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ABSTRACT

As education was forced to go fully online in early 2020 as a consequence of the imposed lockdowns, concerns were raised related to student well-being. This study examines student well-being at the science faculty of a large urban university in the Netherlands within the framework of Basic Psychological Need Theory, a sub-theory of Self-Determination Theory. A mixed-methods approach was adopted, combining the results of an online student survey with 16 Likert-scale questions as well as two open ended questions (2228 participants, corresponding to a response rate of 32%) with a student focus group interview. The Likert-scale questions were subjected to factor analyses and reveal problems with well-being in four areas: study, personal worries, personal well-being, and societal worries. The analyses of the answers to the open ended questions as well as the focus group data show that students are positive on the autonomy offered by the inherent flexibility of online education, e.g., as a consequence of reduced travel time. However, the psychological needs of competence and relatedness are seriously undermined during times of lockdown, mainly because of poorer student-teacher interaction, lack of structure, loneliness, and helplessness. Students state that they need more support in these areas, for example by on-campus meetings whenever possible, psychological support, improvements in online education, online social events, adequate communication, and leniency in the interpretation of regulations and deadlines. Implications are discussed.

1. Introduction

In early 2020, education at all levels and across the globe was forced to make a very sudden transition. Although the restrictions on social interaction differed per country and region, education as the world had known it was forced to ‘come to a screeching halt’ (Ellis et al., 2020). In order to keep some kind of continuity most educational institutions worldwide opted for fully online education. This decision came with important and often pressing consequences for achievement, equity, teaching methods, management, and especially well-being of teachers, management, parents with children in school, and -of course-students (Ellaway et al., 2020; Hoyt et al., 2021; Meulenbroeks et al., 2021; Williamson et al., 2020).

A review on the effects of quarantine demonstrates that the psychological effects in terms of confusion, anger, and post-traumatic stress can intense and long-lasting (Brooks et al., 2020). Furthermore, a longitudinal study in China shows that even without being quarantined in a strict sense, the well-being of the general population suffered under the imposed and enforced social distancing. Common reactions such as depression, anxiety, and self-reported stress were reported by about one-half of the respondents in this study (Wang et al., 2020). Importantly, these symptoms were not observed to decline over a time period of one month.

Among the subjects of the present study, students in higher education, studies confirm a deterioration of well-being since the onset of the COVID-19 measures in early 2020, with reports of as much as 68% of higher education students experiencing such a deterioration. Concerns include worries about returning to normal and students’ graduation process (Arslan and Allen, 2021; Lyons et al., 2020). Some students were able to cope better than others. A strong sense of meaning in life and psychological flexibility reportedly helped these (undergraduate) students in dealing with COVID-related stress (Genc and Arslan, 2021).

At a large university in the center of the Netherlands, the context of this study, restrictions for higher education started on March 16th, 2020, with all on-campus activities being suspended effectively until September (Rijksoverheid, 2021). In the wake of the transition, several surveys and case studies were conducted on the effects of the sudden transition on students (e.g., Bakker and Wagner, 2020; Meulenbroeks, 2020).
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2020), bringing to light difficulties in line with the studies mentioned so far. At the beginning of the academic year 2020–21, small-scale on-campus activities were allowed within severe restrictions such as the wearing of face masks and maintaining a 1.5 m distance between persons. Large meetings were not allowed. For many first-year students this implied that they had to start their fresh study without ever physically visiting their university. As the second wave of infections hit the Netherlands in October 2020, all on-campus activities were again suspended until the end of April 2021. At the time this article is written (July 2021), on-campus activities are again possible on a small scale.

At the science faculty of the university, student advisors in particular were interested in the way the science students were handling education, especially with a large number of newly arrived undergraduate students that had never enjoyed ‘regular’ on-campus education. Therefore, in November 2020, during the second lockdown a large survey was conducted among all 6950 graduate and undergraduate students of the science faculty. Of this sample, 2228 students responded to this online questionnaire (Nulty, 2008), prompting the authors of this article to closely analyze the results of the survey and follow up on it with a focus group of volunteer students. This study therefore employs a mixed-methods approach.

The theoretical lens chosen for this approach is self-determination theory, more explicitly basic psychological need theory (Ryan and Deci, 2017; Vansteenkiste et al., 2020). This mini-theory within the framework of self-determination theory is devoted to the study of how well-being is related to the support or thwarting of three basic psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness.

The research question is: What do undergraduate and graduate science students report on their well-being and psychological need support during predominately online education?

