The Climatological Renewable Energy Deviation Index

Laurens P. Stoop ⁽⁰⁾,^{1,2,3,*} Karin van der Wiel ⁽⁰⁾,⁴ William Zappa ⁽⁰⁾,³ Arno Haverkamp ⁽⁰⁾,³ Ad J. Feelders ⁽⁰⁾,¹ Machteld van den Broek⁽⁰⁾

¹Information and Computing Science, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

²Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Utrecht University, the Netherlands ³TenneT TSO B.V., Arnhem, the Netherlands

⁴Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI), the Netherlands

⁵Integrated Research on Energy, Environment and Society, Energy and Sustainability Research Institute Groningen (ESRIG), University of Groningen, the Netherlands

*Corresponding Author: laurensstoop@protonmail.com

Abstract

Here we propose an index to quantify and analyse the impact of climatological variability on the energy system at different timescales. We define the Climatological Renewable Energy Deviation Index (CREDI) as the cumulative anomaly of a renewable resource with respect to its climate over a specific time period of interest. We analyse the index at decadal, annual and (sub-)seasonal timescales using the forthcoming Pan-European Climate Database and consider the starting point and window of analysis for its use at those timescales. The CREDI is meant as an analytical tool for researchers and stakeholders to help them quantify, understand, and explain, the impact of the variability of weather on the energy system across timescales. Improved understanding translates to better assessments of how renewable resources, and the associated risks for energy security, may fare in current and future climatological settings. The practical use of the index is in resource planning. For example transmission system operators may be able to adjust short-term planning to reduce adequacy issues before they occur or combine the index with storyline event selection for improved assessments of climate change related risks.

Keywords: Variability, Resource Adequacy, Renewable Energy Drought, Dunkelflaute, Wind Drought

1 INTRODUCTION

The energy system is changing. This is due to the increased deployment of renewable energy generators, like wind turbines and solar panels; changes in electricity demand, from increased use of heat pumps and electric vehicles; and climatic changes influencing the weather dependent parts of the system. It is crucial to understand the full dynamics of the (future) energy system, both for policy making and energy security reasons¹.

Knowing the impact of and link between the energy system and weather-related variability on daily to inter-annual and decadal timescales is vital for robust design and planning of future energy systems^{1–3}. Meteorological variability leads to temporal variability. Not only in renewable energy production, but also in energy demand, changing the way energy systems have to be operated and controlled¹.

Energy system models are vital to capture the impact of this variability [4]. However, their complexity results in high computational burdens that grows exponentially with the simulation period [1, 5–8]. Incorporating large climate datasets that capture meteorological variability in *operational hourly* energy system models is thus, as of yet,

unfeasible [1, 7, 9]. Even so, understanding meteorological variability, i.e. potential challenging events and their drivers, can aid system operators in their task to ensure both short- and long-term energy security [1, 7, 10]. Therefore, alternative approaches are needed to assess energy-meteorological variability [1, 11]. While a number of methods exists to model and/or select challenging high impact events [e.g. 10, 12–17], we aim to define a metric to quantify energy-meteorological variability across timescales.

In our quest to develop this metric, we were inspired by the hydrological sciences. For drought monitoring, a number of indices have proven useful for both scientific assessment and operational use. These drought indices, such as the Climatological Water Balance [CWB; 18, 19], the Standardised Precipitation Index [SPI; 20], and the Standardised Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index [SPEI; 21]), are based on precipitation deficits (anomaly of precipitation, or anomaly of the difference between potential evapotranspiration and precipitation) and are used to assess the temporal development of dry or wet periods. Furthermore, they have been used to assess the influence of inter-annual to multi-decadal variability, and of climate change on the temporal variability of hydrological drought [e.g. 22–25]. Some aspects of these indices and their use in assessing hydrological variability can be transferred to the energy-meteorological domain.

We define the Climatological Renewable Energy Deviation Index (CREDI) as the cumulative anomaly of a renewable resource with respect to its climate over a specific time period of interest (Figure 1). Given this definition, this study addresses the following considerations: (a) how do you define the climatic behaviour of a highly variable renewable resource, like wind or solar? and (b) how do you analyse this index at different timescales; like (sub-)seasonal, annual, or multi-decadal?

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we define the renewable resource climate and the index. In Section 4, we analyse the index at different timescales and discuss the best starting point. In the Section 5 we discuss our definition of the index. Finally, in Section 6, a synthesis of our findings is presented and potential use cases in research and/or operational application are outlined. Supporting Information (SI) with additional figures and observational analysis is available online.

2 DEFINITION OF THE CLIMATIC CHARACTERISATION AND INDEX

Within the atmospheric sciences the climate of a region is defined as the statisticalmean weather conditions prevailing in that region [26]. The World Meteorological Organization [WMO; 27] has a standardised method for calculation of the *climatological normals*, which comes down to calculating monthly or daily mean values over a 30-year period. The climate, or mean expected behaviour, of renewable resources could be defined similarly. However, monthly or daily climatological values are not suitable due to the highly variable nature of renewable resources like wind and solar energy, and the need to balance the power grid at shorter timescales.

We can distinguish four relevant timescales that cover the main modes of energymeteorological variability. Namely:

- 1. annual to decadal timescales: variability caused by interactions in the coupled ocean-atmosphere-system, e.g. modes of variability like the El-Niño-Southern Oscillation [ENSO; 28] or the North Atlantic Oscillation [NAO; 29],
- 2. seasonal timescale: variability caused by the revolution of the Earth around the Sun and the directly related variation of the solar declination angle,
- 3. sub-seasonal timescale: variability caused by the cumulative interplay at various timescales, associated with the passing of weather systems and the changes in their persistence and occurrence,



Figure 1: Illustration of climatological renewable energy deviation index. Given the climate of a renewable resource (black line in panel a), the instantaneous anomaly can be calculated (orange/teal bars in panel a). Positive anomalies (orange) increase and negative anomalies (teal) decrease the index, which starts at zero at the start of period of analysis (panel b). Illustration shows solar potential anomalies for 2021 with respect to a 1991-2020 climate, and the SOLAR CREDI with a starting point at 1 March. Two meteorological forecast ensemble members converted to CREDI are shown to indicate a use-case for grid-operators.

4. daily timescale: variability caused by the revolution of the Earth around its axis, and the directly related times of sunrise, sunset, and the solar elevation angle.

When studying the generation potential of wind or solar, all these timescales of variability should be considered.

2.1 Observed variability of wind and solar energy potential

Examples of typical behaviour of wind and solar energy are shown in Figure 2. For wind, at seasonal timescales, we observe lower mean generation potential in the summer period (Figure 2a, 3a), when weather conditions are more stable. The higher and more variable generation potential in the autumn and winter period is associated with the quicker succession of storms in Europe (Figure 2a,b).

For solar the difference between summer and winter is more pronounced, which is predominantly due to seasonal changes in the angle of declination of the sun (Figure 2d and 3c). For both wind and solar the succession of large-scale high and low pressure systems can be observed (Figures 2b,e). Additionally, as the efficiency of solar panels declines with increasing air temperature, a reduced solar generation potential is observed around noon after the summer solstice (Figure SI.1).

On daily timescales the inherent diurnal cycle of the solar energy generation potential is very prominent and changes due to cloudiness are noticeable (Figure 2f). For the wind generation potential no diurnal cycle is evident (Figure SI.2), but large intrato multi-day changes associated with the passing of weather systems can clearly be observed (Figure 2b,c).



Figure 2: Timeseries of hourly generation potential of wind (top) and solar (bottom). Showing variability on yearly (a,d), sub-seasonal (b,e) and daily (c,f) timescales. This example shows data for the Dutch zone 'NLO1' from 2002-2004.

The observed variability of wind and solar energy potential is in line with the large ensemble used by Van der Wiel *et al.* [12] and the decadal observations align with Bett *et al.* [30] and Wohland *et al.* [31].

It is clear that both wind and solar show strong variability at daily to yearly timescales (Figure 2). To define a practically useful climate of the prevalent behaviour for the wind or solar energy resources, all these timescales of variability should be taken into account.

2.2 A climatology of renewable resources and the use of hourly rolling windows

The highly variable nature of the wind and solar resources makes that a straightforward 30-year daily mean does not result in a useful definition of their climate (see SI Section A.B). The same holds for an initial estimate by averaging each ordinal hour over 30 years (Figure 3a,c). Though this 'initial' climate does capture the mean expected behaviour on annual timescales, random fluctuations from day-to-day and hour-to-hour cannot be explained by physical processes in this climatological definition. To remove these random fluctuations more data would be needed to obtain the desired, physical, smooth climate. However, considering a period longer than 30years is ineffective, as climate change would start to influence the result. Applying a simple running mean to this 'initial' climate timeseries is undesirable, as that would remove the diurnal cycle, which has a physical origin and is of large importance for our application in the energy sector.

We therefore define an *hourly rolling window* climate, meaning that we first group the same time of day, and then, for each 'hour-of-the-day'-group, we apply a 30-year running mean (see SI A.A). While omitting some details, the hourly rolling window climate (C) of a renewable resource potential P for hour-of-the-year h is computed by:

$$C_P(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{y=1}^n \sum_{\substack{h' \in \{h+24d\}_{d=-\Delta}^{d=+\Delta}}} \frac{P(y,h')}{2\Delta + 1}, \qquad h = 1, 2, \dots, 8760$$
(1)

where n is the number of years, h is the hour of the year from 1 to 8760, Δ is half the window size (days) and P(y, h') is the generation potential for hour h' of year y. In line with [26] an unweighted average and n = 30 years are used. See Figure 3 for a comparison between the different methods.



