# **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**



# Cardiovascular disease risk and its determinants in people living with HIV across different settings in South Africa

GVT Roozen,<sup>1</sup> AG Vos (D<sup>1,2,3</sup> HA Tempelman,<sup>3,4</sup> WDF Venter,<sup>3</sup> DE Grobbee,<sup>1</sup> K Scheuermaier<sup>5</sup> and K Klipstein-Grobusch<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Julius Global Health, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, <sup>2</sup>Department of Infectious Disease, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, <sup>3</sup>Ezintsha, Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, <sup>4</sup>Ndlovu Care Group, Groblersdal, South Africa, <sup>5</sup>Brain Function Research Group, School of Physiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa and <sup>6</sup>Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

# Objectives

Socio-economic factors and lifestyle are known to differ across geographies and populations, which may result in distinct risk profiles for cardiovascular disease (CVD). This study assessed carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT), a proxy for CVD, and its determinants in two groups of people living with HIV (PLHIV) in two different settings in South Africa.

## Methods

A cross-sectional analysis was conducted comparing data from the Ndlovu Cohort Study in the Limpopo Province (group 1) and from three clinical trials in Johannesburg (group 2). The association between demographics, conventional CVD risk factors, HIV-related factors and CIMT in groups 1 and 2 was analysed with two separate multivariable linear regression models.

## Results

Group 1 consisted of 826 participants (mean age 42.2 years) and mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation) CIMT was 0.626  $\pm$  0.128 mm. In this group, sex, age, body mass index (BMI), cholesterol, glucose and antiretroviral therapy (ART) duration ( $\beta$  = 0.011 mm per 5 years; P = 0.02) were associated with higher CIMT. There were positive interactions between age and ART duration and age and cholesterol. Group 2 consisted of 382 participants (mean age 39.5 years) and mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation) CIMT was 0.560  $\pm$  0.092 mm. In this group, only sex, education level, BMI and cholesterol were associated with higher CIMT, albeit with weaker associations than in group 1.

## Conclusions

Conventional CVD risk factors were the main drivers of CIMT. The impact of some of these risk factors appeared to increase with age. Differences in sample size, age and viral suppression might explain why an effect of ART was observed in group 1 but not in group 2.

Keywords: cardiovascular, carotid intima-media thickness, HIV, South Africa, sub-Saharan Africa

Accepted 31 October 2019

Correspondence: A.G. Vos, Julius Center for Health Sciences and primary care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands. Tel: +3188 75 681 81; fax: +31 8875 680 99; e-mail: A.G.Vos-8@umcutrecht.nl

# Introduction

Infection with HIV has been the main cause of death in South Africa in the past two decades [1]. Current estimates indicate that approximately 7.2 million people live with HIV in South Africa, of whom about 5 million are being treated with combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) [2].

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Noncommunicable diseases, particularly cardiovascular disease (CVD), are now responsible for a substantial burden of disease [3]. In 2016, one in five deaths was due to CVD in South Africa [4]. ART has transformed HIV infection into a chronic treatable condition [5]. This results in a near-normal life expectancy of people living with HIV (PLHIV), who as a result will experience more age-related diseases like CVD [6]. Research from high-income countries suggests that PLHIV are twice as likely to develop CVD and both HIV infection and ART have been shown to be risk factors for the development of CVD [7,8].

The mechanisms by which HIV affects CVD risk are, however, not yet fully understood. HIV infection is associated with activation of the immune system, even in virally suppressed PLHIV [9]. Immune activation is a key factor in the formation of atherosclerosis [10,11]. Furthermore, ART, especially the use of protease inhibitors and efavirenz, may increase CVD risks by causing alterations in lipid and glucose metabolism [12,13]. Finally, conventional CVD risk factors such as obesity, diabetes and hypertension are common in PLHIV in South Africa [14].

Lifestyle, socio-economic factors and access to health care services vary across different regions of South Africa, and the country is experiencing rapid economic change and urbanization. Therefore, the contribution of conventional CVD risk factors to the occurrence of CVD may also differ across settings. Those differences are particularly pronounced when contrasting rural and urban settings. In the last few decades, lifestyle and dietary patterns in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), and particularly in South Africa, have changed substantially [15]. People have become less physically active, while dietary fat and sugar consumption has increased [16]. These lifestyle changes seemingly happen first in urban areas before they occur in rural areas [17]. Urban residents have been shown to have a higher body mass index (BMI), higher blood pressure and more instances of diabetes than rural residents [18]. In South Africa, considerable differences in socio-demographics exist between rural and urban populations; lower educational attainment and high rates of unemployment contribute to lower incomes in rural areas [17]. These differences in socio-economic and CVD risk factors could create distinct CVD risk profiles across the country [19]. In addition, inequity in access to health care may aggravate these differences [20].

Data on the occurrence of clinical CVD in the HIV-infected population in South Africa are scarce. As longitudinal studies are awaited, surrogate markers like carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) can be used to estimate CVD risk. CIMT is associated with the risk of myocardial infarction and stroke [21,22], and it has been used in Caucasian and African populations [23,24]. In studies in high-income countries, higher CIMT values have been found in PLHIV compared to HIV-negative people, even after adjusting for conventional CVD risk factors [25].

This study aimed to assess the burden of CVD risk using CIMT as a surrogate CVD marker in groups of PLHIV from two different settings in South Africa. In addition, we investigated determinants of CIMT in these two groups, focussing on conventional CVD and HIV-specific factors.

# Methods

#### Study setting

In this cross-sectional analysis, we included ART-naïve and treated HIV-positive participants who were  $\geq$  18 years old from a rural and an urban site in South Africa.

The first group of participants was selected from the Ndlovu Cohort Study (NCS), a longitudinal study of which the design and methodology have been described previously [26]. In brief, the NCS is conducted in Elandsdoorn, a rural township in the Limpopo Province, South Africa. Between December 2014 and July 2016, 887 HIVpositive participants were recruited from a public HIV clinic and the community around the HIV clinic. Study approval was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa, and the Limpopo Department of Health Ethics Committee (ethics clearance 227-2014). This group will be referred to as group 1. The majority of participants in this group received an ART regimen according to the South African national guideline. For first-line ART, this regimen consisted of tenofovir, either emtricitabine or lamivudine and efavirenz. Second-line ART included a lopinavir-based regimen with either emtricitabine or lamivudine and either zidovudine or tenofovir.

Participants in group 2 were selected from three randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that recruited participants from public HIV treatment centres in the inner city of Johannesburg, South Africa. This group will be referred to as group 2.

Urban HIV-positive, ART-naïve participants (n = 104) were recruited from an open-label RCT comparing the efficacies of two dolutegravir-containing regimens with that of the current standard of care first-line regimen in ART-naïve participants (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT03122262) [27]. Participants were eligible for enrolment in our study before the initiation of ART or at the latest within 3 months after initiation of ART.

Participants from group 2 on stable first-line ART (n = 94) were selected from an RCT that aimed to demonstrate noninferiority of low-dose stavudine compared to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in the period 2012 to 2016

[28]. Participants were ART-naïve upon enrolment in the RCT. Upon completion of the RCT, all participants were switched to the standard first-line ART regimen consisting of emtricitabine, tenofovir and efavirenz. To be eligible for inclusion in our study, participants had to be on a regimen of tenovofir, lamivudine and efavirenz for at least 2.5 years before enrolment in our study.

Participants from group 2 on second-line ART (n = 197) were selected from an RCT that aimed to demonstrate noninferiority of ritonavir-boosted low-dose darunavir compared with boosted second-line therapy [29]. Participants were virally suppressed on second-line ART for at least 6 months prior to enrolment in the RCT. Their ART regimen consisted of either darunavir or lopinavir with either tenofovir and lamivudine or emtricitabine and zidovudine. They were eligible for inclusion in our study at any moment of follow-up in the RCT. All participants who attended the RCT site in the time frame of our study were approached for participation. Between July 2016 and November 2017, 395 HIV-positive participants were recruited.

Study approval was obtained from the Medical Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa (M160130).

#### Data collection

Data were collected in identical ways at both sites unless stated otherwise. Counsellors or nurses collected information on participants' lifestyle, medical history and medication use (both HIV-related and for other medical conditions). Information on demographics (including employment status and education level), smoking, alcohol use and medical history was assessed with a modified version of the World Health Organization (WHO) STEPs instrument [30]. Participants who reported having quit smoking < 1 month ago were considered current smokers. Students, retirees, disabled people and volunteers were considered unemployed. Information on physical activity was assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire and accordingly patients were categorized as having a high, intermediate or low level of activity [31]. Family history was considered positive for a cardiovascular event when participants reported a history of stroke and/or heart attack in a first-degree family member (parent or sibling) before the age of 60 years. ART duration was the time between the initiation of ART and the inclusion date. Participants were considered ART-naïve when they did not use ART or when they had initiated ART < 3 months prior to inclusion.

