

Politics and Governance (ISSN: 2183–2463) 2023, Volume 11, Issue 3, Pages 1–4 https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v11i3.7163

Editorial

The Causes and Modes of European Disintegration

Martijn Huysmans 1,* and Sven Van Kerckhoven 2

- ¹ School of Economics, Utrecht University, The Netherlands
- ² Brussels School of Governance, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium
- * Corresponding author (m.huysmans@uu.nl)

Submitted: 9 May 2023 | Published: 5 July 2023

Abstract

This thematic issue on the causes and modes of European disintegration seeks to answer two main questions: What are the causes of (potential) European disintegration across countries? And what are the actual and potential modes of European disintegration? The articles on the causes of EU disintegration go beyond the immediate causes of Brexit, to date the prime example of European disintegration. They address, for instance, the impact of ignoring the results of referendums on EU treaty changes. The articles demonstrate that the extensively studied proximate causes of Brexit may be different from more long-term drivers of potential disintegration in the UK and other member states. The second question raises a point that has been largely overlooked. Going beyond the growing literature on Brexit, differentiated integration, and non-compliance, the articles on the modes of European disintegration address issues such as (temporary) opt-outs from the Schengen agreement. The thematic issue is innovative not only due to the questions it raises but also by deploying a multi-disciplinary social science perspective. Contributions are quantitative, qualitative, and theoretical from a wide array of social science disciplines. Taken together, the contributions to this thematic issue advance scholarly understanding of European (dis)integration.

Keywords

Brexit; disintegration; European Union; Euroscepticism; Schengen; secession; withdrawal

Issue

This editorial is part of the issue "The Causes and Modes of European Disintegration" edited by Martijn Huysmans (Utrecht University) and Sven Van Kerckhoven (Vrije Universiteit Brussel).

© 2023 by the author(s); licensee Cogitatio Press (Lisbon, Portugal). This editorial is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY).

1. Introduction

Before Brexit, the EU had only grown in terms of member states and depth of integration (Hooghe & Marks, 2019; Huysmans, 2019). On the one hand, Brexit has called into question the idea of an ever-closer union. On the other hand, support for EU membership seems to have gone up in the remaining member states, pointing at clear deterrence effects (De Vries, 2017; Walter, 2021), and the potential for deeper integration and collaboration among the remaining EU member states has improved. Moreover, countries in the Western Balkan and Ukraine have shown a clear interest in joining the EU.

This thematic issue investigates the causes and modes of European disintegration beyond the particulars of Brexit. Are other European countries likely to try to reverse certain aspects of European integration? If so, what causes this and how could they envision exiting (partially or fully) from certain aspects of European integration? How are these disintegration pressures addressed in different member states?

(Dis)integration processes result from potentially politicized cost-benefit assessments of international cooperation (Gastinger, 2021). For a long time, attempting to raise efficiency and attaining economies of scale within the European Union mainly favoured further integration (for political economy theories on the optimal size and scope of federations see, e.g., Alesina & Spolaore, 2003; Huysmans & Crombez, 2019). In recent years, Eurosceptic, populist, and nationalist movements have questioned the size and distribution of these efficiency gains and the EU's output legitimacy, while politicizing



the costs and questioning the legitimacy of integration in terms of a loss of autonomy and sovereignty (de Wilde & Zürn, 2012; Jones, 2018; Vollaard, 2018).

In response to differences across member states, the EU has engaged in differentiated integration. Some member states have the euro, others do not; some are part of Schengen, some are not. This allows like-minded member states to move forward while keeping others within the existing structures. Complementary to differentiated integration, this thematic issue focuses on disintegration, i.e., reversing some aspects of European integration. The results may be similar to differentiated integration, but the mechanisms leading to a reversal of integration are different than those leading to differentiated integration.

EU member states are also well-known to be, on occasion, guilty of non-compliance (Börzel, 2021). Whereas this is a quiet form of seeking to escape from very specific aspects of European integration (e.g., the transposition of individual directives), we see disintegration as a louder and more activist form.

Since the Brexit vote, a large and growing number of articles and issues have shed light on the drivers and the aftermath of this particular event (Bressanelli & Chelotti, 2021; Bulmer & Quaglia, 2018; De Vries, 2017; Hobolt, 2016; Hodson & Puetter, 2018; Huysmans & Crombez, 2019; Leruth et al., 2019; Owen & Walter, 2017; Richardson & Rittberger, 2020; Van Kerckhoven, 2021).

