# A Matrix Version of Dwork's Congruences



**Frits Beukers** 

**Abstract** In this article we give an example of a matrix version of the famous congruence for hypergeometric functions found by Dwork in 'p-adic cycles'.

# **1** Introduction

In this paper we shall deal with results of the following type. Let F(t) be an infinite power series with constant term 1 and coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , the *p*-adic numbers. Denote by  $F_m(t)$  its *m*-th truncation, i.e. all terms of degree  $\geq m$  in F(t) are deleted. We shall be interested whether there are hypergeometric series F(t) for which

$$\frac{F(t)}{F(t^p)} \equiv \frac{F_{p^s}(t)}{F_{p^{s-1}}(t^p)} (\text{mod } p^s)$$
(1)

for all  $s \ge 1$ . The first such result was given by Dwork in 'p-adic cycles', [3], for the case of F(t) = F(1/2, 1/2; 1|t). The proof of this result is based on a *p*-adic study of the coefficients of F(1/2, 1/2; 1|t). Using [3, Cor 1] and [3, Thm 3] one can generalize this approach to other hypergeometric functions whose monodromy around 0 is unipotent (i.e. all  $\beta$ -parameters are 1). The goal of the present paper is to provide a more geometric approach to Dwork's congruences based on the papers [1] and [2] (Dwork crystals I and II), written jointly with Masha Vlasenko. In it we give an elementary approach to the construction of the so-called unit root crystal in Dwork's *p*-adic theory of zeta-functions of algebraic varieties. As application we present main result of this paper, geometric examples of (1) in Sect. 3. In Sect. 5 we present main result of this paper,

F. Beukers (🖂)

Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands e-mail: f.beukers@uu.nl

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

Work supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), grant TOP1EW.15.313.

A. Bostan and K. Raschel (eds.), *Transcendence in Algebra, Combinatorics, Geometry and Number Theory*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics 373, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-84304-5\_2

Theorem 5.1, containing an example of a matrix version of Dwork's congruence. Its proof requires some ideas in addition to [1] and [2].

#### 2 Summary of [1] and [2]

Let *R* be a characteristic zero domain and *p* an odd prime such that  $\bigcap_{s\geq 1} p^s R = \{0\}$ . Suppose that *R* is *p*-adically complete. Let  $f \in R[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  be a Laurent polynomial and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  its Newton polytope. Let  $\Delta^\circ$  be its interior. Consider the *R*-module  $\Omega_f^\circ$  of differential forms generated over *R* by

$$\omega_{\mathbf{u}} := (k-1)! \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}}{f(\mathbf{x})^k} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in k\Delta^{\diamond}$$

for all  $k \ge 1$ . Contrary to [1] and [2] we have now written the elements of  $\Omega_f$  as differential forms. Let us abbreviate  $\frac{dx_1}{x_1} \land \cdots \land \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$  to  $\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}$ . Differential forms in  $\Omega_f^\circ$  can be expanded as formal Laurent series. To that end

Differential forms in  $\Omega_f^{\circ}$  can be expanded as formal Laurent series. To that end we choose a vertex **b** of  $\Delta$  and obtain a Laurent expansion with support in  $C(\Delta - \mathbf{b})$ , the positive cone generated by the vectors in  $\Delta - \mathbf{b}$ , and coefficients in *R* of the form

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in C(\Delta-\mathbf{b})} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}, \quad a_{\mathbf{k}}\in R$$

We denote such forms by  $\Omega_{\text{formal}}$ . The exact forms are denoted by  $d\Omega_{\text{formal}}$ . We call them formally exact forms and they are characterized by the following lemma of Katz, [4, Lemma 5.1].

**Lemma 2.1.** A series  $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in C(\Delta-\mathbf{b})} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}$  is a formal derivative if and only if

 $a_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(\mathbf{k})}}$  for all  $\mathbf{k}$ .

Here  $\operatorname{ord}_p(k)$  denotes the *p*-adic valuation of *k* and  $\operatorname{ord}_p(\mathbf{k}) = \min(\operatorname{ord}_p(k_1), \ldots, \operatorname{ord}_p(k_n)).$ 

We define the Cartier operator  $\mathscr{C}_p$  on  $\Omega_{\text{formal}}$  by

$$\mathscr{C}_p\left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}\right) := \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{p\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}.$$
(2)

Using  $\mathscr{C}_p$  we have an alternative characterization of formally exact forms which is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** A series  $h \in \Omega_{\text{formal}}$  is a formal derivative if and only if  $\mathscr{C}_p^s(h) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$  for all integers  $s \ge 1$ .