Blood pressure was measured with an electronic blood pressure device, in a seated position after 5 min at rest. Blood pressure was measured on both arms and a third measurement was taken on the side with the highest value; subsequently, the average of the last two measurements was used. Waist circumference was measured halfway between the lower rib and the iliac crest during expiration in a standing position.

Blood was taken for measurement of total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, random glucose, viral load and CD4 cell count. For urban participants, laboratory data from the last RCT visit were used. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald formula [32].

Hypertension, abdominal obesity, dyslipidaemia and metabolic syndrome were defined according to the National Cholesterol Education Program, Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) [33]. Accordingly, hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) of > 130 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of > 85 mm Hg or use of antihypertensive medication. Abdominal obesity was defined as a waist circumference  $\geq$  102 cm for men and  $\geq$  88 cm for women. Diabetes mellitus was defined as random glucose of > 11 mmol/L or the use of blood glucose-lowering medication. Dyslipidaemia was defined as elevated triglycerides  $(\geq 1.7 \text{ mmol/L})$ and/or reduced HDL cholesterol (< 1.0 mmol/L for men and < 1.3 mmol/L for women). Metabolic syndrome was defined as at least three of: diabetes, hypertension, elevated triglycerides, lowered HDL cholesterol or abdominal obesity.

For all participants, the Framingham 10-year CVD risk score [34], and the 5-year CVD risk score from the Data Collection on Adverse Effects of Anti-HIV Drugs Study (D:A:D) [35] were calculated. As a consequence of their calibration populations, the Framingham score was only calculated for participants aged  $\geq$  30 years. The D:A:D risk score was only calculated for patients with an ART duration of  $\leq$  10 years. The likelihood of a CVD event occurring in the next 10 or 5 years, respectively, was reported as a percentage.

Ultrasound measurements of CIMT were performed by trained research staff using an ultrasound (Acuson, Siemens, Johannesburg, South Africa) (P300 for the rural site and P500 for the urban site) with a linear probe of  $\geq$  7.0 MHz. End-diastolic images were collected of the right common carotid segment at angles of 90°, 120° and 150° and of the left common carotid segment at angles of 210°, 240° and 270° using a Meijer Carotid Arc [36]. Both the near wall and the far wall were measured. For the carotid bifurcation, similar approaches were used at the best visible angle on both sides while focusing on the far wall only. Performance reviews were carried out to ensure quality of measurements.

Common carotid artery (CCA) and bifurcation (BIF) intima-media thickness (IMT) were measured semi-automatically with the ARTERY MEASUREMENT SYSTEM software (Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden). A uniform reading protocol was used to ensure standardized settings across reading stations. Images were read in batch fashion by trained readers who were blinded to the participant's HIV status.

The following CIMT measurements were reported: the mean IMT of the near and far walls across all angles of the CCA (mean CCA-IMT), the mean of the maximum IMT of the near and the far walls of the CCA across all angles of the CCA (max CCA-IMT), and the mean of the maximum IMT at the far wall of the bifurcation at both sides (max BIF-IMT). Mean CCA-IMT was used as the outcome variable in the multivariable linear regression models.

A mean IMT > 1.0 mm anywhere in one of the measured angles in the far wall of the CCA was considered a plaque [37].

#### Statistical analysis

Groups 1 and 2 were not compared directly in the statistical analysis as they represent different populations. Group 1 had been recruited from the general population, whereas group 2 had been recruited from RCTs. Hence, in group 2, the proportions of ART-naïve participants, participants on first-line ART and participants on secondline ART are not a representation of the ART coverage and treatment regimens in the general HIV-positive population. In addition, the different recruitment strategies may have led to unmeasured confounding between groups. Hence, our statistical analyses were performed for the two groups in two separate models.

Demographics, CVD risk factors and CIMT of both groups are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD) for normally distributed continuous variables, median and interquartile range (IQR) for nonnormally distributed continuous variables and frequency counts with percentages for categorical variables.

For group 1, 52% of the blood pressure readings were regarded as missing data as these measurements had been taken with a nonvalidated blood pressure device. These data were missing completely at random, and therefore we decided not to exclude those observations from the analysis and instead we imputed the missing data. Observations were stratified by HIV and treatment status and multiple imputations were used, following a Markov chain Monte Carlo method to estimate the missing values. Imputations were repeated 20 times generating 20 different data sets. Subsequently, a singly imputed data set was created by selecting a random draw from the 20 data sets for the final imputed blood pressure values.

To assess associations between conventional CVD and HIV-related risk factors and mean CCA-IMT or max BIF-IMT, a multivariable linear regression model was created for the two groups separately. First, we tested the association of all socio-demographic, CVD and HIV-related factors with CIMT in a univariable linear regression model. The association between ART status (i.e. ART-naïve, on firstline ART or on second-line ART) and mean CCA-IMT was tested using the ART-naïve participants as the reference group. Secondly, all variables with a P-value < 0.20 in univariable regression and variables that are known determinants of CIMT (i.e. sex, age, smoking, BMI, SBP, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides [36]) were entered in a multivariable linear regression model. The assumptions of linear regression models were met for all models. Variables were excluded from the multivariable model if multicollinearity occurred.

Thirdly, possible interactions between age and HIV infection duration (per 5 years), age and ART duration (per 5 years), and age and conventional CVD risk factors (smoking, BMI, SBP, blood lipids and glucose) were tested in relation to mean CCA-IMT [38]. First, an analysis restricted to the main effects and the interaction term was tested in a multivariable linear regression. Secondly, the interaction terms with a *P*-value < 0.20 were added all at once to the multivariable model.

A two-sided *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS STATISTICS software, version 25 (IBM, Armonk, NY).

## Results

#### Study characteristics

Of the 887 eligible HIV-positive participants in group 1, CIMT data were available for 826 (93.1%) participants. In group 1, 39.7% (n = 328) were men and the mean ( $\pm$  SD) age was 42.2  $\pm$  10.2 years. The majority of the participants were in a relationship. Approximately 70% had completed at least secondary school. The unemployment rate was > 70%. More than 30% of the participants were overweight/obese, had hypertension or had dyslipidaemia. On average, participants had known about their HIV infection for about 5 years, and 77% of the participants were on ART, of whom 11% were on second-line ART. The median Framingham 10-year CVD risk for patients aged  $\geq$  30 years was 2.9% (IQR 1.6–6.1%) and the median D:A:D: 5-year CVD risk for patients with an ART duration of < 10 years was 0.7% (IQR 0.3–1.5%).

For group 2, of the 395 eligible HIV-positive participants, CIMT data were available for 382 (96.7%)

