

Readers are invited to submit letters for publication in this department. Submit letters online at <http://joem.edmgr.com>. Choose "Submit New Manuscript." A signed copyright assignment and financial disclosure form must be submitted with the letter. Form available at www.joem.org under Author and Reviewer information.

Using the COVID-19 Job Exposure Matrix for Essential Workplace Preparedness

To the Editor:

The COVID-19 pandemic has made imperative a unified global response to prevent future crises of this kind.¹ It has brought long-term economic and social disruption to the livelihood, health, and wellbeing of millions of people worldwide, with devastating impacts on the world of work.^{2,3} In response, the General Conference of the International Labour Organization adopted in June 2021 a global call to action for a human-centered recovery from

the COVID-19 crisis, which emphasizes the need to strengthening occupational safety and health measures for the protection of all workers.⁴ As businesses grapple to protect and retain employees, workplaces are delivering interventions that protect worker health and improve economic outcomes.³

Effective workplace COVID-19 exposure prevention policies have been difficult to implement, with physical distancing, ventilation, wearing masks, vaccination mandates, and screening tests that vary widely and are highly dependent on country-level regulations, wealth, and infrastructure.⁵⁻⁹ However, with guidance from occupational health practitioners, companies have developed workplace safety protocols.^{3,10,11}

A valuable tool to assist decision-makers and workplace stakeholders in prioritizing protective and prevention measures against COVID-19, is the job exposure matrix (JEM). A JEM is a tool developed and used by researchers for the global evaluation of workplace exposures. Its design incorporates epidemiologic evidence to account for the probability of exposure to SARS-CoV-2, based upon job-task activities.^{12,13} To note, a COVID-19 JEM is meant to complement assessment of non-occupational (community) exposures to SARS-CoV-2, which JEMs do not discern. Moreover, developed COVID-19 JEMs are in still in the validation process.

In addition to the conventional use of JEMs, which is to assess occupational exposures at the population level, JEMs can support calculating workers' compensation and retirement benefits.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ The COVID-19 JEM, however, may have a broader use. First, occupational safety and health (OSH) practitioners may employ the JEM to identify targeted and priority areas for applying corrective action policies when conditions are complex and require high levels of OSH expertise. Second, when such OSH practitioners are unavailable, employers and employees can use the COVID-19 JEM as a tool for risk assessment aiming to quickly identify and implement appropriate job-task-specific controls. Third, at the company/management or jurisdiction level, the JEM offers useful exposure estimates for public health surveillance when required in the country or company policies.

Such a JEM, however, does not replace professional exposure and risk assessments of specific workplaces. Indeed, JEMs have limitations, including challenges in coding/classifying industry and

job information, and they provide aggregate data that may not capture subtle individual-level differences within job categories.¹⁷ The group probability of a JEM can thus only be extrapolated to a group when there are few disparities between individuals within the same job. Furthermore, static JEMs do not capture temporal fluctuations in viral circulation, mutations, or seasonal changes to workplace practices and prevention measures. These could be captured in a dynamic JEM.

In sum, a COVID-19 JEM may an important asset for workplace preparedness and pandemic planning.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Yuka Ujita for her comments.

Alexis Descatha, MD, PhD
Marc Fadel, MD

University of (UNIV) Angers,
Teaching Hospital (CHU) Angers,
Univ Rennes, Institut national de la santé et
de la recherche médicale,
École des hautes études en santé publique,
Institut de recherché en santé,
environnement et travail-UMR_S 1085,
Angers, France

Grace Sembajwe, ScD

Department of Occupational Medicine,
Epidemiology and Prevention,
Northwell Health, Donald and Barbara
Zucker School of Medicine,
Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research,
Hofstra University,
Great Neck, NY

Susan Peters, PhD

Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences,
Utrecht University,
Utrecht, The Netherlands

REFERENCES

- Duff JH, Liu A, Saavedra J, et al. A global public health convention for the 21st century. *Lancet Public Health*. 2021;6:e428-e433.
- COVID-19 and the world of work (COVID-19 and the world of work). Available at: <https://www.ilo.org/global/topics/coronavirus/lang-en/index.htm>. Accessed August 20, 2021.
- Fadel M, Salomon J, Descatha A. Coronavirus outbreak: the role of companies in preparedness and responses. *Lancet Public Health*. 2020;5:e193.
- ILO. Global call to action for a human-centred recovery from the COVID-19 crisis that is inclusive, sustainable and resilient. Available at: <https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/>