When applied to a rational differential form  $\mathscr{C}_p$  acts as

$$\mathscr{C}_p\left(S(\mathbf{x})\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}\right) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}:\mathbf{y}^p=\mathbf{x}} S(\mathbf{y})\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}}.$$

The summation extends over all  $y_i = \zeta_i x_i^{1/p}$ , i = 1, ..., n, where each  $\zeta_i$  runs over all *p*-th roots of unity. So we see that  $\mathscr{C}_p$  sends rational differential forms to rational differential forms. Unfortunately,  $\Omega_f^\circ$  is not sent to itself. But we have something that comes close. Define the *p*-adic completion

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{f}^{\circ} := \lim_{\leftarrow} \Omega_{f}^{\circ} / p^{s} \Omega_{f}^{\circ}.$$

Fix a Frobenius lift  $\sigma$  on R: this is a ring endomorphism  $\sigma : R \to R$  such that  $\sigma(r) \equiv r^p \pmod{p}$  for every  $r \in R$ . We have

**Proposition 2.3.** If p > 2 then  $\mathscr{C}_p(\Omega_f^\circ) \subset \widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}^\circ$ .

The proof is given in [1, Prop 3.3] and consists of a straightforward computation ending with a *p*-adic expansion in  $\widehat{\Omega}^{\circ}_{f^{\sigma}}$ .

We shall be interested in  $U_f^{\circ} := \widehat{\Omega}_f^{\circ} \cap d\Omega_{\text{formal}}$ . These are differential forms that are not necessarily exact but become exact when embedded in the formal expansions. Katz refers to them as 'forms that die on formal expansion', [4, Thm 6.2(1.b)]. In [1, Prop 4.2] we find a characterization of the elements of  $U_f^{\circ}$  without any reference to formal expansion.

**Proposition 2.4.** With the notations as above we have

$$U_f^{\circ} = \{ \omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_f^{\circ} \mid \mathscr{C}_p^s(\omega) \equiv 0 (\text{mod } p^s \widehat{\Omega}_{f^{\sigma^s}}^{\circ}) \text{ for all } s \ge 1 \}.$$

We now come to one of the main results in [1, Thm 4.3]. Let  $h = |\Delta^{\circ} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$ . Define the Hasse-Witt matrix  $\beta_p$  as the  $h \times h$ -matrix given by

$$(\beta_p)_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = \text{coefficient of } \mathbf{x}^{p\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}} \text{ of } f(\mathbf{x})^{p-1}, \quad \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \Delta^{\circ} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$$

**Theorem 2.5.** Suppose det $(\beta_p)$  is invertible in R. Then  $\widehat{\Omega}_f^\circ/U_f^\circ$  is a free R-module of rank h with basis  $\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}}{f} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{u} \in \Delta^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

The remainder of [1] and [2] is then devoted to the construction of *p*-adic approximations to the  $h \times h$ -matrix of the Cartier operator. In [2] we give special attention to those approximations that give rise to congruences of the form (1) (in case h = 1) and higher.

#### **3** First Examples

In [5] we find a very general theorem providing congruences of the form (1).

**Theorem 3.1** (Mellit-Vlasenko). Let  $g(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  be a Laurent polynomial in the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . Suppose that the Newton polytope  $\Delta$  of g has the origin as unique interior lattice point. For every integer  $r \geq 0$  denote by  $f_r$  the constant term of  $g(\mathbf{x})^r$  and define  $F(t) = \sum_{r \geq 0} f_r t^r$ . Then the congruences (1) hold for all  $s \geq 1$ .

In [2, (7)] there is a stronger result with an entirely different proof.

**Theorem 3.2** (Beukers-Vlasenko). *With the same notations as in Theorem 3.1 we have* 

$$\frac{F(t)}{F(t^p)} \equiv \frac{F_{mp^s}(t)}{F_{mp^{s-1}}(t^p)} \pmod{p^s}$$
(3)

for all  $m, s \ge 1$ .

Here is an application.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer and p an odd prime not dividing k. Then (1) holds for the hypergeometric series

$$_{k-1}F_{k-2}(1/k, 2/k, \ldots, (k-1)/k; 1, 1, \ldots, 1|t).$$

Proof. Consider

$$g = \frac{1}{k} \left( x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1} + \frac{1}{x_1 \cdots x_{k-1}} \right).$$

A simple calculation show that  $f_r$  is zero if k does not divide r and equal to

$$\frac{1}{k^{kl}}\frac{(kl)!}{(l!)^k} = \frac{(1/k)_l}{l!}\frac{(2/k)_l}{l!}\cdots\frac{((k-1)/k)_l}{l!}$$

if r = kl. Hence

$$F(t) = {}_{k-1}F_{k-2}(1/k, 2/k, \dots, (k-1)/k; 1, 1, \dots, 1|t^k).$$

Now apply Theorem 3.2 with m = k and replace  $t^k$  by t.

