Use of intraoperative parathyroid hormone measurements during parathyroidectomy to predict postoperative parathyroid hormone levels in patients with renal hyperparathyroidism: meta-analysis

Dirk-Jan van Beek 🔟 ^{1,2,3,*}, Stina Fredriksson^{1,4}, Stefanie Haegele⁵, Marco Raffaelli 🔟 ⁶, Philipp Riss⁵ and Martin Almquist 🔟 ^{1,2}

¹Department of Endocrine and Sarcoma Surgery, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden

²Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

³Department of Endocrine Surgical Oncology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁴Department of Nephrology, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden

⁵Department of Surgery, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

⁶Division of Endocrine and Metabolic Surgery, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy

*Correspondence to: Dirk-Jan van Beek, Skåne University Hospital, Department of Endocrine and Sarcoma Surgery, Entrégatan 7, 222 42 Lund, Sweden and University Medical Centre Utrecht, Department of Endocrine Surgical Oncology, PO Box 85500, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands (e-mail: d.j.vanbeek@umcutrecht.nl)

Abstract

Background: Several studies have reported on the use of intraoperative parathyroid hormone (ioPTH) measurements during parathyroidectomy (PTX) for renal hyperparathyroidism (rHPT), but there is no consensus on whether it is helpful and, if so, what protocol should be used. Therefore, the literature was systematically reviewed to assess a correlation between ioPTH and early postoperative parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels in patients undergoing PTX for rHPT, separately for those on dialysis and those with a functioning renal transplant.

Methods: A systematic literature search was performed in electronic databases. Quality assessment was performed using the Quality In Prognosis Studies tool. Mean ioPTH values were calculated at different time points and correlated to the postoperative PTH levels within 1 month. Fixed-effect and random-effects models were performed to assess the mean ioPTH levels at 10 or 20 min after resection (T10 and T20). Stratified analyses were performed for patients on dialysis and those with a functioning renal transplant.

Results: Of the 3087 records screened, 14 studies were included, including some 1177 patients; 1091 were on dialysis and 86 had a functioning kidney transplant. Risk of bias was moderate for most studies. For patients on dialysis, T10 and T20 mean ioPTH levels were 32.1 (95 per cent c.i. 24.3 to 39.9) pmol/l and 15.4 (95 per cent c.i. 7.8 to 22.9) pmol/l) in the random effects meta-analysis. Between individual studies, ioPTH ranged from 4.0–65.1 pmol/l at T10 and 8.6–25.7 pmol/l at T20. T10 and T20 ioPTH were 9.6 and 4.1 times the postoperative PTH—after T20 ioPTH stabilized in those on dialysis. In patients with a functioning renal transplant, ioPTH levels seemed to plateau after 10 min and measured 2.6 times the postoperative PTH.

Conclusion: There is a strong correlation between ioPTH and early postoperative PTH levels, indicating that ioPTH is potentially a useful instrument during PTX in patients with rHPT. For patients on dialysis, at T20 ioPTH levels have stabilized and are approximately four times the postoperative PTH. Therefore, it is recommended to use ioPTH 20 min after resection in patients on dialysis, which might be longer than necessary for those with a kidney transplant.

Introduction

Hyperparathyroidism (HPT) is common in chronic kidney disease (CKD), and associated with an increased risk of fractures, cardiovascular disease and death¹⁻⁶. Renal HPT (rHPT) is treated medically, but if medical treatment cannot control the condition, surgical parathyroidectomy (PTX) reduces levels of parathyroid hormone (PTH), ameliorates rHPT and has decreased mortality rates in observational studies⁷⁻¹⁴. There are no specific guidelines for PTH levels after PTX, but Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) and Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI) guidelines suggest PTH levels of 2 to 9 times times the upper normal limit for the assay, or in the range of 15–30 pmol/l in patients on dialysis, treated medically^{15,16}. This is based on large observational data showing increased risk of adverse outcomes both with very low and very high levels of PTH^{5,6}.

PTX is either performed as subtotal or total PTX with or without autotransplantation^{9,10,17–19}. Both procedures can be combined with thymectomy. To help the surgeon decide how much parathyroid tissue to remove and how much to leave in the neck, several authors have used intraoperative measurement of PTH levels (ioPTH), to determine the extent of PTX^{20–26}. However, no standard guideline or consensus exists regarding the timing of measurement of PTH during surgery, nor regarding whether absolute levels of PTH or levels relative to preoperative PTH levels should be used

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: November 4, 2021. Accepted: December 21, 2021

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of BJS Society Ltd.

for decision-making¹⁷. The 2015 European Society of Endocrine Surgeons (ESES) consensus report states that the exact role of ioPTH in rHPT is undefined¹⁷. This is in contrast to ioPTH for primary hyperparathyroidism (pHPT), which has been demonstrated to enable focused surgery with less dissection, while maintaining excellent outcomes²⁷. The most common protocol entails measuring PTH before incision and at 10 min after excision; a 50 per cent drop between these values indicates cure²⁷.

Since there have been many reports on ioPTH in PTX for rHPT, but no consensus exists, the aim was to review the literature on ioPTH during PTX for rHPT systematically and appraise it critically to synthesize data and provide summary estimates. The main aim of this systematic review was to determine whether there is a correlation between ioPTH and levels of PTH at 1 day, 1 week or 1 month after surgery, and to determine the magnitude of this correlation. Other aims were to determine whether absolute ioPTH levels correlate better with postoperative levels of PTH, or if relative values (that is, percentage drop) are more useful to predict postoperative PTH. In addition, the optimal timing of ioPTH measurements, for example 10 or 20 min after resection, to offer the best prediction of postoperative PTH levels was examined. Since PTH kinetics could differ based on underlying rHPT aetiology, patients on dialysis and those with a functioning renal transplant were studied separately.

Methods

This systematic review is reported according to the PRISMA statement²⁸. Methods of the search, inclusion and exclusion criteria, quality assessment, and analyses were specified in advance in a protocol. No ethical approval was needed since data from previously published studies (in which ethical approval and/or informed consent were obtained by primary investigators) were retrieved and analysed.

Search strategy

A systematic literature search was performed by one researcher (D.J.v.B.) on 22 November 2019, to identify articles that assessed ioPTH measurements to predict early postoperative PTH levels in patients undergoing PTX for rHPT. The search was performed in the electronic bibliographic databases of MEDLINE[®] (PubMed), EMBASE[®], Web of Science and Cochrane databases using the following search keywords and Boolean operators ('Renal hyperparathyroidism' OR 'Chronic kidney disease') AND 'parathyroid surgery' AND 'intraoperative PTH' and their corresponding synonyms. Database subject terms, such as Mesh terms (MEDLINE) and Emtree terms (EMBASE), were used as appropriate. The full search strings are reported in *Appendix S1*. There was no restriction for the year of publication of the studies and no other search filters were applied. All included articles were manually cross-referenced for additional relevant articles.

Study selection

The results of the literature search were uploaded in the Rayyan[®] database and duplicate cases were removed²⁹. The titles and abstracts of the retrieved results were assessed in a blinded standardized manner for their relevance by two researchers (D.J.v.B., M.A.) independently. Inconsistencies were solved by consensus. The help of a third reviewer was not needed. Thereafter, the full texts of the records which were deemed potentially eligible were assessed. Reasons for exclusion at full-text screening were recorded.

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria were the reporting of ioPTH measurements during PTX for rHPT. rHPT was defined as active renal replacement therapy (haemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis), a (previous) kidney transplant, or CKD stage 3 or above (glomerular filtration rate (GFR) less than 60 ml/min/1.73²) without renal replacement therapy¹⁵. Patients with re-do PTX were included, but studies specifically focusing on ioPTH during surgery for parathyroid autograft recurrence in rHPT were excluded. To be included, studies had to report continuous PTH values or percentages of PTH decrease between 1 and 30 days after surgery. Randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, and case-control studies were eligible. Language was restricted to English, Swedish, German and Dutch. Exclusion criteria included the reporting of categorized or grouped outcomes based on cut-offs of PTH levels (without reporting continuous PTH data), absence of PTH levels between 1 and 31 days after PTX and/ or lack of reporting of detailed ioPTH values at different time points. If studies investigated ioPTH in patients with pHPT and rHPT, and data of patients with rHPT could not be extracted separately the study was excluded. Also, original studies including fewer than five patients and articles for which no full text could be retrieved were excluded. Guidelines, literature reviews, case reports, editorials and commentaries were excluded.

If more than one publication of one study population was found only the most appropriate (that is, matching the review question) and with the most complete data was included.

