

HemaTopics
 Open Access

First-line Therapy for Immune Thrombocytopenia: Time for Change

 Roger E. G. Schutgens¹

Correspondence: Roger E. G. Schutgens, *HemaSphere* Scientific Editor (r.schutgens@umcutrecht.nl).

The treatment landscape for chronic immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) has changed significantly over the last years, with the introduction of TPO-receptor agonists and new immune-modulating drugs. Treatment options for chronic ITP now enable doctors and patients to consider individualized therapy with a current arsenal of 3 types of TPO-RAs, rituximab, splenectomy, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and a broad range of newer drugs being in phase 2/3 trials including BTK inhibitors, fostamatinib, FcRn inhibitors and complement inhibitors.¹ Combinations of different therapeutic drugs might even lead to higher efficacy rates.²

Despite these advances, first-line treatment of ITP has not changed yet. Current consensus and guidelines recommend corticosteroid monotherapy for first-line treatment of ITP,³ although their long-term complete response (CR) rates only ranges between 20% and 50%.^{4,5} With a therapy being only this moderately successful, it argues for strategies aiming at reaching for more sustained CRs. Indeed, several attempts have already been made in for first-line therapy in ITP, as nicely summarized recently by Gómez-Almaguer et al.⁵

The first issue is the kind of steroid that is used. A recent meta-analysis showed that dexamethasone has equal overall response rates as prednisone, but is superior in sustained response rates after 12 months (relative risk 1.34) without changing adverse event rates.⁶

The combination of corticosteroids with rituximab led to a significant higher sustained response rate after 6 months as compared to corticosteroids alone (58%–76% versus 36%).⁵ This was true for both a classical drug dosing as for a low dose rituximab regimen. The superiority of the combination of rituximab and dexamethasone in maintaining a sustained response was confirmed in a meta-analysis (relative risk 0.57).⁶ In terms of safety, the adverse events were, in general, mild and balanced between the 2 groups without increase in infections in the rituximab group.⁷

Adding eltrombopag to dexamethasone led to a sustained CR of 57%.⁸ A triple therapy of dexamethasone, eltrombopag and rituximab showed a CR rate of 79% after 2 years, although in a very small population.⁹

The combination of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) with dexamethasone had a CR rate at 6 months of 68% versus 41% compared with dexamethasone alone, without significant adverse events.¹⁰ Adding MMF to dexamethasone resulted in CR rates of 78% versus 56% in dexamethasone monotherapy after 2 years.¹¹ In the latter FLIGHT trial, no differences in adverse events were seen (the reported lower quality of life in the MMF group was a nonsignificant difference).¹¹

In all, one can say that there is evidence enough that we can do better in increasing the CR rates in first-line ITP. As with second-line therapy, the treatment of choice for acute ITP is one that can be individualized. Every treatment has its side effects, one more than the other, which could be the reason physicians are reluctant to change their current practice. However, preventing patients from developing chronic ITP will probably be far more beneficial in the end. With more immunosuppressive therapies in the horizon for ITP, upfront combination therapies can have a major impact.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

REG conceptualized, wrote, and edited the manuscript.

DISCLOSURES

The author has no conflicts of interest to disclose.

¹Department of Benign Haematology, Thrombosis and Haemostasis, Van Creveldkliniek, University Medical Centre Utrecht, University Utrecht, The Netherlands

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the European Hematology Association. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

HemaSphere (2022) 6:10(e783).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HS9.0000000000000783>.

REFERENCES

1. Bussel JB, Garcia C. ITP: diagnosis including secondary ITP, and selection of second line treatment. *Haematologica*. 2022.
2. Zhou H, Fan J, He J, Hu S. Comparative efficacy of 19 drug therapies for patients with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura: a multiple-treatments network meta-analysis. *Ann Hematol*. 2022;101:953–961.
3. Neunert C, Terrell DR, Arnold DM, et al. American Society of Hematology 2019 guidelines for immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood Adv*. 2019;3:3829–3866.
4. Wei Y, Ji X Bin, Wang YW, et al. High-dose dexamethasone vs prednisone for treatment of adult immune thrombocytopenia: a prospective multicenter randomized trial. *Blood*. 2016;127:296–302.
5. Gómez-Almaguer D, Rojas-Guerrero EA, Gómez-De León A, et al. Alternatives for managing patients with newly diagnosed immune thrombocytopenia: a narrative review. *Expert Rev Hematol*. 2022;15:493–501.
6. Xiao Q, Lin B, Wang H, Zhan W, Chen P. The efficacy of high-dose dexamethasone vs. other treatments for newly diagnosed immune thrombocytopenia: a meta-analysis. *Front Med*. 2021;8:656792.
7. Gudbrandsdottir S, Birgens HS, Frederiksen H, et al. Rituximab and dexamethasone vs dexamethasone monotherapy in newly diagnosed patients with primary immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood*. 2013;121:1976–1981.
8. Zhang L, Zhang M, Du X, Cheng Y, Cheng G. Safety and efficacy of eltrombopag plus pulsed dexamethasone as first-line therapy for immune thrombocytopenia. *Br J Haematol*. 2020;189:369–378.
9. Gómez-Almaguer D, Colunga-Pedraza PR, Gómez-De León A, et al. Eltrombopag, low-dose rituximab, and dexamethasone combination as frontline treatment of newly diagnosed immune thrombocytopenia. *Br J Haematol*. 2019;184:288–290.
10. Huang QS, Liu Y, Wang JB, et al. All-trans retinoic acid plus high-dose dexamethasone as first-line treatment for patients with newly diagnosed immune thrombocytopenia: a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Haematol*. 2021;8:e688–e699.
11. Bradbury CA, Hill Q, Greenwood R. Mycophenolate mofetil for immune thrombocytopenia. Reply. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385:2201–2202.