

Strategies for Sustainability

Series Editor

Rodrigo Lozano, University of Gävle, Gävle, Sweden

The series focuses on “implementation strategies and responses” to sustainability problems – at the organizational, local, national, and global levels.

Our objective is to encourage policy proposals and prescriptive thinking on topics such as: sustainability management, sustainability strategies, lifestyle changes, regional approaches, organisational changes for sustainability, educational approaches, pollution prevention, clean technologies, multilateral treaty-making, sustainability guidelines and standards, sustainability assessment and reporting, the role of scientific analysis in decision-making, implementation of public-private partnerships for resource management, regulatory enforcement, and approaches to meeting inter-generational obligations regarding the management of common resources.

We favour trans-disciplinary perspectives and analyses grounded in careful, comparative studies of practice, demonstrations, or policy reforms. This largely excludes further documentation of problems, and prescriptive pieces that are not grounded in practice, or sustainability studies. Philosophically, we prefer an open-minded pragmatism – “show us what works and why” – rather than a bias toward a theory of the liberal state (i.e. “command-and-control”) or a theory of markets. We invite contributions that are innovative, creative, and go beyond the ‘business as usual’ approaches.

We invite Authors to submit manuscripts that:

- Document and analyse what has and has not worked in practice;
- Develop implementation strategies and examine the effectiveness of specific sustainability strategies;
- Propose what should be tried next to promote greater sustainability in natural resource management, energy production, housing design and development, industrial reorganization, infrastructure planning, land use, business strategy, and organisational changes;
- Prescribe how to do better at incorporating concerns about sustainability into organisations, private action, and public policy;
- Focus on trans-disciplinary analyses grounded in careful, comparative studies of practice or policy reform; and
- Provide an approach “...to meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs,” and do this in a way that balances the goal of economic development with due consideration for environmental protection, social progress, and individual rights.

Themes covered in the series are:

Sustainability management
Sustainability strategies
Lifestyle changes
Regional approaches
Organisational changes for sustainability
Educational approaches
Pollution prevention
Clean technologies
Multilateral treaty-making
Sustainability guidelines and standards
Sustainability assessment and reporting
The role of scientific analysis in decision-making
Implementation of public-private partnerships for resource management
Governance and regulatory enforcement
Approaches to meeting inter-generational obligations regarding the management of common resources

Roberta Salomone · Andrea Cecchin ·
Pauline Deutz · Andrea Raggi · Laura Cutaia
Editors

Industrial Symbiosis for the Circular Economy

Operational Experiences, Best Practices
and Obstacles to a Collaborative Business
Approach

Editors

Roberta Salomone
University of Messina
Messina, Italy

Andrea Cecchin
North Dakota State University
Fargo, USA

Pauline Deutz
University of Hull
Hull, UK

Andrea Raggi
“G. D’Annunzio” University
of Chieti-Pescara
Pescara, Italy

Laura Cutaia
ENEA
Rome, Italy

ISSN 2212-5450
Strategies for Sustainability

ISSN 2452-1582 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-030-36659-9

ISBN 978-3-030-36660-5 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-36660-5>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Foreword

This book will bring you lessons from practices and tested assessment methods drawn from the field of industrial ecology (IE) and industrial symbiosis (IS). These experiences are essential for the renewed attention for creating new uses for rest products and recycled materials, which has been renamed as ‘circular economy’ in the last 5–10 years.

For me, one of the inspirations to start working in the field of environmental sciences and sustainability in the 1980s was the work of a Dutch civil society organisation called ‘de Kleine Aarde’ (the Small Earth) in the late 1970s/early 1980s on what they called the ‘kringloop samenleving’ (the circulation society), promoting short recycling loops and small-scale production with practical action and examples, like the compost toilet, providing manure for your own garden. Showing many other examples of what citizens and small local social enterprises could do (including building and isolating houses with recycled materials from consumers and agriculture—one of the examples in this book) were an inspiration for many. Later, as an academic researcher in this field, I discovered that many comparable grass-root movements around the world had been doing this as well. In the same early days, on the global level, the Club of Rome reached a worldwide audience with their warnings for resources’ depletion which would lead to societal disruption. Also, in those days the first missions to the Moon made astronauts and their audiences realise that we only have one planet, called Earth, to be carefully treated by and for us all, as voiced by Boulding in 1966 in his ‘Economics for Spaceship Earth’.