Here is another variation which generalizes Dwork's example

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer and p an odd prime. Then (1) holds for the hypergeometric series

$$_{k-1}F_{k-2}(1/2, 1/2, \ldots, 1/2; 1, 1, \ldots, 1|t).$$

Proof. Consider

$$g = 2^{-k} \left( x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1} \right) \cdots \left( x_k + \frac{1}{x_k} \right).$$

A simple calculation shows that  $f_r$  is zero if r is odd and equal to

$$\left(\frac{(1/2)_l}{l!}\right)^k$$

if r = 2l. Hence

$$F(t) = {}_{k-1}F_{k-2}(1/2, \ldots, 1/2; 1, 1, \ldots, 1|t^2).$$

Now apply Theorem 3.2 with m = 2 and replace  $t^2$  by t.

#### **4** One Variable Polynomials

Let again *R* be a characteristic zero ring, *p* an odd prime such that  $\bigcap_{s\geq 1} p^s R = \{0\}$ and suppose *R* is *p*-adically complete. Let  $\sigma : R \to R$  be a Frobenius lift. It turns out that in the case of one variable polynomials *f* the theory sketched in Sect. 2 has a very nice simplification that we like to present for general monic  $f \in R[x]$  with  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Let *d* be the degree of *f*. We suppose that  $d \geq 2$  and that the discriminant of *f* is invertible in *R*. The space  $\Omega_f^{\circ}$  is given by  $\mathcal{O}_f^{\circ} dx$  where  $\mathcal{O}_f^{\circ}$  is the *R*-module generated by the forms  $l! \frac{x^k}{f^{l+1}}$  with  $0 \leq k \leq d(l+1) - 2$ . Similarly we define  $\mathcal{O}_f$  in the same way but with the inequalities  $0 \leq k \leq d(l+1) - 1$ . The exact forms in  $\Omega_f^{\circ}$ are then given by  $d\mathcal{O}_f$ . We call them *rational exact forms*.

We define  $\mathscr{O}_{\text{formal}} = \frac{1}{x} R[[1/x]]$  and  $\Omega_{\text{formal}} = \frac{1}{x} \mathscr{O}_{\text{formal}} dx$ . We embed  $\Omega_f^{\circ}$  in  $\Omega_{\text{formal}}$  by expansion in powers of 1/x. The *formally exact forms* are defined by  $d\mathscr{O}_{\text{formal}}$ .

The interior of the Newton polytope is  $\Delta^{\circ} = (0, d)$  and the cardinality of  $\Delta^{\circ} \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is d - 1. So, letting p be an odd prime, the Hasse-Witt matrix  $\beta_p(t)$  is a  $(d - 1) \times (d - 1)$ -matrix. It turns out that  $\det(\beta_p) \equiv \operatorname{disc}(f)^{p-1} \pmod{p}$ , where  $\operatorname{disc}(f)$  is the discriminant of f. By p-adic completeness of R and invertibility of  $\operatorname{disc}(f)$  in R we find that  $\det(\beta_p)$  is invertible in R. According to Theorem 11 in Dwork crystals I, [1], we know that  $\widehat{\Omega}_f^{\circ}/d\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}$  is a free rank d - 1 module over R with basis  $dx/f, xdx/f, \dots, x^{d-2}dx/f$ .

It turns out that in the case n = 1 formally exact forms coincide with rational exact forms. More precisely,

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $f \in R[x]$  be a monic polynomial and suppose that its discriminant is invertible in R. Then  $\Omega_f^\circ \cap d\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}} = d\mathcal{O}_f$ . *Proof.* Clearly  $d\mathcal{O}_f \subset d\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}$ . We first show that every  $\omega \in \Omega_f^\circ$  is equivalent modulo  $d\mathcal{O}_f$  to a form Q(x)dx/f with  $Q(x) \in R[x]$  of degree  $\leq d - 2$ . To that end we use the one variable version of the Griffiths reduction procedure. Since *p* does not divide disc(*f*), to every  $Q(x) \in R[x]$  of degree  $\leq N$  there exist polynomials  $A, B \in R[x]$  of degrees  $\leq d - 1$  and  $\leq \max(d - 2, N - d)$  respectively, such that Q = Af' + Bf.

