

EGU23-67, updated on 29 Mar 2023 https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu23-67 EGU General Assembly 2023 © Author(s) 2023. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



A global analysis of how human infrastructure squeezes sandy coasts

Eva Lansu¹, Valérie Reijers², Solveig Höfer³, Arjen Luijendijk^{4,5}, Max Rietkerk⁶, Martin Wassen⁶, Evert Jan Lammerts⁷, and Tjisse van der Heide¹

Coastal ecosystems provide vital services, including water storage, carbon sequestration, biodiversity, and coastal protection. Human disturbances, however, cause massive losses. The most direct impact is habitat destruction through infrastructure development, restricting the space available to coastal ecosystems and impeding their capacity to adapt to sea level rise by landward retreat - a phenomenon called 'coastal squeeze'. While shoreline retreat is intensively studied, coastal congestion through infrastructure remains unquantified. Here we calculated the distance to the nearest human-made structure along 263,900 transects worldwide to show that infrastructure occurs at a 560-meter median distance from the shoreline. Moreover, we find that 18% of global sandy shores harbour less than 100 m of infrastructure-free space, and that 14-17% of the unimpacted space may drown by 2100 according to sea level rise projections. Further analyses show that population density and gross domestic product explain 40-44% of observed squeeze variation, emphasizing the intensifying pressure imposed as countries develop and populations expand. Encouragingly, we find that nature reserves relieve squeezing by 3-5 times, illustrating their effectiveness. Yet, at present only 16% of world's sandy shores has a protected status. We therefore argue that expansion of nature reserves could be key to preserving coastal resilience to sea level rise.

¹Department of Coastal Systems, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), Den Burg, The Netherlands

²Faculty of Geosciences, Department of Physical Geography, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

³Conservation Ecology Group, Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

⁴Department of Resilient Ports and Coasts, Deltares, Delft, The Netherlands

⁵Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

⁶Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Environmental Sciences Group, Utrecht University; Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁷Programma Deltanatuur, Staatsbosbeheer, Amersfoort, The Netherlands