In addition to Mat-O-Covid investigators*: *Mat-O-Covid investigators list: Alexis Descatha (Univ Angers/CHU Angers), Sabrina Pitet (CHU Angers), Fabien Gilbert (Univ Angers), Marc Fadel (Univ Angers), Marie Badreau (Univ Angers), Remi Valter (AP-HP, Paris), Pascal Andujar (Univ Paris Est Créteil, Créteil Hospital), Jean-Pierre Leclerc (INRS, Nancy), Corinne Lethoux (Presanse, Paris), Pascal Duprat (DIRECCT Ile-de-France, Paris), Brigitte Clodre (Ville de Paris), Sandrine Cartégnie (SISTBI, La Réunion), Céline Dagrenat (CMIE, Paris), William Dab (CNAM, Paris), Bénédicte Clin-Godard (CHU Caen), Jean-François Gehanno (CHU Rouen), Vincent Dubé (Univ Angers/ CHU Angers), Philippe Havette (La Poste, Paris).

This study is supported by REACTING Inserm (« Mat-O-Covid project»), ANRS | Maladies infectieuses émergentes since 2021), and Regional public fund ("TEC-TOP project", Pays-de-la-Loire Region, Angers Loire Métropole, Univ Angers/CHU Angers).

All authors have participated significantly.

Conflict of interest: None, authors are paid by their respective affiliations. A.D. is editor in chief of Archives des maladies professionnelles et de l'environnement (Elsevier). A.D. and S.P. have created Covid JEM (available in open access).

Clinical significance: Though still in a validation process, the job-exposure matrix (JEM) may be a valuable tool to assist decisionmakers and workplace stakeholders in prioritizing protective measures against SARS-CoV-2 infections. Its design incorporates the probability of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 based on job-task activities.

Address correspondence to: Alexis Descatha, MD, PhD, UNIV Angers, CHU Angers, Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) - UMR_S 1085, CAPTV - CDC F-49000 Angers, France (alexis.descatha@inserm.fr).

Copyright © 2021 American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine
DOI: 10.1097/JOM.0000000000002437

- @ed_norm/@relconf/documents/meetingdocument/wcms_806092.pdf. Accessed August 20, 2021.
5. Wilson K, Flood CM. Implementing digital passports for SARS-CoV-2 immunization in Canada. *CMAJ*. 2021;193:E486–E488.
 6. Brown RCH, Kelly D, Wilkinson D, Savulescu J. The scientific and ethical feasibility of immunity passports. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2021;21:e58–e63.
 7. Osama T, Razai MS, Majeed A. Covid-19 vaccine passports: access, equity, and ethics. *BMJ*. 2021;373:n861.
 8. West R, Kobokovich A, Connell N, Gronvall GK. COVID-19 antibody tests: a valuable public health tool with limited relevance to individuals. *Trends Microbiol*. 2021;29:214–223.
 9. Dzieciolowska S, Hamel D, Gadio S, et al. Covid-19 vaccine acceptance, hesitancy, and refusal among Canadian healthcare workers: a multicenter survey. *Am J Infect Control*. 2021;49:1152–1157.
 10. Burdorf A, Porru F, Rugulies R. The COVID-19 pandemic: one year later - an occupational perspective. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2021;47:245–247.
 11. Sim MR. The COVID-19 pandemic: major risks to healthcare and other workers on the front line. *Occup Environ Med*. 2020;77:281–282.
 12. Oude Hengel KM, Burdorf A, Pronk A, et al. Exposure to a SARS-CoV-2 infection at work: development of an international Job Exposure Matrix (COVID-19-JEM). *Occup Environ Med*. 2021;78(Suppl 1):A149. doi: 10.1136/OEM-2021-EPI.408.
 13. Descatha A, Fadel M, Pitet S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Job Exposure Matrix: “Mat-O-Covid” creation (COVID-Mate in French), accuracy study, and perspectives. *Arch Mal Prof Environ*. 2021;82:487–493.
 14. Fadel M, Evanoff BA, Andersen JH, et al. Not just a research method: if used with caution, can job-exposure matrices be a useful tool in the practice of occupational medicine and public health? *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2020;46:552–553.
 15. Fadel M, Valter R, Quignette A, Descatha A. Usefulness of a job-exposure matrix ‘MADE’ as a decision tool for compensation of work-related musculoskeletal disorders. *Eur J Public Health*. 2019;29:868–870.
 16. Kerbrat J, Descatha A. [The recognition of health consequences of difficult working conditions in France and its evaluation with the use of a job-exposure matrix]. *Arch Mal Prof Environ*. 2018;79:493–500.
 17. Peters S. Although a valuable method in occupational epidemiology, job-exposure -matrices are no magic fix. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2020;46:231–234.