Let us start with a form  $l!Q(x)dx/f^{l+1}$  with  $\deg(Q) \le (l+1)d - 2$  and l > 0. Write Q = Af' + Bf with  $\deg(A) \le d - 1$ ,  $\deg(B) \le ld - 2$ . Then we obtain

$$l! \frac{Q(x)}{f^{l+1}} dx = l! \frac{Af'}{f^{l+1}} dx + l! \frac{B}{f^l} dx$$
$$= -d\left((l-1)! \frac{A}{f^l}\right) + (l-1)! \frac{A'}{f^l} dx + l! \frac{B}{f^l} dx$$
$$\equiv (l-1)! \frac{lB+A'}{f^l} dx \pmod{d\mathscr{O}_f}.$$

Note that  $\deg(lB + A') \le ld - 2$ . By repeating this procedure we see that any  $\omega \in \Omega_f^\circ$  is equivalent modulo  $d\mathcal{O}_f$  to a form Qdx/f with  $Q \in R[x]$  of degree  $\le d - 2$ .

The second part of our proof consists of showing that  $Qdx/f \in d\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}$  implies that Q = 0. Suppose that

$$\frac{Qdx}{f} = d\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{a_n}{x^n}\right) = \sum_{n\geq 1} -\frac{na_n}{x^{n+1}}dx.$$

From this we see that the coefficient of  $dx/x^{mp^s+1}$  in the 1/x-expansion of Qdx/f is divisible by  $p^s$  for any  $m, s \ge 0$ . Let K be the splitting field of f over R and let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d \in K$  be the zeros of f. Then there exist  $A_1, \ldots, A_d$  in  $R[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d]$  such that

$$\operatorname{disc}(f)\frac{Qdx}{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{A_i dx}{x - \alpha_i} = \sum_{n \ge 0} (A_1 \alpha_1^n + \dots + A_d \alpha_d^n) \frac{dx}{x^{n+1}}.$$

We now know that  $A_1 \alpha_1^{mp^s} + \cdots + A_d \alpha_d^{mp^s}$  is divisible by  $p^s$  for all  $m \ge 0$ . In particular for  $m = 0, 1, \ldots, d - 1$ . Now note that

$$\det((\alpha_i^{mp^s})_{i=1,\dots,d;m=0,\dots,d-1}) = \prod_{i< j} (\alpha_i^{p^s} - \alpha_j^{p^s})$$
$$\equiv \prod_{i< j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^{p^s} \equiv \operatorname{disc}(f)^{p^s} (\operatorname{mod} p),$$

which is a unit in *R*. We conclude that  $A_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$  for all *i* and *s*. Hence  $A_i = 0$  for all *i* and we conclude Q(x) = 0, as asserted.

An immediate corollary is its extension to *p*-adic completions. Denote  $\widehat{\Omega}_{f}^{\circ}$  as before and similarly  $\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{f}$ . Then we find,

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $f \in R[x]$  be a monic polynomial and suppose that its discriminant is invertible in R. Then  $U_f^\circ = \widehat{\Omega}_f^\circ \cap d\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}} = d\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_f$ .

The operator  $\mathscr{C}_p$  is essentially a lift of a Cartier operator which is only well-defined in characteristic *p*. In [1] and [2] it sufficed to use only the operator  $\mathscr{C}_p$  defined above. However, as a new ingredient, we need to consider other lifts. Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Define  $\mathscr{C}_p^a$  as the operator with the property that  $\mathscr{C}_p^a((x-a)^{k-1}dx) = (x-a)^{k/p-1}dx$  if *p* divides *k* and 0 if not. In general it acts on rational differential forms as

$$\mathscr{C}_p^a\left(S(x)\frac{dx}{x}\right) = \sum_{y:(y-a)^p = x-a} S(y)\frac{dy}{y}.$$

So we sum over  $y = a + \zeta (x - a)^{1/p}$  where  $\zeta$  runs over the *p*-th roots of unity. We can compare  $\mathscr{C}_p$  and  $\mathscr{C}_p^a$  by looking at their action on  $\Omega_{\text{formal}}$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** We have  $\mathscr{C}_p^a(\Omega_f^\circ) \subset \widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}^\circ$  and

$$\mathscr{C}_p(\omega) \equiv \mathscr{C}_p^a(\omega) (\text{mod } pd\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{f^\sigma})$$
(4)

for all  $\omega \in \Omega_f^\circ$ .

*Proof.* The fact that the image of  $\mathscr{C}_p^a$  lies in  $\widehat{\Omega}_{f^a}^{\circ}$  follows along the same lines as in the proof of [1, Prop 3.3]. Clearly we have  $R[[1/x]] \cong R[[1/(x-a)]]$  through the expansion  $\frac{1}{x-a} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{a^n}{x^{n+1}}$ . Let us prove our second assertion for  $\omega_k = (x-a)^{-k-1}dx$  for  $k \geq 1$ . The full statement then follows by linearity.