Risk-of-bias assessment

The risk of bias was assessed on a study level using the Quality In Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) tool for prognostic studies, which addresses six domains, including study participation, study attrition, prognostic factor measurement, outcome measurement, study confounding, and statistical analysis and reporting³⁰. The tool was modified to fit this systematic review (*Appendix S2*). Study participation, prognostic factor measurement and outcome measurement were considered the most important domains and were therefore given the largest weight when assessing the final judgement of an individual study. The quality assessment was performed independently by two authors (D.J.v.B., S.F.) and disagreements were solved by discussion.

Data extraction

Data extraction was performed by two authors (D.J.v.B., S.F.) using a standardized Microsoft Excel® (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA) extraction form; the form was established after conducting a test using representative studies. Relevant items were based on the CHARMS-PF (Critical Appraisal and Data Extraction for Systematic Reviews of Prediction Modelling Studies-Prognostic Factor) checklist^{31,32}. Study characteristics (author, year, journal, country/location, study design (randomized controlled trial, cohort study), patient inclusion (single-/multicentre), data collection (prospective or retrospective), number of centres, number of patients, inclusion period, consecutive sample, inclusion and exclusion criteria), data regarding study population (age, sex, BMI, GFR, CKD stage, renal replacement therapy/dialysis, kidney transplant, duration of dialysis, vitamin D, calcimimetics), type of surgery (less than subtotal, subtotal, total PTX), parathyroid autotransplantation, thymectomy, preoperative laboratory values (PTH, calcium (total, ionized), phosphate), ioPTH measurements (time points, number of measurements, PTH values at each time point), assay and manufacturer (serum or plasma measurement, intact or whole PTH, generation of assay, coefficient of variation, calibration of assay, reference values, unit of measurement), PTH levels within the first postoperative month (time, measurement method) and reported potential confounders were extracted. No attempts were made to obtain unpublished data or to obtain raw data. Several studies only reported intraand/or postoperative PTH levels within figures or graphs. These PTH values were extracted from the individual graphs by two authors (D.J.v.B., S.F.). In the case of differences in measurements, the average of the measurements was used.

Statistical analysis

Biochemical values were converted to and reported as SI units. PTH levels were converted to pmol/l (pg/ml was multiplied by 0.106), calcium (total and ionized) to mmol/l (mg/dl was multiplied by 0.2495 (total calcium) or 0.25 (ionized calcium)), phosphate to mmol/l (mg/dl was multiplied by 0.3229) and creatinine to μ mol/l (mg/dl was multiplied by 88.4)¹⁵.

Descriptive statistics were reported as mean(s.d.) or counts (percentages). Mean(s.d.) error of the mean was converted to mean(s.d.) based on the formula as reported in the Cochrane handbook³³. Additionally, if studies reported values within two subgroups mean(s.d.), these were combined based on the formulae reported in the Cochrane handbook³³. If studies reported non-normally distributed values, these were transformed to mean(s.d.) first. Data were transformed from non-normally distributed data (i.e. median and interquartile range or range) into mean(s.d.) based on formulae proposed by Wan and colleagues³⁴. For studies only reporting individual patients' data (IPD), group measures were calculated based on the provided IPD.

Aggregate data were calculated by summing individual studies' group estimates which were weighted for the sample size for categorical variables and, in cases of continuous data (age, dialysis duration, PTH, total and ionized calcium and phosphate), also for the standard deviation³³.

Aggregate pre-, intra- and postoperative PTH levels (pooled mean(s.d.)) were derived according to methods described before. Absolute PTH values, PTH levels as a ratio of the postoperative PTH value) and PTH levels as a percentage of the induction PTH levels value (that is, (ioPTH divided by the induction/preoperative PTH) times 100) were calculated and plotted. Mean(s.d.) of ioPTH values were determined based on the studies reporting at specific time points. All analyses were performed separately for patients on dialysis *versus* those with a functioning kidney transplant at the time of PTX, since renal function is markedly different between these groups, influencing the metabolism of PTH and hence the kinetics of drop of ioPTH. If studies did not explicitly state that patients had a kidney transplant these patients were analysed in the dialysis group.

As a pragmatic approach, both fixed-effect and random-effects meta-analyses were performed for ioPTH by using the generic inverse variance method, providing weighted means with subsequent 95 per cent confidence intervals of the mean. By applying the inverse-variance method, studies are weighted by the inverse of the variance of the PTH level (1 over the square of its standard error). Larger studies—which generally have smaller standard errors—receive more weight than smaller studies, which generally have larger standard errors. By applying the weights, the imprecision (uncertainty) of the pooled effect estimate is minimized³⁵. Fixed-effect meta-analyses assume a common intervention effect and presume the absence of between-study heterogeneity. By contrast, random-effects meta-analyses expect differences in ioPTH levels between studies^{35,36}. The I²—which describes

the percentage of variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity and not due to chance—was used to quantify the amount of heterogeneity between studies³⁷. ioPTH levels at 10 and 20 min after resection (T10 and T20) were analysed, since these represent the most commonly used time points. Forest plots were used to illustrate graphically mean PTH values and subsequent 95 per cent confidence intervals at different time points of individual studies and meta-analyses^{38,39}. To explore the type of underlying rHPT as a possible source of heterogeneity, the I² was compared between patients with and without a kidney transplant. Data were analysed using R, version 3.5.1 with 'Metamean' package (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Study selection

The search yielded a total of 4357 records, of which 1240 were duplicates (Fig. 1). After removing the duplicates, 3087 records were screened of which 100 full texts were assessed for eligibility. In all, 32 studies were excluded because of reporting long-term outcomes and no short-term outcomes (20 studies), categorized outcomes after one month (9 studies) or lack of reporting of ioPTH values (3 studies) (*Appendix S3*). Sixteen studies were included after full-text review^{21,40–53}. Since four studies included patients from two overlapping cohorts, the most recent cohorts, including the highest number of patients, were included^{41,42,47,48}. A total of 14 papers were included for qualitative and quantitative analysis^{21,40,42,44–47,49–53}.

Study characteristics

Study characteristics of the 14 included studies are presented in *Table* 1. Most studies were performed in Europe (9 studies), three in Asia, one in the USA and one in Turkey. All studies were single-centre studies and most patients were included from the year 2000 onwards. Only one study was a randomized controlled trial²¹. Reported criteria for surgery varied widely between studies and included PTH levels, hypercalcaemia, hyperphosphataemia, symptoms refractory to medical treatment, enlarged parathyroid glands on imaging and/or KDIGO guideline criteria. Nine studies reported solely on patients on dialysis^{21,40,43,45–47,50–52}, one study solely on patients with a functioning renal transplant⁵⁴, three studies on both populations^{42,49,53} and in one study it was not explicitly reported⁴⁴.

Patient characteristics

Characteristics of the patients in the 14 studies are reported in *Table 2*. Taken together, the 14 studies reported on a total of 1177 patients. Their mean age at surgery was 46.8 years, and 623 (56.1 per cent) were male. Some 1091 patients (92.7 per cent) were on dialysis and 86 (7.3 per cent) had a functioning kidney transplant at the time of PTX. Five studies, including 751 patients, reported the cause of underlying kidney disease^{40,42,45,49,53}. Of these 751 patients, the majority (70.7 per cent, 531 patients) had glomerulonephritis as the underlying kidney disease^{40,42,45,49,53}. Accumulated mean time on dialysis was 41.7 months and time between kidney transplant and PTX was 53.4 months. In those studies reporting on patients with a renal transplant, patients were reported to have a functioning transplant (*Table* S1)^{42,49,53,54}.

Subtotal PTX and total PTX with or without autotransplantation were performed in 375 (31.9 per cent) and 802 patients (68.1 per cent). Two hundred eighty-seven patients underwent



Fig. 1 Flow chart of study selection

PTH, parathyroid hormone; ioPTH, intraoperative parathyroid hormone.