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Demographics       328 (39.7)       130 (34.0)         Age (years) [mean (SD)]       42.2 (10.3)       39.5 (8.8)         Age category [n (%)]       18–29 years       100 (12.1)       51 (13.4)         30–49 years       530 (64.2)       282 (73.8)         ≥ 50 years       196 (23.7)       49 (12.8)         Partnership status: single [n (%)]       369 (45.0)       140 (36.8)         Highest level of completed education [n (%)]       None or primary school       232 (28.1)       50 (13.3)         Secondary school or matric       542 (65.7)       298 (79.0)         College or university       52 (6.3)       29 (7.7)         Employment status: unemployed [n (%)] <sup>a</sup> 604 (73.1)       126 (33.2)         Lifestyle [n (%)]       Physical activity <sup>b</sup> Low       382 (46.2)       161 (42.3)         Moderate       267 (32.3)       159 (41.7)       High       177 (21.5)       61 (16.0)         Smoking       Uurent smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)       55 (14.4)       Previous smoker       108 (13.1)       25 (6.5)         Never smoked       524 (63.4)       302 (79.1)       Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)       5 (1.3)         Chronic medication use       Image: Status [n (%)]       Status [n (%)]       Status [n (%)]
Male sex $[n (%)]$ 328 (39.7)130 (34.0)Age (years) [mean (SD)]42.2 (10.3)39.5 (8.8)Age category $[n (%)]$ 18–29 years100 (12.1)51 (13.4)30-49 years530 (64.2)282 (73.8) $\geq$ 50 years196 (23.7)49 (12.8)Partnership status: single $[n (%)]$ 369 (45.0)140 (36.8)Highest level of completed education $[n (%)]$ None or primary school232 (28.1)50 (13.3)Secondary school or matric542 (65.7)298 (79.0)College or university52 (6.3)29 (7.7)Employment status: unemployed $[n (\%)]^a$ 604 (73.1)126 (33.2)Lifestyle $[n (\%)]$ Physical activity <sup>b</sup> Low382 (46.2)161 (42.3)Moderate267 (32.3)159 (41.7)High177 (21.5)61 (16.0)SmokingCurrent smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)55 (14.4)Previous smoker108 (13.1)25 (6.5)Never smoked524 (63.4)302 (79.1)Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)5 (1.3)Chronic medication useAntihypertensive medication30 (3.6)29 (7.6)Blood glucose-lowering medication8 (1.0)6 (1.6)Cholesterol-lowering medication8 (1.0)6.0 (2.0-10.0)(years) [median (IQR)]ART -naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)107 (28.0)
Age (years) [mean (SD)]42.2 (10.3)39.5 (8.8)Age category [n (%)]18–29 years100 (12.1)51 (13.4)30-49 years530 (64.2)282 (73.8) $\geq$ 50 years196 (23.7)49 (12.8)Partnership status: single [n (%)]369 (45.0)140 (36.8)Highest level of completed education [n (%)]None or primary school232 (28.1)50 (13.3)Secondary school or matric542 (65.7)298 (79.0)College or university52 (6.3)29 (7.7)Employment status: unemployed [n (%)] <sup>a</sup> 604 (73.1)126 (33.2)Lifestyle [n (%)]Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 126 (33.2)Lifestyle [n (%)]52 (6.3)159 (41.7)High177 (21.5)61 (16.0)Smoking20 (27.7)55 (14.4)Previous smoker108 (13.1)25 (6.5)Never smoked524 (63.4)302 (79.1)Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)5 (1.3)Chronic medication use41.0)6 (1.6)Cholesterol-lowering medication30 (3.6)29 (7.6)Blood glucose-lowering medication8 (1.0)6 (1.6)Cholesterol-lowering medication4.9 (1.2-8.3)6.0 (2.0-10.0)(years) [median (IQR)]ART -naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)107 (28.0)
Age category $[n (\%)]$ $18-29$ years100 (12.1)51 (13.4) $30-49$ years530 (64.2)282 (73.8) $\geq$ 50 years196 (23.7)49 (12.8)Partnership status: single $[n (\%)]$ 369 (45.0)140 (36.8)Highest level of completed education $[n (\%)]$ None or primary school232 (28.1)50 (13.3)Secondary school or matric542 (65.7)298 (79.0)College or university52 (6.3)29 (7.7)Employment status: unemployed $[n (\%)]^a$ 604 (73.1)126 (33.2)Lifestyle $[n (\%)]$ Physical activity <sup>b</sup> $U$ Low382 (46.2)161 (42.3)Moderate267 (32.3)159 (41.7)High177 (21.5)61 (16.0)Smoking $U$ $U$ Current smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)55 (14.4)Previous smoker108 (13.1)25 (6.5)Never smoked524 (63.4)302 (79.1)Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)5 (1.3)Chronic medication use $M$ $M$ (1.0)6 (1.6)Cholesterol-lowering medication8 (1.0)6 (1.6)Cholesterol-lowering medication $N$ (0.2) $D$ (2.6)HIV-related factors $K$ $K$ nown duration of HIV infection $4.9$ (1.2-8.3) $6.0$ (2.0-10.0)(years) [median (IQR)] $A$ RT -naïve <sup>6</sup> 192 (23.2)107 (28.0)
$\begin{array}{c cccc} 18-29 \ years & 100 \ (12.1) & 51 \ (13.4) \\ 30-49 \ years & 530 \ (64.2) & 282 \ (73.8) \\ \geq 50 \ years & 196 \ (23.7) & 49 \ (12.8) \\ \end{array}$ Partnership status: single [ $n \ (\%)$ ] $369 \ (45.0) & 140 \ (36.8) \\ $ Highest level of completed education [ $n \ (\%)$ ] $\\ $ None or primary school $232 \ (28.1) & 50 \ (13.3) \\ $ Secondary school or matric $542 \ (65.7) & 298 \ (79.0) \\ College or university & 52 \ (6.3) & 29 \ (7.7) \\ $ Employment status: unemployed [ $n \ (\%)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $604 \ (73.1) & 126 \ (33.2) \\ $ Lifestyle [ $n \ (\%)$ ] $\\ $ Physical activity <sup>b</sup> \\ Low & 382 \ (46.2) & 161 \ (42.3) \\ Moderate & 267 \ (32.3) & 159 \ (41.7) \\ High & 177 \ (21.5) & 61 \ (16.0) \\ \\ Smoking \\ Current smoker^{c} & 194 \ (23.5) & 55 \ (14.4) \\ Previous smoker & 108 \ (13.1) & 25 \ (6.5) \\ Never smoked & 524 \ (63.4) & 302 \ (79.1) \\ \\ Heavy alcohol drinker^{d} & 20 \ (2.7) & 5 \ (1.3) \\ \\ Chronic medication use \\ \\ Antihypertensive medication & 30 \ (3.6) & 29 \ (7.6) \\ \\ Blood glucose-lowering medication & 8 \ (1.0) & 6 \ (1.6) \\ \\ Cholesterol-lowering medication & 8 \ (1.0) & 6 \ (1.6) \\ \\ Cholesterol-lowering medication & 4.9 \ (1.2-8.3) & 6.0 \ (2.0-10.0) \\ \\ (years) \ [median \ (10R)] \\ \\ ART \ status \ [ $n \ (\%)$ ] \\
30-49 years       530 (64.2)       282 (73.8)         ≥ 50 years       196 (23.7)       49 (12.8)         Partnership status: single [n (%)]       369 (45.0)       140 (36.8)         Highest level of completed education [n (%)]       None or primary school       232 (28.1)       50 (13.3)         Secondary school or matric       542 (65.7)       298 (79.0)       College or university       52 (6.3)       29 (7.7)         Employment status: unemployed [n (%)] <sup>a</sup> 604 (73.1)       126 (33.2)       Lifestyle [n (%)]         Physical activity <sup>b</sup> Low       382 (46.2)       161 (42.3)         Moderate       267 (32.3)       159 (41.7)         High       177 (21.5)       61 (16.0)         Smoking       Urrent smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)       55 (14.4)         Previous smoker       108 (13.1)       25 (6.5)       Never smoked       524 (63.4)       302 (79.1)         Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)       5 (1.3)       Chronic medication use       January       29 (7.6)         Blood glucose-lowering medication       30 (3.6)       29 (7.6)       Blood glucose-lowering medication       6.0 (2.0-10.0)         (years) [median (IQR)]       Known duration of HIV infection       4.9 (1.2-8.3)       6.0 (2.0-10.0)       (years) [median (IQR)]
≥ 50 years 196 (23.7) 49 (12.8)  Partnership status: single [n (%)] 369 (45.0) 140 (36.8)  Highest level of completed education [n (%)]  None or primary school 232 (28.1) 50 (13.3)  Secondary school or matric 542 (65.7) 298 (79.0)  College or university 52 (6.3) 29 (7.7)  Employment status: unemployed [n (%)]a 604 (73.1) 126 (33.2)  Lifestyle [n (%)]  Physical activityb  Low 382 (46.2) 161 (42.3)  Moderate 267 (32.3) 159 (41.7)  High 177 (21.5) 61 (16.0)  Smoking  Current smokerc 194 (23.5) 55 (14.4)  Previous smoker 108 (13.1) 25 (6.5)  Never smoked 524 (63.4) 302 (79.1)  Heavy alcohol drinkerd 20 (2.7) 5 (1.3)  Chronic medication use  Antihypertensive medication 30 (3.6) 29 (7.6)  Blood glucose-lowering medication 8 (1.0) 6 (1.6)  Cholesterol-lowering medication 4.9 (1.2-8.3) 6.0 (2.0-10.0)  (years) [median (IQR)]  ART status [n (%)]  ART -naïvee 192 (23.2) 107 (28.0)
Partnership status: single $[n (\%)]$ 369 (45.0)       140 (36.8)         Highest level of completed education $[n (\%)]$ None or primary school       232 (28.1)       50 (13.3)         Secondary school or matric       542 (65.7)       298 (79.0)       College or university       52 (6.3)       29 (7.7)         Employment status: unemployed $[n (\%)]^a$ 604 (73.1)       126 (33.2)         Lifestyle $[n (\%)]$ Physical activity <sup>b</sup> If (42.3)       Moderate         Low       382 (46.2)       161 (42.3)         Moderate       267 (32.3)       159 (41.7)         High       177 (21.5)       61 (16.0)         Smoking       Current smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)       55 (14.4)         Previous smoker       108 (13.1)       25 (6.5)         Never smoked       524 (63.4)       302 (79.1)         Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)       5 (1.3)         Chronic medication use       X       X         Antihypertensive medication       30 (3.6)       29 (7.6)         Blood glucose-lowering medication       8 (1.0)       6 (1.6)         Cholesterol-lowering medication       0 (0.0)       10 (2.6)         HIV-related factors       Known duration of HIV infection       4.9 (1.2-8.3)       6.0 (2.0-10
Highest level of completed education $[n (\%)]$ None or primary school232 (28.1)50 (13.3)Secondary school or matric542 (65.7)298 (79.0)College or university52 (6.3)29 (7.7)Employment status: unemployed $[n (\%)]^a$ 604 (73.1)126 (33.2)Lifestyle $[n (\%)]$ Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 126 (32.3)159 (41.7)High267 (32.3)159 (41.7)High177 (21.5)61 (16.0)SmokingCurrent smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)55 (14.4)Previous smoker108 (13.1)25 (6.5)Never smoked524 (63.4)302 (79.1)Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)5 (1.3)Chronic medication use
None or primary school         232 [28.1]         50 [13.3]           Secondary school or matric         542 (65.7)         298 (79.0)           College or university         52 (6.3)         29 (7.7)           Employment status: unemployed $[n (\%)]^a$ 604 (73.1)         126 (33.2)           Lifestyle $[n (\%)]$ Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 126 (33.2)           Lifestyle $[n (\%)]$ Noderate         267 (32.3)         159 (41.7)           High         177 (21.5)         61 (16.0)         Smoking           Current smoker         194 (23.5)         55 (14.4)           Previous smoker         108 (13.1)         25 (6.5)           Never smoked         524 (63.4)         302 (79.1)           Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)         5 (1.3)           Chronic medication use
