# RESEARCH

# PGE<sub>2</sub> inhibits spermatogonia differentiation in zebrafish: interaction with Fsh and an androgen

Diego Crespo<sup>1</sup>, Moline Severino Lemos<sup>2</sup>, Yu Ting Zhang<sup>3,4</sup>, Diego Safian<sup>1</sup>, Birgitta Norberg<sup>5</sup>, Jan Bogerd<sup>1</sup> and Rüdiger W Schulz<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Reproductive Biology Group, Division Developmental Biology, Department Biology, Science Faculty, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Cell Biology, Department of Morphology, Institute of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Fujian, People's Republic of China <sup>4</sup>Institute of Oceanography, Minjiang University, Fuzhou, People's Republic of China

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Marine Research, Austevoll Research Station, Storebø, Norway

<sup>6</sup>Research Group Reproduction and Developmental Biology, Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway

Correspondence should be addressed to R W Schulz: r.w.schulz@uu.nl

## Abstract

Changes in zebrafish testicular gene expression induced by follicle-stimulating hormone (Fsh) or anti-Mullerian hormone (Amh) suggested that Amh inhibition and Fsh stimulation of spermatogenesis involved up and downregulation, respectively, of prostaglandin (PG) signaling. We found that Sertoli cells contacting type A undifferentiated ( $A_{und}$ ) and differentiating ( $A_{diff}$ ) spermatogonia expressed a key enzyme of PG production (Ptgs2); previous work showed that Sertoli cells contacting A<sub>diff</sub> and B spermatogonia and spermatocytes showed *ptges3b* expression, an enzyme catalyzing PGE<sub>2</sub> production. In primary testis tissue cultures, PGE<sub>2</sub>, but not PGD<sub>2</sub> or PGF<sub>2a</sub>, reduced the mitotic activity of A<sub>diff</sub> and their development into B spermatogonia. *Vice versa*, inhibiting PG production increased the mitotic activity of A<sub>diff</sub> and B spermatogonia. Studies with pharmacological PG receptor antagonists suggest that an Ep4 receptor mediates the inhibitory effects on the development of spermatogonia, and cell-sorting experiments indicated this receptor is expressed mainly by testicular somatic cells. Combined inhibition of PG and steroid production moreover reduced the mitotic activity of Aund spermatogonia and led to their partial depletion, suggesting that androgens (and/or other testicular steroids), supported by PGE<sub>2</sub>, otherwise prevent depletion of A<sub>und</sub>. Androgens also decreased testicular PGE<sub>2</sub> production, increased the transcript levels of the enzyme-catabolizing PGs and decreased PGE<sub>2</sub> receptor *ptger4b* transcript levels. Also Fsh potentially reduced, independent of androgens, PGE<sub>2</sub> production by decreasing ptges3b transcript levels. Taken together, our results indicate that PGE<sub>2</sub>, via Ep4 receptors, favors self-renewal in conjunction with androgens and, independent of Fsh and androgens, inhibits differentiating divisions of spermatogonia.

#### **Key Words**

- Fsh
- androgen
- prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>
- spermatogonia
- proliferation
- ▶ differentiation
- zebrafish

Journal of Endocrinology (2020) **244**, 163–175

164

**244**:1

# Introduction

Prostaglandins (PGs) are low-molecular-weight (~350 Da), polyunsaturated lipophilic signaling molecules. They are derived from arachidonic acid, which can be liberated from membrane phospholipids by phospholipase A2 (PLA2) enzymes. For PG biosynthesis, arachidonic acid is metabolized by cyclooxygenase enzymes 1 and 2 (COX 1 and 2, a.k.a. PTGS (prostaglandin synthase) 1 and 2) to PGH<sub>2</sub>, which is converted further by specific synthases, for example, PGD synthase (PTGDS) or PGE synthase (PTGES), to produce the main bioactive PGs (i.e. PGE<sub>2</sub>, PGD<sub>2</sub>, PGF<sub>2α</sub>, PGI<sub>2</sub> (Simmons et al. 2004, Ricciotti & FitzGerald 2011)). PGs develop biological activity by interacting with one of the prostanoid receptors, a group of G-protein-coupled membrane receptors showing five subtypes with in total nine receptors (D1 and 2, E1-4, FP, IP and TP (Breyer et al. 2001, Bos et al. 2004)).

PLA2, COX1/2 and PG-specific synthases as well as prostanoid receptors are expressed by different tissues and cell types. It is therefore not surprising that PGs influence in a paracrine and/or autocrine manner several physiological systems including the CNS, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, excretory, respiratory, immune, reproductive and endocrine systems (Hata & Breyer 2004). The half-life time of PGs measures in minutes in mammals (Shrestha et al. 2012). Despite this short half-life time, there is a specific dehydrogenase inactivating PGs (HPGD). Its physiological relevance is exemplified by the several phenotypes that have been associated with mutations in this gene in humans (https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/ carddisp.pl?gene=HPGD), highlighting the wide range of processes modulated by PGs.

Inhibitors of COX1/2 activities block the biosynthesis of all PGs and are known as non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (Hata & Breyer 2004). Early *in vivo* experiments indicated that treatment with NSAIDs or different PGs modulated spermatogenesis in mammals (e.g. mouse (Abbatiello *et al.* 1975, 1976) and dog (Moskovitz *et al.* 1987)). Later studies in rat suggested that part of these effects reflect PG-mediated modulation of Leydig cell steroidogenesis, considering that PDG<sub>2</sub>-promoted while PGF<sub>2α</sub> suppressed androgen production (Frungieri *et al.* 2015). In rat, FSH stimulated Sertoli cell PG production (Jannini *et al.* 1994). In fetal mice, Sertoli cell-derived PGD<sub>2</sub> keeps spermatogonia in an undifferentiated state by autocrine stimulation of CYP26B1 activity that inactivates retinoic acid (Rossitto *et al.* 2015). Moreover,  $PGD_2$  triggered changes in gene expression in spermatogonia, reduced their cell cycling activity and upregulated *Nanos2*, in turn preventing *Stra8* expression, which contributed to keep spermatogonia in an undifferentiated state in fetal mice (Moniot *et al.* 2014). PG effects on stem cells have also been described in certain malignancies, such as bladder cancer (Kurtova *et al.* 2015), where blocking PGE<sub>2</sub> production prevented cancer stem cell self-renewal proliferation between chemotherapy cycles. A role for PGE<sub>2</sub> in promoting stem cell production was also reported from non-malignant tissue, such as the hematopoietic stem cells in zebrafish (Choudhuri *et al.* 2017).

A number of reproductive processes in adult teleost fish have been reported to be influenced by PGs, such as sex steroid production (Wade & Van der Kraak 1993) or ovulation and reproductive behavior (Sorensen & Goetz 1993, Takahashi et al. 2018). With respect to an early lifestage during zebrafish sex differentiation, it is interesting to note that PGD<sub>2</sub> resulted in male-biased, PGE<sub>2</sub> in femalebiased sex ratios (Pradhan & Olsson 2014). However, we are not aware of studies on the role of PGs in adult spermatogenesis in fish. In our studies on the endocrine and paracrine regulation of adult spermatogenesis in zebrafish, we have focused on the mitotic phase of spermatogenesis. This included gene expression analyses of zebrafish testis tissue exposed to recombinant hormones and growth factors (Crespo et al. 2016, Morais et al. 2017). We found that Fsh downregulated testicular ptgs2a, encoding Cox2a (Crespo et al. 2016), while this transcript was upregulated by Amh (Morais et al. 2017); Amh also upregulated the PGE receptor 4b transcript ptger4b, while Fsh decreased the expression of the PGE synthase ptges3b (Crespo et al. 2016). These data set suggest that Fsh (promoting spermatogenesis) downregulated PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling, while Amh (inhibiting spermatogenesis) promoted PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling. Our first experiments to test this assumption indeed showed that in the presence of Fsh, PGE<sub>2</sub> decreased the proliferation activity of type A differentiating (A<sub>diff</sub>) and type B spermatogonia (Morais et al. 2017). Here, we report our follow-up studies on the role of PGs in zebrafish spermatogenesis. Our data suggest that PGE<sub>2</sub> production and signaling is part of the testicular regulatory network implementing the endocrine control of spermatogenesis and functions to prevent depletion of Aund spermatogonia while dampening both basal and Fshor 11-ketotestosterone (11-KT)-stimulated differentiation of spermatogonia.