Connecting these memories makes one thing clear to me: critical thinking has a long lifespan, we see these three early visions each strengthening over time, they are refurbished into various new forms, and continue to be an inspiration for many people around the world. A lot has happened since these early manifestations of what we now call ‘circular economy’ (CE). In a recent article, I framed this history with my colleagues as moving from CE 1.0, via CE 2.0 to CE 3.0. This book connects CE 2.0 with CE 3.0. While the main challenge of CE 1.0 was to still create the first infrastructures, legislations and real-life practices of collecting material streams from consumers and producers to enable recycling in the 1970s and 1980s,

the 1990s became the period of more intensive take-up in the business world, where industries together with academic scholars like Tibbs, Graedel and Ehrenfield started to use lessons from ecosystems in creating exchange networks of material flows: that is when ‘industrial ecology’ and ‘industrial symbiosis’ were born: industrial frontrunners and environmentalists had found each other, and also developed first eco-design methods, created eco-industrial parks as inspired by the Kalundborg example, and introduced mimicry and many more approaches. They had one advantage compared to the ‘early warners’: by then first national and supranational regulations (like at EU level) had been developed and implemented, be it with different speeds around our single planet. It was a period of optimism, the key vocabulary in this period included words like ‘win-win’ and ‘PPP’, meaning ‘pollution prevention pays’, which did work so partly because in the front-running countries’ pollution and landfilling had been made far more expensive. Some current new believers of the contemporary CE may be unaware of or have forgotten about these early histories, which are also shortly described in Chap. 1. Waste management, pollution and recycling policies were at least in some countries creating first evidence of decoupling economic (traditional) GDP growth and environmental degradation. However, at same time our single planet has been facing continuous growth of population and the wealth of an increasing part of this population, in short implying that the progress in reducing the environmental impacts is not fast enough if we zoom out for evidence from the level of companies, value chains, products and consumers to the level of our single planet Earth. The framing of sustainable development as a twin agenda of integral ecological and societal fairness, which has been embraced by the United Nations since 1992, adds additional challenges to the agenda. It has been argued that the former manifestations of the three critical thinking visions, like IE and IS, were too much focussing on the environmental dimension alone, ignoring the social challenge of fair distribution of wealth. The CE 2.0 bias towards ecology and economy (as discussed in Chap. 1) is understandable if we see how they emerged. We should value and appreciate that the business world and environmental entrepreneurs found each other. But the challenges and their complexity have increased faster than our speed of creating eco-efficiency solutions. In addition, sustainable development calls for integrating the social challenges of achieving a fair, equitable development for all, in a world of expanding value chains and shifting of the environmental and social burdens of our (here I am choosing a biased position) western consumption to low-income countries.

This book aims to link the experiences of the CE 2.0 approaches to the current CE 3.0 agenda. This is a timely and essential choice: we need to understand what works, and also why solutions that did not (yet) work, did not do so. The International Sustainable Development Research Society (ISDRS) has been addressing these critical questions as the academic platform since 1995 for studying, discussing and exchanging knowledge, suggesting ways forward for the three visions above in our annual conferences, with special tracks on recycling, industrial ecology and the more. This book brings together useful cases of experiences with implementing recycling in regional, collaborative contexts, in various parts of the

world, including Italy, Poland, Colombia, Africa and Mexico, which were presented and discussed during the 24th ISDRS conference in Messina, Italy, in June 2018. The examples show that these visions do find their way in various efforts to adapt production practices in ways that will deal with the persistent challenges of sustainable development in diverse forms, labelled with concepts like ‘Transition Regions Towards Industrial Symbiosis’ (TRIS), ‘MoSCoW’, ‘Sustainable Enterprise Network methodology’ (RedES) and ‘Smart Sustainable Districts’, and show the diversity of possible routes towards a circular economy.

