

ACCEPTED VERSION

Citation published version:

Damman, M. (2021). Retirement. In G. Ritzer & C. Rojek (Eds.) *The Blackwell Encyclopedia of Sociology*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons. Doi: 10.1002/9781405165518.wbeosr062.pub2

Funding:

This work was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) [VENI Grant 451-17-005 to M.D.] and Netspar.

Retirement

Marleen Damman

Radboud University Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute

Abstract

Retirement broadly refers to older workers' withdrawal from paid working life. Given the demographic development of population aging and its associated societal challenges, the transition from work into retirement is receiving much attention nowadays, both in policy debates and in the scientific literature. This entry provides a brief description of the scientific literature about retirement and discusses topics that are central in sociological retirement research, such as the role of (1) life histories, (2) social stratification, (3) spousal context, and (4) organizational and country context for understanding retirement transitions. Directions for future research are described as well.

Keywords: life course sociology; retirement; sociology of aging; sociology of work and occupations; stratification and inequality

Retirement refers to older workers' withdrawal from paid working life. The transition from work into retirement is receiving much attention nowadays. In many developed countries populations are aging. This demographic trend has important implications for organizations, labor markets, pension systems, and government finances. A key policy response to population aging is to aim for the extension of working lives. In many countries, significant changes have taken place in terms of retirement policies to realize this aim. Early exit routes have been closed, the statutory retirement age is rising in many countries, and in some countries age-based mandatory retirement has been abolished (for the history of retirement as an institution, see Phillipson *et al.*, 2019; Szinovacz, 2012). Although since the 1970s the effective retirement age has been decreasing in virtually all OECD countries, during the past two decades this trend has been discontinued. On average, the effective retirement age across OECD countries was 63.1 years for men in 2000 and increased to 65.4 years in 2018 (OECD, 2020). This average effective retirement age across countries hides, however, a lot of heterogeneity. Retirement researchers examine these between-individual differences in retirement transitions and experiences.

Retirement as a Process

Even though many people will have a general idea about the meaning of the word "retirement," in the scientific literature there is no general agreement on the definition and operationalization of retirement. It can refer to older workers fully exiting paid work, a substantial reduction of work hours during late careers, the receipt of retirement income, self-assessment by respondents, or combinations of such indicators (Denton and Spencer, 2009). In the literature, it is increasingly acknowledged that retirement does not need to be a discrete one-time event but can be a complex process reflecting transitions into and out of work. Whereas most retirement studies focus on statuses or transitions during late working life (see review by Fisher, Chaffee, and Sonnega, 2016), recent studies have tried to capture the complexity of retirement processes – by using sequence analysis techniques, for instance. These studies highlight the large diversity in retirement sequences. A recent study by Calvo, Madero-Cabib, and Staudinger (2018) has shown that conventional retirement – that is,

transitioning from full-time employment to complete retirement around age 65 – is just one out of six types of general retirement sequences in the United States.

Interdisciplinary Research on Retirement

Research on retirement is highly interdisciplinary in nature. It is not only sociologists, but also economists, demographers, psychologists, and gerontologists who are interested in retirement-related questions. Researchers from different disciplines generally focus, however, on different aspects of the retirement process and different types of antecedents in their studies. Research about retirement-related behaviors (e.g., actual retirement timing, postretirement employment) can often be found in the work of economists, demographers, and sociologists. Research about more subjective aspects of retirement processes (e.g., retirement intentions, adjustment to retirement) is often conducted by psychologists or social-gerontologists.

When looking at the antecedents of retirement processes, four different groups of factors have been distinguished (Fisher, Chaffee, and Sonnega, 2016): individual factors (e.g., demographic characteristics, psychological factors), work-related factors (e.g., HR policies, work attitudes), family factors (e.g., relationship quality, caregiving responsibilities), and macroeconomic factors (e.g., labor market opportunities, housing market). Within these broad groups of antecedents, disciplines also differ in the types of factors studied. For instance, whereas sociologists often focus on structural antecedents (e.g., work characteristics), psychologists more frequently focus on attitudinal precursors of retirement processes (e.g., work attitudes).