# Materials and methods

# Animals

Wild-type and transgenic Tg(vasa:EGFP) adult male zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) between 4 and 12 months of age were used in the present study. Animal housing and experimentation were consistent with the Dutch national regulations. The Life Science Faculties Committee for Animal Care and Use in Utrecht (The Netherlands) approved the experimental protocols, which were carried out under permission NVWA 10800.

## **Testis tissue culture**

A previously established testis tissue culture system (Leal et al. 2009b) was used to investigate the involvement of prostaglandins and related compounds in zebrafish spermatogenesis including PGE<sub>2</sub>, PGD<sub>2</sub>, PGF<sub>2a</sub> indomethacin (INDO), GW627368X (EP4 receptor antagonist) and PF04418948 (EP2 receptor antagonist; all purchased from Cayman Chemical) and used at a final concentration of 5 µM (except for INDO and PF04418948, which were used at 3 µM). Additional testis tissue culture experiments were carried out to investigate the interaction of PGE<sub>2</sub> with the androgen 11-ketotestosterone (200 nM (Skaar et al. 2011); Sigma-Aldrich) or recombinant zebrafish Fsh (100 ng/mL (Nobrega et al. 2015)). To study PGE<sub>2</sub> and/or Fsh effects in the absence of steroid hormones, incubations were carried out in the presence of trilostane (Tril, 25 µg/mL (Garcia-Lopez et al. 2010); Sigma-Aldrich), which prevents the production of biologically active steroids.

# Morphometric analyses

To evaluate the proportion of area occupied by different germ cell types, testis tissue was fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde (4°C, overnight), dehydrated, embedded in Technovit 7100 (Kulzer), and 4 µm thick sections were stained with toluidine blue. Ten to fifteen randomly chosen, non-overlapping fields were photographed (Olympus AX70 microscope; ×400 magnification), and the images were analyzed quantitatively using a plugin of the ImageJ software, as previously described (Assis *et al.* 2016). The germ cell types analyzed (type A undifferentiated, A<sub>und</sub>; A differentiating, A<sub>diff</sub>; and B spermatogonia) were identified according to previously published morphological criteria (Leal *et al.* 2009*a*).

# Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

After fixation of testis tissue from adult zebrafish in 4% paraformaldehyde and embedding in paraffin, 4 µm thick sections were prepared for fluorescent IHC. Briefly, slides were heated for 10 min in sodium citrate buffer pH 6.0 for antigen retrieval and subsequently cooled to room temperature for 30 min. After washing in PBS and blocking with 5% BSA (in PBS), slides were incubated with a primary goat anti-(human)COX2 (a.k.a. PTGS2) polyclonal antibody (Cayman Chemical; previously used in zebrafish (Feng et al. 2012)), diluted 1:100 in 1% BSA/PBS. Then, slides were incubated with the secondary antibody (chicken anti-goat IgG Alexa Fluor 488; Life Technologies) for 90 min. Propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as nuclear counterstain and slides were mounted with Vectashield H-1000 (Vector Laboratories). Testis sections were analyzed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM 700).

The proliferation activity of type A and B spermatogonia was investigated by quantifying the cell fraction incorporating the S-phase marker bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU; 50 µg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich), which was added to the medium for the last 6 h of tissue culture. After incubation, testis tissue was fixed at room temperature for 1 h in freshly prepared methacarn (60%) (v/v) methanol, 30% chloroform and 10% acetic acid glacial; Merck Millipore) and processed for subsequent analysis. Testis tissue was dehydrated, embedded in Technovit 7100 (Heraeus Kulzer), sectioned at a thickness of 4 µm. BrdU immunodetection was carried out as previously described (Leal et al. 2009a). To quantify spermatogonial proliferation, the mitotic index was determined by examining at least 100 germ cells/cysts, differentiating between BrdU-labeled and unlabeled cells.

# PGE<sub>2</sub> and 11-KT measurements by ELISA

The levels of  $PGE_2$  produced by testis explants were analyzed directly in the incubation media using a commercial immunoassay (Prostaglandin  $E_2$  ELISA Kit-Monoclonal; Cayman Chemical). The levels of 11-KT in the incubation media were analyzed by ELISA, as previously described (Cuisset *et al.* 1994). Acetylcholine esterase-labeled tracers and microplates pre-coated with monoclonal mouse anti-rabbit IgG were supplied by Cayman Chemicals. Anti-11-KT was a kind gift from David E Kime (Sheffield University, UK). All samples were analyzed in duplicate.

#### **Testicular gene expression**

After tissue culture, testis tissue was frozen in liquid N and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until RNA isolation. Total RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) were carried out as described previously (Nobrega *et al.* 2015). Data were normalized to *eef1a111* (eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1, like 1) due to its stable expression in all sample groups analyzed. All qPCRs were performed in 20 µL reactions and quantification

cycle (Cq) values were obtained in a Step One Plus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) using default settings. Relative mRNA levels were calculated as reported previously (Bogerd *et al.* 2001). Primers used in the qPCR analyses are listed in Table 1.

To investigate the cellular expression of Ep2a and Ep4b receptors in the testis, the transcript levels of *ptger2a* and *ptger4b*, respectively, were retrieved from an RNA sequencing (RNAseq) dataset available in our group and submitted to the NCBI GEO database (GSE116611).

Table 1 Primers used for gene expression studies by qPCR analysis.

Target gene	Gene description	Primer sequence (5′→3′)	
amh	anti-Müllerian hormone	CTCTGACCTTGATGAGCCTCATTT	
		GGATGTCCCTTAAGAACTTTTGCA	
ar	androgen receptor	ACGTGCCTGGCGTGAAAA	
		CAAACCTGCCATCCGTGAAC	
cyp17a1	cytochrome P450, family 17, subfamily A, polypeptide 1	GGGAGGCCACGGACTGTTA	
		CCATGTGGAACTGTAGTCAGCAA	
dazl	deleted in azoospermia-like	AGTGCAGACTTTGCTAACCCTTATGTA	
		GTCCACTGCTCCAAGTTGCTCT	
eef1a1l1	eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1, like 1	GCCGTCCCACCGACAAG	
		CCACACGACCCACAGGTACAG	
foxa2	forkhead box A2	GTCAAAATGGAGGGACACGAAC	
		CATGTTGCTGACCGAGGTGTAA	
gsdf	gonadal somatic cell derived factor	CATCTGCGGGAGTCATTGAAA	
		CAGAGTCCTCCGGCAAGCT	
hpgd	15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase	GAGTAAAGAGTACGGAAAGCAAGGA	
		GGTGAGGAGAATGGAGAAAAGCT	
igf3	insulin-like growth factor 3	TGTGCGGAGACAGAGGCTTT	
		CGCCGCACTTTCTTGGATT	
insl3	insulin-like 3 (Leydig cell)	TCGCATCGTGTGGGAGTTT	
		TGCACAACGAGGTCTCTATCCA	
piwil1	piwi-like protein 1	GATACCGCTGCTGGAAAAAGG	
		TGGTTCTCCAAGTGTGTCTTGC	
ptger2a	prostaglandin E receptor 2a (subtype EP2)	CTGTGGTTCAAACGGCGTATTT	
		ACACCGCATGAGTCTTGCT	
ptger4a	prostaglandin E receptor 4 (subtype EP4) a	GCGGAGATCCAGATGGTCAT	
		TGGGTTTTTATCCAGACGCTTCT	
ptger4b	prostaglandin E receptor 4 (subtype EP4) b	GTGCTCATCTGCTCCACTCCTT	
		GCAGAGTTAAACAGCTGGTTCACA	
ptges	prostaglandin E synthase	GCCAAGTGAGACTTCGGAAAAA	
		AACTGCACACCTCCGTGTCTCT	
ptgesl	prostaglandin E synthase 2-like	GCAATTCATGGGAGGTGATGA	
		TCCATAACCCTCAGAACTCCAAAC	
ptges3b	prostaglandin E synthase 3b (cytosolic)	GACAGCAAAGACGTGAAAGTAAATTTT	
		CGGCTCCACTGAGACAGCTAA	
ptgs1	prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 1	ATTCAATCTGAAACCCTACACATCCT	
_		CGTATAGTTCCTCCAGCTCTTTAGACA	
ptgs2a	prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2a	ACGCTGGAGGTTCAACACAAA	
		CACCIGGACGICCIICACAAG	
rpl13a	ribosomal protein L13a	GAGCCCCCAGCAGAATCTTC	
		AGCCIGACCCCICIIGGIIII	
star	steroidogenic acute regulatory protein	CCIGGAAIGCCIGAGCAGAA	
,		AICIGCACHIGGICGCAIGAC	
UDC	υριαμιτιή C		
wnt5a	wingless-type MMI v integration site family, member 5a		
		CACITCAGGAATCAGCAGAGGATT	