Table 1	Characteristics	of included	studies and	patients

First author	No. of patients	Country	Study design	Data collection	Period of inclusion	Age at surgery*	Male
Barczynski et al. ²¹	102	Poland	RCT	Prospective	12/2002-11/2003	38.1 (11.7) [†]	71 (70)
Chou et al. ⁴⁰	24	Taiwan	Cohort study	Retrospective	08/2002-12/2002	52 (Ì1.8) [‡]	9 (<u>3</u> 8)
Conzo et al. ⁴⁶	35	Italy	Cohort study	Retrospective	01/2006-01/2009	52.0 (10.9) [‡]	18 (51)
Echenique-Elizondo et al.48	35	Spain	Cohort study	Prospective	01/2002-12/2006	52.7 (7.9)	16 (46)
El-Husseini et al. ⁴⁹	75	ŪSA	Cohort study	Retrospective	03/2005-02/2015	47.1 (14.4)	36 (48)
Kara et al. ⁵⁰	42	Turkey	Cohort study	Retrospective	05/2006-07/2008	41.48 (1.9) ^{'‡}	26 (62)
Lorenz et al. ⁵¹	23	Germany	Cohort study	Retrospective	1997-2004	49 (10.3)	15 (65)
Matsuoka et al. ⁵²	44	Japan	Cohort study	Retrospective	_	53.4 (11.4)	21 (48)
Müller-Stich et al. ⁵³	26	Switzerland	Cohort study	Retrospective	10/1999-10/2004	n.r.	n.r.
Seehofer et al. ⁴¹	153	Germany	Cohort study	Retrospective	10/1999–12/2003	50 (24.7) [‡]	86 (56)
Triponez et al. ⁵⁴	35	France	Cohort study	Retrospective	01/1998–12/2003	47 (11.0)	18 (51)
Vulpio et al. ⁴³	42	Italy	Cohort study	Retrospective	2007-2014	53.9 (14.8)	30 (71)
Walgenbach and Junginger ⁴⁴	40	Germany	Cohort study	Prospective	10/1999–05/2003	n.r.	n.r.
Zhang et al. ⁴⁵	501	China	Cohort study	Retrospective	04/2011-08/2015	45.9 (11.4)	277 (55)

Values in parentheses are percentages unless indicated otherwise; *values are mean(s.d.). [†]Studies reported values within two subgroups which were combined based on the formulae reported in the Cochrane handbook.³³ If studies reported non-normally distributed values, these were transformed to mean(s.d.) first. [†]One patient underwent two procedures. n.r., not reported.

simultaneous thymectomy together with PTX. In the 905 patients on dialysis with available data, subtotal and total PTX with or without autotransplantation were performed in 179 (19.8 per cent) and 726 patients (80.0 per cent) respectively. Of those 44 patients with a functioning kidney transplant and available data, 35 underwent subtotal PTX and nine total PTX. For the remaining 228 patients the studies did not report surgical procedures stratified for dialysis or transplanted patients, but the majority underwent subtotal PTX^{42,49}.

Mean levels of preoperative PTH, total calcium and phosphate were 9.0 pmol/l, 2.56 mmol/l and 2.04 mmol/l respectively. In

patients on dialysis and those with a kidney transplant, preoperative or induction mean PTH levels were 170.7 and 54.4 pmol/l respectively.

In four studies ioPTH measurements altered surgical strategies^{21,40,43,51}, in the other 10 studies patients underwent planned surgical procedures and ioPTH levels did not influence the extent of parathyroidectomy or its potential was not reported^{42,44–} ^{47,49,50,52–54}. In 31 patients (2.6 per cent) a change in surgical management was reported^{21,40,43,51}; in two⁴⁰ and six⁴³ patients the extended surgical exploration did not yield additional parathyroid tissue.

Table 2 Patient characteristics and preoperative laboratory values

Study	No. in	Dialysis duration in	SPTX	TPTX	AT	ТХ	PTH (pmol/l)*	Ca total	P (mmol/l)*
	ulalysis	months*						(1111101/1)	
Barczynski et al. ²¹	102 (100)	88.6 (8.6)†	102 (100)	0	0	n.r.	158.7 (80.6)	2.55 (0.04)	n.r.
Chou et al. ⁴⁰	24 (Ì00)	91.9 (32.3)§	0`´	24 (100)	24 (100)	n.r.	136.0 (44.7)́§	2.67 (0.25)§	1.94 (0.48)§
Conzo et al. ⁴⁶	35 (100)	100.8 (50.4)§	35 (100)	0	22 (63)	n.r.	158.6 (85.7)§	2.54 (0.35)§	n.r.
Echenique-Elizondo et al. ⁴⁸	35 (100)	n.r.	0	35 (100)	35 (100)	35 (100)	138.0 (45.0)	n.r.	n.r.
El-Husseini et al. ⁴⁹	57 (76)	52.8 (28.8)	56 (75)	20 (27)‡	20 (27)	n.r.	117.5 (102.8)†	2.49 (0.22)†	1.74 (0.83)†
Kara et al. ⁵⁰	42 (100)	105.6 (46.7)†§	27 (64)	15 (36)	15 (36)	42 (100)	212.0 (78.4)†§	2.62 (0.16)†§	2.07 (0.55)†§
Lorenz et al. ⁵¹	23 (100)	79.2 (44.4)	0`´	23 (ÌOÓ)	0`´	n.r.	152.6 (84.2)	2.50 (0.24)	n.r.
Matsuoka et al. ⁵²	44 (100)	154 (79)	0	44 (100)	44 (100)	44 (100)	86.9 (39.7)	n.r.	n.r.
Müller-Stich et al. ⁵³	17 (65)	n.r.	0	26 (100)	n.r.	24 (92)	143.0 (114.2)†¶	2.8	n.r.
Seehofer et al. ⁴¹	129 (84)	94.8 (68.4) §	123 [§] (80)	13 (8)	<13 (8)**	n.r.	91.4 (60.3)†§	2.61 (0.27)†§	1.8 (0.61)†§
Triponez et al. ⁵⁴	0 (Ò)	n.r.	35 (100)	0	n.r.	35 (100)	32.4 (36.0)	2.79 (0.25)	n.r.
Vulpio et al.43	42 (100)	56.4 (30)	15 (36)	27 (64)	0	42 (100)	131.0 (52.7)†	2.62 (0.37)†	1.77 (0.75)†
Walgenbach and Junginger ⁴⁴	n.r.	n.r.	0	40 (Ì0Ó)	33 (83)	40 (100)	99.5 (54.6)†¶††	n.r.	n.r.
Zhang et al. ⁴⁵	501 (100)	90 (40.1) ¶	0	501 (100)	501 (100)	0	232.8 (113.1) ¶	2.54 (0.22)¶	2.16 (0.52) ¶

Values in parentheses are percentages unless indicated otherwise; *values are mean(s.d.). Parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels are reported as preoperative PTH levels. If no preoperative PTH levels were reported, induction PTH levels are presented (El-Husseini *et al.*⁴⁹, Müller-Stich *et al.*⁴⁷, Vulpio *et al.*⁵¹, Zhang *et al.*⁵³). †Studies reported values within two subgroups which were combined based on the formulae reported in the Cochrane handbook³³. If studies reported non-normally distributed values, these were transformed to mean(s.d.) first. ‡One patient underwent two procedures. §Mean(s.e.m.) was converted to mean(s.d.) based on the formulae reported in the Cochrane handbook³³. ¶Data were transformed from non-normally distributed data (median + interquartile range/range) into mean(s.d.) based on the formulae proposed by Wan *et al.*³⁴. #In the remaining 17 patients—with recurrent disease—the respective hyperfunctioning tissue was removed. **Not clearly reported, but fewer than 13 patients. ††Data were extracted from figures. AT, autotransplantation; Ca, calcium; P, phosphate; SPTX, subtotal parathyroidectomy; TPTX, total parathyroidectomy; TX, thymectomy; n.r., not reported.

Table 3 Risk of bias

Study	Study participation	Study attrition	Prognostic factor measurement	Outcome measurement	Confounders	Statistical analysis	Overall risk of bias
Barczynski et al. ²¹	L	L	М	М	Н	М	М
Chou et al. ⁴⁰	М	L	М	М	Н	М	М
Conzo et al. ⁴⁶	L	L	L	L	Н	М	L
Echenique-Elizondo et al. ⁴⁸	L	L	М	Н	Н	М	Н
El-Husseini et al. ⁴⁹	L	М	М	L	Н	М	М
Kara et al. ⁵⁰	L	L	М	М	Н	М	М
Lorenz et al. ⁵⁰	Н	L	Н	Н	Н	L	Н
Matsuoka et al. ⁵²	М	L	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Müller-Stich et al. ⁵³	М	L	М	L	М	М	М
Seehofer et al. ⁴¹	Н	L	М	L	Н	М	Н
Triponez et al. ⁵⁴	L	L	М	L	М	М	L
Vulpio et al. ⁴³	L	L	L	L	Н	М	L
Walgenbach and Junginger ⁴⁴	Н	М	L	L	Н	М	Н
Zhang et al. ⁴⁵	L	Н	М	L	Н	М	М

L, low risk of bias; M, moderate risk of bias; H, high risk of bias.

Quality assessment

Quality assessment of the included studies is shown in *Table* 3. Three studies had a low risk of bias^{43,46,54}, six a moderate risk of bias^{21,40,45,49,50,53} and five a high risk of bias^{42,44,47,51,52}. Three studies described the ioPTH and postoperative PTH assay in detail (e.g. type of assay, coefficient of variation, manufacturer, reference range), only five reported a coefficient of variation and none reported whether measurements in the laboratories were calibrated and validated (*Table* S2). Potential confounders were poorly reported and definitions for confounders were rarely given. For example, only two studies described a definition of how kidney function was estimated^{53,54}.