2. Theoretical framework

Well-being is a concept with many dimensions. In the hedonic view it is defined mainly as the absence of negative affect, combined with the presence of positive affect. Generally this is related to what people report on their feelings of either happiness or being fulfilled (Diener, 2000; Kahneman and Krueger, 2006).

An influential alternative approach to the concept of well-being goes back to the days of Aristotle. In this eudaimonic vision, seeking pure happiness, satisfaction, and pleasure is not considered to be the primary road to well-being (Ryan and Martela, 2016; Waterman, 1990). Instead, eudaimonia suggests that person’s activities be directed towards aims that are inherently valuable (Huppert and So, 2013), goals that are, indeed, worthy as they serve something that is bigger than the person. Very often, these goals are related to societal issues and self-actualization (Maslow and Rogers, 1979). This more comprehensive, eudaimonic form of well-being is sometimes referred to as flourishing. In this vision, happiness does not equal well-being, it is a symptom of well-being (Ryan and Deci, 2017).

Within self-determination theory (SDT) this state of eudaimonic well-being is intimately linked to support of three universal, innate, psychological needs. The underlying assumption is that support of these needs (autonomy, competence, and relatedness) fosters growth and makes humans flourish. In real life, people are confronted with huge differences in biological or genetic inclinations, social and economic background, and political systems in which they are living and working. The support of basic psychological needs, however, has been shown to be a universal mediating factor if these circumstances are less than favorable (DeHaan et al., 2016; Legate et al., 2015). The influence of basic psychological need support and well-being is described in one of SDT’s mini-theories: Basic Psychological Need Theory or BPNT (Ryan and Deci, 2017; Vansteenkiste et al., 2020).

BPNT states that each human being, having fulfilled the basic **physical** needs of food, shelter, and sex, has three basic **psychological** needs. The fact that these psychological needs are innate and universal has important implications for well-being. When any one of these needs is not supported, well-being (and motivation) in any individual, independent of context or demographic situation, will suffer. Well-being, or flourishing in the eudaimonic view, requires that all three needs are supported.

We will now examine definitions of these psychological needs and attempt to translate them to the case of university students in lockdown.

**Autonomy**… ‘refers to the experience of volition and willingness. When satisfied, one experiences a sense of integrity as when one’s actions, thoughts, and feelings are self-endorsed and authentic. When frustrated, one experiences a sense of pressure and often conflict, such as feeling pushed in an unwanted direction.’ (Vansteenkiste et al., 2020, p.3).

The bare fact that the lockdown is put into place by the government and interpreted by the university clearly thwarts the need for autonomy: the student—as well as the general population—has no volition in this process and sees the possibilities for free behaviour dwindle. However, fully online education also has its benefits in terms of student autonomy: with its reliance on videos and online work, it can be accessed at any time. Travel to and from a specific building is not necessary anymore. The student can, for example, choose to follow and do the online work in bed, at another house, in nature, etc.

**Competence**… ‘concerns the experience of effectiveness and mastery. It becomes satisfied as one capably engages in activities and experiences opportunities for using and extending skills and expertise. When frustrated, one experiences a sense of ineffectiveness or even failure and helplessness.’ (Vansteenkiste et al., 2020, p.3).

In education, the support of competence is crucial (Deci et al., 2001), since the understanding, digestion, and application of content is central to the process. Capably engaging in educational activities requires support, or scaffolding, by the teacher (Quintana et al., 2004) and, often, working together with other students, e.g., in inquiry-based learning (Capps and Crawford, 2013). In fully online education it can be expected that support in terms of asking questions and having them answered quickly, suffers (Meulenbroeks, 2020; Shu and Gu, 2018). On the other hand, online formats such as recorded lectures can also be seen as advantageous from this point, since they offer the possibility to scroll forwards and backwards in order to skip passages that are already known and to revisit parts that are considered difficult.

**Relatedness**… ‘denotes the experience of warmth, bonding, and care, and is satisfied by connecting to and feeling significant to others. Relatedness frustration can come with a sense of social alienation, exclusion, and loneliness.’ (Vansteenkiste et al., 2020, p3, p3).

Even though the advent of social networks has enabled almost instantaneous and global communication, relatedness is by no means automatically supported in online environments and social networks (Chen et al., 2021). The effects of lockdown on relatedness are expected to be mainly negative since social contact is basically limited to online interaction and interaction with family or, in the case of students, a group of close friends or housemates. Indeed, as was mentioned in the introduction, the effects of lockdown on the general population, e.g., loneliness and depression (Wang et al., 2020), appear to mirror thwarting of the psychological need for relatedness.