Figure 3: Comparison of different methods for computing the climate of the potential generation for wind (top), and solar (bottom), for the period 1991-2020. Figures (a,c) show the hourly generation potentials for each year in this period (light blue for wind and orange for solar), the 'initial' climate (grey, see main text for details) and the hourly rolling window climate (blue and red, for wind, solar, respectively). Figures (b,d) show the same, but specifically for the period 3-10 April 2003. For clarity only 13:00 for each day of the year is shown in Figure (c).

It should be noted that the hour-of-the-year is cyclic in nature, meaning that the first hour of year y follows the last hour of year y - 1. While this is implemented, for reasons of clarity this is not included in formula 1.

To deal with leap years, we discard February 29th when computing the climate and index. This addresses the lack of data for 29 February and keeps a simple formalism.

The choice for the size of the rolling window is somewhat arbitrary. Sensitivity tests indicate that the window size should be bigger then 20 days to smooth any remaining nonphysical day-to-day variability, but smaller then 60 days to avoid over-smoothing the annual cycle (SI Section A.C.). Within this range the exact size of the window does not affect the use of the index. Here, we choose a window size of 40 days.

By using the hourly rolling window climate, both the importance of the various timescales and the need for more data points to get a smooth climatological function are addressed. It is essential that the climatological definition used in the calculation of the deviation index for wind or solar energy is physical (i.e. does not contain random fluctuations), such that anomalies represent variability due to the weather, decoupled from the climate.

2.3 The Climatological Renewable Energy Deviation Index (CREDI)

We define the CREDI to be the cumulative anomaly of a renewable resource with respect to its climate over a specific time period of interest from a chosen starting point in two steps (Figure 1). First, we determine the anomaly of a renewable resource, as the difference between the hourly generation potential of that resource and its climate (i.e. its expected value), taken from the computed hourly rolling window climate. Second, from an initial chosen starting point we sum these anomalies over a time period of interest.

More formally: let P(y,h) denote the generation potential for ordinal hour h of year y, and let $C_P(h)$ denote the climate for ordinal hour h for that potential P. The anomaly $A_C(y,h)$ of a renewable resource for ordinal hour h of year y is then defined as:

$$A_C(y,h) = P(y,h) - C_P(h).$$
 (2)

The CREDI over a given period of time is defined as the cumulative sum (or running total) of A_C over that period. For example, if we align the starting point with the start of the year, the CREDI on the *i*-th hour of that year (*y*) is:

CREDI
$$(y,i) = \sum_{h=1}^{i} A_C(y,h),$$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8760$ (3)

When interpreting the index, the following should be considered. A change in CREDI over time is an indication of either an excess or deficit of the renewable resource potential with respect to its climatic normal (Figure 1b). A stable CREDI over a period indicates nominal renewable resource potential with respect to its climate. The value of CREDI, in Full Load Hours (FLH), at a given time informs the user of anomalous behaviour over the period between the start date and that moment. FLHs depend on the installed capacity, therefore if the installed capacity of a resource is known or assumed, the index allows for direct assessment of the storage size needed.

For clarity, when the index is applied to a specific resource, we first refer to the resource before the index acronym is given. For example, the WIND CREDI refers to an assessment of the CREDI of wind energy potential, and similarly for solar.

2.4 The use of storylines in analysing CREDI

The index can be used to assess the temporal development of anomalous renewable energy generation. In line with the application of hydrological drought indices, a physical storylines approach [32, 33] could be used. This approach can use regional climate change information while avoiding the strict limitations of a normal confidence-based approach applied in climate science. Storylines can be used to gain more insight into the driving processes, identify event analogues, and investigate similar events in alternative energy systems or under future climate conditions. Utilising these insights in, for example, resource adequacy assessments or system design studies, will likely lead to a more robust energy system.

Selection of relevant events can be based on historical adequacy assessments (like the [34] Adequacy Outlook). As shown by Van der Wiel *et al.* [12, 33], event analogues can then be found in large energy-climate datasets that incorporate climate change [1, 11]. By studying these analogues the physical processes and likelihood of these events can be assessed.

To demonstrate the index at different timescales and to highlight relevant considerations in the application of the CREDI, we selected the years 1996, 1998, 2003 and 2016 as storylines. The year 1996 was chosen specifically, as one of the most challenging years for resource adequacy in the Netherlands and Germany in a future

net-zero emission energy system [34, p.56]. In the analysis of the potential for hydrogen generation from wind, 2003 and 2010 where found to be anomalously low [34, p.58-61]. Both 1998 and 2016 where chosen as they represent the most anomalous years of the index for solar and wind, respectively.

3 DATA

We used the preliminary 4th version of the Pan-European Climate Database to demonstrate the CREDI in this paper [PECDv4.0; 11]. This database, developed by Copernicus Climate Change Services (C3S) in cooperation with the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity (ENTSO-E) will be the new standard database used for all common Transmission System Operator (TSO) studies. The full database will be openly available as part of the new C3S-Energy dataset, expected in late 2023 (https://climate.copernicus.eu/energy/). To showcase the developed index all figures show data from the preliminary PECDv4.0 of the north-west region of the Netherlands ('NL01').

Within PECDv4.0 a range of technological properties have been modelled for both wind turbines and photovoltaic solar panels [11]. Only the historic hourly generation potential (or capacity factor) timeseries are used for solar and wind with the properties of 'existing technologies'. Our subset uses the ERA5 reanalysis for its meteorological forcing [35]. The wind power plant conversion model is the generic power curve model presented in Murcia *et al.* [36] that is implemented in PyWake [37]. For the property parameterisation it uses the 2020 data from the WindPowerNet (https://www.thewindpower.net/). Storm shut down behaviour is modelled after Leon *et al.* [38], while wakes are modelled as part of the generic power curve and for other losses a 10% reduction factor is applied [39]. The regional solar photo-voltaic (PV) potential is derived following Saint-Drenan *et al.* [40]. A distribution of near optimal tilt and azimuth angles was used that reflects current installed capacities. For agregation to the modelled zones in the PECDv4.0 database, the gridded ERA5 data was weighted by the cover of protected areas, regions with high slopes and/or high elevation.

4 APPLICATION OF THE CREDI AT DIFFERENT TIMESCALES

In this section we show the application of the index at decadal, seasonal and subseasonal timescales in the context of modelling future energy systems. The considerations associated with choosing a starting point for the CREDI calculation is especially relevant at (sub-)seasonal timescales, and will be discussed.

On daily timescales the weather is extremely variable, but it depends on local conditions and short-term battery storage comes into $play^{41}$. For most regions the maximum cost-effective storage based on the surplus charging capacity from wind and/or solar is in the order of 8 hours to 4 days [41–43]. For these reasons, we make no assessment on daily timescales here. However, due to the relevance of short-term events for the energy system, an example of a 8-day study window in CREDI is provided.

4.1 Annual to decadal variability in CREDI

At annual to decadal timescales the index can be used to assess the impact of large scale oscillations in the ocean and atmosphere on the availability of a renewable energy resource. These long-term deviations from the climate are relevant, e.g., because they offer sources of meteorological predictability [44, 45], or because stakeholders look at 10 year time periods to estimate return of investments [34].

Over the past 30 years, large inter-annual variation is observed in the WIND CREDI (Figure 4a). The cumulative effect of variations at seasonal scales resulted in higher

than expected wind generation potential from 1991 to 2002, while from 2010 onwards WIND CREDI declined indicating lower than expected wind generation potential. These general variations are in line with those found by Stoop *et al.* [15] and Wohland *et al.* [31].

Similarly, the SOLAR CREDI shows inter-annual variability. From 1991 to 2003 so-LAR CREDIshows a general decrease, indicating less than average potential generation from solar. Within this period, a strong reduction in the periods 1993-1995 and 1998-2002 is observed (Figure 4b). In the period 2005-2018, SOLAR CREDI is flat, showing that the solar potential was as expected from climate. After this period a steady increase in the SOLAR CREDI is observed, indicating higher than expected potential generation.



Figure 4: Hourly Wind (a) and Solar (b) CREDI over the period 1991-2020 for 'NLO1'. As the climate was calculated over the same period, by definition the CREDI sums to zero over the full period.

The values of SOLAR CREDI are generally lower than those of the WIND CREDI. This is directly related to the diurnal cycle, which by definition gives zero solar potential at night and low values in the morning and evening. Consequently, the sum of the anomalies over a given period is smaller than for wind potential, which has values for all 24 hours in a day.

Finally, while the impact of the relative observed variability depends on the ratio of installed capacities, we observe that the inter-annual energy-meteorological variability is mainly driven by the wind resource in the analysed region (i.e., the northwest of the Netherlands). And though the WIND and SOLAR CREDIS show strong anticorrelated behaviour during some years (e.g. from 1991 to 2002), in others this is not the case (e.g. from 2004 to 2005). At decadal timescales, wind and solar balance the system somewhat, but they are not suited to fully negate the variability of their counterpart.