Secondary school or matric       542 (65.7)       298 (79.0)         College or university       52 (6.3)       29 (7.7)         Employment status: unemployed $[n (%)]^a$ 604 (73.1)       126 (33.2)         Lifestyle $[n (%)]$ Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 126 (33.2)         Low       382 (46.2)       161 (42.3)         Moderate       267 (32.3)       159 (41.7)         High       177 (21.5)       61 (16.0)         Smoking       Urrent smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)       55 (14.4)         Previous smoker       108 (13.1)       25 (6.5)         Never smoked       524 (63.4)       302 (79.1)         Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)       5 (1.3)         Chronic medication use       Antihypertensive medication       30 (3.6)       29 (7.6)         Blood glucose-lowering medication       8 (1.0)       6 (1.6)         Cholesterol-lowering medication       0 (0.0)       10 (2.6)         HIV-related factors       Known duration of HIV infection       4.9 (1.2-8.3)       6.0 (2.0-10.0)         (years) [median (IQR)]       ART + naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)       107 (28.0)
Employment status: unemployed $[n (\%)]^a$ 604 (73.1)       126 (33.2)         Lifestyle $[n (\%)]$ Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 126 (32.2)         Low       382 (46.2)       161 (42.3)         Moderate       267 (32.3)       159 (41.7)         High       177 (21.5)       61 (16.0)         Smoking       02 (vrent smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)       55 (14.4)         Previous smoker       108 (13.1)       25 (6.5)         Never smoked       524 (63.4)       302 (79.1)         Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)       5 (1.3)         Chronic medication use       41.0)       6 (1.6)         Antihypertensive medication       30 (3.6)       29 (7.6)         Blood glucose-lowering medication       8 (1.0)       6 (1.6)         Cholesterol-lowering medication       0 (0.0)       10 (2.6)         HIV-related factors       Known duration of HIV infection       4.9 (1.2-8.3)       6.0 (2.0-10.0)         (years) [median (IQR)]       ART + naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)       107 (28.0)
Lingboynetry Satus, unemployed (n (90))       604 (73.1)       126 (33.2)         Lifestyle $[n (90)]$ Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 126 (32.3)       159 (41.7)         Low       382 (46.2)       161 (42.3)       Moderate       267 (32.3)       159 (41.7)         High       177 (21.5)       61 (16.0)       Smoking       0000       01 (16.0)       Smoking         Current smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)       55 (14.4)       97 (21.5)       61 (16.0)         Smoking       0000       108 (13.1)       25 (6.5)       0000 (27.7)       5 (1.3)         Chronic medication use       524 (63.4)       302 (79.1)       10000 (27.7)       5 (1.3)         Chronic medication use       Antihypertensive medication       30 (3.6)       29 (7.6)       8       10.0)       6 (1.6)       0.00       10 (2.6)         HIV-related factors       Known duration of HIV infection       4.9 (1.2-8.3)       6.0 (2.0-10.0)       (years) [median (10R)]         ART status [n (%)]       ART-naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)       107 (28.0)
Physical activity <sup>b</sup> Low       382 (46.2)       161 (42.3)         Moderate       267 (32.3)       159 (41.7)         High       177 (21.5)       61 (16.0)         Smoking       0       0         Current smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)       55 (14.4)         Previous smoker       108 (13.1)       25 (6.5)         Never smoked       524 (63.4)       302 (79.1)         Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)       5 (1.3)         Chronic medication use
Low       382 (46.2)       161 (42.3)         Moderate       267 (32.3)       159 (41.7)         High       177 (21.5)       61 (16.0)         Smoking       0       0         Current smoker <sup>e</sup> 194 (23.5)       55 (14.4)         Previous smoker       108 (13.1)       25 (6.5)         Never smoked       524 (63.4)       302 (79.1)         Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)       5 (1.3)         Chronic medication use
Moderate         267 (32.3)         159 (41.7)           High         177 (21.5)         61 (16.0)           Smoking             Current smoker <sup>c</sup> 194 (23.5)         55 (14.4)           Previous smoker         108 (13.1)         25 (6.5)           Never smoked         524 (63.4)         302 (79.1)           Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)         5 (1.3)           Chronic medication use             Antihypertensive medication         30 (3.6)         29 (7.6)           Blood glucose-lowering medication         8 (1.0)         6 (1.6)           Cholesterol-lowering medication         0 (0.0)         10 (2.6)           HIV-related factors             Known duration of HIV infection         4.9 (1.2-8.3)         6.0 (2.0-10.0)           (years) [median (IQR)]             ART status [n (%)]             ART-naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)         107 (28.0)
High177 (21.5)61 (16.0)Smoking194 (23.5)55 (14.4)Previous smoker194 (23.5)55 (14.4)Previous smoker108 (13.1)25 (6.5)Never smoked524 (63.4)302 (79.1)Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)5 (1.3)Chronic medication use30 (3.6)29 (7.6)Blood glucose-lowering medication8 (1.0)6 (1.6)Cholesterol-lowering medication0 (0.0)10 (2.6)HIV-related factorsKnown duration of HIV infection4.9 (1.2-8.3)6.0 (2.0-10.0)(years) [median (IQR)]ART status [n (%)]ART-naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)107 (28.0)
Smoking         194 (23.5)         55 (14.4)           Previous smoker         108 (13.1)         25 (6.5)           Never smoked         524 (63.4)         302 (79.1)           Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)         5 (1.3)           Chronic medication use         5100 (3.6)         29 (7.6)           Blood glucose-lowering medication         30 (3.6)         29 (7.6)           Blood glucose-lowering medication         8 (1.0)         6 (1.6)           Cholesterol-lowering medication         0 (0.0)         10 (2.6)           HIV-related factors         Known duration of HIV infection         4.9 (1.2-8.3)         6.0 (2.0-10.0)           (years) [median (IQR)]         ART status [n (%)]         ART-naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)         107 (28.0)
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Current\ smoker}^{\rm c} & 194\ (23.5) & 55\ (14.4) \\ {\rm Previous\ smoker} & 108\ (13.1) & 25\ (6.5) \\ {\rm Never\ smoked} & 524\ (63.4) & 302\ (79.1) \\ {\rm Heavy\ alcohol\ drinker}^{\rm d} & 20\ (2.7) & 5\ (1.3) \\ {\rm Chronic\ medication\ use} & \\ {\rm Antihypertensive\ medication} & 30\ (3.6) & 29\ (7.6) \\ {\rm Blood\ glucose-lowering\ medication} & 8\ (1.0) & 6\ (1.6) \\ {\rm Cholesterol-lowering\ medication} & 8\ (1.0) & 6\ (1.6) \\ {\rm Cholesterol-lowering\ medication} & 0\ (0.0) & 10\ (2.6) \\ \\ {\rm HIV-related\ factors} & \\ {\rm Known\ duration\ of\ HIV\ infection} & 4.9\ (1.2-8.3) & 6.0\ (2.0-10.0) \\ {\rm (years)\ [median\ (IQR)]} & \\ {\rm ART\ status\ [n\ (%)]} & \\ {\rm ART\ -naïve^e} & 192\ (23.2) & 107\ (28.0) \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Never smoked         524 (63.4)         302 (79.1)           Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)         5 (1.3)           Chronic medication use         300 (3.6)         29 (7.6)           Antihypertensive medication         30 (3.6)         29 (7.6)           Blood glucose-lowering medication         8 (1.0)         6 (1.6)           Cholesterol-lowering medication         0 (0.0)         10 (2.6)           HIV-related factors         Known duration of HIV infection         4.9 (1.2-8.3)         6.0 (2.0-10.0)           (years) [median (IQR)]         ART status [n (%)]         107 (28.0)
Heavy alcohol drinker <sup>d</sup> 20 (2.7)       5 (1.3)         Chronic medication use       30 (3.6)       29 (7.6)         Antihypertensive medication       30 (3.6)       29 (7.6)         Blood glucose-lowering medication       8 (1.0)       6 (1.6)         Cholesterol-lowering medication       0 (0.0)       10 (2.6)         HIV-related factors       Known duration of HIV infection       4.9 (1.2-8.3)       6.0 (2.0-10.0)         (years) [median (IQR)]       ART status [n (%)]       192 (23.2)       107 (28.0)
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Chronic medication use} \\ \mbox{Antihypertensive medication} & 30 (3.6) & 29 (7.6) \\ \mbox{Blood glucose-lowering medication} & 8 (1.0) & 6 (1.6) \\ \mbox{Cholesterol-lowering medication} & 0 (0.0) & 10 (2.6) \\ \mbox{HIV-related factors} \\ \mbox{Known duration of HIV infection} & 4.9 (1.2-8.3) & 6.0 (2.0-10.0) \\ \mbox{(years) [median (IQR)]} \\ \mbox{ART status } [n (%)] \\ \mbox{ART -naïve}^e & 192 (23.2) & 107 (28.0) \\ \end{array}$
Antihypertensive medication30 (3.6)29 (7.6)Blood glucose-lowering medication8 (1.0)6 (1.6)Cholesterol-lowering medication0 (0.0)10 (2.6)HIV-related factorsKnown duration of HIV infection4.9 (1.2-8.3)6.0 (2.0-10.0)(years) [median (IQR)]ART status [ $n$ (%)]192 (23.2)107 (28.0)
Blood glucose-lowering medication8 (1.0)6 (1.6)Cholesterol-lowering medication0 (0.0)10 (2.6)HIV-related factorsKnown duration of HIV infection4.9 (1.2-8.3)6.0 (2.0-10.0)(years) [median (IQR)]ART status [ $n$ (%)]ART-naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)107 (28.0)
Cholesterol-lowering medication0 (0.0)10 (2.6)HIV-related factors4.9 (1.2-8.3)6.0 (2.0-10.0)Known duration of HIV infection4.9 (1.2-8.3)6.0 (2.0-10.0)(years) [median (IQR)]ART status [ $n$ (%)]4.9 (1.2-8.3)ART status [ $n$ (%)]192 (23.2)107 (28.0)
HIV-related factors         Known duration of HIV infection       4.9 (1.2-8.3)       6.0 (2.0-10.0)         (years) [median (IQR)]       ART status [n (%)]         ART-naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2)       107 (28.0)
Known duration of Hiv infection         4.9 (1.2-8.3)         6.0 (2.0-10.0)           (years) [median (IQR)]         ART status [n (%)]         192 (23.2)         107 (28.0)
(years) [median (luk)] ART status [ <i>n</i> (%)] ART-naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2) 107 (28.0)
ART-naïve <sup>e</sup> 192 (23.2) 107 (28.0)
Ant-naive 152 (23.2) 107 (20.0)
On first-line ART 564 (68 3) 84 (22 0)
On second-line ART 70 (8.5) 191 (50.0)
Total ART duration 5.3 (2.4-8.3) 6.0 (3.5-9.0)
(first + second lines)
(years) [median (IQR)]
Duration of first-line ART 4.9 (2.0-8.1) 4.0 (3.0-7.0)
(years) [median (IQR)]
Duration of second-line ART 3.4 (1.3-4.6) 1.0 (0.3-4.0)
(years) [median (IQR)]
Last CD4 cell count (cells/µL) 472 (323-658) 458 (294-693
[median (IQR)]
Last CD4 cell count 86 (10.6) 34 (10.1)
$< 200 \text{ cells/}\mu\text{L} [n (\%)]$
Last viral load of patients
(01  AKI  [7] (%)]
$\sim$ 50 copies/mL 509 (80.3) 246 (93.5) 50–1000 copies/mL 52 (8.2) 7 (2.7)
> 1000  copies/ml 73 (11.5) 10 (3.8)
Anthropometric measurements
BMI $(kg/m^2)$ [mean (SD)] 23.5 (5.7) 26.6 (6.2)
BMI category [ <i>n</i> (%)]
Underweight: < 18.5 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 133 (16.1) 12 (3.1)
Normal: 18.5–25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 437 (53.0) 176 (46.1)
Overweight: > 25–30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 145 (17.6) 94 (24.6)
Obese: > 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 110 (13.3) 100 (26.2)
Abdominal obesity [n (%)] <sup>f</sup> 251 (30.4) 176 (46.2)
Cardiovascular measurements [mean (SD)]
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) 119 (22) 122 (18)
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) 75 (14) 78 (11)
Heart rate (beats/min) 75 (13) 71 (11)