This thematic issue on the causes and modes of European disintegration explores a different angle by focusing on the causes and modes of European disintegration beyond Brexit. It seeks to provide answers to two main questions. First, what are the drivers of potential European disintegration across countries? The increased attention on the costs of uniform EU policies plays out differently in different EU member states, each with substantially different policy preferences. Second, what are the actual and potential modes of European disintegration beyond a full-blown exit from the EU? Disintegration drivers have led and might lead to different outcomes.

This thematic issue innovates not only due to the questions it raises but also by deploying a multi-disciplinary social science perspective. The contributions include quantitative, qualitative, and theoretical work. The empirical contributions zoom in on multiple countries, including Austria, Italy, France, Germany, Greece, and the UK.

2. The Causes of European Disintegration

The contributions to the causes of EU disintegration go beyond the immediate causes of Brexit. The extensively studied proximate causes of Brexit may be different from more long-term drivers in the UK and other member states. The first set of articles critically reviews these drivers.

Crombez et al. (2023) present a theoretical model on the role of preferences of politicians and voters as potential causes of (dis)integration. Ruiz et al. (2023) look at the 2005 referendum in France on the proposed EU constitution and find long-lasting effects. Looking at two other member states, Greece and Italy, Kouloglou and Georgarakis (2023) find consistent evidence that both cultural and economic factors play a role in public support for the EU and its institutions. Donat and Lenhart (2023) look not only at different causes (attitudes and approaches to EU integration) but also an understudied group, namely regional members of parliament, which sit between voters and national and EU-level political elites.

3. The Modes of European Disintegration

The second question focuses on the modes of disintegration and raises a point that has been largely overlooked in the extant literature. The proposed contributions on the modes of disintegration go beyond the growing literature on differentiated integration and non-compliance. These contributions critically address how pressures towards EU disintegration are translated into certain modes and preferences for disintegration. Brexit can hence be understood as only one specific mode of disintegration.

O'Dubhghaill and Van Kerckhoven (2023) analyze the special case of Gibraltar, a UK enclave in Spain, and demonstrate the risk of Brexit resulting in patchwork disintegration across different parts of the UK. Furst (2023) analyzes how temporary internal border controls in the Schengen area lead to temporary disintegration in terms of free movement. Relatedly, Josipovic et al. (2023) discuss sectoral disintegration through the case of Austria's contestation of the Common European Asylum System and opposition against the expansion of the Schengen area to Romania and Bulgaria.

4. Conclusion

This thematic issue addresses two different but interlinked questions: What drives EU disintegration? And what are the potential modes of EU disintegration? The rationale for combining these two perspectives is obvious but has not yet been discussed extensively in the literature: Different causes of EU disintegration pressures may drive different potential outcomes.

Taken together, the contributions advance scholarly understanding of European (dis)integration and produce timely, and policy-relevant, insights on how to identify (and perhaps counter) drivers of disintegration across Europe. In addition, outlining different modes of disintegration that can respond to these drivers without resulting in a complete withdrawal from the project of European integration.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the funding from the Jean Monnet module The Economics of European



(Dis) Integration (611389-EPP-1–2019–1-BE-EPPJMO-MODULE), as well as presenters and discussants at the workshop of June 2021 on this topic.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