Timing of intraoperative parathyroid hormone assays

The timing of ioPTH and the used assays are summarized in Table 4 and Table S2. One study compared two PTH assays⁴⁰. Eleven studies reported an induction PTH value^{21,40,43,45–47,49,51–54}, three used either a preoperative value^{44,50} or value after skin incision and exposure of the thyroid gland but before preparation of the parathyroid glands⁴² as baseline value. Most studies (11 studies) measured ioPTH 10 min after resection of the last parathyroid gland followed by seven studies reporting ioPTH after 20 min. In the 13 studies reporting on patients on dialysis, 10 and six reported ioPTH at T10 and T20, respectively. For the four studies including patients with a functioning renal transplant, three and two reported at T10 and T20 respectively.

In addition, Lorenz and colleagues⁵¹ reported ioPTH values after the resection of each parathyroid gland and Walgenbach and Junginger⁴⁴ reported ioPTH levels 5 min after the exploration and resection of the parathyroid glands on the right side and 5 min after the left side. The only randomized study, by Barczyński and co-workers²¹, allocated patients to the measurement of either six

Study	Preop.	T0 induction	Т5	T10	T15	T20	T30	Postop.	PTH
Patients on dialysis									
Barczynski et al. ²¹		158.7 (80.6)*		33.7 (15.6)*				1 Month	3.0 (0.8)*
Chou et al.40	136.0 (44.7)†	104.7 (55.7)†		18.7 (21.2)†			10.1 (12.1)†	1 Week	2.3 (2.5)†
Conzo et al. ⁴⁶	158.6 (85.7)*†	136.2 (136.6)*†		56.6 (35.1)*†		15.3 (10.3)*†		1 Day	5.0 (5.1)*†
Echenique-	138.0 (45.0)	142.4 (53.6)‡§	93.5 (36.9)‡§	65.1 (20.8)	14.3 (9.2)‡§	8.6 (2.6)‡§	3.6 (0.0)‡§	1 Day	0
Elizondo et al. ⁴⁸	. ,	. ,				. ,		2	
El-Husseini et al. ⁴⁹		161.2 (99.8)‡		16.4 (13.4)‡		11.5 (7.6)‡		1 Week	2.4 (2.9)‡§
Kara et al. ⁵⁰	212.0 (78.4)*†				21.1 (17.4)*†			1 Week	11.5 (27.1)*§
Lorenz et al. ⁵¹	152.6 (84.2)	151.6 (90.6)		12.8 (13.4)		12.1 (14.8)	1.2 (14.3)	1–3 Days	13.6 (28.8)
Matsuoka et al. ⁵²	86.9 (39.7)	78.8	6.2	4.0	2.9		1.7	1 Day	1.4 (1.2)
Müller-Stich et al. ⁵³		172.6 (118.9)*‡		22.1 (16.0)*‡				1–10 Days	5.4 (5.4)*‡
Seehofer et al. ⁴¹	88.6 (55.3)*†				17.7 (17.7)*†			1–3 Days	4.5 (10.3)*†
Vulpio et al. ⁴³		131.0 (52.7)		34.7 (21.6)*‡§		18.8 (7.9)*‡§	15.1 (6.2)*‡§	1 Week	2.8 (3.3)*‡§
Walgenbach and	99.5 (54.6)		21.5 (17.5)*‡§					1 Day	4.9 (10.3)*‡§
Junginger ⁴⁴									
Zhang et al. ⁴⁵		232.8 (113.1)*‡		32.9 (16.0)*‡§		25.7 (13.1)*‡§		1 Day	6.9 (24.0)*‡
Patients with a									
functioning kidney									
transplant									
El-Husseini et al.49		30.2 (19.0)‡		4.9 (4.0)‡		3.6 (1.9)‡		1 Week	7.9 (8.9)‡§
Müller-Stich et al. ⁵³		71.0 (61.8)*‡		3.6 (2.1)*‡				1–10 Days	3.7 (4.6)*‡
Seehofer et al. ⁴¹	53.5 (35.3)*†				9.0 (4.7)*†			1–3 Days	3.7 (9.3)*‡
Triponez et al. ⁵⁴		32.4 (36.0)	14.2 (8.8)‡§	11.9 (7.7)‡§		9.6 (6.7)‡§	8.6 (5.7)‡§	1 Day	3.0 (1.5)

Table 4 Absolute intraoperative parathyroid hormone levels

All values are reported as mean(s.d.); Matsuoka *et al.*⁵² did not report a measure of dispersion. All studies, except for Conzo *et al.*⁴⁶, reported parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels as pg/ml; these were converted into pmol/l (pg/ml times 0.106)¹⁵. *Studies reported values within two subgroups which were combined based on the formulae reported in the Cochrane handbook³³. If studies reported non-normally distributed values, these were transformed to mean(s.d.) first. †Mean(s.e.m.) was converted to mean(s.d.) based on the formula reported in the Cochrane handbook³³. ‡Data were transformed from non-normally distributed data (i.e., mean(i.q.r.)/range) into mean(s.d.) based on the formulas proposed by Wan *et al.*³⁴. [§]Data were extracted from figures. Preop., preoperative; Postop., postoperative; T, time point.



Fig. 2 Aggregate parathyroid hormone levels at different pre-, intra- and postoperative time points in patients on dialysis

a Absolute intraoperative parathyroid hormone (ioPTH) levels. The dashed lines indicate the recommended KDOQI guidelines target range of 15.9–31.8 pmol/l. b Ratio of ioPTH to postoperative parathyroid hormone (PTH). Mean and standard deviations are derived by formulae reported in the Cochrane handbook³³. Number of studies and patients available for analysis at each time point: T0 induction: 9 studies, 1047 patients; T5: 2 studies, 75 patients; T10: 9 studies, 954 patients; T15: 3 studies, 206 patients; T20: 6 studies, 693 patients; T30: 4 studies, 124 patients; postoperative: 9 studies, 1047 patients. In the 14 studies included in this review, levels of PTH were reported on postoperative day $1^{44-47,52,54}$ (6 studies), within the first week^{42,51,53} (3 studies), after 1 week^{40,43,49,50} (4 studies) or after 1 month²¹ (1 study).

Intraoperative parathyroid hormone values in patients on dialysis

Fig. 2 shows the course of the pooled mean(s.d.) of the absolute ioPTH levels in patients on dialysis; individual studies' ioPTH levels at different time points are reported in *Table* 4. Mean(s.d.) induction PTH (103.5(111.1) pmol/l) was well above the KDIGO recommended range. Mean(s.d.) values decreased to within the KDIGO reference range from T10 (33.2(21.1) pmol/l) until T20 (22.2(13.5) pmol/l) and were below the reference range at the first follow-up (5.6(18.6) pmol/l). Between individual studies, ioPTH ranged from 4.0–65.1 pmol/l at T10 and 8.6–25.7 pmol/l at T20. Pooled standard deviations could not be calculated for the study by Matsuoka and colleagues⁴⁷ since no measures of dispersion were reported and could not be extracted. This study was therefore excluded from these analyses.

Pooled mean pre-induction PTH levels were 38.1 times the first postoperative PTH levels (Fig. 2 and Table 5). From T10 onwards— 9.6 times the first postoperative PTH (range between individual studies 0.9–65.1)—weighted mean PTH levels stabilized. At T15, T20 and T30, weighted mean PTH levels were 5.2 (range 1.8– 14.3), 4.1 (range 0.9–8.6) and 4.7 (range 0.1–5.5) times the first postoperative PTH level, respectively.

Intraoperative and postoperative PTH levels, expressed as percentage of induction PTH levels, are shown in *Table S3*. On average, ioPTH at T10, T20 and postoperative were 17.2 (range 5.0– 41.6), 10.6 (range 6.0–14.4) and 3.1 (range 0.7–9.0) per cent of the induction PTH, indicating a drop of 82.8, 89.4 and 96.9 per cent at these respective time points.

Intraoperative parathyroid hormone values in patients with a functioning renal transplant

The course of the pooled mean(s.d.) of the absolute ioPTH levels in patients on dialysis is shown in Fig. S1. In patients with a

functioning kidney transplant induction mean(s.d.) PTH levels were approximately half of the values reported in patients on dialysis (54.4(42.6) *versus* 103.5(111.1) pmol/l respectively) (*Table* 4). At T10, T20 and follow-up these decreased to 8.8(7.3) (range between individual studies 3.6–11.9), 7.6(6.2) (range 3.6–9.6) and 4.3(6.8) (range 3.0–7.9) pmol/l respectively.

During induction, PTH levels were 15.5 times (range 3.8–21.6) the postoperative PTH (Figure S1 and Table 5). Levels were 2.6 (range 0.6–4.0) and 2.3 (range 0.5–3.2) times the postoperative PTH levels at T10 and T20 respectively. In terms of percentage, ioPTH at T10, T20 and postoperative were 16.3 (range 5.0–18.5), 13.9 (range 11.9–14.9) and 9.9 (range 4.6–26.1) per cent of induction PTH levels (Table S3), indicating of a decrease of 83.7, 86.1 and 90.1 per cent respectively.