On the basis of BPNT we can formulate our hypothesis:

During primarily online education, students will experience a diminished sense of well-being, as their universal psychological needs are thwarted by imposed measures in order to contain the spread of the COVID-19 virus, a lack of direct interaction during education, and severe restrictions on social contact. These negative effects are mediated by a heightened sense of autonomy in terms of place and time, advantages of online education in terms of competence support, and closer relations with a small group of intimate friends or relatives.
On top of this, students may feel their physical needs threatened by a pandemic that may directly affect their health and the health of their relatives or friends.

3. Method

3.1. Context

This mixed-methods study was conducted at the science faculty of a large urban university in the Netherlands. The science faculty encompasses six departments: mathematics, physics, chemistry, biology, information and computing sciences, and pharmaceutical sciences. It features a total of about 6950 undergraduate and graduate students. The survey did not collect demographic data such as gender, age, or nationality, but students were asked to indicate whether they were master of bachelor students. Of the total number of students 40 % were master students. Among the 2228 respondents we find 1305 bachelor and 905 master students, resulting in a very similar percentage of 41 % master students.

3.2. Data collection

Within this mixed-methods study, the data collection process consisted of three parts:

3.2.1. Online questionnaire

A 16-item 5-point Likert scale online questionnaire on student well-being was designed by the faculty's chief student advisor and administered in November 2020, during the second lockdown and suspension of all physical meetings at the university. It was sent to all 6950 graduate and undergraduate students of the science faculty and resulted in a response rate of 32% (2228 participants). The full questionnaire can be found in Table 4. The root question was on how students perceived their own well-being under the conditions imposed by the measures due to the corona pandemic. Well-being was operationalized using questions such as: ‘Because of corona measures I feel more relaxed’ and ‘Because of corona measures I feel more lonely than before.’ Note that this questionnaire in itself was not based on SDT or BPNT. It was mainly the satisfactory response rate that prompted the authors to analyze the results with an SDT-lens and extend it with qualitative data.

3.2.2. Open ended questions in the questionnaire

The same online questionnaire featured two open ended questions, aimed at obtaining information on possible positive (side) effects of the lockdown and possible solution directions:

a. Which advantages of the corona measures do you see?
b. What would you like your faculty to do in order to improve student well-being in corona times?

Students could choose to either answer or ignore these questions as they were not a mandatory part of the questionnaire.

3.2.3. Focus group interview

After initial data analyses (see below), science faculty students were randomly and voluntarily selected for a focus group interview. This qualitative part of the mixed-methods approach was adopted (Almeida et al., 2017; Morgan, 1996) since the research question requires in-depth information on the various dimensions of the issue, alongside quantitative information. Since the answers to the open ended questions in the large questionnaire had already been analyzed at this point, a relatively open, qualitative method was judged to be most likely to accommodate responses in areas that needed elucidation.

Six students volunteered to participate in the focus group. However, due to COVID-related issues only three students eventually showed up in the online environment chosen for the interview at the designated time in May 2021. The decision was made to carry on with the focus group with this limited number of students, a limited generalizability notwithstanding. Even though this low number of participants restricted the level of interaction, it did still allow for an in-depth discussion between the three participants. The participants happened to represent different categories: two undergraduate students: physics (M) and biology (F), and one international graduate student in environmental biology (F). The focus group was conducted in English and had a duration of 50 min.

The guiding questions for the focus group were explicitly based on BPNT, but worded in a general way, e.g.:

1. Has this period of mainly online education made you feel more - or less - in control of your life and study?
2. Has this period of online education made it easier of harder for you to pursue your study?
3. To what extent has this period of mainly online education influenced your relations, both social and academic?

The full interview protocol, including the informed consent statement, is given in Figure 6 at the end of this publication. During the interview, conducted by one of the authors, the students were left to interact freely, the researcher only intervened in order to ask the guiding questions and some follow-up questions such as: ‘Could you explain, why?’ or ‘Could you give an example?’.

3.3. Data analysis

3.3.1. Quantitative analyses

In the quantitative analysis we only used the 2150 (out of 2228) responses for which all sixteen Likert-scale items were answered. For these items we computed means and standard deviations (treating them as interval data) to get an overall impression of students’ self-reported well-being. In order to detect dimensions in students’ reported well-being, using the Likert-type items, we performed a principal component analysis on these sixteen items, with oblimin rotation.