Table	1	Noncomparative	presentation	of	characteristics	of	both
groups	5						

 Table 1 (Continued)

	Group 1 ( <i>n</i> = 826)	Group 2 ( <i>n</i> = 382)
Biochemical measurements [median (IQR)]		
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.2 (3.6-4.9)	4.4 (3.7-5.1)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.4 (1.2-1.6)	1.3 (1.0-1.5)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.2 (1.7-2.8)	2.6 (2.1-3.2)
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.0 (0.7-1.4)	1.1 (0.8-1.6)
Glucose (mmol/L)	4.7 (4.3-5.1)	4.6 (4.3-5.0)
Cardiovascular risk factors [n (%)]		
Hypertension <sup>g</sup>	274 (33.2)	118 (31.0)
Diabetes <sup>h</sup>	11 (1.3)	7 (2.0)
Dyslipidaemia <sup>i</sup>	304 (37.0)	180 (49.5)
Metabolic syndrome <sup>j</sup>	83 (10.1)	62 (17.1)
Positive family history <sup>k</sup>	11 (1.3)	27 (7.1)
CVD prediction models [median (IQR)]		
Framingham <sup>1</sup> , 10-year CVD risk (%)	2.9 (1.6-6.1)	2.5 (1.5-4.3)
D:A:D <sup>m</sup> , 5-year CVD risk (%)	0.7 (0.3-1.5)	0.6 (0.3-1.1)
APT antiretroviral therapy: BML body r	mass index: CVD	oardiovasoula

ARI, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; D:A:D, Data Collection of Adverse Events on Anti-HIV Drugs; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IQR, interquartile range; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SD, standard deviation. <sup>a</sup>Also includes students, retirees, disabled people and volunteers (rural, n = 29; urban, n = 6).

<sup>b</sup>Based on the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ).

 $^c\text{Participants}$  who quit smoking <1 month ago were also considered current smokers.  $^d\text{Heavy}$  alcohol drinker:  $\geq 5$  days of drinking a week in the past month.

<sup>e</sup>Participants who initiated ART within 3 months before inclusion were also considered ART-naïve.

<sup>f</sup>Abdominal obesity: waist circumference  $\geq$  102 cm for men and  $\geq$  88 cm for women.

 $^9\text{Hypertension: systolic blood pressure > 130 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure > 85 mm Hg and/or use of antihypertensive medication.$ "Diabetes mellitus: random glucose > 11 mmol/L and/or using blood

"Diabetes mellitus: random glucose > 11 mmol/L and/or using blood glucose-lowering medication.

Dyslipidaemia: elevated triglycerides ( $\geq$  1.7 mmol/L) and/or reduced HDL cholesterol (< 1.0 mmol/L for men and < 1.3 mmol/L for women). <sup>j</sup>Metabolic syndrome: at least three out of: diabetes, hypertension, ele-

vated triglycerides, lowered HDL cholesterol or abdominal obesity. <sup>k</sup>Calculated for participants aged  $\geq$  30 years.

Positive family history: self-reported stroke and/or heart attack of parent and/or sibling before the age of 60 years.

<sup>m</sup>Calculated for participants with an ART duration of a maximum of 10 years.

participants. In total, 34% (n = 130) were men and the mean ( $\pm$  SD) age was 39.5  $\pm$  8.8 years. More than 85% had completed at least secondary school. About 50% of the participants were overweight or obese. Thirty-one per cent of the participants had hypertension and 50% had dyslipidaemia. On average, participants had known about their HIV infection for 6 years and 72% were on ART, of whom 69% were on second-line ART. The median Framingham 10-year CVD risk for patients aged  $\geq$  30 years was 2.5% (IQR 1.5–4.3%) and the median D:A:D: 5-year CVD risk for patients with an ART duration of < 10 years was 0.6% (IQR 0.3–1.1%) (Table 1).

## CIMT

Participants from group 1 had a mean ( $\pm$  SD) CCA-IMT of 0.626  $\pm$  0.128 mm and 39 participants (5%) had a

plaque in the common carotid artery. In group 2, the mean ( $\pm$  SD) CCA-IMT was 0.527  $\pm$  0.092 mm and six participants (2%) had a plaque in the CCA (Table 2).

In group 1, a higher mean CCA-IMT was associated with male sex, older age, longer ART duration, higher BMI, higher total cholesterol, and higher glucose following multivariable analysis. HDL cholesterol was inversely associated with CCA-IMT (Table 3). In group 2, older age, higher education level, higher BMI and higher total cholesterol were associated with a higher mean CCA-IMT in multivariable analysis (Table 4).