Meta-analyses of intraoperative parathyroid hormone levels in patients on dialysis

Figs. 3 and 4 show forest plots of ioPTH levels at T10 and T20 in patients on dialysis. The I^2 at T10 and T20 were 97 and 99 per cent respectively. The forest plots show a wide scatter of effect estimates with little overlap in confidence intervals between studies. In the random-effects model, at T10 mean ioPTH levels were 32.1 (95 per cent c.i. 24.3 to 39.9) pmol/l and at T20 15.4 (95 per cent c.i. 7.8–22.9) pmol/l. Point estimates were similar between the fixed-effect and random-effects meta-analyses, however, as expected the 95 per cent confidence intervals of the fixed-effect models were unreasonably narrow since the fixed-effect model assumes the absence of between-study heterogeneity.

Meta-analyses of intraoperative parathyroid hormone levels in patients with a functioning renal transplant

The meta-analyses of patients with a functioning kidney transplant at T10 and T20 are shown in Figs. S2 and S3. In the random-effects meta-analyses, at T10 mean ioPTH levels were 6.7 (95 per cent c.i. 2.3 to 11.1) pmol/l and at T20 6.5 (95 per cent c.i. 6.7 to 12.4) pmol/l. The I^2 at these time points were 93 and 96 per cent respectively.

Table 5 Ratio of intrao	perative parath	vroid hormone to	postoperative	parathyroid	hormone
Tuble 5 Ratio of mittao	perative parati	lyroid normone to	postoperative	pulutiyiola	normone

Study	T0 induction	T0 surgery*	T5	T10	T15	T20	Т30
Patients on dialysis							
Barczynski et al. ²¹	52.3 (101.3)†			11.1 (19.)†			
Chou et al. ⁴⁰	44.9 (22.4)‡	21.7 (19.1)‡		8.0 (8.5)‡			4.4 (4.8)‡
Conzo et al. ⁴⁶	27.1 (26.9)‡†			11.3 (6.9)†‡		3.0 (2.0)++	
Echenique-Elizondo et al. ⁴⁸	142.4 (53.6)§¶	152.3 (59.7)§¶	93.5 (36.9)§¶	65.1 (20.8)	14.3 (9.2)§¶	8.6 (2.0)§¶	3.6 (0.0)§¶
El-Husseini et al. ⁴⁹	66.1 (34.4)§			6.7 (4.6)§		4.7 (2.6)§	
Kara et al. ⁵⁰	1.8 (2.9)++				1.8 (0.6)†‡		
Lorenz et al. ⁵¹	11.1 (3.1)			0.9 (0.5)		0.9 (0.5)	0.1 (0.1)
Matsuoka et al. ⁵²	55.2	7.8	4.4	2.8	2.0		1.2
Müller-Stich et al. ⁵³	31.9 (22.1)†§			4.1 (3.0)†§			
Seehofer et al. ⁴¹	19.7 (5.4)†‡	20.1 (6.3)†‡			3.9 (1.7)†‡		
Vulpio et al. ⁴³	47.3 (15.9)	47.9 (17.5)		12.5 (6.5)†§¶		6.8 (2.4)†§¶	5.5 (1.9)†§¶
Walgenbach and Junginger ⁴⁴	20.1		4.4 (1.7)§†¶				
Zhang et al. ⁴⁵	33.8 (4.7)†§			4.8 (0.7)†§		3.7 (0.5)†§	
Patients with a functioning							
kidney transplant							
El-Husseini et al. ⁴⁹	3.8 (2.1)§			0.6 (0.5)§		0.5 (0.2)§	
Müller-Stich et al. ⁵³	19.3 (13.4)†§			1.0 (0.5)†§			
Seehofer et al. ⁴¹	14.4 (3.8)†‡	15.1 (4.0)†‡			2.4 (0.5)†‡		
Triponez et al. ⁵⁴	21.6 (32.1)		4.8 (5.9)§¶	4.0 (5.2)§¶		3.2 (4.5)§¶	2.9 (3.9)§¶

All values are reported as mean(s.d.); Matsuoka *et al.*⁵² did not report a measure of dispersion. Echenique-Elizondo *et al.*⁴⁸ had a mean postoperative parathyroid hormone (PTH) of 0 pmol/l, this was arbitrarily set at 1.0 pmol/l to calculate the ratio. *TO surgery levels were reported, before resection of last parathyroid gland (Conzo *et al.*⁴⁰), at cut time (Echenique-Elizondo *et al.*⁴⁸), immediately after removal of the last gland (Matsuoka *et al.*⁵²), after skin incision and exposure of the thyroid, but before preparation of the parathyroid glands (Seehofer *et al.*⁴¹), after manipulation (Triponez *et al.*⁵⁴). †Studies reported values within two subgroups which were combined based on the formulae reported in the Cochrane handbook³³. If studies reported values, these were transformed to mean(s.d.) first. ‡Mean(s.e.m.) was converted to mean(s.d.) based on the formulae proposed by Wan *et al.*³⁴. ¹Data were extracted from figures. T, time point.

Study	Weight (fixed) (%)	Weight (random) (%)	Mean IV, Fixed + Random (95% c.i.)	IV, Fixed	Mean + Random	(95% c.i.)	
Barczynski <i>et al.</i> ²¹	13.4	11.8	33.67 (30.64, 36.69)		<u>+</u>		
Chou <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁰	1.7	10.5	18.67 (10.17, 27.16)		— i		
Conzo <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁶	0.9	9.5	56.60 (44.97, 68.23)				
Echenique-Elizondo <i>et al</i> .48	2.6	11.0	65.08 (58.18, 71.99)		0 10		-
El-Husseini <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁹	10.2	11.8	16.43 (12.96, 19.90)		Č.		
Kara <i>et al</i> . ⁵⁰	0.0	0.0	0.00		i,		
Lorenz <i>et al.</i> ⁵¹	4.1	11.4	12.78 (7.31, 18.25)		l.		
Matsuoka <i>et al</i> . ⁵²	0.0	0.0	3.96	1	E E		
Müller-Stich <i>et al.</i> ⁵³	2.1	10.8	22.13 (14.54, 29.72)	_	⊷ <u>i</u>		
Seehofer <i>et al.</i> ⁴¹	0.0	0.0	0.00		i,		
Vulpio <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁴	2.9	11.1	34.71 (28.17, 41.25)				
Walgenbach and Junginger et al.44	0.0	0.0	0.00		C C		
Zhang et al.45	62.2	12.0	32.95 (31.54, 34.35)		Ē.		
Total (fixed effect, 95% c.i.)	100.0	_	31.16 (30.05, 32.27)		•		
Total (random effects, 95% c.i.)	-	100.0	32.10 (24.30, 39.90)			1	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 131.3549$; $\chi^2 =$	247.26, 8 d.f	., $P < 0.01; I^2 =$	97%	0 20) 40	60	80

Mean ioPTH at T10

Fig. 3 Forest plot of intraoperative parathyroid hormone levels at T10 in patients on dialysis

ioPTH, intraoperative parathyroid hormone; IV, inverse variance; T, time.

Study	Weight (fixed) (%)	Weight (random) (%)	Mean IV, Fixed + Random (95% c.i.)	Mean IV, Fixed + Random (95% c.i.)
Barczynski et al.21	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Chou <i>et al</i> . ⁴⁰	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Conzo <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁶	3.2	16.6	15.30 (11.89, 18.71)	
Echenique-Elizondo et al.48	50.9	17.1	8.56 (7.70, 9.42)	
El-Husseini et al.49	9.7	16.9	11.45 (9.48, 13.42)	
Kara <i>et al</i> . ⁵⁰	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Lorenz <i>et al.</i> ⁵¹	1.0	15.4	12.13 (6.06, 18.19)	<u> </u>
Matsuoka <i>et al.</i> ⁵²	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Müller-Stich <i>et al.</i> 53	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Seehofer et al.41	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Vulpio <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁴	6.6	16.9	18.81 (16.43, 21.19)	
Walgenbach and Junginger et al.44	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Zhang <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁵	28.5	17.1	25.75 (24.60, 26.90)	-
Total (fixed effect, 95% c.i.)	100.0	-	14.67 (14.06, 15.28)	↓ •
Total (random effects, 95% c.i.)	_	100.0	15.38 (7.84, 22.92)	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 86.3483$; $\chi^2 = 5$	572.97, 5 d.f.,	, <i>P</i> < 0.01; <i>I</i> ² = 9	9%	0 10 20 30 4

Mean ioPTH at T20

Fig. 4 Forest plot of intraoperative parathyroid hormone levels at T20 in patients on dialysis ioPTH, intraoperative parathyroid hormone; IV, inverse variance; T, time.