3.3.2. Open ended questions in the questionnaire

Out of 2228 respondents, 1975 entered answers to at least one of the two open ended questions of the questionnaire (88.6 % of the respondents). Some answers were as short as a few words (e.g., ‘less travel’), whereas others had 100 words or more, often addressing more than one issue. Open coding (bottom-up) was performed on the responses, the longer answers often being assigned more than one code. A total of 2525 codes were found on the basis of the answers to question a (perceived advantages), whereas 1837 codes were found on the basis of the answers to question b (solution directions).

Second coding was performed on 100 randomly selected quotes for each question. This resulted in Cohen's kappa = 0.93 for the codes relating to question a and Cohen's kappa = 0.98 for question b, indicating near perfect intercoder agreement for both cases (Landis and Koch, 1977).

The answers to question a resulted in 11 bottom-up categories, whereas the answers to question b generated 10 categories. The categories for question a are given in Table 1, those for question b in Table 2. The basic psychological need associated with each (bottom-up) code by the authors, has been given in the last column of the tables.

The categories identified for the answers to question b are given in Table 2.

3.3.3. Focus group interview

The focus group interview was videotaped and transcribed verbatim. The full text was then read several times and relevant, self-contained quotes were selected. A total of 29 self-contained codes was found. Since the focus group was primarily intended to add ‘color’ to the answers to the open ended questions in the questionnaire and the bottom-
up coding of the answers to these open ended questions suggested strong links with BPNT (see Tables 1 and 2), the quotes from the focus group interview were classified following a top-down coding protocol based on the theoretical framework of BPNT. Six categories were used, corresponding to the three psychological needs being either thwarted or supported. All codes were subjected to second coding, resulting in a Cohen's kappa of 0.87, again indicating near-perfect interrater agreement. Table 3 gives the categories along with their description and a typical quote.

4. Results

4.1. Quantitative analysis

Table 4 displays the mean answers to the Likert-scale questions on student well-being, sorted in descending order. One outstanding result is the high value for less social interactions, which is very near the maximum score. Other high scoring questions relate to studying online (concentration and structuring) and worries, mainly for family and personal health. The sixteen Likert-scale questions were analyzed using a Principal Component Analysis. After inspecting the scree plot and oblimin rotation, four factors, together explaining 57% of the variance, were identified. Table 5 displays the resulting pattern matrix. Based on the items that load to the factors we identified them as problems with studying, personal worries, personal well-being, and societal worries, expressing the main impacts that corona has on overall student well-being. A reliability analysis of the resulting scales showed Cronbach's Alphas of 0.776, 0.657, 0.742 and 0.743 for these scales respectively.

4.2. Open ended questions in the online questionnaire

Figure 1 displays the number of quotes per category in students answers to open ended question a: Which advantages of the corona measures do you see?
Three categories account for over half the number of quotes: reduced travel time (23.4%), prevention of infections (15.3%), and advantages of online education (12.2%). While the first two are self-explanatory and usually are expressed in short quotes (‘less travel time’ or ‘less infections’), students use more words to explain the advantages of online education:

‘The lectures are online now, this offers the possibility of rewinding them and pause them if necessary.’

The quotes within this category almost exclusively concern this feature of recorded lectures. Actually, no quotes explicitly address other advantages of online education. The aspects of flexibility (11.2%) and more time in general (10.6%) are often mentioned:

‘Flexibility as to where and when I follow lectures and work on assignments.’

‘Having more free time to spend on hobbies, explore new fields, etc.’

An important minority of 7.4% of the respondents explicitly indicate that they cannot come up with one single advantage of the situation.

The remaining five categories together account for 21.9% of the total number of quotes. They thus refer to perceived advantages that are less often mentioned, e.g., health issues (mostly a better day/night rhythm), less social distractions, and learning about online education in general. A small percentage of quotes (4.5%) refers to improvements in social interactions:

‘I notice that I remain in contact with friends and family more consciously, I make (video) calls and check in [with them] more often.’

and a sense of increased awareness of what really matters in life in general (3.3%):

‘Maybe more contemplation about what makes you happy and what not.’

If we apply the theoretical lens of BPNT (last column of Table 1) to these quotes, Figure 2 arises. It shows that the majority of quotes on perceived advantages can be related to the basic psychological need of autonomy (45.2%), with relatedness only accounting for 7.8% of these positive quotes.