4.2 Seasonal variability in CREDI

When assessing the seasonal energy-meteorological variability using the CREDI, the starting point determines the way the temporal development of the index is perceived. In line with definitions of hydrological drought, the starting point determines the separation between energy surplus (wet) and deficit (dry) years. As the index is intended to capture the energy-meteorological variability, the start date is picked such that the biggest range if CREDI at the end of, and throughout, the year is observed.

Comparing CREDI starting points for each month of the year, we found that these should *not* be the same for wind and solar (SI Section B). We use May 1st as the starting point for wind, as it gives the widest distribution of the index at the end of the analysis window in this particular region. For solar no clear distinction is found between a December or January starting point, we chose to use January 1st here.

For the yearly WIND CREDI, it is obvious that an individual year can either be anomalously positive or negative, and that variations throughout a year are large (Figure 5a). This results in a wide range of yearly storylines. The 25-75% spread of the index grows to ± 180 FLH over a year (Figure 5b). The most extreme negative year in the period considered for WIND CREDI was 2016. In that year, from about September onwards, the wind potential was almost consistently below expected with 350 less FLHs at the end of the analysed period.



Figure 5: Hourly WIND CREDI per analysis year over the period May 1991 to April 2021 for 'NLO1'. Figure a) shows the specific progression of WIND CREDI for each year (blue lines). Figure b) shows the distribution of the WIND CREDI for each hour of the year, namely the 50th percentile (blue line), the 25-75, 10-90 percentile and min-max range (shaded blue, see legend). Four exemplary storylines are shown, namely 1996 (red), 1998 (green), 2003 (purple) and 2016 (black).

As an example of the use of WIND CREDI for storyline analysis we look at 1996. From May to October the index is relatively flat, indicating that the wind potential was as expected from its climate (red line in Figure 5b). Then, a strong reduction is observed in the WIND CREDI from December to the end of January, indicating much lower then average potential generation from wind. Part of this deviation is compensated by higher than normal generation potential in February of 1997.

As noted earlier, values of yearly SOLAR CREDI are smaller than of WIND CREDI (Figure 6a), with an average spread (25-75%) of ± 18 FLHs, and uncommon spread (10-90%) of ± 35 FLHs spread over a year (Figure 6b). This indicates that ~18 FLHs of total energy is needed to cover the deficit of the installed solar capacity in 50 % of years and ~35 FLHs to cover 80% of years (Figure 6).

The most extreme year of high solar potential was 2003; the most extreme year of low solar potential was 1998. Especially 2003 is remembered for its extremely warm and sunny summer [46].

4.3 Sub-seasonal variability in CREDI

At sub-seasonal timescales, similar to seasonal, the start point determines the way the temporal development of the index is perceived. We use 'energy'-seasons to capture the large scale changes on sub-seasonal timescales. For wind we define two



Figure 6: Hourly SOLAR CREDI per year over the period 1991-2020 for 'NL01'. As shown in Figure 5, but the SOLAR CREDI is shown in orange hues.



Figure 7: Hourly winter WIND CREDI per season (Sep.-Apr.) for 1991-2021 for 'NLO1'. Figure a) shows the specific progression of WIND CREDI for each summer season (blue lines). In addition, four example storylines are represented, namely those starting in 1996 (red), 1998 (green), 2003 (purple) and 2016 (black). Figure b) shows two storylines (1996, 2003) and the hourly distribution of the WIND CREDI, namely the 50th percentile (blue line), the 25-75, 10-90 percentile, and min-max range (shaded blue, see legend).

seasons of interest: September to March, and April to August. For brevity, only the results found for wind in the winter 'energy'-season are shown here, see SI Section C for the other and solar. Alternative definitions of 'energy'-seasons can be relevant, especially for regions that have different sub-seasonal behaviour then the 'NLO1'-region shown here.

It is obvious that different years show quite different characteristics (Figure 7a) and individual winter seasons can differ greatly. As expected, the sub-seasonal timescale is emphasised more. For instance, the anomalous index-development in 1996 described in Section 4.2 is more clearly visible. Especially the strong reduction in WIND CREDI from December to the end of January stands out as a period of much lower than normal wind generation potential.

4.4 A short-term study window for event-based CREDI

Finally, short-term events, e.g. *Dunkelflautes*, can pose significant risk to highly renewable energy systems [12, 47–50]. A 8-day window for CREDI aligns with previous work [34], and is investigated here, see SI Section D for additional figures and the top



Figure 8: Hourly winter WIND CREDI during 8-days for all events with less then 5 days overlapping in the period May 1991 to April 2021 for 'NL01'. The storylines show the analysis years 1996 (red, 4x), 1998 (green, 1x), 2003 (purple, 1x) and 2016 (black, 3x). Further formatting as shown in Figure 7.

50 8-day events.

For short-term event analysis we do not pre-define the start point, all 8-day windows are considered. Overlapping events that share a five or more days, are removed from the analysis. While we only consider the lowest final CREDI value for our event selection, other impact selection methods as described by Van der Wiel *et al.* [33] can be used.

Again we noted the large weather-caused variability between different 8-day periods (Figure 8a). The computed spread in Figure 8b considers events throughout the year. This can also be investigated on a seasonal basis for winter or summer-specific event information, or for shorter or longer events.

The most extreme event is from 16-24 jan. 2017 and the analysis year 2016 is present 3 times in the top 50 events. While the specific 8-day event found in TenneT [34] is not the most extreme event, the analysis year 1996 does show up four times in the top 50 events. Indicating that the analysis year 1996 indeed stands out as quite exceptional.

5 DISCUSSION

The presented index is defined as the cumulative anomaly of a renewable resource with respect to its climate. The method of determining the climate is thus vital and, as shown, should take into account the strong diurnal and annual cycle present in renewable energy resources. The calculation of the climate used here has a dependence on the size of the rolling window, which was primarily based on expert judgement. A longer timeseries, covering many decades, could be used for a cross-validation check to obtain the optimum *rolling window* size, but the data source should be selected with great care, due to potential inconsistencies [31, 51, 52]. In previous work a climatic definition on harmonics has been effective [53–55], but we found it unsuitable here (see SI Section A.B).

When combined with weather forecasts, indices for hydrological drought can help policy makers make early decisions regarding societal risks [22–25]. However, the operation of the electricity grid requires balance on very short timescales [1, 34]. While we presented our index with an hourly resolution, further research is needed to investigate if the CREDI can also be applied on these very short timescales. The examples provided, however, do already show CREDI's usefulness in resilience planning, resource adequacy assessments, and as a metric for selecting events for robustness

analysis.

In this introduction of the index, we applied it to the north-west region of the Netherlands. However, as shown by Pickering *et al.* [56], energy-meteorological variability is strongly region dependent. Therefore, the CREDI should be calculated and analysed for each region separately. Due to the ease of application, and the intuitive analysis and interpretation of the index, this application to other regions is relatively straightforward (see SI Section E for a few additional regions).

6 CONCLUSION

Drawing inspiration from the work on drought monitoring indices, we have presented the Climatological Renewable Energy Deviation Index (CREDI). This new index is meant as an analytical method for researchers and stakeholders to help them understand and explain the impact of the variable nature of the weather on the energy system. The index computes the cumulative deviation or anomaly from the mean expected weather (its climate) for a chosen period. Given the relevance of both the diurnal and annual cycle in meteorology for energy applications, we recommend a simple but suitable definition of the background climate using an hourly rolling window approach.

The index can be used when understanding of energy-meteorological variability is key. For example, the CREDI can be used as part of a resource adequacy analysis from TSOs to identify events which are likely to be a challenge in maintaining security of supply in a (future) power system driven by renewable energy sources. At the same time, the CREDI could be used to assess the volume and power output of back-up resources needed for a given timescale, region, and energy system design. Then, by using the event selection and analysis, as e.g. in Van der Wiel *et al.* [33] for hydrological extremes, detailed event descriptions can be developed, systems can be stress tested, and further insight could be gained into energy-meteorological variability.

CREDIT AUTHOR STATEMENT

Conceptualisation, Formal Analysis and Visualisation: LPS, Investigation, Methodology and Writing - Original Draft: LPS, KvdW, Writing - Review & Editing: *All listed authors*, Supervision and Funding acquisition: *AJF*, *MvdB*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Laurens P. Stoop received funding from the Dutch Research Council (NWO) under grant number 647.003.005. The content of this paper and the views expressed in it are solely the author's responsibility, and do not necessarily reflect the views of TenneT TSO B.V..

OPEN RESEARCH

The implementation of the CREDI, its use at different timescales, all code used to generate the figures, the data from the 'NLO1' region discussed and the full list of the most extreme short-term events found as presented in this study are available at Github via https://github.com/laurensstoop/ccmetrics with the MIT license.

The preliminary data of the PECDv4 containing the regional renewable resource potential for historical technological definitions of wind and solar used for in this study to showcase the CREDI are not available due to ongoing validation. In due time the full PECDv4, including raw gridded and aggregated regional/national renewable resource potentials for a wide range of technological definitions, will be made available as part of the C3S Energy dataset and can be found through https://climate.copernicus.eu/operational-service-energy-sector.