Different theoretical frameworks can be found in the retirement literature. The choice of a specific theoretical framework is often guided by the aspect of the retirement process studied. For instance, whereas studies about retirement timing are often based on rational choice theory, studies about adjustment to retirement are frequently based on the theoretical notions from continuity theory and role theory. A framework that has been guiding research about different aspects of retirement processes is the life course perspective. This broad framework proposes that for understanding life events and transitions such as retirement it is important to take the context of the individual into account: not just the historical context, but also normative expectations, linkages with the lives of significant others, and earlier life experiences (see Fisher, Chaffee, and Sonnega, 2016).

The increasing availability of large data sources, which contain information about life histories, linked actors, individuals from multiple countries, and changes over time, has enriched the empirical insights about these antecedents of retirement processes in recent years. Examples of such large data sources (for an overview, see <https://g2aging.org/>) are the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) in the United States, and its international “sister studies,” such as the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE).

Sociological Research on Retirement

Retirement is a topic that is frequently studied by sociologists. In this overview four central strands of sociological retirement research will be briefly described, that is, research focusing on the role of (1) life histories, (2) social stratification, (3) spousal context, and (4) organizational and country context. In all these types of literatures, substantial attention is paid to gender differences in retirement processes.

Life Histories

In the life course perspective, it is proposed that the prior life course will set the stage for later outcomes. In line with this proposition, sociological studies have moved beyond the study of proximal retirement precursors (e.g., late career health and wealth) and have started to examine how retirement patterns are related to individual life histories. Attention has been

paid to how retirement is related to specific earlier life experiences (e.g., Damman, Henkens, and Kalmijn, 2011) and earlier life trajectories (e.g., Visser *et al.*, 2016). Studies have mostly been focused on work histories, but histories in the family sphere have also received attention. The research findings clearly highlight the importance of life histories for understanding variation in retirement processes. Inequalities that build up during the life course continue to play a role during late careers and affect the transition into retirement.

Social Stratification

Another important strand of research addresses retirement processes from a social stratification perspective, that is, focusing on differences in retirement processes between social groups. Often indicators like educational level or social class are central in these studies (Calvo, Madero-Cabib, and Staudinger, 2018; Radl, 2013; Visser *et al.*, 2016). Both appear to be clearly associated with retirement processes. Radl (2013) showed, based on the SHARE data, that social class was strongly associated with retirement processes. Both among the upper and the lower end of the occupational spectrum, relatively late transitions into retirement were observed. The underlying drivers of these relatively late retirement transitions (e.g., having limited pension savings, or having good labor market opportunities) are likely to differ substantially between social classes.

Spousal Context

Many individuals approach their retirement years being a member of a couple. The increasing labor force participation of women has raised interest in studying retirement processes from a couple perspective. The research questions being addressed in this literature generally either focus on co-ordination of retirement timing within couples (i.e., joint retirement), or focus on the impact of spousal characteristics, support, and preferences on retirement timing of an older individual. Research findings broadly suggest that the spousal context is important for understanding retirement processes. Eismann, Henkens, and Kalmijn (2019), for instance, have studied the role of the partner in a direct way based on quantitative multi-actor data. Their study shows that spousal preferences for retirement are rooted in mechanisms of self-interest and altruism. Spouses appear to affect the early retirement decisions of their partner both by persuasion (i.e., by shaping the worker's own preference) and by pressure.

Organizational and Country Context

The opportunities that older workers face regarding prolonged employment and retirement are dependent upon the context in which they live and work. Studies have increasingly paid attention to the embeddedness of retirement processes in the organizational and country context. Studies focusing on the organizational context have examined, for example, how organizational policies and supervisor support affect the transition from work into retirement. Another strand of research focuses specifically on the attitudes and behaviors of employers regarding workforce aging (Henkens and Van Dalen, 2012). Research on the country context has focused on the question of how social policy arrangements (e.g., statutory retirement age, employment protection legislation) and socioeconomic conditions (e.g., unemployment rate) affect the retirement transitions of older individuals (e.g., Ebbinghaus and Radl, 2015). This latter study shows – among other things – that higher statutory retirement ages increase the likelihood of forced retirement transitions.