Figure 3 gives the number of quotes per category in students answers to open ended question b: What would you like your faculty to do in order to improve student well-being in corona times?

Also in the case of question b, three categories account for more than half of the quotes: return to campus (offline) education as soon as possible (26.3%), improving online education (20.8%) and leniency with regulations (12.6%, mainly relating to extending deadlines and increasing high-stakes testing). Students’ have diverse suggestions on how to improve online education. For example, they promote support for teachers and ask for more diverse activities:

‘Teach teachers how to use digital environments. This would decrease frustration for all parties concerned.’

‘Offer more diverse online education.’

‘I would propose more and more interesting alternatives in education. I am thinking of more online symposia/lectures on corona/study-related subjects.’

Students express a preference for synchronous activities:

‘[…] give actual lectures, not pre-recorded clips with subsequent quizzes.’

‘More interaction between teacher and student, e.g., during online working groups.’

Table 3. The categories used for coding the focus group results. The category descriptions are taken from (Vansteenkiste et al., 2020). Pluses or minuses refer to supporting or thwarting the corresponding psychological need.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Typical Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competence +</td>
<td>Competence concerns the experience of effectiveness and mastery. It becomes</td>
<td>Actually I do feel competent [in pursuing my study], I succeeded in my courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>satisfied as one capably engages in activities and experiences opportunities</td>
<td>even better than before the lockdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for using and extending skills and expertise.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competence -</td>
<td>When frustrated, one experiences a sense of ineffectiveness or even failure</td>
<td>So it started this loop like: I am so behind with work, I don’t want to do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and helplessness.</td>
<td>anything and then I didn’t do anything and I felt like a loser.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy +</td>
<td>Autonomy refers to the experience of volition and willingness. When satisfied,</td>
<td>I had more freedom to schedule my own time [and] my days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>one experiences a sense of integrity as when one’s actions, thoughts, and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>feelings are self-endorsed and authentic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy -</td>
<td>When frustrated, one experiences a sense of pressure and often conflict,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>such as feeling pushed in an unwanted direction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatedness +</td>
<td>Relatedness denotes the experience of warmth, bonding, and care, and is</td>
<td>I had to transform all that work to something I could do remotely, which was</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>satisfied by connecting to and feeling significant to others.</td>
<td>mostly like computer work and statistics, which is something I really don’t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatedness -</td>
<td>Relatedness frustration can come with a sense of social alienation, exclusion,</td>
<td>We’re still in lockdown, many of my friends are gone […] I felt basically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and loneliness.</td>
<td>alone. Also, the international students, most of them were back home […].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Mean scores of Likert-scale items on student well-being, ordered from highest to lowest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I have less social interactions</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I have trouble to concentrate</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I have trouble structuring my day</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I am more lonely than before</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of the corona virus I worry about the health of family and friends</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worry that the current situation limits the options I have within my educational program</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of the corona virus I worry more than before</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have trouble following online education</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worry that the current situation will influence my career</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worry that the current situation will influence my graduation date</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I suffer from more depressive complaints than before</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I spend more time on my studies</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worry about my financial situation</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am worried about my health</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I am more relaxed</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona measures positively influence my well-being</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: mean values of 5 point likert scale, minimum = 1, maximum = 5. N = 2150.
Students also recommend two types of support. First, support on how to organize their own online work and schedules (9.3% of quotes):

‘Tips and tricks to study better at home, take into account that concentration is not always optimal.’

Second, psychological support (6.5%):

‘Extra courses about dealing with stress, more courses on how to relax. Personally I have had trouble with panic attacks that have increased during corona due to reduced social contacts.

‘More personal attention, ask student show they are doing more often (1 on 1 conversation).’

In line with this latter type of support, students advise implementation of online social activities (8.3%):

‘For my study it would maybe be an idea to plan an [online] informal afternoon/evening with a nice pub quiz for the students to get to know each other a bit better.’

A number of quotes (6.3%) concerns the style and quality of communication by the faculty. Students recommend clear, concise, and frequent communication with the interpretation of the latest regulations:

‘...be extra clear about what corona means for education and the students.’

Only about one out of twenty quotes (4.8%) indicates that all is fine in the online education situation. An even smaller number (2.8%) offers no suggestions at all. The smallest percentage of quotes concerns financial compensation for the lack of on-campus education (2.3%).