REFERENCES

- 1. Craig, M. T. *et al.* Overcoming the disconnect between energy system and climate modeling. *Joule.* doi:10.1016/j.joule.2022.05.010 (2022).
- 2. Bloomfield, H. C. *et al.* The Importance of Weather and Climate to Energy Systems: A Workshop on Next Generation Challenges in Energy–Climate Modeling. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society.* doi:10.1175/bams-d-20-0256.1 (2021).
- 3. McKenna, R. *et al.* High-resolution large-scale onshore wind energy assessments: A review of potential definitions, methodologies and future research needs. *Renewable Energy.* doi:10.1016/j.renene.2021.10.027 (2022).
- 4. Gernaat, D. E. *et al.* Climate change impacts on renewable energy supply. *Nature Climate Change*. doi:10.1038/s41558-020-00949-9 (2021).
- 5. Wuijts, R. H., van den Akker, J. & van den Broek, M. Effect of modelling choices in the unit commitment problem. *Energy Systems*. doi:10.1007/s12667-023-00564-5 (2023).
- 6. Price, J. & Zeyringer, M. highRES-Europe: The high spatial and temporal Resolution Electricity System model for Europe. *SoftwareX*. doi:10.1016/j.softx.2022. 101003 (2022).
- 7. Wuijts, R. H. et al. Linking Unserved Energy to Weather Regimes 2023. doi:10. 48550/arXiv.2303.15492.
- 8. Grochowicz, A., van Greevenbroek, K., Benth, F. E. & Zeyringer, M. Intersecting near-optimal spaces: European power systems with more resilience to weather variability. *Energy Economics*. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2022.106496 (2023).
- Harang, I., Heymann, F. & Stoop, L. P. Incorporating climate change effects into the European power system adequacy assessment using a post-processing method. *Sustainable Energy, Grids and Networks.* doi:10.1016/j.segan.2020.100403 (2020).
- 10. Hu, J. *et al.* Implications of a Paris-proof scenario for future supply of weatherdependent variable renewable energy in Europe. *Advances in Applied Energy*. doi:10.1016/j.adapen.2023.100134 (2023).
- 11. Dubus, L. *et al.* Towards a future-proof climate database for European energy system studies. *Environmental Research Letters.* doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aca1d3 (2022).
- 12. Van der Wiel, K. *et al.* Meteorological conditions leading to extreme low variable renewable energy production and extreme high energy shortfall. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.* doi:10.1016/j.rser.2019.04.065 (2019).
- Ohlendorf, N. & Schill, W.-P. Frequency and duration of low-wind-power events in Germany. *Environmental Research Letters*. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab91e9 (2020).
- 14. Felipe, N. O., Martius, O., Allen, S., Bloomfield, H. & Schaefli, B. A Copula-Based Assessment of Renewable Energy Droughts Across Europe. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3980444 (2021).
- 15. Stoop, L. P., Duijm, E., Feelders, A. & van den Broek, M. Detection of Critical Events in Renewable Energy Production Time Series in Advanced Analytics and Learning on Temporal Data (2021). doi:10.1007/978-3-030-91445-5_7.
- 16. Van der Most, L. *et al.* Extreme events in the European renewable power system: Validation of a modeling framework to estimate renewable electricity production and demand from meteorological data. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.* doi:10.1016/j.rser.2022.112987 (2022).

- 17. Boston, A., Bongers, G. D. & Bongers, N. Characterisation and mitigation of renewable droughts in the Australian National Electricity Market. *Environmental Research Communications*. doi:10.1088/2515-7620/ac5677 (2022).
- 18. Gleick, P. H., Whitehead, E., Hutchinson, C., Timmermann, B. & Varady, R. Regional hydrologic impacts of global climatic changes. *Arid Lands: Today and Tomorrow* (1985).
- 19. Gleick, P. H. Methods for evaluating the regional hydrologic impacts of global climatic changes. *Journal of Hydrology*. doi:10.1016/0022-1694(86)90199-x (1986).
- 20. McKee, T. B., Doesken, N. J. & Kleist, J. The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales in Proceedings of the 8th Conference on Applied Climatology (1993).https://climate.colostate.edu/pdfs/relationshipofdroughtfrequency. pdf.
- 21. Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Begueria, S. & Lopez-Moreno, J. I. A Multiscalar Drought Index Sensitive to Global Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. *Journal of Climate*. doi:10.1175/2009jcli2909.1 (2010).
- 22. Quiring, S. M. Monitoring Drought: An Evaluation of Meteorological Drought Indices. *Geography Compass.* doi:10.1111/j.1749-8198.2008.00207.x (Jan. 2009).
- 23. Stagge, J. H., Kohn, I., Tallaksen, L. M. & Stahl, K. Modeling drought impact occurrence based on meteorological drought indices in Europe. *Journal of Hydrology*. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.09.039 (2015).
- 24. Cammalleri, C., Spinoni, J., Barbosa, P., Toreti, A. & Vogt, J. V. The effects of nonstationarity on SPI for operational drought monitoring in Europe. *International Journal of Climatology*. doi:10.1002/joc.7424 (2021).
- 25. Van der Wiel, K., Batelaan, T. & Wanders, N. Large increases of multi-year droughts in north-western Europe in a warmer climate. *Climate Dynamics*. doi:10.1007/s00382-022-06373-3 (July 2022).
- 26. Arguez, A. & Vose, R. S. The Definition of the Standard WMO Climate Normal: The Key to Deriving Alternative Climate Normals. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. doi:10.1175/2010bams2955.1 (2011).
- 27. World Meteorological Organization. WMO guidelines on the calculation of climate normals ISBN: 9789263112033. https://library.wmo.int/doc%5C_num. php?explnum%5C_id=4166 (2017).
- IPCC. in Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (eds Masson-Delmotte, V. et al.) (2021). doi:10.1017/9781009157896. 018.
- 29. Wanner, H. *et al.* North Atlantic Oscillation Concepts And Studies. *Surveys in Geophysics*. doi:10.1023/a:1014217317898 (2001).
- 30. Bett, P. E., Thornton, H. E. & Clark, R. T. European wind variability over 140 yr. *Advances in Science and Research*. doi:10.5194/asr-10-51-2013 (2013).
- 31. Wohland, J., Omrani, N. E., Keenlyside, N. & Witthaut, D. Significant multidecadal variability in German wind energy generation. *Wind Energy Science*. doi:10.5194/wes-4-515-2019 (2019).
- 32. Shepherd, T.G. Storyline approach to the construction of regional climate change information. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences.* doi:10.1098/rspa.2019.0013 (2019).
- 33. Van der Wiel, K., Lenderink, G. & De Vries, H. Physical storylines of future European drought events like 2018 based on ensemble climate modelling. *Weather and Climate Extremes*. doi:10.1016/j.wace.2021.100350 (2021).

- 34. TenneT. Adequacy Outlook 2023. https://www.tennet.eu/nl/nieuws/leveringszekerheidvan-elektriciteit-een-volledig-duurzaam-elektriciteitssyteem.
- 35. Hersbach, H. et al. The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*. doi:10.1002/qj.3803 (2020).
- 36. Murcia, J. P. *et al.* Validation of European-scale simulated wind speed and wind generation time series. *Applied Energy*. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117794 (2022).
- 37. Pedersen, M. M. *et al.* PyWake 2.5.0: An open-source wind farm simulation tool. https://gitlab.windenergy.dtu.dk/TOPFARM/PyWake (2023).
- 38. Leon, J. P. M., Koivisto, M. J., Sørensen, P. & Magnant, P. Power fluctuations in high-installation-density offshore wind fleets. *Wind Energy Science*. doi:10.5194/ wes-6-461-2021 (2021).
- 39. Luzia, G., Matti, K. & Hahmann, A. N. Validating Euro-Cordex Climate Simulations For Modelling European Wind Power Generation. doi:10.2139/ssrn.4401025 (2023).
- 40. Saint-Drenan, Y.-M., Wald, L., Ranchin, T., Dubus, L. & Troccoli, A. An approach for the estimation of the aggregated photovoltaic power generated in several European countries from meteorological data. *Advances in Science and Research*. doi:10.5194/asr-15-51-2018 (2018).
- 41. Parzen, M., Fioriti, D. & Kiprakis, A. *The Value of Competing Energy Storage in Decarbonized Power Systems* 2023. arXiv: 2305.09795 [physics.soc-ph].
- 42. Livingston, H. G. & Lundquist, J. K. How many offshore wind turbines does New England need? *Meteorological Applications*. doi:10.1002/met.1969 (2020).
- 43. Sepulveda, N. A., Jenkins, J. D., Edington, A., Mallapragada, D. S. & Lester, R. K. The design space for long-duration energy storage in decarbonized power systems. *Nature Energy*. doi:10.1038/s41560-021-00796-8 (2021).
- 44. Hawkins, E. & Sutton, R. The Potential to Narrow Uncertainty in Regional Climate Predictions. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. doi:10.1175/ 2009bams2607.1 (2009).
- 45. Scaife, A. A. *et al.* Skillful long-range prediction of European and North American winters. *Geophysical Research Letters.* doi:10.1002/2014g1059637 (2014).
- 46. Garcia-Herrera, R., Diaz, J., Trigo, R. M., Luterbacher, J. & Fischer, E. M. A Review of the European Summer Heat Wave of 2003. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*. doi:10.1080/10643380802238137 (2010).
- 47. Tedesco, P., Lenkoski, A., Bloomfield, H. C. & Sillmann, J. *Gaussian copula modeling of extreme cold and weak-wind events over Europe conditioned on winter weather regimes* 2023. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/acb6aa.
- 48. Mockert, F., Grams, C. M., Brown, T. & Neumann, F. *Meteorological conditions* during Dunkelflauten in Germany: Characteristics, the role of weather regimes and impacts on demand 2022. doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2212.04870.
- 49. Li, B., Basu, S. & Watson, S. J. Automated Identification of "Dunkelflaute" Events: A Convolutional Neural Network–Based Autoencoder Approach. *Artificial Intelligence for the Earth Systems*. doi:10.1175/aies-d-22-0015.1 (2022).
- 50. Sundar, S., Craig, M., Payne, A., Brayshaw, D. & Lehner, F. Meteorological Drivers of Resource Adequacy Failures in Current and High Renewable Western U.S. Power Systems. doi:10.31223/x57d2g. https://doi.org/10.31223/x57d2g (2022).
- 51. Wohland, J. Process-based climate change assessment for European winds using EURO-CORDEX and global models. *Environmental Research Letters*. doi:10. 1088/1748-9326/aca77f (2022).