Future Directions

The timing and content of retirement have changed considerably during the past decades. Given the increasing life expectancy, changes in retirement policies (e.g., raising statutory retirement ages, shifting financial risks toward individuals), and developments on the labor

market (e.g., rise in self-employment, more demographically diverse generations of older workers) it is likely that its nature will change again in the near future (Henkens *et al.*, 2018). Taking into account these macrolevel developments, it can be expected that working lives will be extended in the future, and that individuals need to deal much more with risk and uncertainty regarding retirement (Henkens *et al.*, 2018). As such, relevant topics to be addressed in future research are, for instance, how older workers and organizations adjust to changing retirement policies, how individuals are dealing with the increased individual options to make pension-related choices, and how the meaning of retirement is changing over time.

References

- Calvo, E., Madero-Cabib, I., and Staudinger, U.M. (2018) Retirement sequences of older Americans: moderately destandardized and highly stratified across gender, class, and race. *The Gerontologist*, 58, 116–1176. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnx052.
- Damman, M., Henkens, K., and Kalmijn, M. (2011) The impact of midlife educational, work, health, and family experiences on men's early retirement. *The Journals of Gerontology, Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 66, 617–627. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbr092.
- Denton, F.T. and Spencer, B.G. (2009) What is retirement? A review and assessment of alternative concepts and measures. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 28, 63–76. doi: 10.1017/S0714980809090047.
- Ebbinghaus, B. and Radl, J. (2015) Pushed out prematurely? Comparing objectively forced exits and subjective assessments of involuntary retirement across Europe. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, 41, 115–130. doi: 10.1016/j.rssm.2015.04.001.
- Eismann, M., Henkens, K., and Kalmijn, M. (2019) Origins and mechanisms of social influences in couples: the case of retirement decisions. *European Sociological Review*, 35, 790–806. doi: 10.1093/esr/jcz037.
- Fisher, G.G., Chaffee, D.S., and Sonnega, A. (2016) Retirement timing: a review and recommendations for future research. *Work, Aging and Retirement*, 2, 230–261. doi: 10.1093/workar/waw001.
- Henkens, K. and Van Dalen, H. (2012) The employer's perspective on retirement, in *The Oxford Handbook of Retirement* (ed. M. Wang), Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 215–227. doi: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199746521.013.0085.
- Henkens, K., Van Dalen, H., Ekerdt, D.J., *et al.* (2018) What we need to know about retirement: pressing issues for the coming decade. *The Gerontologist*, 58, 805–812. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnx095.
- OECD (2020) Ageing and employment policies: statistics on average effective age of retirement. Available at <https://www.oecd.org/els/emp/average-effective-age-of-retirement.htm> (accessed August 19, 2020).
- Phillipson, C., Shepherd, S., Robinson, M., and Vickerstaff, S. (2019) Uncertain futures: organisational influences on the transition from work to retirement. *Social Policy & Society*, 18, 335–350. doi: 10.1017/S1474746418000180.
- Radl, J. (2013) Labour market exit and social stratification in Western Europe: the effects of social class and gender on the timing of retirement. *European Sociological Review*, 29, 654–668. doi: 10.1093/esr/jcs045.
- Szinovacz, M.E. (2012) A multilevel perspective for retirement research, in *The Oxford Handbook of Retirement* (ed. M. Wang), Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 152–173. doi: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199746521.013.0070.

Visser, M., Gesthuizen, M., Kraaykamp, G., and Wolbers, M.H.J. (2016) Inequality among older workers in the Netherlands: a life course and social stratification perspective on early retirement. *European Sociological Review*, 32, 370–382. doi: 10.1093/esr/jcw013.