Observe that

$$\omega_k = (x-a)^{-k-1} dx = -d\left(\frac{1}{k}(x-a)^{-k}\right).$$

If k is not divisible by p then clearly  $\omega_k \in d\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}$ . Since  $\mathscr{C}_p(d\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}) \subset pd\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}$ we get that  $\mathscr{C}_p(\omega_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{pd\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}}$ . We have trivially  $\mathscr{C}_p^a(\omega_k) = 0$ . This proves our statement for k not divisible by p. Suppose now that p divides k. Then

$$\frac{1}{k}(x-a)^{-k} \equiv \frac{1}{k}(x^p-a)^{-k/p} \pmod{\mathscr{O}_{\text{formal}}}$$

hence, after taking differentials,

$$(x-a)^{-k-1}dx \equiv (x^p-a)^{-k/p-1}x^{p-1}dx \pmod{d\mathscr{O}_{\text{formal}}}$$

Application of  $\mathscr{C}_p$  gives  $\mathscr{C}_p(\omega_k) \equiv \omega_{k/p} \pmod{pd\mathscr{O}_{\text{formal}}}$ . Note that  $\omega_{k/p} = \mathscr{C}_p^a(\omega_k)$  when *p* divides *k*. Thus we conclude that

$$\mathscr{C}_p(\omega_k) \equiv \mathscr{C}_p^a(\omega_k) \pmod{pd\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}}$$

By linearity this congruence holds for all  $\omega \in \Omega_f^\circ$ .

It remains to see that we can replace  $pd\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}$  by  $pd\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_f$ . From Proposition 3.6 in [1] it follows that to any  $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_f^\circ$  there exists  $\omega_1 \in \widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}^\circ$  and a polynomial  $A(a, \omega)$ such that  $\mathscr{C}_p^a(\omega) = \frac{A(a,\omega)}{f^\sigma} + p\omega_1$ . Since  $\mathscr{C}_p^a(\omega) - \mathscr{C}_p^0(\omega) \in pd\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}$  it follows that  $A(a, \omega) - A(0, \omega)$  is divisible by p. Hence

$$\frac{1}{p}(\mathscr{C}^a_p(\omega) - \mathscr{C}^0_p(\omega)) \in \widehat{\Omega}^{\circ}_{f^{\sigma}} \cap d\mathscr{O}_{\text{formal}} = d\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{f^{\sigma}}$$

The latter equality follows from Proposition 4.2.

## 5 A Matrix Example

The examples in the Sect. 3 are all related to the case h = 1, one interior lattice point of the Newton polytope  $\Delta$ . In this section we consider an example of rank h = 2.

Theorem 5.1. Let

$$\mathscr{Y}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} F(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}|t^2) & -\frac{1}{3}tF(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}|t^2) \\ -\frac{2}{3}tF(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2}|t^2) & F(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2}|t^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote by  $\mathscr{Y}_m(t)$  the *m*-th truncated version of  $\mathscr{Y}(t)$ , i.e. we drop all term starting with  $t^m$ . Then, for all primes p > 3 and all  $m, s \ge 1$  we have

$$\mathscr{Y}_{mp^{s}}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathscr{Y}_{mp^{s-1}}(t^{p})^{-1} \equiv \mathscr{Y}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathscr{Y}(t^{p})^{-1} (\text{mod } p^{s}).$$

*Here*  $\epsilon_p = 1$  *if* 3 *is a square modulo* p *and* -1 *if not.* 

For the proof of this theorem, given at the end of this section, we require the one variable polynomial  $f = x^3 - x - t \in R[x]$  with  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![t]\!]$ , where p is a prime with p > 3. As Frobenius lift we take  $g(t)^{\sigma} = g(t^p)$  for all  $g(t) \in R$ . The discriminant of f equals to  $4 - 27t^2$ , and hence it is invertible in R.

We define the 2  $\times$  2-matrix  $\Lambda_p$  with entries in *R* by

$$\mathscr{C}_p\begin{pmatrix} dx/f\\ xdx/f \end{pmatrix} \equiv \Lambda_p\begin{pmatrix} dx/f^{\sigma}\\ xdx/f^{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{d\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_f}.$$
(5)

The relation of  $\Lambda_p$  with hypergeometric functions is obtained by period maps. To that end we consider