- 52. Deser, C. & Phillips, A. S. A range of outcomes: the combined effects of internal variability and anthropogenic forcing on regional climate trends over Europe. *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics.* doi:10.5194/npg-30-63-2023 (2023).
- 53. Sabziparvar, A. A., Movahedi, S., Asakereh, H., Maryanaji, Z. & Masoodian, S. A. Geographical factors affecting variability of precipitation regime in Iran. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*. doi:10.1007/s00704-014-1174-3 (2014).
- 54. Fischer, M., Rust, H. & Ulbrich, U. A spatial and seasonal climatology of extreme precipitation return-levels: A case study. *Spatial Statistics*. doi:10.1016/j.spasta. 2017.11.007 (2019).
- 55. Rayson, M. D., Jones, N. L., Ivey, G. N. & Gong, Y. A Seasonal Harmonic Model for Internal Tide Amplitude Prediction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans.* doi:10.1029/2021jc017570 (2021).
- 56. Pickering, B., Grams, C. M. & Pfenninger, S. Sub-national variability of wind power generation in complex terrain and its correlation with large-scale meteorology. *Environmental Research Letters*. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab70bd (2020).

A COMPARISON OF CLIMATIC DEFINITIONS OF THE RENEWABLE RESOURCES

Section 2 in the main text describes the observed climatic behaviour of wind and solar energy potential and shows the use of the hourly rolling window climate for renewable resources. Here we provide some additional figures and analysis on the specific behaviour during each hour of the day (Section A.1). We also highlight the use of different climate definitions (Section A.2) and discuss the sensitivity of the hourly rolling window climate on its window size (Section A.3).

A.1 Climatic characterisation for each hour of the day

Section 2.1 in the main text describes the observed variability of wind and solar energy potential. Here we provide some additional figures (Figure S1 and S2) show the climatic behaviour throughout the year, for each hour of the day separately.

For solar, the strong annual and diurnal cycle are very clearly visible. In addition, a few peculiarities can be observed related to how solar panels function. The efficiency of solar panels declines with increasing air temperature [40], leading to a reduced solar generation potential around noon after the summer solstice from the higher temperatures at this time of the year.



Figure S1: The climatological definitions for the solar potential generation is shown for each hour of the day over a year for the period 1991-2020 for 'NLO1'. The figures show the 'initial' climate definition (grey), the hourly rolling window climate (red) and also include the full range of generation potentials in 1991-2020 (light orange).

For wind energy generation potential only the annual cycle of the seasonal variability of wind is clear and no clear distinction for the hour of the day can be made. The climatology for each hour of the day does not match perfectly and there are some minor differences observed.

A.2 Comparison of climate definitions

Section 2.2 in the main text discusses the climate of a renewable resource. Here we provide some additional figures showing that both a daily [27] and harmonic description of the climate are unsuitable for use in energy-meteorological applications (Figures S3 & S4). For the latter see the work of Sabziparvar *et al.* [53], Fischer *et al.* [54], and Rayson *et al.* [55] for their use of the harmonic climate definition.



Figure S2: The climatological definitions for the wind potential generation is shown for each hour of the day over a year for the period 1991-2020 for 'NLO1'. The figures show the 'initial' climate definition (grey), the hourly rolling window climate (dark blue) and also include the full range of generation potentials in 1991-2020 (light blue).

While the climate definitions are unsuitable, their impact on the CREDIIS limited (Figure S5).



Figure S3: Comparison of windows for the hourly rolling window (HRW) climate for wind during the period 1991-2020 for 'NL01'. In light blue the yearly generation potentials from 1991 to 2020 are shown. The 'initial' climate (grey, see main text for details) and various windows sizes (10,20,40,60,90,120 days) of the hourly rolling window climate (in purple, green, dark blue, yellow, black and orange, respectively) are shown.



Figure S4: Comparison of windows for the hourly rolling window (HRW) climate for solar during the period 1991-2020 for 'NL01'. As shown in Figure S3, see legend for colours.



Figure S5: Comparison of the impact of a different climate definition on the resulting CREDI for wind during the period 1991-2020 for 'NL01'.

A.3 Sensitivity of window size for Hourly Rolling Window climate definitions

A comparison of windows for the hourly rolling window climate is shown in Figure S6 & S7 for solar and Figure S8 & S9 for wind.

For solar potential the hourly rolling window climate for a 10 day window is not suited as variations are observed on daily to weekly timescales that have no physical reason to be a recurrent over the years (see Figure S6). Similar to the climate for wind, these fluctuations observed at the 10 day window would not constitute as a good definition of a climate. On the other hand, very large windows like those using the 60, 90 or 120 window, are very smooth throughout the year, underestimating for instance the peak of maximum solar potential near the end of April/start of May (see Figure S7) and severely over estimating the winter dip in solar potential (Figure S6). Again, inline with what was found with wind this indicates an over-smoothing of the yearly cycle and thus using these windows within the hourly rolling window climate would thus not be a good indicator of likely weather. A window size in the range of 20-60 days is adequate in capturing the persistent weather fluctuations and the annual peak solar potential, without underestimating the annual cycle.

For wind potential the hourly rolling window climate for a 10 day window is not suited as variations are observed on daily to monthly timescales that have no physical reason to be a recurrent over the years (see Figure S9). As a climate is defined as the statistically-mean weather conditions *prevailing* in a region, the short-term nature of the fluctuations observed at the 10 day window would not constitute as a good definition of a climate as the climate fluctuates on short timescales. The same holds for the 20 day window, albeit to a lesser extent. On the other hand, very large windows like those using the 90 or 120 window, are very smooth throughout the year. For most of the mid-winter period their climate is well below the 'initial' climate and during the summer above (see Figure S8). This indicates an over-smoothing of the yearly cycle and thus using these windows within the hourly rolling window climate would thus not be a good indicator of likely weather. A window size in the range of 20-90 days is adequate in capturing the persistent weather fluctuations throughout the year, without underestimating the annual cycle.

B ANNUAL START DATE ANALYSIS FOR CREDI

Section 4 in the main text describes the application of the CREDI at different timescales. Here we show how the hourly distribution of CREDI changes over a year if a different starting point is used (Figures S10 & S11). In line with the main text four exemplary storylines are shown, namely 1996 (red), 1998 (green), 2003 (purple) and 2016 (black).

From Figure S11, the impact of choosing a different starting point becomes very clear. For the storylines shown you can see that they change from one of the highest, to on of the lowest depending on the start point. To a lesser degree, the same holds for the solar resource shown in Figure S10.



Figure S6: Comparison of windows for the hourly rolling window (HRW) climate for solar during the period 1991-2020 for 'NL01'. In light orange the yearly generation potentials from 1991 to 2020 are shown. The 'initial' climate (grey, see main text for details) and various windows sizes (10,20,40,60,90,120 days) of the hourly rolling window climate (in purple, green, red, yellow, black and orange, respectively) are shown. For clarity only 13:00 for each day of the year is shown.



Figure S7: Comparison of windows for the hourly rolling window climate for solar, as shown in Figure S6, but specifically for the period from March to June 2003.



Figure S8: Comparison of windows for the hourly rolling window (HRW) climate for wind during the period 1991-2020 for 'NL01'. In light blue the yearly generation potentials from 1991 to 2020 are shown. The 'initial' climate (grey, see main text for details) and various windows sizes (10,20,40,60,90,120 days) of the hourly rolling window climate (in purple, green, dark blue, yellow, black and orange, respectively) are shown.



Figure S9: Comparison of windows for the hourly rolling window climate for wind for 'NLO1', as shown in Figure S8, but specifically for the period from March to June 2003.



Figure S10: Comparison of the distribution of the SOLAR CREDI with different the monthly starting points of the annual period. The distribution is shown with the 50th percentile (orange line), the 25-75, 10-90 percentile and min-max range (shaded orange, see legend) for each hour of the year for the years 1991-2020 in the 'NL01' region. Four exemplary storylines are shown, namely 1996 (red), 1998 (green), 2003 (purple) and 2016 (black), see main text for details and analysis.



Figure S11: Comparison of the distribution of the WIND CREDI with different the monthly starting points of the annual period. The distribution is shown with the 50th percentile (blue line), the 25-75, 10-90 percentile and min-max range (shaded blue, see legend) for each hour of the year for the years 1991-2020 in the 'NLO1' region. Four exemplary storylines are shown, namely 1996 (red), 1998 (green), 2003 (purple) and 2016 (black), see main text for details and analysis.