Fw, forward; Rv, reverse.

https://joe.bioscientifica.com https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-19-0309

This set contains expression data from control testes, from germ cell-depleted testes following treatment with the cytotoxic agent busulfan, and from testes with spermatogenesis recovering from the busulfan treatment. A complete description of this data set, involving the three experimental groups, will be given elsewhere. The data - also used to examine testicular PG-related gene expression in the untreated control group shown in Table 2 – were generated, assembled and analyzed as previously described (Morais et al. 2017). Briefly, RNAseq sequencing libraries (five biological replicates per treatment) were prepared from 2 µg total RNA using the Illumina TruSeg RNA Sample Prep Kit v2 and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencer (Illumina, Inc.) as 1×50 nucleotide reads. Data analysis was performed using the R/Bioconductor package DESeq.

To examine if *ptger2a* and *ptger4b* are expressed in somatic or in germ cells, we also used testes from transgenic *Tg(vasa:EGFP)* zebrafish expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) under the control of the germ cell-specific *vasa* promoter (Krovel & Olsen 2002). Testicular cell suspensions were prepared as described previously (Hinfray *et al.* 2013) and immediately submitted to fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) using an in Flux cell sorter (BD Bioscience). EGFP-positive and -negative cells were collected, centrifuged in PBS at 100 *g* for 10 min and the pellet stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until use for gene expression analysis by qPCR.

#### **Statistical analysis**

GraphPad Prism 5.0 package (GraphPad Software, Inc.) was used for statistical analysis. Significant differences between groups were identified using Student's *t* test (paired or unpaired, as appropriate) or one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple group comparisons (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001). Data are represented as mean±s.E.M.

### Results

# Prostaglandin-related gene and protein expression in the zebrafish testis

Analysis of RNAseq data obtained using testis samples of untreated adult zebrafish (data set GSE116611) revealed expression of numerous PG-related genes, such as key enzymes involved in the production of all PG series (*ptgs1*, *ptgs2a*, *ptgs2b*; Table 2). The majority of transcripts retrieved were associated to PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling (including several receptors and synthases), but their expression levels often were low (<15 normalized reads for 6 out of 12 transcripts identified; Table 2), compared to the 31,855, 7223 and 14,203 reads sequenced for three selected housekeeping genes *eef1a111*, *rp113a* and *ubc*, respectively (data set GSE116611). Additional receptors and synthases for other PG types (i.e. PGD<sub>2</sub>, PGF<sub>2α</sub> and PGI<sub>2</sub>; Table 2) were also detected.

**Table 2** Prostaglandin-related gene expression in the adult zebrafish testis.

Gene ID	Gene description	Gene symbol	Read number
ENSDARG00000052148	prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 1	ptgs1ª	87.4
ENSDARG0000004539	prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2a	ptgs2a <sup>a</sup>	62.3
ENSDARG00000010276	prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2b	ptgs2b	32.5
ENSDARG0000069439	prostaglandin D2 synthase a	ptgdsa	9.9
ENSDARG00000027088	prostaglandin D2 synthase b, tandem duplicate 1	ptgdsb.1	231.2
ENSDARG00000071626	prostaglandin D2 synthase b, tandem duplicate 2	ptgdsb.2	88.5
ENSDARG00000078605	prostaglandin E receptor 1a (subtype EP1)	ptger1a	12.9
ENSDARG00000078602	prostaglandin E receptor 1c (subtype EP1)	ptger1c	2.4
ENSDARG00000011434	prostaglandin E receptor 2a (subtype EP2)	ptger2a <sup>a</sup>	28.9
ENSDARG0000037033	prostaglandin E receptor 2b (subtype EP2)	ptger2b	8.9
ENSDARG00000055781	prostaglandin E receptor 3 (subtype EP3)	ptger3	12.8
ENSDARG00000059236	prostaglandin E receptor 4 (subtype EP4) a	ptger4aª	84.9
ENSDARG0000035415	prostaglandin E receptor 4 (subtype EP4) b	ptger4b <sup>a</sup>	7.6
ENSDARG00000079907	prostaglandin E receptor 4 (subtype EP4) c	ptger4c	12.1
ENSDARG00000020136	prostaglandin E synthase	ptgesa	24.0
ENSDARG0000068415	prostaglandin E synthase 2-like	ptgesla	756.8
ENSDARG0000037284	prostaglandin E synthase 3a (cytosolic)	ptges3a	1872.1
ENSDARG0000089626	prostaglandin E synthase 3b (cytosolic)	ptges3b <sup>a</sup>	16.9
ENSDARG00000074016	prostaglandin F receptor (FP)	ptgfr	2.6
ENSDARG00000078172	prostaglandin F2 receptor inhibitor	CU984600.1	48.1
ENSDARG0000060094	prostaglandin I2 (prostacyclin) synthase	ptgis	51.1

aIndicates genes for which a qPCR system was developed (as shown in Table 1).

In order to investigate which cell type(s) are involved in testicular PG production, an fluorescent immunodetection approach for Ptgs2 was used. Ptgs2 labeling was observed in Sertoli cells, particularly in the nuclei of those Sertoli cells supporting type A (both  $A_{und}$  and  $A_{diff}$ ) spermatogonia (Fig. 1A, B, C, D, E and F). Preadsorbing the antibody with the peptide sequence used for its generation eliminated the staining (Fig. 1G, H and I), showing that unspecific staining did not contribute to the labeling pattern observed.

# Blocking testicular PG production increased spermatogonial proliferation and differentiation

To investigate the possible involvement of PGs in regulating zebrafish spermatogenesis, we incubated testicular explants in the absence or presence of a potent PG production inhibitor (indomethacin; INDO). First, we examined if INDO treatment efficiently inhibited testicular  $PGE_2$  production. After both 1 and 4 days of incubation,  $PGE_2$  levels in the culture medium were significantly lower in the presence of INDO (Fig. 2A). Blocking testicular PG production elevated the proliferation activity of differentiating (type A<sub>diff</sub> and B)

spermatogonia (Fig. 2B), associated with an increase in the proportion of area occupied by type B spermatogonia (Fig. 2C). The expression of steroidogenesis-related genes (*star* and *cyp17a1*) was significantly reduced by INDO, while the other transcripts analyzed (germ cell markers and growth factors) were not affected by the treatment (Fig. 2D). Moreover, transcript levels of several PG-related genes (*ptgs1*, *ptgs2a*, *ptges*, *ptges1*, *ptger3b*, *ptger2a*, *ptger4a*, *ptger4b*) did not change in response to INDO (data not shown). Hence, blocking PG production facilitated the proliferation of differentiating spermatogonia.