A Matrix Version of Dwork's Congruences

$$l!\frac{x^{k-1}dx}{f^{l+1}} = l!\frac{x^{k-1}dx}{(x^3 - x)^{l+1}} \sum_{r \ge 0} \binom{r+l}{l} \frac{t^r}{(x^3 - x)^r},$$

and then take termwise the residue at x = 0. We could rephrase this procedure by saying that we expand  $x^{k-1}dx/f^{l+1}$  as two-sided Laurent series in R[[x, t/x]] and then take the residue at x = 0. Similarly we can take residues at  $x = \pm 1$  (i.e. by expanding in Laurent series in  $x \mp 1$ ). The result is again a power series in t. As long as 0 < k < 3(l + 1) the terms of the series have no residue at  $\infty$  and therefore the sum of the residues at 0, 1, -1 of the series is 0. We carry out the residue computations for l = 0, k = 1, 2. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\operatorname{res}_{x=0} \frac{dx}{(x^3 - x)^{r+1}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd} \\ -\binom{3n}{n} & \text{if } r = 2n \end{cases}$$

$$\operatorname{res}_{x=0} \frac{xdx}{(x^3-x)^{r+1}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ \binom{3n+1}{n} & \text{if } r = 2n+1 \end{cases}.$$

Denote  $\operatorname{res}_{\pm}\omega = \operatorname{res}_{x=1}\omega - \operatorname{res}_{x=-1}\omega$ . Then we obtain

$$\operatorname{res}_{\pm} \frac{dx}{(x^3 - x)^{r+1}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ -\frac{3}{2} \frac{(7/6)_n (5/6)_n}{(3/2)_n n!} \left(\frac{27}{4}\right)^n & \text{if } r = 2n+1 \end{cases}$$
$$\operatorname{res}_{\pm} \frac{x dx}{(x^3 - x)^{r+1}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{(1/6)_n (5/6)_n}{(1/2)_n n!} \left(\frac{27}{4}\right)^n & \text{if } r = 2n \end{cases}.$$

Let us denote the period map obtained by taking *minus* the residue at 0 by  $\rho_0$  and the one by taking the difference of the residues at  $\pm 1$  by  $\rho_{\pm}$ . We summarize

$$\rho_0 \left( \frac{dx}{f} \right) = F\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{27t^2/4}.$$

$$\rho_0 \left( \frac{x}{dx}{f} \right) = -t F\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2}\right)^{27t^2/4}.$$

$$\rho_{\pm} \left( \frac{dx}{f} \right) = -\frac{3}{2} t F\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right)^{27t^2/4}.$$

$$\rho_{\pm} \left( \frac{x}{dx}{f} \right) = F\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{27t^2/4}.$$

A crucial property of  $\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_{\pm}$  is that they vanish on exact forms, i.e.  $d\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_f$ . This is because residues of exact forms are zero, which is a special case of [2, Prop 2.2].

**Proposition 5.2.** For every  $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_{f}^{\circ}$  we have  $\rho_{0}(\mathscr{C}_{p}(\omega)) = \rho_{0}(\omega)$  and  $\rho_{\pm}(\mathscr{C}_{p}(\omega)) = \rho_{\pm}(\omega)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_{f}^{\circ}$ . Expand it in R[[x, t/x]]dx. The value of  $\rho_{0}$  is minus the coefficient of dx/x. By definition of  $\mathscr{C}_{p}$  this value is the same for  $\mathscr{C}_{p}(\omega)$ , hence our first assertion follows. Similarly we can see that the residue at 1, which we denote by  $\rho_{1}$ , has the property  $\rho_{1}(\mathscr{C}_{p}^{1}(\omega)) = \rho_{1}(\omega)$ . It follows from Proposition 4.3 that  $\mathscr{C}_{p}^{1}(\omega) \equiv \mathscr{C}_{p}(\omega) \pmod{d\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{f}}$ . Hence  $\rho_{1}(\mathscr{C}_{p}(\omega)) = \rho_{1}(\omega)$ . The same result holds of course for  $\rho_{\pm} = \rho_{1} - \rho_{-1}$ .

Corollary 5.3. Let

$$Y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} F(1/3, 2/3; 1/2|^{27t^2}/4) & -\frac{3}{2}tF(7/6, 5/6; 3/2|^{27t^2}/4) \\ -tF(2/3, 4/3; 3/2|^{27t^2}/4) & F(1/6, 5/6; 1/2|^{27t^2}/4) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $\Lambda_p$  be the 2 × 2 cartier-matrix in (5). Then

$$\Lambda_p = Y(t)Y(t^p)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* We start with the equality (5), apply  $\rho_0$  and use  $\rho_0 \circ \mathscr{C}_p = \rho_0$  to obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_0(dx/f)\\ \rho_0(xdx/f) \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda_p \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0(dx/f^{\sigma})\\ \rho_0(xdx/f^{\sigma}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{\pm}(dx/f)\\ \rho_{\pm}(xdx/f) \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda_p \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{\pm}(dx/f^{\sigma})\\ \rho_{\pm}(xdx/f^{\sigma}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Our corollary follows from the above evaluations of the periods.