C ADDITIONAL SEASONAL ANALYSIS FIGURES OF CREDI

Section 4.3 in the main text shows the seasonal variability in CREDI. Here we provide some additional figures representing a different season for either WIND or SOLAR CREDI (Figures S12-S15).



Figure S12: Hourly summer WIND CREDI throughout the season over the period 1991-2020 for 'NLO1'. Figure a) shows the specific progression of WIND CREDI for each summer season (blue lines). In addition, four example storylines are represented, namely 1996 (red), 1998(green), 2003(purple) and 2016 (black), see main text for details and analysis. Figure b) shows two storylines (1996, 2003) and the hourly distribution of the WIND CREDI, namely the 50th percentile (blue line), the 25-75, 10-90 percentile, and min-max range (shaded blue, see legend).



Figure S13: Hourly winter WIND CREDI throughout the season over the period 1991-2020 for 'NLO1'. As shown in Figure S12.



Figure S14: Hourly summer SOLAR CREDI throughout the season over the period 1991-2020 for 'NLO1'. Figure a) shows the specific progression of SOLAR CREDI for each summer season (orange lines). In addition, four example storylines are represented, namely 1996 (red), 1998(green), 2003(purple) and 2016 (black), see main text for details and analysis. Figure b) shows two storylines (1996, 2003) and the hourly distribution of the SOLAR CREDI, namely the 50th percentile (orange line), the 25-75, 10-90 percentile, and min-max range (shaded orange, see legend).



Figure S15: Hourly winter SOLAR CREDI throughout the season over the period 1991-2020 for 'NLO1'. As shown in Figure S14.

D ADDITIONAL SHORT-TERM ANALYSIS FIGURES OF CREDI

Section 4.4 in the main text shows an example of the short-term CREDI event selection. Here we provide some additional figures related to the event selection and the observed behaviour. Figure S16 shows the wind distribution of the generation potential during the analysis period and for the selected events.



Figure S16: Hourly winter WIND CREDI per 8-days for all events with less then 5 days overlapping in the period May 1991 to April 2021 for 'NLO1'. The storylines show the analysis years 1996 (red, 4x), 1998 (green, 1x), 2003 (purple, 1x) and 2016 (black, 3x). Figure a) shows the specific progression of WIND CREDI for each summer season (blue lines). To highlight the behaviour during an event, Figure b) shows the hourly distribution of the wind generation potential, namely the 50th percentile (blue line), the 25-75, 10-90 percentile, and min-max range (shaded blue, see legend).



Figure S17: Histogram of the SOLAR CREDI (Figure a) and WIND CREDI (Figure b) at 8-days for all events with less then 5 days overlapping in the period May 1991 to April 2021 for 'NLO1'.

The distribution of all non-overlapping events in the analysed period for both SOLAR CREDI and WIND CREDI's shown in Figure S17. This is then further detailed by looking at the WIND CREDI and SOLAR CREDI values at the end of the selected events for both wind and solar in Figures S18 and S19, where the latter only shows the top 50 events for both wind and solar. A Table with all top 50 events for both WIND and SOLAR CREDI 8-day events is provided in Table S1, see the *Open Research* section for the details of the code repository that contains the full list of all events.



Figure S18: The 8-days WIND CREDI and associated SOLAR CREDI for all WIND CREDI (blue) and SOLAR CREDI (orange) events with less then 5 days overlapping in the period May 1991 to April 2021 for 'NLO1'. The highlighted events are for those used in the analysis 1996 (red, 4x), 1998 (green, 1x), 2003 (purple, 1x) and 2016 (black, 3x).



Figure S19: As Figure S18, but then only the top 50 WIND CREDI and SOLAR CREDI events are shown.

Table S1: Overview of the index value and event date for the top 50 8-day events selected both for WIND and SOLAR CREDI. Only those events are selected which have less then 5-days of overlap. The full list of the all 8-day events can be found as listed in the *Open Research* section.