In view of the reduced levels of steroidogenesisrelated transcripts following INDO treatment, we investigated possible interactions between PG and steroid signaling. First, we examined if the response to INDO is modulated when blocking the production of biologically active steroids with trilostane (Tril). Similar to the previous experiments (Fig. 2B and C), the differentiating spermatogonia showed higher proliferation rates and occupied increased proportions of the testicular area (Fig. 3A and B, respectively). In addition, A<sub>und</sub> spermatogonia showed a lower proliferation activity and occupied a smaller proportion of the section surface. Also, lower transcripts levels of *foxa2* (a potential marker for A<sub>und</sub>



#### Figure 1

PGs are produced by Sertoli cells in adult zebrafish testes. (A, B, C, D, E and F) Detection of Ptgs2 protein by fluorescent immunohistochemistry. Green staining indicates Ptgs2-positive Sertoli cells and red staining indicates DNA (propidium iodide counterstain). (G, H and I) Ptgs2 antibody pre-adsorbed with blocking peptide as negative control. Arrowheads indicate nuclear Sertoli cell (SC) staining, and representative type A undifferentiated (Aund) and differentiating (A<sub>diff</sub>) spermatogonia are encircled with a white dashed line. Scale bars in C, F and I represent 10 µm. Ptgs2-positive nuclei were restricted to Sertoli cells contacting type Aund and A<sub>diff</sub> spermatogonia. A full colour version of this figure is available at https://doi.org/10.1530/ JOE-19-0309.

https://joe.bioscientifica.com https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-19-0309



#### Figure 2

Inhibition of PG production by INDO promotes the differentiation of zebrafish spermatogonia. (A) Quantification of  $PGE_2$  production by testis tissue cultured for 1 or 4 days in basal medium or in medium containing 3  $\mu$ M INDO (i.e. indomethacin, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug). Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. (n = 4-6) and asterisks indicate significant differences between groups (\*P < 0.05, \*\*\*P < 0.001, paired Student's t test). Additional testicular explants were cultured for 4 days in the absence or presence of 3  $\mu$ M INDO and then used to quantify BrdU labeling indices (B), the areas occupied by type A and B spermatogonia (C), or candidate gene expression (D). Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. (n = 6-8) and asterisks indicate significant differences between groups (\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, paired Student's t test). In D, results are shown relative to the basal control condition, which is set at 1 (dashed line). A<sub>und</sub>, type A undifferentiated spermatogonia; A<sub>diffr</sub> type A differentiating spermatogonia; B, type B spermatogonia.

spermatogonia (Safian *et al.* 2017)) and *ar* were observed under these conditions (i.e. INDO plus Tril; Fig. 3C), while the level of growth factor transcripts remained unaltered. Interestingly, the expression level of genes with products related to steroidogenesis remained unchanged, so that the PGE<sub>2</sub> effect on *star* and *cyp17a1* transcript levels observed earlier (Fig. 2C) may depend on the presence of steroids. In summary, the proliferation of differentiating spermatogonia was still favored when blocking PG and steroid production, while type  $A_{und}$  spermatogonia became partially depleted. However, type  $A_{und}$  spermatogonia remained unaffected when blocking only PG production.

# PGE<sub>2</sub> inhibits androgen- or Fsh-stimulated spermatogonial differentiation but promotes their self-renewal when combined with androgen

In order to study the effects of PGs on the proliferation of spermatogonia in a more direct manner, we added  $PGE_2$  to the culture medium, asking if  $PGE_2$  inhibits and rogendriven spermatogonia development. We found that  $PGE_2$ 

© 2020 Society for Endocrinology Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain



#### Figure 3

INDO-induced differentiation, but not self-renewal, of spermatogonia is unaffected when blocking steroid production. Determination of BrdU labeling indices (A) and frequencies (B) of type A and B spermatogonia, and (C) quantification of candidate gene expression. Testicular explants were cultured for 4 days in the absence or presence of 3  $\mu$ M INDO and collected for further analysis. The medium contained trilostane (Tril, 25  $\mu$ g/mL) to block the production of biologically active steroids. Data are expressed as mean ± s.E.M. (n = 6-8). Asterisks indicate significant differences between groups (\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, paired Student's *t* test). In C, results are shown relative to the basal control condition, which is set at 1 (dashed line). A<sub>und</sub>, type A undifferentiated spermatogonia; A<sub>diff</sub>, type A differentiating spermatogonia; B, type B spermatogonia.

reduced the proliferation activity and area occupied by type B spermatogonia (Fig. 4A and B). Type  $A_{und}$ spermatogonia, on the other hand, showed an increased proliferation activity and accumulation, suggesting that these cells preferentially underwent self-renewal divisions in the presence of 11-KT and PGE<sub>2</sub>. Under these conditions, transcript levels of markers for differentiating spermatogonia (*piwil1* and – not significantly – *dazl*) were

170

**244**:1



downregulated, and considering growth factor expression, *wnt5a* levels increased (Fig. 4C).  $PGE_2$  treatment reduced two genes with products related to steroidogenesis (*star* and *ar*; Fig. 4C), but testicular androgen (11-KT) release did not change significantly (left panel in Fig. 4D). Conversely, 11-KT reduced PGE<sub>2</sub> release (right panel in Fig. 4D), increased transcripts levels of the PG metabolizing enzyme *hpgd* (Fig. 4E), and modulated PG receptor (but not PG synthesis) gene expression (Fig. 4E).

Next, we examined the effect of  $PGE_2$  on Fshstimulated spermatogonia development. To focus on the steroid-independent effects of Fsh, trilostane (Tril) was included in the incubation medium. Similar to the findings in the presence of androgen, addition of  $PGE_2$ reduced Fsh-stimulated proliferation activity and relative numbers of differentiating spermatogonia (Fig. 5A and B). The effect of other PG types ( $PGD_2$  and  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ ) on the proliferation activity were also evaluated in the presence of Fsh, but no significant changes were found for any of the three types of spermatogonia analyzed (data not shown).

The *star* transcript levels were upregulated by  $PGE_2$ in the presence of Fsh (Fig. 5C). However, Fsh-stimulated androgen release, being about 10-fold higher than basal (Fig. 4D, left panel), was not changed by  $PGE_2$  (Fig. 5D, left panel), suggesting that  $PGE_2$  is not acutely modulating basal or gonadotropin-stimulated testicular androgen production. Fsh tended to reduce  $PGE_2$  production (Fig. 5D, right panel), but different from the androgen effect (Fig. 4D, right panel), statistical significance was not reached. However, blocking steroid production in the presence

© 2020 Society for Endocrinology Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain

#### Figure 4

In the presence of the androgen 11-KT, PGE<sub>2</sub> increases the proliferation of type Aund spermatogonia while reducing their further differentiation. Testis tissue was cultured for 4 days in the absence or presence of 5  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub>, in medium containing 200 nM 11-KT, and then used for quantification of BrdU labeling indices (A), areas occupied by type A and B spermatogonia (B), or candidate gene expression (C). Aund, type A undifferentiated spermatogonia; Adiff, type A differentiating spermatogonia: B. type B spermatogonia. (D) Quantification of 11-KT and PGE<sub>2</sub> in testis tissue medium after culture for 1 day under different conditions. Different letters indicate significant differences between groups. (E) Modulation of PG-related gene expression by 11-KT. Results are expressed as mean ± s.E.M. (n = 6-17) and asterisks indicate significant differences between groups (\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, paired Student's t test). In C and E, results are shown relative to the basal control condition. which is set at 1 (dashed line).

of Fsh increased testicular  $PGE_2$  release compared to Fsh alone, but not compared to basal conditions, suggesting that this increase reflects the (trilostane-based) removal of steroid-mediated inhibition of  $PGE_2$  production. Taken together, the stimulatory effects of both, Fsh and androgens on spermatogonial differentiation were reduced by  $PGE_2$ , androgens diminished  $PGE_2$  production, and  $PGE_2$  in combination with androgen induced an accumulation of  $A_{und}$  spermatogonia.