The answers to question b can also be categorized using the BPNT-lens (last column in Table 2). This results in Figure 4, which shows a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern Matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I spend more time on my studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I have trouble to concentrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I have trouble structuring my day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have trouble following online education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am worried about my health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of the corona virus I worry about the health of family and friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of the corona virus I worry more than before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I am more lonely than before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I have less social interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona measures positively influence my well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I am more relaxed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of corona measures I suffer from more depressive complaints than before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worry that the current situation will influence my career</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worry that the current situation limits the options I have within my educational program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worry that the current situation will influence my graduation date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worry about my financial situation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Oblimin with Kaiser Normalization.
Rotation converged in 11 iterations.

**Table 5.** Pattern matrix for the factor analysis on the sixteen Likert-scale questions. Shown are factor loadings with an absolute value greater than 0.25.

| Figure 1. Perceived advantages of COVID-measures as reported in the online questionnaire. The labels of the categories refer to Table 1. | 6 |
very different picture from Figure 2. In the suggestions students almost exclusively refer to measures related to competence (49%) and relatedness (41.1%).

4.3. Focus group interview

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the 29 quotes from the focus group over the six categories from BPNT. The figure reflects similar results as the results of the open ended questions in the questionnaire: the majority of quotes (18) refers to thwarting of competence (9 quotes):

‘My life is more structured when I feel I have to get up and prepare myself. [...] In the corona period, I am just at home the whole day. I don’t have anything else to do [...]. So I felt that I just had this time and of course also the University was like: “oh, schedule your day, blah, blah, blah”, but in the end I would just find myself like: “I just have the whole day”, and I don’t have anywhere to go and I don’t have anything today except what I have to do so I would just get into procrastination.’

and thwarting of the need for relatedness (9 quotes):

‘The people you would hang out with that weren’t really your friends but you would meet up [with]-in a group of friends. That kind of thing you cannot do online. [...] That kind of connection and relationship with people is, I think, lost. Having lost that over the past year I think is quite heavy because it is the small connections that make quite a difference in the general well-being.’

The positive comments on relatedness are dealing with the quality of interaction with close friends and relatives:

‘I am really happy in my student home, with 10 people, like 5 guys and 5 girls and I really get a lot of support out of that. Everyone is quite optimistic and doing their own thing here. I get a lot of comfort out of that, and stability.’

This sentiment was also encountered in some of the answers to open ended question a.

Autonomy is often (in this case, explicitly so) perceived as being supported in online education (5 quotes):

‘I have more time to do my own thing. I think that actually gives me a sense of [...] autonomy because I am quite an evening person. I really like the feeling of being productive in the morning but I don’t like to wake up early in the morning (and) I have a hard time getting into that structure. That, I guess, gives me more sense of control over my life.’
Examples of quotes on support for competence and thwarting of autonomy can be found in Table 3.

5. Conclusions and Discussion

We now revisit our research question: What do undergraduate and graduate science students report on their well-being and psychological need support during predominantly online education? We have attempted to answer this question by analyzing three different sets of data: quantitative data from an online questionnaire and qualitative data from online open ended questions and a student focus group.

5.1. Lack of structure, loneliness, and worry

The quantitative analysis of the Likert-scale items shows that students report a clear loss in social contact and difficulties with online education in terms of concentration and structuring their working day. They also worry more but their worries are mainly directed at family, friends, and their course of study rather than on personal health.

Using factor analysis we extracted four main components from the answers: problems with studying, personal worries, personal well-being, and societal worries, the latter meaning worries about the societal future. The first of these, problems with studying, can be seen as mainly related the basic psychological need of competence. Online education can contribute, as we hypothesized, to a greater autonomy and this is reflected in the answers to open ended question a (see below). However, in the answers to the Likert-scale items we can see that the greater autonomy is negated by a perceived lack of competence, as students do not report effective studying behavior and difficulty in planning and concentrating. They apparently find it difficult to deal with the offered autonomy. The factor personal well-being relates strongly to the basic psychological need of relatedness. Students report increased feelings of loneliness and depression having a negative impact on their overall feeling of well-being. On the basis of the Likert-scale items, we can therefore conclude that students are thwarted in their need for competence and relatedness. In the end students reported an overall decrease in well-being, reflected in loneliness, helplessness, worrying, and problems with structuring their working days. These issues are elucidated in the answers to the open ended questions.

5.2. Autonomy supported, competence and relatedness thwarted

The results of the bottom-up coding of the answers to the two open ended questions in the questionnaire (perceived advantages and suggestions for improvement) paint a clear picture, especially when the categories are binned into larger categories that correspond to the basic psychological needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness.

