra would be different from each other, and for instance the number of  $\pi^+$  with energy larger than that of the  $\pi^-$  would be different from the number of  $\pi^+$  with energy smaller than the  $\pi^-$  energy.

Concerning the branching ratio of  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$  we may remark that it should be quite appreci-

\* Of course,  $\epsilon^0$  can be established also by looking for a resonance in the  $\pi^0\pi^0$  system.

able - at least 10-20%. The reason for this is that other modes are quite suppressed: the decay  $\varphi \rightarrow \bar{K}K$  has a very small phase space ( $Q = 23$  MeV), and  $\varphi \rightarrow \rho\pi$  is suppressed by  $SU(6)$ . Also  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$  is forbidden by  $SU(6)$  symmetry.

Similar remarks can be made for the process  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$  (branching ratio known to be about 3%), although the situation is more difficult due to the smaller phase space. The  $\rho$  or  $\epsilon^0$  bumps would be on the end of the mass spectrum, corresponding to the low energy part of the  $\gamma$  spectrum. But any reasonable production mechanism will also favour low energy  $\gamma$ 's, which makes the  $\rho$  or  $\epsilon^0$  identification less easier. Any  $C$  violation may still show up as differences in  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$  distributions. A factor in favour of the  $\omega$  is of course the larger production cross section.

We wish to thank Professor G. Puppi for a helpful discussion.

#### References

1. J. Prentki and M. Veltman, Physics Letters 15 (1965) 88; T.D. Lee and L. Wolfenstein, preprint; B. Okun, preprint.
2. The  $C$  violating aspects of  $\varphi \rightarrow \rho\gamma$  and  $\omega \rightarrow \rho\gamma$  are also discussed by Y. Fujii and G. Marx, Physics Letters 17 (1965) 75.
3. L. Durand and Yam Tsi Chiu, Phys. Rev. Letters 14 (1965) 329.