Table 5 shows the contribution of the interaction terms that were added to the multivariable models. In group 1, there was a significant interaction between age and ART duration (per 5 years) ( $\beta = 0.002 \text{ mm}$ ; P < 0.001), age and total cholesterol ( $\beta = 0.002 \text{ mm}$ ; P < 0.001), and age and HDL cholesterol ( $\beta = -0.003 \text{ mm}$ ; P < 0.001) in relation to mean CCA-IMT. In group 2, the only significant interaction was between age and total cholesterol ( $\beta = 0.001 \text{ mm}$ ; P = 0.001 mm; P = 0.01), whereby the effect of total cholesterol on CCA-IMT was accentuated with age.

In a sensitivity analysis in group 1, only participants with a real blood pressure measurement were included (n = 390; 47.2%). Results had the same magnitude and direction, except for the contribution of SBP, as this showed no association in the full data set, but showed a trend towards an association between higher SBP and a higher mean CCA-IMT in the sensitivity analysis ( $\beta = 0.001$ ; P = 0.05).

All analyses were repeated using the maximum thickness of the bulb far wall as outcome. Results had the same magnitude and direction.

## Discussion

In this analysis of PLHIV in South Africa, the main drivers of mean CCA-IMT in both group 1 and group 2 were conventional CVD risk factors, and the effect of these conventional risk factors increased with age, especially in participants from group 1. In group 1, longer duration of ART use was associated with higher CCA-IMT. No HIV-

 
 Table 2 Noncomparative presentation of carotid intima-media thickness in both groups

Intima-media thickness outcomes	Group 1	Group 2
Mean CCA (mm) [mean (SD)] Max CCA (mm) [mean (SD)] Max BIF (mm) [mean (SD)] Plaque† [n (%)]	0.626 (0.128) 0.712 (0.157) 0.870 (0.249) 39 (4.7)	0.560 (0.092) 0.644 (0.113) 0.797 (0.287) 6 (1.6)

BIF, carotid artery bifurcation; CCA, common carotid artery; SD, standard deviation; Max, maximum; Min, minimum. related factors were associated with mean CCA-IMT in group 2.

The finding that conventional CVD risk factors contribute significantly to mean CCA-IMT is in line with research from both high-income countries and countries in SSA [25,39]. It is surprising that we did not find a correlation between blood pressure and CIMT. Blood pressure is known to be lower in PLHIV compared to the HIV-negative population [40]. It could be that the contribution of CVD risk factors to CIMT differs between HIV-positive and HIV-negative populations. In a sensitivity analysis in group 1, using only actual blood pressure outcomes (excluding participants with imputed values), we did find a trend towards an association between higher SBP and higher CIMT. This sensitivity analysis may have lacked power to find a significant association, and hence the high proportion of participants with imputed values in the full analysis might have obscured a real association between blood pressure and CCA-IMT in this group.

We observed that the effects of total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol on CCA-IMT increased with age, in line with recent results from a meta-analysis by Hanna *et al.*, on determinants of CIMT in an HIV-positive population. In addition, Hannah *et al.* reported that the influence of SBP on CIMT also increased with age [38], a finding that we could not confirm in our analysis.

In group 1, longer ART duration was associated with higher mean CCA-IMT in an adjusted analysis. In addition, there was a positive interaction between age and ART duration in their effects on mean CCA-IMT, which implies that the effect of ART on CIMT increases with age. There is no consensus in the literature yet regarding the effects of HIV and ART on CIMT, with some studies reporting that HIV infection and/or ART is associated with a higher CIMT [41-43], whereas other studies did not find an effect of HIV infection or ART on CIMT [39,44-46]. Possibly, an HIV-related increase in CIMT only occurs after years of living with HIV. This is supported by the study of Fourie et al. [47], who reported that CIMT was similar in HIV-positive and HIV-negative participants, despite the fact that HIV-positive participants had higher levels of soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (sVCAM-1), a strong indicator of endothelial damage. It might also be that CIMT does not fully summarize the influence of HIV and ART on the arterial wall. HIV likely influences CVD risk through mechanisms beyond the regular process of atherosclerosis. CVD in HIV infection has also been found to be related to disturbances in the immune system and coagulation system, and direct viral infiltration of the endothelium [47].

Inconsistencies in the effects of ART on CIMT were also observed in our results: we did not find any association

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Plaque: a mean intima-media thickness > 1.0 mm anywhere in one of the measured angles in the far wall of the CCA.

Factor	Univariable $m eta$ (95% CI) (mm)	Р	Multivariable $^{\dagger}$ $m eta$ (95% Cl) (mm)	Р
Demographic factors				
Male sex	0.057 (0.039, 0.074)	< 0.001	0.048 (0.031, 0.065)	< 0.001
Age, per year	0.008 (0.007, 0.009)	< 0.001	0.007 (0.006, 0.008)	< 0.001
Single (versus having partner)	—0.019 (—0.036, —0.001)	0.04	-0.008 (-0.021, 0.006)	0.26
No/primary education (versus secondary/higher education)	0.085 (0.067, 0.104)	< 0.001	0.002 (-0.015, 0.019)	0.83
Employed (versus unemployed)	0.001 (-0.019, 0.021)	0.90		
HIV-related factors				
Known duration of HIV infection, per 5 years	0.027 (0.017, 0.037)	< 0.001	*	
On first-line ART (versus ART-naïve)	0.055 (0.034, 0.076)	< 0.001	*	
On second-line ART (versus ART-naïve)	0.053 (0.018, 0.088)	< 0.01	*	
Total ART duration, per 5 years	0.043 (0.032, 0.054)	< 0.001	0.011 (0.002, 0.005)	0.02
Last CD4 cell count, per 100 cells/µL	0.001 (-0.003, 0.004)	0.73		
Last viral load, per 1 log <sub>10</sub> copies/mL	-0.012 (-0.18, -0.006)	< 0.001	0.001 (-0.004, 0.007)	0.64
Cardiovascular risk factors				
Low physical activity (versus moderate/high)	0.025 (0.007, 0.042)	0.06	0.007 (-0.006, 0.020)	0.28
Ever smoked (versus never smoked)	0.014 (-0.004, 0.032)	0.14	-0.013 (-0.028, 0.003)	0.11
Heavy alcohol drinker	-0.023 (-0.079, 0.034)	0.43		
Positive family history	-0.052 (-0.129, 0.024)	0.18	-0.019 (-0.076, 0.037)	0.50
BMI, per kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.003 (0.001, 0.004)	< 0.01	0.003 (0.002, 0.005)	< 0.001
Abdominal obesity	0.012 (-0.007, 0.031)	0.22		
SBP, per mm Hg	0.001 (0.000, 0.001)	< 0.001	0.000 (0.000, 0.001)	0.64
DBP, per mm Hg	0.001 (0.000, 0.002)	0.01	0.000 (-0.001, 0.001)	0.68
Heart rate, per beat/min	0.000 (-0.001, 0.000)	0.20		
Total cholesterol, per mmol/L	0.030 (0.022, 0.038)	< 0.001	0.019 (0.011, 0.027)	< 0.001
HDL cholesterol, per mmol/L	-0.011 (0.032, 0.010)	0.30	-0.034 (-0.052, -0.016)	< 0.001
LDL cholesterol, per mmol/L	0.030 (0.020, 0.040)	< 0.001	*	
Triglycerides, per mmol/L	0.033 (0.022, 0.044)	< 0.001	-0.004 (-0.014, 0.005)	0.40
Glucose, per mmol/L	0.017 (0.010, 0.024)	< 0.001	0.006 (0.000, 0.011)	0.04

Table 3 Factors associated with mean common carotid artery intima-media thickness (CCA-IMT): group 1

Significant results (P < 0.05) are in bold font.

ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

\*Excluded from multivariable regression because of collinearity.

<sup>†</sup>A total of 811 participants (98.2%) were included.

between ART duration and mean CCA-IMT in group 2, in contrast to our findings in group 1. As there is no reason to expect that the influence of ART use on mean CCA-IMT would differ between the two settings, we presume that the following differences between the two groups may be responsible for these findings.

First, the participants in group 1 were substantially older than the urban population, with a larger proportion of participants aged  $\geq$  50 years (23.7% in group 2 versus 12.8% in group 2). The participants in group 2 might not have been old enough to reflect the influence of ART on CIMT in an aging population. Another explanation to consider is that participants in group 1 had been on firstline ART for longer than participants in group 2 (median duration 4.9 versus 4.0 years, respectively), with a greater spread (the 75% percentile of ART duration was 8.1 years in group 1 versus 7.0 years in group 2). Possibly, participants from group 1 were exposed for longer to older stavudine-containing ART regimens. Stavudine was part of South African first-line regimens until health care professionals started to switch patients to new regimens after the guidelines recommended against stavudine in 2010,

as stavudine has been associated with enhanced CVD risk [48]. A third possibility is that the virological control differed between sites: in group 1, 80.3% of participants on ART were virally suppressed, whereas in group 2, 93.5% of the participants on ART showed viral suppression. Ongoing viraemia is associated with immune activation [49], higher CIMT [50], and an increased risk of CVD [51]. Finally, as group 2 had a considerably lower sample size than group 1, the lack of an association between ART use and CCA-IMT might be attributable to a lack of power.