# Ep4 receptor mediates PGE<sub>2</sub>-induced effects in the zebrafish testis

 $PGE_{2i}$  but not  $PGD_2$  or  $PGF_{2ai}$  modulated the activity of spermatogonia. To gain additional knowledge on the mechanism mediating PGE<sub>2</sub> effects, we aimed at investigating which receptor(s) mediate these effects. We have found previously (Crespo et al. 2016, Morais et al. 2017) that two PG synthases (ptgs2a and ptges3b) and two receptors (ptger2a and ptger4b) were modulated by different hormones and growth factors (summarized in Fig. 6A). In situ hybridization studies failed to localize the cellular expression of *ptger2a* and *ptger4b*, possibly due to their low expression levels in the testis (Table 2). Using alternative approaches, we first, analyzed ptger2a and ptger4b transcript levels available from an RNAseq dataset that compares control, germ cell-depleted, and recovering testes (data set GSE116611). This suggested an enrichment of receptor expression in somatic cells, since ptger2a and ptger4b transcript levels were upregulated in germ celldepleted testes and returned to control levels during the

PGE<sub>2</sub> inhibits spermatogonial differentiation

**244**:1





#### **Figure 5**

PGE<sub>2</sub> inhibits Fsh-stimulated differentiation of spermatogonia. Testis explants were cultured for 4 days with Fsh (100 ng/mL) in the absence or presence of 5 µM PGE<sub>2</sub>, while inhibiting steroid production with trilostane (Tril, 25 µg/mL). After 4 days, testis tissue was used for quantification of BrdU labeling indices (A), the areas occupied by type A and B spermatogonia (B), or of candidate gene expression (C). Quantification of 11-KT and PGE<sub>2</sub> (D) in testis tissue medium after culture for 1 day under different conditions. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. (n = 4-9) and asterisks indicate significant differences between groups (\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, paired Student's t test (A, B, C, and left panel in D) or one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test (right panel in D). In (C), results are shown relative to the basal control condition, which is set at 1 (dashed line). A<sub>und</sub>, type A undifferentiated spermatogonia; A<sub>diff</sub>, type A differentiating spermatogonia; B, type B spermatogonia.

recovery of spermatogenesis (Fig. 6B). Enrichment of the ptger4b transcript in somatic cells was confirmed using testes from transgenic Tg(vasa:EGFP) zebrafish. Analyzing FACS-sorted testicular cell suspensions by qPCR showed that *ptger4b* followed an expression pattern similar to the one obtained for Leydig cell marker insl3 (Fig. 6C). This did not apply to the same stringency to ptger2a that followed the Sertoli cell marker gsdf (Fig. 6C) that probably reflects re-association of some EGFP-positive germ cells with Sertoli cells. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that some ptger2a expression was associated with germ cells.

The Ep4 receptor antagonist GW627368X increased the proliferation activity and relative numbers of differentiating spermatogonia (Fig. 6D and E), a response similar to the one seen when blocking PG production by INDO (Fig. 2A and B). Analyzing the transcript levels also quantified when blocking PG production by INDO (Fig. 2D), we did not find changes in these transcript levels in response to GW627368X (data not shown). Since ptger2a transcript levels were modulated in response to Amh (Fig. 6A) and may be associated with germ cells (Fig. 6C), we also tested the Ep2 receptor antagonist PF04418948.



#### **Figure 6**

Ep4 receptor (*ptger4b*) mediates PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling in the zebrafish testis. (A) Modulation of PG-related gene expression in testis tissue cultures exposed to different treatments, and analyzed by qPCR or RNAseq approaches. (B) Expression levels of PGE<sub>2</sub> receptors in control, germ cell-depleted (by exposure to the cytostatic agent busulfan, as previously described (Nobrega et al. 2010)), and testes with recovering (from busulfan) spermatogenesis, as described in the NCBI GEO database (data set GSE116611). (C) qPCR analysis of EGFP- and EGFP+ cell fractions. A testicular cell suspension was obtained from testes of transgenic Tg(vasa:EGFP) fish and subjected to FACS. EGFP- and EGFP+ cell fractions were collected and RNA was isolated for analysis of germ (GC), Leydig (LC) and Sertoli cell (SC) markers, as well as PGE<sub>2</sub> receptors. Data are expressed relative to the EGFP<sup>-</sup> condition, which is set at 1. (D and E) Effects of an Ep4 receptor antagonist on germ cell development. Testicular explants were cultured for 4 days in the absence or presence of GW627368X (5  $\mu$ M). Testis tissue was used to quantify BrdU labeling indices (D), and the areas occupied by the type A and B spermatogonia (E). Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  s.E.M. (n = 5-8) and asterisks indicate significant differences between groups (\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001). A<sub>und</sub>, type A undifferentiated spermatogonia; A<sub>diff</sub>, type A differentiating spermatogonia; B, type B spermatogonia. A full colour version of this figure is available at https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-19-0309.

However, this compound did not affect the proliferation activity or relative numbers of spermatogonia (data not shown). Taken together, our results indicate that the bparalogue of the Ep4 receptor is relevant for mediating PGE<sub>2</sub> action in the zebrafish testis, and that expression of this receptor is associated preferentially with testicular somatic cells.

### Discussion

Our main findings are that locally produced PGE<sub>2</sub>, but not  $PGD_2$  or  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ , reduced the proliferation activity of type A<sub>diff</sub> and/or type B spermatogonia, both under basal

and Fsh- or androgen-stimulated conditions. Moreover,  $PGE_2$  increased the self-renewal proliferation of type  $A_{und}$  spermatogonia resulting in their accumulation, which depended on a permissive effect of Fshstimulated androgen/steroid productions. Hence, local  $PGE_2$  signaling in the testis lowered the production of differentiating spermatogonia but expanded the pool of type  $A_{und}$  spermatogonia, suggesting overall a reduced spermatogenesis was reported earlier based on *in vivo* studies in mammals (mice (Abbatiello *et al.* 1975) and dogs (Moskovitz *et al.* 1987)), describing reduced numbers of spermatocytes and spermatids.

Considering the site of PG production, we found Cox2 protein in Sertoli cells contacting type A spermatogonia. In rat (Winnall *et al.* 2007) and dog (Korber & Goericke-Pesch 2019) testis, COX2 likewise was present in Sertoli cells but also in Leydig cells, which was not the case in zebrafish. COX2 produces PGH<sub>2</sub>, which requires PGE synthase to be converted to PGE<sub>2</sub>. The *ptges3b* transcript has been localized to Sertoli cells contacting A<sub>diff</sub> and B type spermatogonia and spermatocytes in zebrafish (Crespo *et al.* 2016). This opens the possibility that in tubuli showing a high number of these more differentiated germ cells high PGE<sub>2</sub> levels are present, a setting compatible with a local negative feedback loop to reduce the production of further differentiating spermatogonia while expanding the pool of A<sub>und</sub> (Fig. 7).