Reporting and analysis of potential factors influencing the use and interpretation of intraoperative parathyroid hormone

As reported in *Table 2*, most studies reported on age at surgery (12 studies), sex (12 studies) and time on dialysis (10 of 13 studies). The time from renal transplant to PTX was reported in two out of four studies. Renal function (GFR or creatinine) at the time of surgery was reported in only five studies, of which four described it in patients with a kidney transplant^{42,45,49,53,54}.

Some studies performed subgroup analyses for patients on dialysis *versus* those with a functioning kidney transplant^{42,49,53}, subtoal PTX *versus* total PTX (with or without autotransplantation)^{43,49}, total PTX *versus* total PTX plus autotransplantation⁴⁶, GFR less than 60, and 60 or greater than 60 ml/min⁵⁴, four or less than four observed parathyroid glands 44 and type of ${\rm assay}^{40}.$ None of the included studies performed multivariable analysis.

Discussion

The systematic search of 3086 records identified 14 full-text studies reporting on ioPTH in PTX for rHPT. Most studies were of moderate methodological quality. A total of 1177 patients were included in these studies, of whom 1091 were on dialysis and 86 had a functioning renal transplant at the time of PTX. In patients on dialysis, the pooled ratios between ioPTH at 10 and 20 min and immediate postoperative PTH levels—determined within the first postoperative month—were approximately 10 and 4. This ratio differed between studies, ranging from 0.9 to 65.1 and 0.9 to 8.6. All studies reported a significant drop of ioPTH compared with preoperative PTH. On average, postoperative PTH levels were onetenth of ioPTH at 10 min, one-fifth of ioPTH at 15 min, and onequarter of ioPTH at 20 min in patients on dialysis. After 20 min, ioPTH did not decrease further in those on dialysis. In patients with a renal transplant, T10 and T20 ioPTH levels were 2.6 (range individual studies 0.6-4.0) and 2.3 (range individual studies 0.5-3.2) times the postoperative PTH levels, however, the small number of patients in this subgroup limits the interpretation of these data. Thus, this systematic review indicates that there is a clear correlation between ioPTH and early postoperative PTH in patients undergoing PTX for rHPT. It is suggested that ioPTH 20 min after resection is used for those on dialysis, however, for those with a functioning transplant 20 min after resection might be longer than necessary; additional data are needed for this subgroup.

The present study focused on short-term PTH levels, but the long-term stability of PTH beyond the immediate postoperative period was not investigated. Data on this topic are relatively scarce. One large cohort study using administrative data including 1165 patients undergoing PTX with postoperative PTH data observed stable PTH levels during the first year after PTX⁷. However, PTH levels were analysed monthly at a group level, indicating that PTH was not assessed longitudinally within individual patients. In a randomized controlled trial comparing total PTX versus total PTX plus autotransplantation with 3 years of follow-up, mean PTH levels remained relatively stable within both groups, although standard deviations changed over time and the mean PTH levels differed significantly between the two groups after 3 years⁵⁵. Hence, for ioPTH to be useful, the endocrine surgeon and the nephrologist need not only to know whether ioPTH correlates with PTH at 1 day or 1 month, but also whether PTH levels remain stable after surgery, or if they will rise again after surgery. More observational research is needed on this topic to understand the long-term stability of PTH levels and to identify factors associated with unstable PTH levels.

The lack of clear evidence for an optimal level of PTH after PTX additionally hampers the concept of ioPTH monitoring. Renal transplantation, which generally offers the best outcomes for patients with renal failure, will dramatically impact rHPT by generally lowering levels of PTH⁵⁶. Very low levels of PTH have been associated with poor outcomes, and it is possible that different patients with renal failure have different optimal levels of PTH after PTX^{5,6,57}. For instance, PTX seems to reduce the risk of fractures more in women than in men—it is possible that patients with a higher risk of fractures need lower levels of PTH, whereas patients with cardiovascular risk need higher levels of PTH for optimal outcomes⁵⁸. Future, large-scale observational studies, using levels of PTH after PTX to predict long-term outcomes, such as fractures, cardiovascular disease and death, are needed, to give advice on optimal PTH levels in individual patients.

There has been a heated debate among endocrine surgeons as to which procedure—subtotal or total PTX—offers the best longterm outcomes for patients with rHPT^{10,17,19}. In the light of an increased availability of kidney transplants, a patient-tailored extent of PTX taking patient, disease and future kidney transplant prospects into account is probably needed⁵⁹. Since rHPT is an incurable disease, the probable question is not how much parathyroid tissue to remove, but how much to leave behind in order to tailor the extent of surgery to the individual patient's needs¹⁸. ioPTH, as evidenced by this systematic review, has the potential to aid the surgeon in estimating postoperative levels of PTH, since there was a strong correlation between ioPTH and postoperative levels of PTH. On average, within the first month, levels of PTH were approximately 25 per cent of ioPTH at 20min in patients on dialysis and for those with a functioning transplant almost 40 per cent of ioPTH at 10min. Nevertheless, some surgeons propose single- or double-gland resections for rHPT. Almost all patients studied in this analysis underwent subtotal or total PTX. Therefore, the observed results might not necessarily apply to patients undergoing lesser resections.

Besides the amount of parathyroid tissue to leave behind, the vascularization of the parathyroid glands should be taken into account¹⁸. Further adjuncts that might make parathyroid surgery for rHPT more precise include detection with autofluorescence and parathyroid angiography using indocyanine green^{60,61}. However, evaluating the usefulness of these adjuncts, with or without ioPTH, was beyond the scope of this review. Future studies should preferably investigate the combined use of ioPTH with parathyroid angiography. Both techniques might lead to an accurate estimation of cumulative postoperative PTH levels as well as localization of (ectopic) parathyroid glands and estimation of the individual parathyroid gland function.

It is not uncommon for patients with rHPT to have supernumerary and/or ectopic parathyroid glands^{62,63}. Finding all parathyroid glands can be challenging during PTX. In pHPT, studies indicate that preoperative localization, with ultrasonography, technetium-99m (^{99m}Tc) sestamibi scintigraphy with or without single-photon emission CT, (4D) CT, MRI or PET-CT, aids the surgeon in finding and removing the diseased gland(s). However, in rHPT, preoperative localization has been less useful and thus the ESES consensus reports states that preoperative localization should be restricted to cervical ultrasonography, thereby underscoring the importance of conventional bilateral exploration¹⁷. A single-centre study, including 20 patients undergoing ultrasonography, ^{99m}Tc sestamibi, CT and intraoperative angiography with indocyanine green observed sensitivities of 81.2, 62.3, 85.7 and 91.1 per cent respectively⁶⁰. Preoperative localization was not taken into account in the present study.

The KDIGO recommendation range is for those on dialysis and not necessarily for those with a functioning transplant. Nevertheless, HPT is a common problem in those after transplantation, since 43 per cent suffer from HPT within 2 years after successful kidney transplantation and HPT negatively affects graft survival⁵⁶. Therefore, stratified analyses were performed between those on dialysis and those with a functioning kidney transplant. Due to differences in kidney function, these two groups would be expected to have very different intrinsic kinetics of PTH metabolism. Preoperative PTH levels were lower in patients with a functioning kidney transplant. As observed in the present study, the transplanted group plateaued at 10 min. Although the number of patients with a transplant was relatively low, one could argue that using PTH levels 20 min after resection might be longer than necessary for those with a kidney transplant. In patients with sporadic primary HPT the half-life of PTH is approximately 3 min⁶⁴. In a review including 19 studies studying patients with single-gland HPT, a 75 per cent decrease of ioPTH was observed 10 min after resection in all studies and ioPTH seemed to plateau thereafter⁶⁵. Future studies should assess whether PTH kinetics in those with a functioning kidney transplant behave similarly to those with sporadic primary HPT.

The major strength of the present study is the extensive and comprehensive literature search in multiple databases yielding over 3000 articles. The methodological quality of the included articles was critically assessed and appraised according to predefined quality criteria tailored to the research topic. By applying methods for handling unreported mean or variability summary statistics, the number of included studies was maximized⁶⁶. Despite techniques to obtain summary statistics, nine studies were excluded because of reporting categorized outcomes based on cut-off values to indicate cure *versus* no cure. Multiple analyses—including absolute PTH levels with subsequent random-effects and fixed-effect meta-analysis, ratio of postoperative PTH and percentage of decrease—were performed to investigate the usefulness of ioPTH in multiple respects. Furthermore, stratified analyses were performed for patients on dialysis and for patients with a functioning renal transplant, since induction PTH and ioPTH levels were generally lower in patients with a functioning transplant.