To summarize, the main drivers for CIMT in an HIVpositive population in SSA remained conventional CVD risk factors. ART use was associated with CIMT, but only in group 1 (sampled from a rural setting), which had a substantial number of participants over the age of 50 years and had suboptimal virological control. This suggests that immune-related mechanisms may add to CVD risk in an older treated HIV-positive population.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to report CIMT and its determinants in PLHIV from different settings in SSA. Some limitations need to be considered. Our ability to investigate whether location of residence (a more rural

Factor	Univariable $m eta$ (95% CI) (mm)	Р	Multivariable $^{\dagger}$ $m eta$ (95% Cl) (mm)	Р
Demographic factors				
Male sex	0.020 (0.001, 0.040)	0.04	0.005 (-0.017, 0.027)	0.62
Age, per year	0.007 (0.006, 0.008)	< 0.001	0.006 (0.005, 0.008)	< 0.001
Single (versus having partner)	-0.010 (-0.030, 0.009)	0.29		
No/primary education (versus secondary/higher education)	0.046 (0.019, 0.073)	< 0.1	0.025 (0.001, 0.049)	< 0.05
Employed (versus unemployed)	-0.005 (-0.024, 0.015)	0.65		
HIV-related factors				
Known duration of HIV infection, per 5 years	0.028 (0.020, 0.036)	< 0.001	*	
On first-line ART (versus ART-naïve)	0.015 (-0.010, -0.040)	0.24	*	
On second-line ART (versus ART-naïve)	0.067 (0.047, 0.088)	< 0.001	*	
Total ART duration, per 5 years	0.034 (0.023, 0.044)	< 0.001	-0.005(-0.020, 0.010)	0.54
Last CD4 cell count, per 100 cells/µL	0.007 (0.004, 0.011)	< 0.001	0.000 (0.000, 0.00)	0.24
Last viral load, per 1 log <sub>10</sub> copies/mL	—0.016 (—0.021, —0.010)	< 0.001	0.001 (-0.006, 0.008)	0.78
Cardiovascular risk factors				
Low physical activity (versus moderate/high)	0.017 (-0.002, 0.036)	0.07	0.009 (-0.007, 0.025)	0.29
Ever smoked (versus never smoked)	-0.006 (-0.029, 0.016)	0.58	-0.011 (-0.032, 0.011)	0.32
Heavy alcohol drinker: yes (versus no)	-0.006 (-0.149, 0.076)	0.88		
Positive family history	0.013 (-0.014, 0.049)	0.49		
BMI, per kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.003 (0.001, 0.004)	< 0.01	0.002 (0.000, 0.004)	0.03
Abdominal obesity	0.023 (0.005, 0.042)	0.02	-0.018 (-0.041, 0.006)	0.14
SBP, per mm Hg	0.001 (0.000, 0.001)	< 0.01	0.000 (0.000, 0.001)	0.39
DBP, per mm Hg	0.001 (0.000, 0.002)	0.01	0.000 (-0.001, 0.001)	0.91
Heart rate, per beat/min	-0.001 (-0.002, 0.000)	0.04	0.000 (-0.001, 0.001)	0.60
Total cholesterol, per mmol/L	0.031 (0.021, 0.040)	< 0.001	0.011 (0.001, 0.021)	0.04
HDL cholesterol, per mmol/L	0.028 (0.004, 0.052)	0.02	-0.002 (-0.027, 0.023)	0.88
LDL cholesterol, per mmol/L	0.029 (0.018, 0.040)	< 0.001	*	
Triglycerides, per mmol/L	0.021 (0.009, 0.034)	< 0.01	0.000 (-0.012, 0.012)	0.96
Glucose, per mmol/L	0.007 (0.000, 0.014)	0.07	0.000 (-0.006, 0.006)	0.89

Table 4 Factors associated with mean common carotid artery intima-media thickness (CCA-IMT): group 2

Significant results (P < 0.05) are in bold font.

ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

\*Excluded from multivariable regression because of collinearity.

<sup>†</sup>A total of 319 participants (83.5%) were included.

Table 5 Multivariable model including interaction terms which had P < 0.2 in univariable analysis

Interaction terms	β (95% Cl) (mm)	Р
Group 1		
Age $\times$ ART duration (per 5 years)	0.002 (0.001, 0.003)	< 0.001
Age × BMI	0.000 (0.000, 0.000)	0.40
Age $\times$ total cholesterol	0.002 (0.001, 0.003)	< 0.001
Age $\times$ HDL cholesterol	-0.003 (-0.005, -0.001)	< 0.001
Age $\times$ triglycerides	-0.001 (-0.002, 0.000)	0.19
Age $\times$ glucose	0.001 (0.000, 0.001)	0.07
Group 2		
Age $ imes$ ART duration (per 5 years)	0.000 (-0.002, 0.001)	0.43
Age × BMI	0.000 (0.000, 0.000)	0.58
Age $\times$ total cholesterol	0.001 (0.000, 0.002)	0.01
Age × triglycerides	0.001 (0.000, 0.002)	0.17

Significant results (P < 0.05) are in bold font.

ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

setting versus a more urban setting) influences CVD risk was limited as we could not directly compare the burdens of subclinical CVD according to CIMT between the rural and the urban sites because of differences in population characteristics and recruitment methods. Group 1 had a relatively high number of participants without viral suppression. This might impact the generalizability of our findings to populations with adequate virological control. Subclinical atherosclerosis assessment did not include internal carotid IMT as this outcome was not available; this information would have been useful, as plaque in this region is more strongly related to CVD than an increase in CCA-IMT. Finally, our imputation method (a random draw out of 20 imputed data sets) may have resulted in a too narrow confidence interval for the imputed blood pressure readings.

Further research including an older HIV-positive population with better virological control is recommended to further elucidate the associations of HIV infection and ART use with CVD risk. Ideally, studies with a prospective design, including HIV-negative controls, could provide more insight into causal relationships between HIV infection, conventional CVD risk factors, and CVD. Our results suggest that CVD prevention in PLHIV should be directed at conventional CVD risk factors alongside optimizing HIV care.

# Acknowledgements

We thank the participants and staff from the Ndlovu Medical Centre as well as from the Johannesburg site for their contributions.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

*Financial disclosure*: The Ndlovu Cohort study was supported by a grant from the Dutch AIDS Foundation, Dioraphte Foundation, De Grote Onderneming and the University Medical Center Utrecht. The study in Johannesburg was supported by the Julius Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands, Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, Johannes, South Africa and the National Health and Laboratory Sciences, South Africa (grant no. 94566). This specific analysis was performed without financial support.

# References

- 1 Pillay-van Wyk V, Msemburi W, Laubscher R *et al.* Mortality trends and differentials in South Africa from 1997 to 2012: second National Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet Glob Heal* 2016; **4**: e642–e653.
- 2 Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). UNAIDS DATA 2018. 2018. Available at http://www.unaids. org/sites/default/files/media\_asset/unaids-data-2018\_en.pdf (accessed 21 December 2018).
- 3 Tollman SM, Kahn K, Sartorius B, Collinson MA, Clark SJ, Garenne ML. Implications of mortality transition for primary health care in rural South Africa: a population-based surveillance study. *Lancet* 2008; 372: 893–901.
- 4 World Health Organization (WHO). Non-Communicable Disease, Country profiles: South Africa. 2016. Available at: http://www. who.int/nmah/countries/en/ (accesed 6 December 2018).
- 5 Johnson LF, Mossong J, Dorrington RE *et al.* Life expectancies of South African adults starting antiretroviral treatment: collaborative analysis of cohort studies. *PLoS Medicine* 2013; **10**: e1001418.
- 6 Hontelez JAC, de Vlas SJ, Baltussen R *et al*. The impact of antiretroviral treatment on the age composition of the HIV epidemic in sub-Saharan Africa. *AIDS* 2012; 26: S19–S30.
- 7 Ballocca F, D'Ascenzo F, Gili S, Grosso Marra W, Gaita F. Cardiovascular disease in patients with HIV. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 2017; 27: 558–563.
- 8 Hyle EP, Mayosi BM, Middelkoop K *et al.* The association between HIV and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2017; 17: 954.
- 9 INSIGHT START Study Group, Lundgren JD, Babiker AG et al. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:795– 807.