Although zebrafish Leydig cells were negative for Cox2 or *ptges3b*, Leydig cell-derived androgens are not



#### Figure 7

Schematic illustration showing the endocrine and paracrine regulation of zebrafish testicular PGE<sub>2</sub> production and the stages of spermatogonial development affected by PGE<sub>2</sub>. Described effects by secreted factors are indicated by black (Fsh), green (11-KT), red (Amh) and orange (PGE<sub>2</sub>) arrows, while germ cell development or germ cell-mediated effects are indicated in grey. Fsh, follicle-stimulating hormone; 11-KT, 11-ketotestosterone; Amh, anti-Müllerian hormone; PGE<sub>2</sub>, prostaglandin  $E_2$ ; A<sub>und</sub>, type A undifferentiated spermatogonia; A<sub>diff</sub>, type A differentiating spermatogonia; B, type B spermatogonia. A full colour version of this figure is available at https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-19-0309.

https://joe.bioscientifica.com https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-19-0309 © 2020 Society for Endocrinology Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain only stimulators of spermatogenesis (Crowder et al. 2018, de Castro Assis et al. 2018, Tang et al. 2018), but also seem relevant in the context of PG signaling. Part of the stimulatory action of androgens on spermatogenesis may be related to reducing testicular PGE<sub>2</sub> production via increasing hpgd mRNA, coding for an enzyme that catabolizes PGs. Also decreasing transcript levels of ptger4b can contribute to an androgen-mediated limitation of PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling. Different from androgens, another stimulator of spermatogenesis, Fsh (Crespo et al. 2016) had no rapid (overnight) inhibitory effect on PGE<sub>2</sub> production but reduced the transcript levels of two PG synthases (ptgs2a and ptges3b) after 4 days of tissue culture. Therefore, it seems possible that androgen-independent effects of Fsh also reduce PGE<sub>2</sub> synthesis. FSH-modulated Cox2 expression and PG production has been reported from primary Sertoli cell cultures of immature rat (Jannini et al. 1994) or adult hamster (Matzkin et al. 2012). It remains to be clarified what the functional connotation is of the nuclear localization of Cox2 in zebrafish Sertoli cell nuclei; a nuclear localization of COX2 has been reported earlier for rat spermatogonia (Neeraja et al. 2003).

While stimulators of spermatogenesis (androgens and Fsh) inhibited PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling, we have found previously that Amh, an inhibitor of zebrafish spermatogenesis (Skaar et al. 2011), promoted PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling: Amh elevated transcript levels for ptgs2a and ptger4b (Morais et al. 2017), compatible with increasing PG production and PGE<sub>2</sub> sensitivity. A schematic working model summarizing effects of regulators of PGE<sub>2</sub> production is presented in Fig. 7, placing PGE<sub>2</sub> downstream of Fsh and intratesticular factors targeted by Fsh, such as androgens and Amh. However, we did not find evidence for PG effects on Leydig cell androgen production. This is different from the situation in mammals (see 'Introduction' section), and also from previous work in a relative of the zebrafish, the goldfish, reporting PGE<sub>2</sub>stimulated testicular androgen production (Wade & Van der Kraak 1993). However, later experiments involving treatment of adult zebrafish with an NSAID (ibuprofen) did not result in changes of sex steroid plasma levels (Morthorst et al. 2012). Similarly, in our experiments, PGE<sub>2</sub> did not modulate acute (overnight) basal or Fsh-stimulated androgen release but PGE<sub>2</sub> did change star, cyp17a1 or ar transcript levels after 3 or 4 days of tissue culture. We may have missed such intermediate-term effects of PGE<sub>2</sub> on androgen production with our approach, but the type of effect is not clear. For example, we recorded reduced star and cyp17a1 transcript levels when blocking PG production, but only when steroid production was not blocked at the same time (Figs 2 and 3). One possibility to understand

this data set is assuming that PGs may prevent steroidinduced downregulation of *star* and *cyp17a1*. However, this possibility does not fit to the PGE<sub>2</sub>-induced decrease of *star*, *cyp17a1* and *ar* transcript levels when 11-KT was present in the incubation medium (Fig. 4C). More work is required to clarify the relation between PG and androgen/steroid production. For example, differences between observations made by blocking PG production using INDO and adding PGE<sub>2</sub> may reflect effects of PGs other than PGE<sub>2</sub> that are also no longer produced when using INDO.

Our working model also summarizes the main effects of PGE<sub>2</sub> on type A and B spermatogonia (Fig. 7). We recorded inhibitory effects on the development of type A<sub>diff</sub> and B spermatogonia that were independent of the absence or presence of steroids/androgen or Fsh. The self-renewal proliferation of type A<sub>und</sub> spermatogonia, on the other hand, was stimulated by PGE<sub>2</sub> but this effect depended either on the presence of steroids/androgen (Figs 2, 3 and 4), or on undisturbed steroid production in the presence of Fsh (Fig. 5 of the present MS and Fig. 6 of Morais et al. 2017). It therefore appears that steroids play a (yet to be characterized) permissive role for the PGE<sub>2</sub>triggered effects on type Aund spermatogonia. In addition, an unexpected, PG-independent androgen effect on type Aund spermatogonia became evident when comparing the results presented in Figs 2 and 3. In these two experiments, PG production was blocked but it required the additional blocking of steroid production to decrease Aund proliferation and to partially deplete these cells (Fig. 3). This suggested that steroid hormones otherwise support self-renewal proliferation of Aund. Previous work has shown already that PGE<sub>2</sub> promoted self-renewal proliferation and accumulation of Aund in the presence of Fsh and undisturbed steroid production (Fig. 6C and D in Morais et al. 2017). In the light of the results presented in Figs 2 and 3, we speculated that this effect seen in response to the combined presence of Fsh and PGE<sub>2</sub>, may depend on the capacity to produce biologically active steroids. Repeating this experiment in the presence of trilostane indeed abolished the effect of PGE<sub>2</sub> on A<sub>und</sub> but not on the more differentiated  $A_{\rm diff}$  and B spermatogonia (Fig. 5A and B). Since androgens are likely candidates for mediating this action, we examined if the PGE<sub>2</sub> effect on A<sub>und</sub> spermatogonia is recovered in the presence of 11-KT, which indeed was the case (Fig. 4). Hence, in the absence of PGs, sex steroids alone already stimulate self-renewal/ accumulation of  $A_{und}$ , which is further stimulated when PGE<sub>2</sub> is present as well (Fig. 7). Also in salmon testis tissue collected from fish that have just entered pubertal development and showed an elevated level of single cell proliferation activity,  $PGE_2$  production is upregulated (Crespo *et al.* 2019). Since in these males *fshb* transcript levels in the pituitary and plasma androgen levels were also elevated, it seems possible that in salmon testis, a combined Fsh/androgen/PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling accompanies the expansion of the population of type A<sub>und</sub> spermatogonia observed in the early pubertal salmon testis (Crespo *et al.* 2019, Schulz *et al.* 2019).

Zebrafish testis tissue expresses eight PGE<sub>2</sub> receptor subtypes (Table 2). Previous work (Morais et al. 2017) suggested that Ptger2a and Ptger4b are relevant candidates and the present experiments involving EP2 and EP4 receptor antagonists further narrowed it down to Ptger4b. The latter is preferentially expressed by testicular somatic cells, showing in particular a pattern similar to the Levdig cell marker gene insl3. Interestingly, the only growth factor gene that responded to PGE<sub>2</sub> with elevated transcript levels was wnt5a (Fig. 4C). Expression of this Wnt ligand is stimulated by Fsh in Leydig cells in the zebrafish testis and stimulates the self-renewal proliferation of type A<sub>und</sub> spermatogonia (Safian et al. 2018). Therefore, one possibility for PGE<sub>2</sub> to promote the self-renewal may involve elevated wnt5a expression. However, so far, we cannot offer a mechanistic hypothesis for the inhibitory effect of PGE<sub>2</sub> on type A<sub>diff</sub> and B spermatogonia.