The main limitation of the present study is the lack of IPD. PTH levels were reported differently among studies and in some studies data had to be extracted from figures. With IPD, and data on type and variation of measurement of PTH (that is, coefficient of variation), a multivariable analysis, meta-regression or IPD meta-analysis (IPD-MA) could be performed, yielding information on individual predictive value of ioPTH on postoperative PTH, taking GFR, age, sex and other potential confounders or effect modifiers of ioPTH kinetics into account. Other statistical and clinical advantages of IPD-MA over aggregate data meta-analysis include the verification of originally published data including standardized statistical analysis, use of same measurement units and subsequent measures of dispersion, transformation of skewed data, opportunity to account for missing data and possibility of subgroup analyses⁶⁷. In addition, the majority of studies had a moderate or high risk of bias, mainly due to the lack of detailed information regarding the ioPTH protocol or confounders. The studies included in the review were heterogeneous in terms of design ((randomized controlled) trial versus observational and prospective versus retrospective), study cohorts including mix of surgical procedures, and endpoints. Significant heterogeneity existed between studies-the I^2 at T10 and T20 indicate that over 90 per cent is caused by true study heterogeneity due to real study differences and only up to 10 per cent is caused by chance. The heterogeneity is most likely due to different surgical indications, access to endocrine surgeons and distributions of confounders between studies. In addition, missing data were observed in several studies, but most studies did not report on the presence or absence of missing data. No analyses were performed to assess potential publication bias.

IoPTH can be used to predict early postoperative PTH levels after PTX for rHPT and could therefore potentially be a useful tool. For patients on dialysis, ioPTH levels drop after 10, 15 and 20 min, whereafter they seem to plateau; at 20 min, levels are on average four times the levels at 1 day to 1 month. In patients with a functioning transplant, PTH seems to plateau after 10 min, but more data are needed for this subgroup considering the low number of patients. IPD (meta-)analysis would enable one to account for confounding factors to enable more precise estimations of postoperative PTH levels to tailor the extent of PTX for the individual patient with rHPT. In addition, the lack of clear evidence for an optimal level of PTH after PTX hampers the concept of ioPTH monitoring to enable tailored PTX. More observational research is needed on this topic to understand the long-term stability of PTH levels.

Funding

D.J.v.B. conducted the research while on the Sten Tibblin Fellowship, which was funded by Novartis (Sweden). M.A. was funded by Skåne University Hospital Funds and Anna-Lisa and Sven Lundgren Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Disclosure. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability

Data available on request. The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at BJS Open online.

References

- 1. Fraser WD. Hyperparathyroidism. Lancet 2009;**374**:145–158
- Covic A, Kothawala P, Bernal M, Robbins S, Chalian A, Goldsmith D. Systematic review of the evidence underlying the association between mineral metabolism disturbances and risk of all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality and cardiovascular events in chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2009;24:1506–1523
- Goldsmith D, Kothawala P, Chalian A, Bernal M, Robbins S, Covic A. Systematic review of the evidence underlying the association between mineral metabolism disturbances and risk of fracture and need for parathyroidectomy in CKD. Am J Kidney Dis 2009;53:1002–1013
- Block GA, Klassen PS, Lazarus JM, Ofsthun N, Lowrie EG, Chertow GM. Mineral metabolism, mortality, and morbidity in maintenance hemodialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol 2004;15:2208–2218
- Tentori F, Blayney MJ, Albert JM, Gillespie BW, Kerr PG, Bommer J et al. Mortality risk for dialysis patients with different levels of serum calcium, phosphorus, and PTH: the dialysis outcomes and practice patterns study (DOPPS). Am J Kidney Dis 2008;52: 519–530
- Tentori F, Wang M, Bieber BA, Karaboyas A, Li Y, Jacobson SH et al. Recent changes in therapeutic approaches and association with outcomes among patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism on chronic hemodialysis: the DOPPS study. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2015;10:98–109
- Wetmore JB, Liu J, Do TP, Lowe KA, Ishani A, Bradbury BD et al. Changes in secondary hyperparathyroidism-related biochemical parameters and medication use following parathyroidectomy. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2016;**31**:103–111
- Schneider R, Slater EP, Karakas E, Bartsch DK, Schlosser K. Initial parathyroid surgery in 606 patients with renal hyperparathyroidism. World J Surg 2012;36:318–326
- Lau WL, Obi Y, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Parathyroidectomy in the management of secondary hyperparathyroidism. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2018;13:952–961
- Isaksson E, Ivarsson K, Akaberi S, Muth A, Prütz KG, Clyne N et al. Total versus subtotal parathyroidectomy for secondary hyperparathyroidism. Surgery 2019;165:142–150
- Sharma J, Raggi P, Kutner N, Bailey J, Zhang R, Huang Y et al. Improved long-term survival of dialysis patients after neartotal parathyroidectomy. J Am Coll Surg 2012;214:400–407
- Kestenbaum B, Andress DL, Schwartz SM, Gillen DL, Seliger SL, Jadav PR et al. Survival following parathyroidectomy among United States dialysis patients. Kidney Int 2004;66:2010–2016
- Komaba H, Taniguchi M, Wada A, Iseki K, Tsubakihara Y, Fukagawa M. Parathyroidectomy and survival among

Japanese hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. Kidney Int 2015;**80**:350–359

- Ivarsson KM, Akaberi S, Isaksson E, Reihnér E, Rylance R, Prütz KG et al. The effect of parathyroidectomy on patient survival in secondary hyperparathyroidism. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2015; 30:2027–2033
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD-MBD Update Work Group. KDIGO 2017 clinical practice guideline update for the diagnosis, evaluation, prevention, and treatment of chronic kidney disease–mineral and bone disorder (CKD-MBD). *Kidney Int Suppl* 2017;**7**:1–59
- National Kidney Foundation. KDOQI Clinical Practice Guideline for Hemodialysis Adequacy: 2015 Update. Am J Kidney Dis 2015; 66:884–930
- Lorenz K, Bartsch DK, Sancho JJ, Guigard S, Triponez F. Surgical management of secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease—a consensus report of the European Society of Endocrine Surgeons. Langenbeck's Arch Surg 2015;400: 907–927
- Almquist M, Isaksson E, Clyne N. The treatment of renal hyperparathyroidism. Endocr Relat Cancer 2020;27:R21–R34
- Chen J, Jia X, Kong X, Wang Z, Cui M, Xu D. Total parathyroidectomy with autotransplantation versus subtotal parathyroidectomy for renal hyperparathyroidism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nephrology 2017;22:388–396
- Riss P, Asari R, Scheuba C, Niederle B. Current trends in surgery for renal hyperparathyroidism (RHPT) – an international survey. Langenbeck's Arch Surg 2013;398:121–130
- 21. Barczyński M, Cichoń S, Konturek A, Cichoń W. A randomised study on a new cost-effective algorithm of quick intraoperative intact parathyroid hormone assay in secondary hyperparathyroidism. Langenbeck's Arch Surg 2005;**390**:121–127
- 22. Gasparri G, Camandona M, Bertoldo U, Sargiotto A, Papotti M, Raggio E *et al.* The usefulness of preoperative dual-phase 99mTc MIBI-scintigraphy and io-PTH assay in the treatment of secondary and tertiary hyperparathyroidism. *Ann Surg* 2009;**250**:868–871
- Kaczirek K, Prager G, Riss P, Wunderer G, Asari R, Scheuba C et al. Novel parathyroid hormone (1-84) assay as basis for parathyroid hormone monitoring in renal hyperparathyroidism. Arch Surg 2006;**141**:129–134
- 24. Pitt SC, Panneerselvan R, Chen H, Sippel RS. Secondary and tertiary hyperparathyroidism: the utility of ioPTH monitoring. World J Surg 2010;**34**:1343–1349
- 25. Yamashita H, Gao P, Cantor T, Noguchi S, Uchino S, Watanabe S et al. Comparison of parathyroid hormone levels from the intact and whole parathyroid hormone assays after parathyroidectomy for primary and secondary hyperparathyroidism. Surgery 2004;135:149–156
- Weber T, Zeier M, Hinz U, Schilling T, Büchler MW. Impact of intraoperative parathyroid hormone levels on surgical results in patients with renal hyperparathyroidism. World J Surg 2005;29: 1176–1179
- Wilhelm SM, Wang TS, Ruan DT, Lee JA, Asa SL, Duh QY et al. The American Association of Endocrine Surgeons guidelines for definitive management of primary hyperparathyroidism. JAMA Surg 2016;151:959–968
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med 2009; 151:264–269
- 29. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst Rev 2016;**5**:210