In offering perceived advantages of online education, just under one-half of the quotes is related to autonomy in terms of reduced travel time, a resulting surplus of time as compared to pre-corona conditions, and a resulting perceived increase in flexibility. Education could suddenly be enjoyed at home, in bed, out in nature, and often at a time of the students’ own choosing. A smaller percentage of the quotes (19%) is related to competence in terms of less distractions and learning about online education. The advantages of all lectures being recorded, as implemented by the science faculty during the pandemic, also falls into this category. Students appreciated the fact that it allowed them to rewind and re-watch (difficult or interesting) parts of the lecture. Relatedness was mentioned in less than 10% of the quotes, indicating a higher quality of relations with a smaller group of people and increased awareness of things that truly matter in life. The remainder of the quotes was related to limiting the spread of infections and a better sleep/wake balance due to social distancing.

In terms of our research question, the answers to the open ended questions indicate that the students’ well-being was positively influenced by the perceived autonomy in terms of flexibility in organizing their days. Reduced travel time was perceived as an advantage, since it led to more time for other activities beside study. The recording of every lecture during the lockdown supported the feeling of competence. The advantages in terms of relatedness, albeit small in number, are also interesting, since they refer to aspects that may be easily overlooked, such as the fact that even though the quantity of social interactions decreased, the quality of these interactions was actually sometimes perceived to improve during lockdown. More quality time was spent with less people, and there was much less ‘fear of missing out’.

Students were also explicit in their recommendations. The distribution along the psychological needs is very different from the perceived advantages, however. Students refer to measures related to relatedness in over 40% of the quotes, offering suggestions such as returning to on-campus activities as soon as possible, organizing online social events, and offering one-on-one psychological support. The fact that the quality of some social interactions improved during lockdown apparently did not offset the need for more, offline social interaction. Almost one-half of the suggestions referred to competence, for example -interestingly- in the form of support for teachers to help them improve their online education. Apparently, students saw their teachers struggle with transferring their teaching practices to the online realm and realized that they needed to be supported in this area. Furthermore, better and more frequent communication and leniency in terms of regulations and deadlines were asked.

5.3. An overview of the results

The results of the focus group interview. The categories are related to a psychological need (C: competence; A: autonomy; R: relatedness) being either supported (+) or thwarted (-).

Figure 5. The results of the focus group interview. The categories are related to a psychological need (C: competence; A: autonomy; R: relatedness) being either supported (+) or thwarted (-).
The university did send almost weekly ‘corona-updates’, but evidently these were not always adequately translated into information that was directly usable by the students. Furthermore, leniency was asked by the students in view of the unavoidable delays and confusion caused by the sudden transition to fully online education, and the fact that some, practical assignments had simply become impossible. Lastly but importantly, students asked for support in how to organize their study at home. It appears to be difficult for these science students to organize their days and schedules when the very concrete rhythm of going to campus for lectures, working groups, and study, is absent.

The results of the focus group discussion closely mirror the results of the open ended questions: in positive terms, autonomy was most often mentioned, e.g., being able to plan your own day, work according to your own day/night rhythm, and experience more flexibility in general. A few quotes also reflect improved relatedness in the sense that existing relations deepened during the corona period. Negative comments, however, dominated the focus group discussion.

It appears to be predominantly the lack of structure during a period of online education that is detrimental to perceived competence for students. The absence of a daily routine of actually going to university and having scheduled meetings was described as leading to aimless behavior and procrastination. Quick and effective, physical meetings with supervisors and other staff members were also sorely missed. It was reported to be ‘…much easier for me to just go into [my supervisor’s] office and talk face to face and it takes even less time compared to like sending emails or [trying to schedule an online meeting].’ In short, students in the focus group confirmed the impression that a period of online education requires student support in terms of organizing and planning – support in perceived competence. The rhythm and efficacy of physical meetings are sorely missed.

Not surprisingly in view of what we have seen so far, students in the focus group were especially negative on relatedness support. Students mentioned increased loneliness, irritation, alienation, and even depression. As one student eloquently put it: ‘…because it is the small connections that make quite a difference in the general well-being.’ Indeed, according to these students, relatedness cannot be supported by online meetings, however well-intended. It requires the quick and fluid interaction of a physical presence.