Other PGs had no effect in zebrafish in our experiments. In mice, PGD<sub>2</sub> inhibited the differentiation of fetal spermatogonia, using different mechanisms that relied on Sertoli and/or germ cell expression of PGD<sub>2</sub> receptors (Moniot et al. 2014, Rossitto et al. 2015). These receptors are not expressed by the adult zebrafish testis (Table 2). Work on bladder cancer stem cells showed that PGE<sub>2</sub> promoted stem cell production (Kurtova *et al.* 2015). Similarly, PGE<sub>2</sub> treatment increased hematopoietic stem cell numbers and cyclooxygenase activity was required for their formation (North et al. 2007). Recently, it has also been shown that isolated human testicular peritubular cells secrete PGE<sub>2</sub> and that PGE<sub>2</sub>, as well as EP1 and EP4 receptor agonists, increased glia cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) levels (Rey-Ares et al. 2018), a growth factor that maintains the stem cell pool by promoting SSC self-renewal proliferation (Chen et al. 2016, Potter & DeFalco 2017). Evidence for a potential role of Gndf in the rainbow trout testis to prevent differentiation of Aund spermatogonia has been published (Bellaiche et al. 2014). Although a *gdnf* gene has been annotated in the zebrafish genome, information on its functions in the testis is not available yet.

Taken together, it appears that prostaglandin signaling inhibits the production of more differentiated cells while

https://joe.bioscientifica.com https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-19-0309

stimulating stem cell self-renewal in undifferentiated cells in a range of vertebrate models and cell types. For the adult zebrafish testis, we propose that  $PGE_2$  signaling is an integral part of the local regulatory network used by reproductive hormones to adjust spermatogenic activity to the changing requirements via endocrine signals, in particular pituitary Fsh, while safeguarding testis tissue homeostasis.

#### **Declaration of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

#### Funding

This study was co-funded by the Research Council of Norway BIOTEK2021/HAVBRUK program with the projects SALMAT (n° 226221) and SALMOSTERILE (n° 221648). The authors thank the financial support provided by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development of Brazil (CNPq; project n°: 202195/2015-5) and the China Scholarship Council (CSC; grant n°: 201706310069), for the scholarships awarded to M S L and Y T Z, respectively.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Esther Hoekman, Daniëlle Janssen and Henk Westland for maintaining the zebrafish stocks and Henk van de Kant (all from the Science Faculty, Utrecht University, The Netherlands) for technical support regarding histology and immunohistochemistry. Also, they thank Dr Ger J A Arkesteijn (Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht, The Netherlands) for expert assistance with the FACS analysis, and Laura Franken and Martijn Breeuwsma (Master students at Utrecht University, The Netherlands) for their help during initial stages of the work.

#### References

- Abbatiello ER, Kaminsky M & Weisbroth S 1975 The effect of prostaglandins and prostaglandin inhibitors on spermatogenesis. *International Journal of Fertility* **20** 177–182.
- Abbatiello ER, Kaminsky M & Weisbroth S 1976 The effect of prostaglandins F1 alpha and F2 alpha on spermatogenesis. *International Journal of Fertility* 82–88.
- Assis LH, Crespo D, Morais RD, Franca LR, Bogerd J & Schulz RW 2016 INSL3 stimulates spermatogonial differentiation in testis of adult zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Cell and Tissue Research* **363** 579–588. (https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00441-015-2213-9)
- Bellaiche J, Goupil AS, Sambroni E, Lareyre JJ & Le Gac F 2014 Gdnf-Gfra1 pathway is expressed in a spermatogenetic-dependent manner and is regulated by Fsh in a fish testis. *Biology of Reproduction* **91** 94. (https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.114.119834)
- Bogerd J, Blomenrohr M, Andersson E, van der Putten HH, Tensen CP, Vischer HF, Granneman JC, Janssen-Dommerholt C, Goos HJ & Schulz RW 2001 Discrepancy between molecular structure and ligand selectivity of a testicular follicle-stimulating hormone receptor of the African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). *Biology of Reproduction* 64 1633–1643. (https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod64.6.1633)

- Bos CL, Richel DJ, Ritsema T, Peppelenbosch MP & Versteeg HH 2004 Prostanoids and prostanoid receptors in signal transduction. *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology* **36** 1187–1205. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2003.08.006)
- Breyer RM, Bagdassarian CK, Myers SA & Breyer MD 2001 Prostanoid receptors: subtypes and signaling. *Annual Review of Pharmacology* and Toxicology **41** 661–690. (https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. pharmtox.41.1.661)
- Chen LY, Willis WD & Eddy EM 2016 Targeting the Gdnf Gene in peritubular myoid cells disrupts undifferentiated spermatogonial cell development. *PNAS* **113** 1829–1834. (https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1517994113)
- Choudhuri A, Fast EM & Zon LI 2017 Using zebrafish to study pathways that regulate hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal and migration. *Stem Cell Reports* **8** 1465–1471. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. stemcr.2017.05.018)
- Crespo D, Assis LHC, Furmanek T, Bogerd J & Schulz RW 2016 Expression profiling identifies Sertoli and Leydig cell genes as Fsh targets in adult zebrafish testis. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **437** 237–251. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2016.08.033)
- Crespo D, Bogerd J, Sambroni E, LeGac F, Andersson E, Edvardsen RB, Bergman EJ, Bjornsson BT, Taranger GL & Schulz RW 2019 The initiation of puberty in Atlantic salmon brings about large changes in testicular gene expression that are modulated by the energy status. *BMC Genomics* **20** 475. (https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5869-9)
- Crowder CM, Lassiter CS & Gorelick DA 2018 Nuclear androgen receptor regulates testes organization and oocyte maturation in zebrafish. *Endocrinology* **159** 980–993. (https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2017-00617)
- Cuisset B, Pradelles P, Kime DE, Kühn ER, Babin P, Davail S & Le Menn F 1994 Enzyme immunoassay for 11-ketotestosterone using acetylcholinesterase as laberl: application to the measurement of 11-ketotestosterone in plasma of Siberian sturgeon. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C* **108** 229–241. (https://doi. org/10.1016/1367-8280(94)90035-3)
- de Castro Assis LH, de Nobrega RH, Gomez-Gonzalez NE, Bogerd J & Schulz RW 2018 Estrogen-induced inhibition of spermatogenesis in zebrafish is largely reversed by androgen. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **60** 273–284. (https://doi.org/10.1530/JME-17-0177)
- Feng Y, Renshaw S & Martin P 2012 Live imaging of tumor initiation in zebrafish larvae reveals a trophic role for leukocyte-derived PGE(2). *Current Biology* **22** 1253–1259. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cub.2012.05.010)
- Frungieri MB, Calandra RS, Mayerhofer A & Matzkin ME 2015 Cyclooxygenase and prostaglandins in somatic cell populations of the testis. *Reproduction* **149** R169–R180. (https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-14-0392)
- Garcia-Lopez A, de Jonge H, Nobrega RH, de Waal PP, van Dijk W, Hemrika W, Taranger GL, Bogerd J & Schulz RW 2010 Studies in zebrafish reveal unusual cellular expression patterns of gonadotropin receptor messenger ribonucleic acids in the testis and unexpected functional differentiation of the gonadotropins. *Endocrinology* **151** 2349–2360. (https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2009-1227)
- Hata AN & Breyer RM 2004 Pharmacology and signaling of prostaglandin receptors: multiple roles in inflammation and immune modulation. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics* **103** 147–166. (https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2004.06.003)
- Hinfray N, Nobrega RH, Caulier M, Baudiffier D, Maillot-Marechal E, Chadili E, Palluel O, Porcher JM, Schulz R & Brion F 2013 Cyp17a1 and Cyp19a1 in the zebrafish testis are differentially affected by oestradiol. *Journal of Endocrinology* **216** 375–388. (https://doi. org/10.1530/JOE-12-0509)
- Jannini EA, Ulisse S, Cecconi S, Cironi L, Colonna R, D'Armiento M, Santoni A & Cifone MG 1994 Follicle-stimulating hormone-induced phospholipase A2 activity and eicosanoid generation in rat Sertoli cells. *Biology of Reproduction* **51** 140–145. (https://doi.org/10.1095/ biolreprod51.1.140)