In order to get Dwork type congruences we also need to introduce a suitable 'period map mod *m*'. By that we mean an *R*-linear map  $\rho : \widehat{\Omega}_f \to R$  such that  $\rho(\widehat{\Omega}_f \cap d\mathcal{O}_{\text{formal}}) \subset mR$  and  $\delta \circ \rho \equiv \rho \circ \delta \pmod{mR}$  for any derivation  $\delta$  on *R*.

For our purposes we use a slight generalization of the period maps we considered in [2, Section 5]. We define  $\rho_{0,m}$  by

$$\rho_{0,m}\omega = \rho_0 \left(1 - \frac{t^m}{(x^3 - x)^m}\right)\omega.$$
(6)

Similarly we define  $\rho_{1,m}$ ,  $\rho_{-1,m}$  and the difference  $\rho_{\pm,m}$ . As an illustration we elaborate  $\rho_{0,m}(dx/f)$ . We get

A Matrix Version of Dwork's Congruences

$$\begin{split} \rho_{0,m}(dx/f) &= -\operatorname{res}_{x=0} \left( 1 - \frac{t^m}{(x^3 - x)^m} \right) \frac{dx}{x^3 - x - t} \\ &= -\operatorname{res}_{x=0} \frac{1}{(x^3 - x)^m} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} (x^3 - x)^{m-1-r} t^r dx \\ &= -\operatorname{res}_{x=0} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^r dx}{(x^3 - x)^{r+1}} \\ &= \sum_{2n < m} {\binom{3n}{n}} t^{2n}. \end{split}$$

The latter polynomial is the truncation of  $F(1/3, 2/3, 1/2|27t^2/4)$  truncated at the degree *m* term. Denote the truncation at degree *m* of a power series g(t) by  $g(t)_m$ . Then we obtain

$$\rho_{0,m} (dx/f) = F(1/3, 2/3, 1/2|27t^2/4)_m.$$

$$\rho_{0,m} (xdx/f) = -(tF(2/3, 4/3, 3/2|27t^2/4))_m.$$

$$\rho_{\pm,m} (dx/f) = -\frac{3}{2}(tF(7/6, 5/6, 3/2|27t^2/4))_m.$$

$$\rho_{\pm,m} (xdx/f) = F(1/6, 5/6, 1/2|27t^2/4)_m.$$

**Lemma 5.4.** We have  $\rho_{0,m}(d\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_f) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  and  $\rho_{\pm,m}(d\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_f) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .

Secondly, for any  $m \ge 1$  divisible by p we have  $\rho_{0,m} \equiv \rho_{0,m/p}^{\sigma} \circ \mathscr{C}_p \pmod{p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(m)}}$ and  $\rho_{\pm,m} \equiv \rho_{\pm,m/p}^{\sigma} \circ \mathscr{C}_p \pmod{p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(m)}}$ . Here  $\rho_{0,m}^{\sigma}$  is defined as in equation (6) but with t replaced by  $t^p$ . Similarly for  $\rho_{\pm,m}^{\sigma}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $G \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_f$  we have

$$\rho_{0,m} dG = -\text{coefficient of } \frac{dx}{x} \text{ in } \left(1 - \left(\frac{t}{x^3 - x}\right)^m\right) dG$$
$$\equiv -\text{coefficient of } \frac{dx}{x} \text{ in } d\left(1 - \left(\frac{t}{x^3 - x}\right)^m\right) G \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

The applicability of  $\rho_0$  requires that we consider expansions as doubly infinite Laurent series in R[x, t/x]. For  $\rho_{1,m}$  the proof runs similarly.

For the proof of the second part let  $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_{f}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} \rho_{0,m}(\omega) &= -\text{coefficient of } \frac{dx}{x} \text{ in } \left(1 - \left(\frac{t}{x^3 - x}\right)^m\right) \omega \\ &\equiv -\text{coefficient of } \frac{dx}{x} \text{ in } \left(1 - \left(\frac{t^p}{x^{3p} - x^p}\right)^{m/p}\right) \omega \pmod{p^{\text{ord}_p(m)}} \\ &\equiv -\text{coefficient of } \frac{dx}{x} \text{ in } \mathscr{C}_p \left(1 - \left(\frac{t^p}{x^{3p} - x^p}\right)^{m/p}\right) \omega \pmod{p^{\text{ord}_p(m)}} \\ &\equiv -\text{coefficient of } \frac{dx}{x} \text{ in } \left(1 - \left(\frac{t^p}{x^3 - x}\right)^{m/p}\right) \mathscr{C}_p(\omega) \pmod{p^{\text{ord}_p(m)}} \\ &\equiv \rho_{0,m/p}^\sigma \mathscr{C}_p(\omega) \pmod{p^{\text{ord}_p(m)}}. \end{split}$$

The second step uses the obvious fact that the Cartier transform does not change the coefficient of  $\frac{dx}{x}$ .