Generally we can conclude that under the corona regulations, students saw their well-being deteriorate in several areas. They had problems organizing their days, reported more loneliness and stress, and worried more about their study and close ones. In terms of basic psychological needs, students were predominantly positive on perceived autonomy support by the flexibility offered by online education. However, the psychological needs of competence and relatedness were seriously undermined during times of lockdown and online education, mainly because of poorer interaction, lack of structure, loneliness, helplessness, and even depression. Students indicate that they need more support from the university in these areas, for example by on-campus meetings whenever possible, psychological support, improvements in online education, adequate communication, and leniency in the interpretation of regulations and deadlines.

These conclusions confirm our hypothesis that was constructed on the basis of Basic Psychological Need Theory.

5.3. Limitations

This study started with the interpretation and analysis of a questionnaire that had already been administered by the student advisor of the science faculty. It featured a satisfactory response rate (32%) for an online, voluntary questionnaire. Since the questionnaire included open ended questions, however, we were able to use the theoretical lens of self-determination theory as the great majority of quotes could be related post hoc to one of the three universal psychological needs in BPNT (Vansteenkiste et al., 2020). Based on this analysis we designed a follow-up focus group interview which was explicitly based on BPNT and was thus coded top-down. Data saturation in this focus group is not to be expected given the small number of participants (Almeida et al., 2017), but it was decided to include the data despite this small number, because: (1) the participants did cover undergraduate, graduate, and international students, (2) the focus group results closely mirrored but enriched the results from the open ended questions, and (3) the interrater reliability was nearly perfect for all second coding.

The students in our sample were all science students in the fields of mathematics, physics, chemistry, information science, and life sciences. As there were no students in other fields, it is possible that the results are biased. Furthermore, apart from the academic level (undergraduate and graduate), no demographical data were collected in the questionnaire. Therefore any possible differences based on gender, age, nationality, etc., could not be studied. This constitutes another possible source of bias. However, as BPNT addresses universal human psychological needs (Ryan and Deci, 2017), these factors are not expected to greatly influence the results. In other words, we expect our results to be generalizable to a wider student population. This expectation is reinforced by the fact the other studies have reported similar results on student well-being in times of online education (Arslan and Allen, 2021; Bakker et al., 2021; Ellaway et al., 2020; Ellis et al., 2020; Genç and Arslan, 2021; Meulenbroeks et al., 2021).
Thanks for wanting to participate in this focus group. It will be recorded in [the videoconferencing platform] for later transcription and analysis. You can choose to leave this focus group discussion at any time. After removing names the recordings will be kept securely on the servers of [the university], and only the researchers involved will have access to the data collected in this study. The data will be anonymously stored for at least 10 years. The results of the analysis will only be made public in anonymized form.

Could you please state your name and “I give my consent” if you agree.

At [the university] we have been in a state of “emergency remote education” for over a year now. In this focus group we would like you to elaborate on what this has meant for your studies and your well-being.

Feel free to say whatever comes up, please close other screens on your computer, keep video and audio open and feel free to jump in at any time. If you do not feel like answering, just say so.

1. How would you describe your experience as a student during these 14 Months of mainly online education?
2. Has this period of mainly online education made you feel more-or-less in control of your life and study?
3. Has this period of online education made it easier or harder for you to pursue your study?
4. To what extent has this period of mainly online education influenced your relations, both social and academic?
5. The university is calling the start of the new academic year a “reset” rather than a “restart”. What’s your opinion on that? Should education change profoundly?
6. What should the university definitely keep, in terms of online education? What not?
7. Is there anything you would like to add on this subject?

Figure 6. The full interview protocol as used during the focus group interview on student well-being.

5.5. Future research

The results of this study confirm earlier observations that social distancing and online education during the pandemic lead to stress and reduced well-being and motivation for study (e.g., Eringfeld, 2021; König et al., 2020; van Schalkwyk, 2021). The above suggestions for improving well-being by supporting universal psychological needs need to be carefully translated into interventions that subsequently need to be evaluated. New research questions proposed are: How can teacher support be organized in ways that does not add to the already notoriously heavy teacher work load? How can one-on-one psychological support for students be effectively organized, online or offline? What are the effects of online social events during a prolonged lockdown in terms of basic psychological need support? How can students be supported in organizing their daily schedules without physical on-campus meetings indicating the rhythm?

Finally, as our sample was substantial but consisted entirely of science students, this study would warrant replication with a more diverse student population. Further research into possible differences related to gender or social economic status (SES) could also be considered.
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