Korber H & Goericke-Pesch S 2019 Expression of PTGS2, PGFS and PTGFR during downregulation and restart of spermatogenesis following GnRH agonist treatment in the dog. *Cell and Tissue Research* **375** 531–541. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-018-2915-x)

Krovel AV & Olsen LC 2002 Expression of a vas::EGFP transgene in primordial germ cells of the zebrafish. *Mechanisms of Development* **116** 141–150. (https://doi.org/10.1016/s0925-4773(02)00154-5)

Kurtova AV, Xiao J, Mo Q, Pazhanisamy S, Krasnow R, Lerner SP, Chen F, Roh TT, Lay E, Ho PL, et al. 2015 Blocking PGE2-induced tumour repopulation abrogates bladder cancer chemoresistance. Nature 517 209–213. (https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14034)

Leal MC, Cardoso ER, Nobrega RH, Batlouni SR, Bogerd J, Franca LR & Schulz RW 2009*a* Histological and stereological evaluation of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) spermatogenesis with an emphasis on spermatogonial generations. *Biology of Reproduction* **81** 177–187. (https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.109.076299)

Leal MC, de Waal PP, Garcia-Lopez A, Chen SX, Bogerd J & Schulz RW 2009*b* Zebrafish primary testis tissue culture: an approach to study testis function ex vivo. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **162** 134–138. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2009.03.003)

Matzkin ME, Pellizzari EH, Rossi SP, Calandra RS, Cigorraga SB & Frungieri MB 2012 Exploring the cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2)/15d-Delta(12,14)PGJ(2) system in hamster Sertoli cells: regulation by FSH/testosterone and relevance to glucose uptake. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **179** 254–264. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ygcen.2012.08.020)

Moniot B, Ujjan S, Champagne J, Hirai H, Aritake K, Nagata K, Dubois E, Nidelet S, Nakamura M, Urade Y, et al. 2014 Prostaglandin D2 acts through the Dp2 receptor to influence male germ cell differentiation in the foetal mouse testis. Development **141** 3561–3571. (https://doi. org/10.1242/dev.103408)

Morais RDVS, Crespo D, Nobrega RH, Lemos MS, van de Kant HJG, de Franca LR, Male R, Bogerd J & Schulz RW 2017 Antagonistic regulation of spermatogonial differentiation in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) by Igf3 and Amh. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **454** 112–124. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2017.06.017)

Morthorst JE, Lister A, Bjerregaard P & Van Der Kraak G 2012 Ibuprofen reduces zebrafish PGE(2) levels but steroid hormone levels and reproductive parameters are not affected. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C* 157 251–257. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2012.12.001)

Moskovitz B, Munichor M & Levin DR 1987 Effect of diclofenac sodium (Voltaren) and prostaglandin E2 on spermatogenesis in mature dogs. *European Urology* **13** 393–396. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000472832)

Neeraja S, Sreenath AS, Reddy PR & Reddanna P 2003 Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in rat testis. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online* **6** 302–309. (https://doi.org/10.1016/s1472-6483(10)61849-4)

Nobrega RH, Greebe CD, van de Kant H, Bogerd J, de Franca LR & Schulz RW 2010 Spermatogonial stem cell niche and spermatogonial stem cell transplantation in zebrafish. *PLoS ONE* **5** e12808. (https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012808)

Nobrega RH, Morais RD, Crespo D, de Waal PP, de Franca LR, Schulz RW & Bogerd J 2015 Fsh stimulates spermatogonial proliferation and differentiation in zebrafish via Igf3. *Endocrinology* **156** 3804–3817. (https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2015-1157)

North TE, Goessling W, Walkley CR, Lengerke C, Kopani KR, Lord AM, Weber GJ, Bowman TV, Jang IH, Grosser T, et al. 2007 Prostaglandin E2 regulates vertebrate haematopoietic stem cell homeostasis. *Nature* 447 1007–1011. (https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05883)

Potter SJ & DeFalco T 2017 Role of the testis interstitial compartment in spermatogonial stem cell function. *Reproduction* **153** R151–R162. (https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-16-0588) Pradhan A & Olsson PE 2014 Juvenile ovary to testis transition in zebrafish involves inhibition of ptges. *Biology of Reproduction* **91** 33. (https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.114.119016)

Rey-Ares V, Rossi SP, Dietrich KG, Kohn FM, Schwarzer JU, Welter H, Frungieri MB & Mayerhofer A 2018 Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) is a testicular peritubular cell-derived factor involved in human testicular homeostasis. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **473** 217–224. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2018.01.022)

Ricciotti E & FitzGerald GA 2011 Prostaglandins and inflammation. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology **31** 986–1000. (https:// doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.110.207449)

Rossitto M, Ujjan S, Poulat F & Boizet-Bonhoure B 2015 Multiple roles of the prostaglandin D2 signaling pathway in reproduction. *Reproduction* 149 R49–R58. (https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-14-0381)

Safian D, Bogerd J & Schulz RW 2018 Igf3 activates beta-catenin signaling to stimulate spermatogonial differentiation in zebrafish. *Journal of Endocrinology* 238 245–257. (https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-18-0124)

Safian D, van der Kant HJG, Crespo D, Bogerd J & Schulz RW 2017 Follicle-stimulating hormone regulates igfbp gene expression directly or via downstream effectors to modulate Igf3 effects on zebrafish spermatogenesis. *Frontiers in Endocrinology* **8** 328. (https://doi. org/10.3389/fendo.2017.00328)

Schulz RW, Taranger GL, Bogerd J, Nijenhuis W, Norberg B, Male R & Andersson E 2019 Entry into puberty is reflected in changes in hormone production but not in testicular receptor expression in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* **17** 48. (https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-019-0493-8)

Shrestha HK, Beg MA, Burnette RR & Ginther OJ 2012 Plasma clearance and half-life of prostaglandin F2alpha: a comparison between mares and heifers. *Biology of Reproduction* 87 18, 1–6. (https://doi. org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.100776)

Simmons DL, Botting RM & Hla T 2004 Cyclooxygenase isozymes: the biology of prostaglandin synthesis and inhibition. *Pharmacological Reviews* **56** 387–437. (https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.56.3.3)

Skaar KS, Nobrega RH, Magaraki A, Olsen LC, Schulz RW & Male R 2011 Proteolytically activated, recombinant anti-Mullerian hormone inhibits androgen secretion, proliferation, and differentiation of spermatogonia in adult zebrafish testis organ cultures. *Endocrinology* 152 3527–3540. (https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2010-1469)

Sorensen PW & Goetz FW 1993 Pheromonal and reproductive function of F prostaglandins and their metabolites in teleost fish. *Journal of Lipid Mediators* **6** 385–393.

Takahashi T, Hagiwara A & Ogiwara K 2018 Prostaglandins in teleost ovulation: a review of the roles with a view to comparison with prostaglandins in mammalian ovulation. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **461** 236–247. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mce.2017.09.019)

Tang H, Chen Y, Wang L, Yin Y, Li G, Guo Y, Liu Y, Lin H, Cheng CHK & Liu X 2018 Fertility impairment with defective spermatogenesis and steroidogenesis in male zebrafish lacking androgen receptor. *Biology of Reproduction* **98** 227–238. (https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/iox165)

Wade MG & Van der Kraak G 1993 Arachidonic acid and prostaglandin E2 stimulate testosterone production by goldfish testis in vitro. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **90** 109–118. (https://doi. org/10.1006/gcen.1993.1065)

Winnall WR, Ali U, O'Bryan MK, Hirst JJ, Whiley PA, Muir JA & Hedger MP 2007 Constitutive expression of prostaglandinendoperoxide synthase 2 by somatic and spermatogenic cells is responsible for prostaglandin E2 production in the adult rat testis. *Biology of Reproduction* **76** 759–768. (https://doi.org/10.1095/ biolreprod.106.053124)

Received in final form 3 October 2019 Accepted 10 October 2019 Accepted Manuscript published online 10 October 2019