In a similar manner one can show that

$$\rho_{1,m}(\omega) \equiv \rho_{1,m/p}^{\sigma} \mathscr{C}_p^1(\omega) \pmod{p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(m)}}.$$

Proposition 4.3 tells us that  $\mathscr{C}_p^1(\omega) \equiv \mathscr{C}_p(\omega) \pmod{pd\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{f^{\sigma}}}$ . Together with the first part of our lemma, which implies that  $\rho_{1,m/p}^{\sigma}(pd\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{f^{\sigma}}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(m)}}$ , we get

$$\rho_{1,m}(\omega) \equiv \rho_{1,m/p}^{\sigma} \mathscr{C}_p(\omega) \pmod{p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(m)}}.$$

In a similar way the statement for  $\rho_{\pm,m}$  follows.

**Corollary 5.5.** Let notations be as in Corollary 5.3 Let  $Y_m(t)$  be the matrix Y(t), where the entries have been truncated at  $t^m$ . Then, for any  $m, s \ge 1$ ,

$$Y_{mp^{s}}(t) \equiv (Y(t)Y(t^{p})^{-1})Y_{mp^{s-1}}(t^{p}) \pmod{p^{s}}.$$

*Proof.* We start with the equality (5), which holds true modulo  $pd\widehat{\Omega}_f$  according to [1, (14)]. Then apply  $\rho_{0,mp^{s-1}}^{\sigma}$  and use  $\rho_{0,mp^s} \equiv \rho_{0,mp^{s-1}}^{\sigma} \circ \mathscr{C}_p \pmod{p^s}$  to obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{0,mp^s}(dx/f)\\ \rho_{0,mp^s}(xdx/f) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \Lambda_p \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{0,mp^{s-1}}^{\sigma}(dx/f^{\sigma})\\ \rho_{0,mp^{s-1}}^{\sigma}(xdx/f^{\sigma}) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^s}.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{\pm,mp^s}(dx/f) \\ \rho_{\pm,mp^s}(xdx/f) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \Lambda_p \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{\pm,mp^{s-1}}^{\sigma}(dx/f^{\sigma}) \\ \rho_{\pm,mp^{s-1}}^{\sigma}(xdx/f^{\sigma}) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^s}.$$

Our corollary follows from the above evaluations of the mod *m* periods and  $\Lambda_p = Y(t)Y(t^p)^{-1}$ .

We end with the proof of our main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem* 5.1. The proof follows the same steps as Corollary 5.3, but with the polynomial  $f = x^3 - x - 2t/3\sqrt{3}$ . This polynomial is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\sqrt{3}][t]$  with Frobenius lift  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(t) = t^p$  and  $\sigma(\sqrt{3}) = \epsilon_p \sqrt{3}$ . Hence  $f^{\sigma} = x^3 - x - 2\epsilon_p t^p/\sqrt{3}$ . We also use the new basis dx/f,  $\sqrt{3}xdx/f$  and replace  $\rho_{\pm}$  by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\rho_{\pm}$ . The adapted version of Corollary 5.3 would then become

$$\Lambda_p = \mathscr{Y}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathscr{Y}(t^p)^{-1}.$$

The remainder of the proof follows the same lines as above.

We finally give, without proof, the system of differential equations for  $\mathscr{Y}(t)$  and its congruence version. Again the proof follows the same lines as in [2].

Theorem 5.6. We have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathscr{Y}(t) = \frac{1}{3(1-t^2)} \begin{pmatrix} 2t & -1 \\ -2 & t \end{pmatrix} \mathscr{Y}(t)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathscr{Y}_{mp^s}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{3(1-t^2)} \begin{pmatrix} 2t & -1 \\ -2 & t \end{pmatrix} \mathscr{Y}_{mp^s}(t) \pmod{p^s}$$

For all  $m, s \geq 1$ .

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Ling Long for our discussions which gave rise to this paper. I also like to thank the referees for their valuable feedback and their corrections.

### References

- F. Beukers, M. Vlasenko, Dwork crystals I, Int. Math. Res. Notices 2021, 8807–8844, https:// doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa119
- F. Beukers, M. Vlasenko, Dwork crystals II, Int. Math. Res. Notices 2021, 4427–4444, https:// doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa120
- 3. B. Dwork, p-adic cycles, Publications Mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. 37 (1969), 27-115
- 4. N. Katz, Internal reconstruction of unit-root F-crystals via expansion coefficients. With an appendix by Luc Illusie Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S 18 (1985), 245–285
- 5. A. Mellit, M. Vlasenko, Dwork's congruences for the constant terms of powers of a Laurent polynomial, Int. J. Number Theory 12 (2016), 313–321