- Alesina, A., & Spolaore, E. (2003). *The size of nations*. MIT Press.
- Börzel, T. A. (2021). Why noncompliance: The politics of law in the European Union. Cornell University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501753411
- Bressanelli, E., & Chelotti, N. (2021). Assessing what Brexit means for Europe: Implications for EU institutions and actors. *Politics and Governance*, *9*(1), 1–4.
- Bulmer, S., & Quaglia, L. (2018). The politics and economics of Brexit. *Journal of European Public Policy*, *25*(8), 1089–1098. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2018.1467957
- Crombez, C., Vangerven, P., & van Gruisen, P. (2023). Preferences and institutions in European (dis)integration. *Politics and Governance*, *11*(3), 5–15.
- De Vries, C. E. (2017). Benchmarking Brexit: How the British decision to leave shapes EU public opinion. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, *55*, 38–53. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12579
- de Wilde, P., & Zürn, M. (2012). Can the politicization of European integration be reversed? *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, *50*, 137–153.
- Donat, E., & Lenhart, S. (2023). The debate on the European Union's future from the perspective of regional members of Parliament. *Politics and Governance*, 11(3), 45–58.
- Furst, J. P. (2023). Differentiated implementation of controls: The internal border regimes of Schengen. *Politics and Governance*, *11*(3), 68–78.
- Gastinger, M. (2021). Introducing the EU exit index measuring each member state's propensity to leave the European Union. *European Union Politics*, 22(3), 566–585. https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116521100 0138
- Hobolt, S. B. (2016). The Brexit vote: A divided nation, a divided continent. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 23(9), 1259–1277.
- Hodson, D., & Puetter, U. (2018). Studying Europe after the fall: Four thoughts on post-EU studies. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 25(3), 465–474. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1411382
- Hooghe, L., & Marks, G. (2019). Grand theories of Euro-

- pean integration in the twenty-first century. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 26(8), 1113–1133. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2019.1569711
- Huysmans, M. (2019). Enlargement and exit: The origins of Article 50. *European Union Politics*, 20(2), 155–175. https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116519830202
- Huysmans, M., & Crombez, C. (2019). Making exit costly but efficient: The political economy of exit clauses and secession. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 31, 89–110. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10602-019-09295-1
- Jones, E. (2018). Towards a theory of disintegration. Journal of European Public Policy, 25(3), 440–451. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1411381
- Josipovic, I., Rosenberger, S., & Segarra, H. (2023). Policy entrepreneurs of European disintegration? The case of Austrian asylum governance after 2015. *Politics and Governance*, *11*(3), 79–90.
- Kouloglou, N., & Georgarakis, G. N. (2023). Public support for European integration in Greece and Italy between 2015 and 2020. *Politics and Governance*, 11(3), 29–44.
- Leruth, B., Gänzle, S., & Trondal, J. (2019). Differentiated integration and disintegration in the EU after Brexit: Risks versus opportunities. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, *57*(6), 1383–1394. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12957
- O'Dubhghaill, S. M., & Van Kerckhoven, S. (2023). Between a rock and a hard place: European disintegration, Brexit, and Gibraltar. *Politics and Governance*, 11(3), 59–67.
- Owen, E., & Walter, S. (2017). Open economy politics and Brexit: Insights, puzzles, and ways forward. *Review of International Political Economy*, 24(2), 179–202. https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2017.1307245
- Richardson, J., & Rittberger, B. (2020). Brexit: Simply an omnishambles or a major policy fiasco? *Journal of European Public Policy*, *27*(5), 649–665. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2020.1736131
- Ruiz, S. S., Linsi, L., & Jaupart, P. (2023). Voter disenchantment in the aftermath of the 2005 EU constitutional referendum in France. *Politics and Governance*, *11*(3), 16–28.
- Van Kerckhoven, S. (2021). Post-Brexit leadership in European finance. *Politics and Governance*, *9*(1), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v9i1.3705
- Vollaard, H. (2018). European disintegration? A search for explanations. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Walter, S. (2021). Brexit domino? The political contagion effects of voter-endorsed withdrawals from international institutions. *Comparative Political Studies*, *54*(13). https://doi.org/10.1177/001041402 1997169



About the Authors



Martijn Huysmans is an assistant professor at the School of Economics, Utrecht University. He conducts research in political economy and teaches in the Politics, Philosophy, & Economics (PPE) bachelor program. His focus area is the EU. He has published on EU institutional design (Article 50, appointment of the Commission, the early warning system, and the role of national parliaments) and exit clauses more generally, in journals such as the *European Union Politics, JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies, Constitutional Political Economy*, and *Journal of European Integration*.



Sven Van Kerckhoven is an associate professor and vice-dean for education at the Brussels School of Governance, Vrije Universiteit Brussel. Sven conducts research focusing on global economic governance, often linked to the role played by the European Union in international bodies. He has taught several courses in the fields of international and European economics. Sven has published in journals such as *Politics and Governance* and *Journal of Financial Regulation* and in books by, among others, Cambridge University Press and Oxford University Press.