1 -15,49 23/05/2013 -67,36 24/01/2017 2 -13,98 18/05/1996 -65,88 27/12/2006 3 -13,80 07/06/2012 -65,75 30/01/1991 6 -11,82 26/05/2012 -62,30 30/01/1991 6 -11,82 26/05/2016 -60,45 15/02/1993 7 -11,75 11/07/2020 -59,27 01/02/1992 8 -11,30 18/06/1995 -58,73 06/02/2006 9 -11,16 23/05/1994 -57,74 13/12/1996 10 -10,93 28/05/2006 -55,27 21/01/2001 11 -10,89 14/07/2010 -54,39 15/12/2001 12 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 13 -10,82 31/07/1993 -50,33 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,50 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 <th>Event Rank</th> <th>SOLAR CREDI</th> <th>Event date</th> <th>WIND CREDI</th> <th>Event date</th>	Event Rank	SOLAR CREDI	Event date	WIND CREDI	Event date			
2 -13,98 18/05/1996 -65,75 30/12/1992 4 -12,97 06/05/2002 -62,68 18/0/2013 5 -12,55 08/07/2002 -62,68 18/0/2013 6 -11,82 26/05/2016 -60,45 15/02/1993 7 -11,75 11/07/2020 -59,27 01/02/1992 8 -11,30 18/06/1995 -58,73 06/02/2006 9 -11,16 23/05/1994 -57,74 13/12/1996 10 -10,93 28/05/2016 -55,27 21/01/2001 11 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 12 -10,88 28/07/2015 -53,80 31/01/1997 13 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,96 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92	1	-15,49	23/05/2013	-67,36	24/01/2017			
3 -13.80 07/06/2012 -65,75 30/12/1992 4 -12,97 06/05/2002 -62,68 18/01/2013 5 -12,55 08/07/2002 -62,30 30/01/1991 6 -11,82 26/05/2016 -60,45 15/02/1993 7 -11,75 11/07/2020 -59,27 01/02/1992 8 -11,30 18/06/1995 -58,73 06/02/2006 9 -11,6 23/05/1994 -57,74 13/12/1996 10 -10,93 28/05/2006 -55,27 21/01/2001 11 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 21/03/1997 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,84 22/07/193 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74	2	-13,98	18/05/1996	-65,88	27/12/2006			
4 -12,97 06/05/2002 -62,68 18/01/2013 5 -12,55 08/07/2002 -62,30 30/01/1991 6 -11,82 26/05/2016 -60,45 15/02/1993 7 -11,75 11/07/2020 -59,27 01/02/1992 8 -11,30 18/06/1995 -58,73 06/02/2006 9 -11,16 23/05/2006 -55,27 21/01/2001 11 -10,89 14/05/2010 -54,99 15/12/2001 12 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 13 -10,82 31/07/1993 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 28/01/2004 16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,40 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,942 <td>3</td> <td>-13,80</td> <td>07/06/2012</td> <td>-65,75</td> <td>30/12/1992</td>	3	-13,80	07/06/2012	-65,75	30/12/1992			
5 -12,55 08/07/2002 -62,30 30/01/1991 6 -11,82 26/05/2016 -60,45 15/02/1993 7 -11,75 11/07/2020 -59,27 01/02/1992 8 -11,30 18/06/1995 -58,73 06/02/2006 9 -11,16 23/05/1994 -57,74 13/12/1996 10 -10,93 28/05/2006 -53,87 31/01/1997 11 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 13 -10,82 31/07/1993 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 31/06/1998 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,57 26/01/1997 16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,57 26/01/2019 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,61 02/03/2019 16 -10,43 13/06/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,58 04/01/1993 19 -10,07	4	-12,97	06/05/2002	-62,68	18/01/2013			
6 -11,82 26/05/2016 -60,45 15/02/1993 7 -11,75 11/07/2020 -59,27 01/02/1992 8 -11,30 18/06/1995 -58,73 06/02/2006 9 -11,16 23/05/1994 -57,74 13/12/1996 10 -10,93 28/05/2006 -55,27 21/01/2001 11 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 21/03/1997 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,66 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/1997 23 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,86 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 </td <td>5</td> <td>-12,55</td> <td>08/07/2002</td> <td>-62,30</td> <td>30/01/1991</td>	5	-12,55	08/07/2002	-62,30	30/01/1991			
7 -11,75 11/07/2020 -59,27 01/02/1992 8 -11,30 18/06/1995 -58,73 06/02/2006 9 -11,16 23/05/1994 -57,74 13/12/1996 10 -10,93 28/05/2006 -55,27 21/01/2001 11 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 12 -10,82 31/07/1993 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 28/01/2004 16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,61 02/03/2019 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/2002 23 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 <td>6</td> <td>-11,82</td> <td>26/05/2016</td> <td>-60,45</td> <td>15/02/1993</td>	6	-11,82	26/05/2016	-60,45	15/02/1993			
8 -11,30 18/06/1995 -58,73 06/02/2006 9 -11,16 23/05/1994 -57,74 13/12/1996 10 -10,93 28/05/2006 -55,27 21/01/2001 11 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 13 -10,82 31/07/1993 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 21/03/1997 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,68 04/01/1993 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,54 13/01/1997 23 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 </td <td>7</td> <td>-11,75</td> <td>11/07/2020</td> <td>-59,27</td> <td>01/02/1992</td>	7	-11,75	11/07/2020	-59,27	01/02/1992			
9 -11,16 23/05/2006 -57,74 13/12/1996 10 -10,93 28/05/2006 -55,27 21/01/2001 11 -10,89 14/05/2010 -54,99 15/12/2001 12 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 13 -10,82 31/07/1993 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 28/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,66 26/02/1994 17 -10,00 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,61 02/03/2011 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65<	8	-11,30	18/06/1995	-58,73	06/02/2006			
10 -10,93 28/05/2006 -55,27 21/01/2001 11 -10,89 14/05/2010 -54,99 15/12/2001 12 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 13 -10,82 31/07/1993 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 21/03/1997 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 28/01/2004 16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/12/2016 28 -9,31<	9	-11,16	23/05/1994	-57,74	13/12/1996			
11 -10,89 14/05/2010 -54,99 15/12/2001 12 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 13 -10,82 31/07/1993 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 28/01/2004 16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,96 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/203 29 -9,34 <td>10</td> <td>-10,93</td> <td>28/05/2006</td> <td>-55,27</td> <td>21/01/2001</td>	10	-10,93	28/05/2006	-55,27	21/01/2001			
12 -10,88 28/07/2005 -53,80 31/01/1997 13 -10,82 31/07/1993 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 21/03/1997 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 26/02/1994 16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,96 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2013 27 -9,63 20/07/2001 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,18 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 <td>11</td> <td>-10,89</td> <td>14/05/2010</td> <td>-54,99</td> <td>15/12/2001</td>	11	-10,89	14/05/2010	-54,99	15/12/2001			
13 -10,82 31/07/1993 -53,39 18/02/2008 14 -10,44 21/03/1997 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 28/01/2004 16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,96 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,63 20/07/1991 -48,54 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,52 24/12/2021 31 -9,14 <td>12</td> <td>-10,88</td> <td>28/07/2005</td> <td>-53,80</td> <td>31/01/1997</td>	12	-10,88	28/07/2005	-53,80	31/01/1997			
14 -10,44 21/03/1997 -52,57 22/12/2007 15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 28/01/2004 16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,96 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 20 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/22/016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,01	13	-10,82	31/07/1993	-53,39	18/02/2008			
15 -10,44 31/07/2011 -52,30 28/01/2004 16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,96 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/1297 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,29 24/12/2021 31 -9,14	14	-10,44	21/03/1997	-52,57	22/12/2007			
16 -10,43 13/06/1998 -52,03 26/02/1994 17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,96 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/12019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 20/07/191 -48,29 24/12/2021 30 -9,17 03/07/1996 -48,48 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,21 22/11/1998 32 -9,01	15	-10,44	31/07/2011	-52,30	28/01/2004			
17 -10,30 17/03/2019 -50,96 26/01/1997 18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9.92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,29 24/12/2021 31 -9,14 21/04/1992 -48,21 22/11/1998 32 -9,01	16	-10,43	13/06/1998	-52,03	26/02/1994			
18 -10,12 12/05/2012 -50,88 04/01/1993 19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,29 24/12/2021 31 -9,14 21/04/1992 -48,14 16/10/1994 34 -8,99 10/09/1995 -47,60 03/11/1997 35 -8,87	17	-10,30	17/03/2019	-50,96	26/01/1997			
19 -10,07 09/04/1993 -50,61 02/03/2019 20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,29 24/12/2017 31 -9,14 21/04/1992 -48,21 22/11/1998 32 -9,01 06/05/2005 -48,19 23/12/2017 33 -9,00 20/06/1993 -46,51 07/02/2015 34 -8,97	18	-10,12	12/05/2012	-50,88	04/01/1993			
20 -10,00 12/05/2014 -50,54 26/01/2019 21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,29 24/12/2011 31 -9,14 21/04/1992 -48,21 22/11/1998 32 -9,01 06/05/2005 -48,19 23/12/2017 33 -9,00 20/06/1993 -48,14 16/10/1994 34 -8,99 10/09/1995 -47,60 03/11/1997 35 -8,97 31/05/2019 -47,44 05/12/2004 36 -8,97	19	-10,07	09/04/1993	-50,61	02/03/2019			
21 -9,92 13/08/1993 -50,41 03/02/2001 22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,29 24/12/2021 31 -9,14 21/04/1992 -48,14 16/10/1998 32 -9,01 06/05/2005 -48,19 23/12/2017 33 -9,00 20/06/1993 -47,60 03/11/1997 35 -8,97 03/05/2019 -47,44 05/12/2004 36 -8,97 31/05/1998 -47,20 04/12/1991 37 -8,97	20	-10,00	12/05/2014	-50,54	26/01/2019			
22 -9,88 14/06/2010 -50,34 13/01/1997 23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,29 24/12/2021 31 -9,14 21/04/1992 -48,21 22/11/1998 32 -9,01 06/05/2005 -48,19 23/12/2017 33 -9,00 20/06/1993 -48,14 16/10/1994 34 -8,99 10/09/1995 -47,60 03/11/1997 35 -8,97 31/05/1998 -47,20 04/12/1991 36 -8,97 31/05/1998 -47,20 04/12/1991 37 -8,97	21	-9,92	13/08/1993	-50,41	03/02/2001			
23 -9,84 22/07/1993 -50,13 13/01/2002 24 -9,74 26/03/2016 -50,08 07/03/2021 25 -9,67 09/05/2010 -49,91 17/02/1991 26 -9,65 05/04/2000 -49,82 24/11/2011 27 -9,63 20/07/2011 -49,03 21/12/2016 28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,29 24/12/2021 31 -9,14 21/04/1992 -48,21 22/11/1998 32 -9,01 06/05/2005 -48,19 23/12/2017 33 -9,00 20/06/1993 -48,14 16/10/1994 34 -8,99 10/09/1995 -47,60 03/11/1997 35 -8,97 31/05/1998 -47,20 04/12/1991 37 -8,97 17/07/1998 -46,51 07/02/2015 38 -8,95 31/05/2014 -46,44 04/02/1991 40 -8,93	22	-9,88	14/06/2010	-50,34	13/01/1997			
24-9,7426/03/2016-50,0807/03/202125-9,6709/05/2010-49,9117/02/199126-9,6505/04/2000-49,8224/11/201127-9,6320/07/2011-49,0321/12/201628-9,3913/07/2000-48,5811/01/200329-9,3401/07/1996-48,4714/12/200430-9,1703/07/1991-48,2924/12/202131-9,1421/04/1992-48,2122/11/199832-9,0106/05/2005-48,1923/12/201733-9,0020/06/1993-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,3404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	23	-9,84	22/07/1993	-50,13	13/01/2002			
25-9,6709/05/2010-49,9117/02/199126-9,6505/04/2000-49,8224/11/201127-9,6320/07/2011-49,0321/12/201628-9,3913/07/2000-48,5811/01/200329-9,3401/07/1996-48,4714/12/200430-9,1703/07/1991-48,2924/12/202131-9,1421/04/1992-48,2122/11/199832-9,0106/05/2005-48,1923/12/201733-9,0020/06/1993-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,3404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	24	-9,74	26/03/2016	-50,08	07/03/2021			
26-9,6505/04/2000-49,8224/11/201127-9,6320/07/2011-49,0321/12/201628-9,3913/07/2000-48,5811/01/200329-9,3401/07/1996-48,4714/12/200430-9,1703/07/1991-48,2924/12/202131-9,1421/04/1992-48,2122/11/199832-9,0106/05/2005-48,1923/12/201733-9,0020/06/1993-48,1416/10/199434-8,9910/09/1995-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/05/2014-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,3409/12/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	25	-9,67	09/05/2010	-49,91	17/02/1991			
27-9,6320/07/2011-49,0321/12/201628-9,3913/07/2000-48,5811/01/200329-9,3401/07/1996-48,4714/12/200430-9,1703/07/1991-48,2924/12/202131-9,1421/04/1992-48,2122/11/199832-9,0106/05/2005-48,1923/12/201733-9,0020/06/1993-48,1416/10/199434-8,9910/09/1995-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/05/2014-46,4404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/109141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	26	-9,65	05/04/2000	-49,82	24/11/2011			
28 -9,39 13/07/2000 -48,58 11/01/2003 29 -9,34 01/07/1996 -48,47 14/12/2004 30 -9,17 03/07/1991 -48,29 24/12/2021 31 -9,14 21/04/1992 -48,21 22/11/1998 32 -9,01 06/05/2005 -48,19 23/12/2017 33 -9,00 20/06/1993 -48,14 16/10/1994 34 -8,99 10/09/1995 -47,60 03/11/1997 35 -8,97 03/05/2019 -47,44 05/12/2004 36 -8,97 31/05/1998 -47,20 04/12/1991 37 -8,97 17/07/1998 -46,51 07/02/2015 38 -8,95 31/03/2015 -46,47 26/01/2015 39 -8,95 31/05/2014 -46,34 04/02/1991 40 -8,93 02/06/2007 -46,38 09/12/1991 41 -8,92 17/06/1991 -46,31 09/01/2010 42 -8,89 08/03/2012 -46,30 23/02/2013 43 -8,88	27	-9,63	20/07/2011	-49,03	21/12/2016			
29-9,3401/07/1996-48,4714/12/200430-9,1703/07/1991-48,2924/12/202131-9,1421/04/1992-48,2122/11/199832-9,0106/05/2005-48,1923/12/201733-9,0020/06/1993-48,1416/10/199434-8,9910/09/1995-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/05/2014-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,3809/12/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	28	-9,39	13/07/2000	-48,58	11/01/2003			
30-9,1703/07/1991-48,2924/12/202131-9,1421/04/1992-48,2122/11/199832-9,0106/05/2005-48,1923/12/201733-9,0020/06/1993-48,1416/10/199434-8,9910/09/1995-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,3404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	29	-9,34	01/07/1996	-48,47	14/12/2004			
31-9,1421/04/1992-48,2122/11/199832-9,0106/05/2005-48,1923/12/201733-9,0020/06/1993-48,1416/10/199434-8,9910/09/1995-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/05/2014-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,3809/12/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	30	-9,17	03/07/1991	-48,29	24/12/2021			
32-9,0106/05/2005-48,1923/12/201733-9,0020/06/1993-48,1416/10/199434-8,9910/09/1995-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,4404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	31	-9,14	21/04/1992	-48,21	22/11/1998			
33-9,0020/06/1993-48,1416/10/199434-8,9910/09/1995-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,4404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	32	-9,01	06/05/2005	-48,19	23/12/2017			
34-8,9910/09/1995-47,6003/11/199735-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,4404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	33	-9,00	20/06/1993	-48,14	16/10/1994			
35-8,9703/05/2019-47,4405/12/200436-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,4404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	34	-8,99	10/09/1995	-47,60	03/11/1997			
36-8,9731/05/1998-47,2004/12/199137-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,4404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	35	-8,97	03/05/2019	-47,44	05/12/2004			
37-8,9717/07/1998-46,5107/02/201538-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,4404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	36	-8,97	31/05/1998	-47,20	04/12/1991			
38-8,9531/03/2015-46,4726/01/201539-8,9531/05/2014-46,4404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001	37	-8,97	17/07/1998	-46,51	07/02/2015			
39-8,9531/05/2014-46,4404/02/199140-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001Continued on next page	38	-8,95	31/03/2015	-46,47	26/01/2015			
40-8,9302/06/2007-46,3809/12/199141-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001Continued on next page	39	-8,95	31/05/2014	-46,44	04/02/1991			
41-8,9217/06/1991-46,3109/01/201042-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001Continued on next page	40	-8,93	02/06/2007	-46,38	09/12/1991			
42-8,8908/03/2012-46,3023/02/201343-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001Continued on next page	41	-8.92	17/06/1991	-46.31	09/01/2010			
43-8,8825/05/2003-46,2429/01/201744-8,8614/08/2001-45,7613/01/201345-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001Continued on next page	42	-8.89	08/03/2012	-46.30	23/02/2013			
44 -8,86 14/08/2001 -45,76 13/01/2013 45 -8,85 20/04/2005 -45,51 18/02/2003 46 -8,84 01/07/2017 -45,25 16/01/2001 Continued on next page	43	-8.88	25/05/2003	-46.24	29/01/2017			
45-8,8520/04/2005-45,5118/02/200346-8,8401/07/2017-45,2516/01/2001Continued on next page	44	-8,86	14/08/2001	-45,76	13/01/2013			
46 -8,84 01/07/2017 -45,25 16/01/2001 Continued on next page	45	-8.85	20/04/2005	-45.51	18/02/2003			
Continued on next page	46	-8,84	01/07/2017	-45,25	16/01/2001			
	Continued on next page							