- 10 D'Ascenzo F, Cerrato E, Appleton D *et al.* Prognostic indicators for recurrent thrombotic events in HIV-infected patients with acute coronary syndromes: use of registry data from 12 sites in Europe, South Africa and the United States. *Thromb Res* 2014; 134: 558–564.
- 11 Lang S, Mary-Krause M, Simon A *et al.* HIV replication and immune status are independent predictors of the risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected individuals. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 55: 600–607.
- 12 Dimala CA, Blencowe H. Association between highly active antiretroviral therapy and selected cardiovascular disease risk factors in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and metaanalysis protocol. *BMJ Open* 2017; 7: e013353.
- 13 Worm SW, Sabin C, Weber R *et al.* Risk of myocardial infarction in patients with HIV infection exposed to specific individual antiretroviral drugs from the 3 major drug classes: the data collection on adverse events of anti-HIV drugs (D:A: D) study. *J Infect Dis* 2010; 201: 318–330.
- 14 Clark SJ, Gómez-Olivé FX, Houle B *et al*. Cardiometabolic disease risk and HIV status in rural South Africa: establishing a baseline. *BMC Public Health* 2015; 15: 135.
- 15 Bourne LT, Lambert EV, Steyn K. Where does the black population of South Africa stand on the nutrition transition? *Public Health Nutr* 2002; 5: 157–162.
- 16 Steyn NP, McHiza ZJ. Obesity and the nutrition transition in Sub-Saharan Africa. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2014; 1311: 88–101.
- 17 THUSA study, Vorster HH, Kruger A, Venter CS, Margetts BM, Macintyre UE. Cardiovascular disease risk factors and socio-economic position of Africans in transition: the THUSA study. *Cardiovasc J Afr* 2007; 18: 282–289.
- 18 Vorster HH, Venter CS, Wissing MP, Margetts BM. The nutrition and health transition in the North West Province of South Africa: a review of the THUSA (Transition and Health during Urbanisation of South Africans) study. *Public Health Nutr* 2005; 8: 480–490.
- 19 Pisa PT, Behanan R, Vorster HH, Kruger A. Social drift of cardiovascular disease risk factors in Africans from the North West Province of South Africa: the PURE study. *Cardiovasc J Afr* 2012; 23 (371–8): e379–e388.
- 20 Harris B, Goudge J, Ataguba JE *et al.* Inequities in access to health care in South Africa. *J Public Health Policy* 2011; 32 (Suppl 1): S102–S123.
- 21 Bots ML, Hoes AW, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, Grobbee DE. Common carotid intima-media thickness and risk of stroke and myocardial infarction: the Rotterdam Study. *Circulation* 1997; 96: 1432–1437.
- 22 O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, Manolio TA, Burke GL, Wolfson SK. Carotid-artery intima and media thickness as a risk factor for myocardial infarction and stroke in older adults. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 340: 14–22.
- 23 Owolabi MO, Agunloye AM, Umeh EO, Akpa OM. Can common carotid intima media thickness serve as an indicator

of both cardiovascular phenotype and risk among black Africans? *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2015; **22**: 1442–1451.

- 24 D'Agostino RB, Burke G, O'Leary D *et al.* Ethnic differences in carotid wall thickness. The insulin resistance atherosclerosis study. *Stroke* 1996; 27: 1744–1749.
- 25 Hulten E, Mitchell J, Scally J, Gibbs B, Villines TC. HIV positivity, protease inhibitor exposure and subclinical atherosclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Heart* 2009; **95**: 1826–1835.
- 26 Vos A, Tempelman H, Devillé W *et al*. HIV and risk of cardiovascular disease in sub-Saharan Africa: rationale and design of the Ndlovu Cohort Study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2017; 24: 1043–1050.
- 27 Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S *et al.* Dolutegravir plus Two Different Prodrugs of Tenofovir to Treat HIV. *N Engl J Med.* 2019; 381: 803–815.
- 28 Venter WDF, Kambugu A, Chersich MF et al. Efficacy and safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate versus low-dose stavudine over 96 weeks: a multi-country randomised, noninferiority trial. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2018; 80: 224–223.
- 29 Venter W, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, Marahaj E, Akpomiemie G, Simmons B. Non-inferior efficacy for darunavir/ritonavir 400/100 mg once daily versus lopinavir/ritonavir, for patients with HIV RNA below 50 copies/mL in South Africa: the 48-week WRHI 052 study. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2018; 21: 156–157.
- 30 World Health Organization (WHO). The STEPS Instrument and Support Materials vol 3.2. Available at: http://www.who. int/chp/steps/instrument/en/index.html (accessed 25 October 2018).
- 31 International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). IPAQ scoring protocol. 2005. Available at: https://sites.google.com/ site/theipaq/scoring-protocol (accessed 25 October 2018).
- 32 Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972; 18: 499–502.
- 33 Alberti KGMM, Eckel RH, Grundy SM *et al.* Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International diabetes federation task force on epidemiology and prevention; National heart, lung, and blood institute; American heart association; World heart federation; International. *Circulation* 2009;120:1640–1645.
- 34 D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ et al. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 2008; 117: 743–753.
- 35 Friis-Møller N, Ryom L, Smith C *et al.* An updated prediction model of the global risk of cardiovascular disease in HIVpositive persons: The Data-collection on Adverse Effects of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2016; 23: 214–223.

- 36 Bots ML, Evans GW, Tegeler CH, Meijer R. Carotid intimamedia thickness measurements: relations with atherosclerosis, risk of cardiovascular disease and application in randomized controlled trials. *Chin Med J* 2016; **129**: 215–226.
- 37 Boulos NM, Gardin JM, Malik S, Postley J, Wong ND. Carotid plaque characterization, stenosis, and intima-media thickness according to age and gender in a large registry cohort. *Am J Cardiol* 2016; 117: 1185–1191.
- Hanna DB, Guo M, Bůžková P *et al.* HIV Infection and carotid artery intima-media thickness: pooled analyses across 5 cohorts of the NHLBI HIV-CVD collaborative. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; 63: 249–256.
- 39 Schoffelen AF, de Groot E, Tempelman HA, Visseren FLJ, Hoepelman AIM, Barth RE. Carotid intima media thickness in mainly female hiv-infected subjects in rural South Africa: association with cardiovascular but Not HIV-related factors. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; **61**: 1606–1614.
- 40 Dillon DG, Gurdasani D, Riha J *et al.* Association of HIV and ART with cardiometabolic traits in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013; 42: 1754–1771.
- 41 Lorenz MW, Stephan C, Harmjanz A *et al.* Both long-term HIV infection and highly active antiretroviral therapy are independent risk factors for early carotid atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis* 2008; **196**: 720–726.
- 42 Krikke M, Arends JE, Van Lelyveld S, Hoepelman A, Visseren F. Greater carotid intima media thickness at a younger age in HIV-infected patients compared with reference values for an uninfected cohort. *HIV Med* 2017; 18: 275–283.
- 43 Gupta PK, Gupta M, Lal AK, Taneja A, Taneja RS, Rewari BB. Markers of subclinical atherosclerotic disease in HIV-infected individuals. *J virus Erad* 2018; 4: 21–25.
- 44 Mosepele M, Mohammed T, Mupfumi L *et al.* HIV disease is associated with increased biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction despite viral suppression on long-term antiretroviral therapy in Botswana. *Cardiovasc J Afr* 2018; 29: 155–161.
- 45 Gleason RL, Caulk AW, Seifu D *et al.* Current Efavirenz (EFV) or ritonavir-boosted lopinavir (LPV/r) use correlates with elevate markers of atherosclerosis in HIV-infected subjects in Ababa, Ethiopia. *PLoS ONE* 2015; 10: e0117125.
- 46 Volpe GE, Tang AM, Polak JF, Mangili A, Skinner SC, Wanke CA. Progression of carotid intima-media thickness and coronary artery calcium over 6 years in an HIVinfected cohort. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2013; 64: 51–57.
- Fourie CMT, Schutte AE, Smith W, Kruger A, van Rooyen JM. Endothelial activation and cardiometabolic profiles of treated and never-treated HIV infected Africans. *Atherosclerosis* 2015; 240: 154–160.

- 48 George JA, Venter WDF, Van Deventer HE, Crowther NJ. A longitudinal study of the changes in body fat and metabolic parameters in a South African population of HIV-positive patients receiving an antiretroviral therapeutic regimen containing stavudine. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2009; 25: 771–781.
- 49 Bandera A, Colella E, Rizzardini G, Gori A, Clerici M. Strategies to limit immune-activation in HIV patients. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2017; 15: 43–54.
- 50 Siedner MJ, Kim J-H, Nakku RS *et al.* Persistent immune activation and carotid atherosclerosis in HIV-infected ugandans receiving antiretroviral therapy. *J Infect Dis* 2016; 213: 370–378.
- 51 Borges ÁH, Neuhaus J, Sharma S *et al.* The effect of interrupted/deferred antiretroviral therapy on disease risk: a SMART and START combined analysis. *J Infect Dis.* 2019; 219: 254–263.