- Hayden JA, van der Windt DA, Cartwright JL, Côté P, Bombardier C. Assessing bias in studies of prognostic factors. Ann Intern Med 2013;158:280–286
- Riley RD, Moons KGM, Snell KIE, Ensor J, Hooft L, Altman DG et al. A guide to systematic review and meta-analysis of prognostic factor studies. BMJ 2019;364:k4597
- Moons KGM, de Groot JAH, Bouwmeester W, Vergouwe Y, Mallett S, Altman DG et al. Critical appraisal and data extraction for systematic reviews of prediction modelling studies: The CHARMS Checklist. PLoS Med 2014;11:e1001744
- 33. Higgins J, Li T, Deeks J. Chapter 6: Choosing effect measures and computing estimates of effect. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandl J, Cumpst M, Li T, Page MJ et al. (eds), Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 60 (updated July 2019) [Internet]. 2019. https://training.cochrane.org/ handbook/current/chapter-6 (accessed 14 November 2019)
- Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. BMC Med Res Methodol 2014;14:135
- Deeks J, Higgins JP, Altman D. Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandl J, Cumpst M, Li T, Page MJ et al. (eds), Cochrane Handbbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 60 (updated July 2019) [Internet]. 2019. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/ current/chapter-10 (accessed 30 March 2020)
- Riley RD, Higgins JPT, Deeks JJ. Interpretation of random effects meta-analyses. BMJ 2011;342:d549
- 37. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;327:557–560
- Lewis S, Clarke M. Forest plots: trying to see the wood and the trees. BMJ 2001;322:1479–1480
- Li G, Zeng J, Tian J, Levine MAH, Thabane L. Multiple uses of forest plots in presenting analysis results in health research. J Clin Epidemiol 2020;117:89–98
- Chou FF, Lee CH, Chen JB, Hsu KT, Sheen-Chen SM. Intraoperative parathyroid hormone measurement in patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. Arch Surg 2002;137:341–344
- 41. Seehofer D, Rayes N, Ulrich F, Müller C, Lang M, Neuhaus P et al. Intraoperative measurement of intact parathyroid hormone in renal hyperparathyroidism by an inexpensive routine assay. Langenbeck's Arch Surg 2001;**386**:440–443
- Seehofer D, Rayes N, Klupp J, Steinmüller T, Ulrich F, Müller C et al. Predictive value of intact parathyroid hormone measurement during surgery for renal hyperparathyroidism. Langenbeck's Arch Surg 2005;**390**:222–229
- Vulpio C, Bossola M, Di Stasio E, Pepe G, Nure E, Magalini S et al. Intra-operative parathyroid hormone monitoring through central laboratory is accurate in renal secondary hyperparathyroidism. Clin Biochem 2016;49:538–543
- Walgenbach S, Junginger T. Intraoperatives parathormonmonitoring bei der halsexploration wegen renalem hyperparathyreoidismus? Chirurg 2002;73:211–216
- 45. Zhang L, Xing C, Shen C, Zeng M, Yang G, Mao H *et al.* Diagnostic accuracy study of intraoperative and perioperative serum intact PTH level for successful parathyroidectomy in 501 secondary hyperparathyroidism patients. Sci Rep 2016;6:26841
- 46. Conzo G, Perna A, Avenia N, De Santo RM, Della Pietra C, Palazzo A et al. Evaluation of the 'putative' role of intraoperative intact parathyroid hormone assay during parathyroidectomy for secondary hyperparathyroidism. A retrospective study on 35 consecutive patients: intraoperative iPTH assay during parathyroidectomy. Endocrine 2012;42:606–611

- Echenique-Elizondo M, Díaz-Aguirregoitia FJ, Amondarain JA, Vidaur F. The pattern of the descent of PTH measured by intraoperative monitoring of intact-PTH in surgery for renal hyperparathyroidism. *Indian J Surg* 2008;**70**:62–67
- Echenique-Elizondo M, Díaz-Aguirregoitia FJ, Amondarain JA, Vidaur F. Intraoperative monitoring of intact PTH in surgery for renal hyperparathyroidism as an indicator of complete parathyroid removal. World J Surg 2005;29:1504–1509
- 49. El-Husseini A, Wang K, Edon A, Saxon D, Lima F, Sloan D et al. Value of intraoperative parathyroid hormone assay during parathyroidectomy in dialysis and renal transplant patients with secondary and tertiary hyperparathyroidism. Nephron 2018;138:119–128
- 50. Kara M, Tellioglu G, Bugan U, Krand O, Berber I, Seymen P et al. Evaluation of intraoperative parathormone measurement for predicting successful surgery in patients undergoing subtotal/ total parathyroidectomy due to secondary hyperparathyroidism. Laryngoscope 2010;**120**:1538–1544
- Lorenz K, Ukkat J, Sekulla C, Gimm O, Brauckhoff M, Dralle H. Total parathyroidectomy without autotransplantation for renal hyperparathyroidism: experience with a qPTH-controlled protocol. World J Surg 2006;30:743–751
- Matsuoka S, Tominaga Y, Sato T, Uno N, Goto N, Katayama A et al. QuiCk-IntraOperative Bio-Intact PTH assay at parathyroidectomy for secondary hyperparathyroidism. World J Surg 2007;**31**:824–831
- 53. Müller-Stich BP, Brändle M, Binet I, Warschkow R, Lange J, Clerici T. To autotransplant simultaneously or not – can intraoperative parathyroid hormone monitoring reliably predict early postoperative parathyroid hormone levels after total parathyroidectomy for hyperplasia? Surgery 2007;**142**:47–56
- 54. Triponez F, Dosseh D, Hazzan M, Noel C, Soudan B, Lokey J et al. Accuracy of intra-operative PTH measurement during subtotal parathyroidectomy for tertiary hyperparathyroidism after renal transplantation. Langenbeck's Arch Surg 2006;**391**:561–565
- 55. Schlosser K, Bartsch DK, Diener MK, Seiler CM, Bruckner T, Nies C et al. Total parathyroidectomy with routine thymectomy and autotransplantation versus total parathyroidectomy alone for secondary hyperparathyroidism results of a nonconfirmatory multicenter prospective randomized controlled pilot trial. Ann Surg 2016;264:745–753
- Lou I, Foley D, Odorico SK, Leverson G, Schneider DF, Sippel R et al. How well does renal transplantation cure hyperparathyroidism? Ann Surg 2015;262:653–659

- 57. Levin A, Bakris GL, Molitch M, Smulders M, Tian J, Williams LA et al. Prevalence of abnormal serum vitamin D, PTH, calcium, and phosphorus in patients with chronic kidney disease: results of the study to evaluate early kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 2007;**71**: 31–38
- Isaksson E, Ivarsson K, Akaberi S, Muth A, Sterner G, Karl-Göran P et al. The effect of parathyroidectomy on risk of hip fracture in secondary hyperparathyroidism. World J Surg 2017;41:2304–2311
- van der Plas W, Kruijff S, Sidhu SB, Delbridge LW, Sywak MS, Engelsman AF. Parathyroidectomy for patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism in a changing landscape for the management of end-stage renal disease. Surgery 2021;169:275–281
- 60. Cui L, Gao Y, Yu H, Li M, Wang B, Zhou T et al. Intraoperative parathyroid localization with near-infrared fluorescence imaging using indocyanine green during total parathyroidectomy for secondary hyperparathyroidism. Sci Rep 2017;**7**:8193
- Vidal Fortuny J, Sadowski SM, Belfontali V, Karenovics W, Guigard S, Triponez F. Indocyanine green angiography in subtotal parathyroidectomy: technique for the function of the parathyroid remnant. J Am Coll Surg 2016;223:e43–e49
- Pattou FN, Pellissier LC, Noël C, Wambergue F, Huglo DG, Proye CAG. Supernumerary parathyroid glands: frequency and surgical significance in treatment of renal hyperparathyroidism. World J Surg 2000;24:1330–1334
- 63. Schneider R, Waldmann J, Ramaswamy A, Fernández ED, Bartsch DK, Schlosser K. Frequency of ectopic and supernumerary intrathymic parathyroid glands in patients with renal hyperparathyroidism: analysis of 461 patients undergoing initial parathyroidectomy with bilateral cervical thymectomy. World J Surg 2011;35:1260–1265
- Leiker AJ, Yen TWF, Eastwood DC, Doffek KM, Szabo A, Evans DB et al. Factors that influence parathyroid hormone half-life: determining if new intraoperative criteria are needed. JAMA Surg 2013;148:602–606
- Carter AB, Howanitz PJ. Intraoperative testing for parathyroid hormone: a comprehensive review of the use of the assay and the relevant literature. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2003;127:1424–1442
- 66. Weir CJ, Butcher I, Assi V, Lewis SC, Murray GD, Langhorne P et al. Dealing with missing standard deviation and mean values in meta-analysis of continuous outcomes: a systematic review. BMC Med Res Methodol 2018;18:25
- Riley RD, Lambert PC, Abo-Zaid G. Meta-analysis of individual participant data: rationale, conduct, and reporting. BMJ 2010; 340:c221