		-		
Event Rank	SOLAR CREDI	Event date	WIND CREDI	Event date
47	-8,82	30/09/1991	-45,16	17/03/1991
48	-8,82	09/10/1998	-44,90	24/02/2018
49	-8,81	26/07/2011	-44,87	27/01/2010
50	-8,80	08/05/1991	-44,46	17/10/1995

Table S1 – continued from previous page

E APPLICATION OF CREDI TO OTHER REGIONS

Section 5 in the main text discusses the use of the CREDI for other regions. Here selected additional figures on the application of the index and very limited analysis for some other regions is provided. Due to the preliminary version of the PECDv4.0 used, caution is advised on the exact interpretation of the results and no data is provided for these regions. In addition, for the analysis only the seasonal and annual to decadal variability is discussed as the analysis of the short-term and sub-seasonal variability depends on the choice of the storylines which depend on the region considered and are kept consistent with the main text for reference.

The additional regions used are Slovakia ('SKOO'), the southern tip of Sweden ('SEO2') and one of the south-east regions of France ('FR1O'). The choice for these regions is arbitrary and was made by the colleague who sat near me while running the scripts to reflect different regions of Europe. Not all regions are shown for all figures provided in the main text, the figures not shown can be found as listed in the *Open Research* section.

E.1 Observed variability of wind and solar energy potential — Other regions

Similar observations can be made on the timescales of variability for the other regions then the 'NLO1' region discussed in the main text (Figure S20). While the distribution of the values differs between regions, similar characteristics are observed. For wind at seasonal timescales a lower mean generation potential is observed in all three regions ('SKOO' not shown). Some shifts in the characteristic behaviour can be observed. For instance, there is lower solar generation in winter for more northern regions, and a more strongly pronounced skewness of the solar generation potential throughout the year is seen for 'SEO2'.

E.2 A hourly rolling windows climate — Other regions

The *hourly rolling window* climate defined in Section 2.2 of the main text was applied without any changes to the other regions considered. As can be seen in Figure S21, this climate provides a smoother description of the expected behaviour on annual timescales and reduces the random fluctuations.

In line with the observations for the north-west region of the Netherlands, the 'initial' climate does capture the annual timescales, but shows random fluctuations from day-to-day and hour-to-hour. For both Slovakia and the part of Sweden shown some consistent daily variation is observed for their wind generation potential, whether this is from a physical driver is unknown and should be further studied before using the climatic description for these regions.



(b) Southern tip of Sweden ('SE02')

Figure S20: As Figure 2 in the main text, but then for the regions as listed for 2002-2004. Timeseries of hourly generation potential of wind (top) and solar (bottom). Showing variability on yearly (a,d), sub-seasonal (b,e) and daily (c,f) timescales.





Figure S21: As Figure 3 in the main text, but then for the regions as listed. Comparison of different methods for computing the climate of the potential generation for wind (top), and solar (bottom), for the period 1991-2020. Figures (a,c) show the hourly generation potentials for each year in this period (light blue for wind and orange for solar), the 'initial' climate (grey, see main text for details) and the hourly rolling window climate (blue and red, for wind, solar, respectively). Figures (b,d) show the same, but specifically for the period 3-10 April 2003. For clarity only 13:00 for each day of the year is shown in Figure (c).

E.3 Annual to decadal variability — Other regions

Section 4.1 in the main text discusses annual to decadal variability observed in the CREDI, here we shortly discuss the same for other regions.

Over the past 30 years, large and consistent inter-annual variation is observed in the WIND CREDI for the 'FR10' region (Figure S22), while the 'SE02' region shows more variable behaviour on annual and seasonal timescales (Figure S23). For the French region, some cumulative effect over the whole period can be observed, while the Swedish region shows a more oscillating pattern.

Similar to the 'NLO1' region, more inter-annual periods with a flat SOLAR CREDI can be observed then for wind. For the French region a general decrease of the SOLAR CREDI, thus anomalous low generation potential, is observed in the period 1992-2004 and a very consistent increase from 2018 to 2021. For the Swedish region a yearly flat SOLAR CREDI is observed, likely related to the very limited solar generation potential in the winter.



Figure S22: As Figure 4 in the main text, but then for the South-East of France ('FR10'). Hourly Wind (a) and Solar (b) CREDI over the period 1991-2020 for 'NL01'. As the climate was calculated over the same period, by definition the CREDI sums to zero over the full period.



Figure S23: As Figure 4 in the main text, but then for the Southern tip of Sweden ('SE02') region. Hourly Wind (a) and Solar (b) CREDI over the period 1991-2020 for 'NL01'. As the climate was calculated over the same period, by definition the CREDI sums to zero over the full period.

E.4 Seasonal variability — Other regions

Section 4.2 in the main text discusses seasonal variability in the CREDI, here we shortly discuss the same for the 'SEO2' region as it shows the most interesting properties (see Figure S24).

The WIND CREDI in this Swedish region shows similar behaviour as the Dutch region discussed in the main text, but while the 2016 storyline is considered to be the most extreme for the north-west region of the Netherlands, this is not the case for the 'SEO2' region. In addition, the shape of the distribution of the WIND CREDI is different throughout the year and the 1996 storyline shows the highest WIND CREDI value. This stark opposition to the behaviour observed in that storyline for the Netherlands indicates some possible balancing for this specific storyline.

The SOLAR CREDI in the southern Swedish region 'SEO2' shows a very flat value in the period from October to March. This is likely due to the very clearly limited solar generation potential in this region during the wintertime period and the reasons for the limited annual to decadal variability observed for this region (see Figure S23). At the same time large seasonal differences between the different March to September periods are observed. As for the 'NLO1' region, the year 1998 is the most extreme storyline for SOLAR CREDI.





(b) SOLAR CREDI

Figure S24: As Figure 5 (here Figure (a), blue shades) and 6 (here Figure (b), orange shades) in the main text, but then for the Southern tip of Sweden ('SEO2'). Hourly WIND CREDI per analysis year over the period May 1991 to April 2021 for 'NLO1'. Figure a) shows the specific progression of WIND CREDI for each year. Figure b) shows the distribution of the WIND CREDI for each hour of the year, namely the 50th percentile, the 25-75, 10-90 percentile and min-max range (see legend). Four exemplary storylines are shown, namely 1996 (red), 1998 (green), 2003 (purple) and 2016 (black).