The editors state in their first chapter that IS and IE were maybe not as successful as the current high uptake of CE might suggest and assume that the label (circular) ‘economy’ is simply more attractive in industrial circles, than the older label of (industrial) ‘ecology’. Looking at the dazzling high numbers of publications on CE, one should also be aware that the total academic output is growing with comparable speed due to changes in academic culture and growth. For comparing the 1990’s IE with the 2010’s CE, one should divide such annual figures by the total annual number of academic journals: I remember the same vibe about IE in those days. One thing changed for sure in the last 20 years: while in the early days mainstream business and politics could still argue that there was still abundant time, stating that resource depletion can still be mitigated by innovation and new discoveries, this argument cannot be maintained nowadays. Even though some populist politicians still do so, one cannot ignore anymore that the challenges are growing, CE now has a strong message as we arrived in an era where we cannot postpone anymore, the signals of resource depletion, pollution causing extensive biodiversity loss and climate disruption are not anymore future scenarios in reports in paper, but they have become visible in the daily news. The sense of urgency has raised to a boiling temperature. In planning for the transition towards circular economy as an essential element of the wider sustainable development agenda, the experiences discussed in this book will bring you essential lessons.

Walter J. V. Vermeulen
Past-president
International Sustainable Development Research Society (ISDRS)
Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development
Utrecht University
Utrecht, The Netherlands

Contents

1	Relating Industrial Symbiosis and Circular Economy to the Sustainable Development Debate	1
	Andrea Cecchin, Roberta Salomone, Pauline Deutz, Andrea Raggi and Laura Cutaia	
2	Guiding SMEs Towards the Circular Economy: A Case Study . . .	27
	Marta Ormazabal, Vanessa Prieto-Sandoval, Javier Santos and Carmen Jaca	
3	Resources Audit as an Effective Tool for the Implementation of Industrial Symbiosis Paths for the Transition Towards Circular Economy	43
	Laura Cutaia, Tiziana Beltrani, Valentina Fantin, Erika Mancuso, Silvia Sbaffoni and Marco La Monica	
4	Structure and Relationships of Existing Networks in View of the Potential Industrial Symbiosis Development	57
	Alberto Simboli, Raffaella Taddeo, Andrea Raggi and Anna Morgante	
5	Industrial Symbiosis for the Circular Economy Implementation in the Raw Materials Sector—The Polish Case	73
	Joanna Kulczycka, Ryszard Uberman and Ewa Dziobek	
6	Towards Sustainable E-Waste Management Through Industrial Symbiosis: A Supply Chain Perspective	87
	Biswajit Debnath	
7	Supply Chain Management for Circular Economy in Latin America: RedES-CAR in Colombia	103
	Bart van Hoof and Juanita Duque-Hernández	

8	Emilia-Romagna (Italy) Innovative Experiences on Circular Economy	119
	Ugo Mencherini, Sara Picone, Lorenzo Calabri, Manuela Ratta, Tullia Gallina Toschi and Vladimiro Cardenia	
9	The Role of Collaborative and Integrated Approach Towards a Smart Sustainable District: The Real Case of Roveri Industrial District	135
	Francesca Cappellaro, Laura Cutaia, Giovanni Margareci, Simona Scalbi, Paola Sposato, Maria-Anna Segreto and Edi Valpreda	
10	ALL YOU CAN'T EAT: Research and Experiences from Agri-Food Waste to New Building Products in a Circular Economy Perspective	149
	Roberto Giordano, Elena Montacchini and Silvia Tedesco	
11	A Sustainable Approach to the Re-use of Biomass: Synergy Between Circular Agroindustry and Biorefinery Models	165
	Annalisa Romani, Margherita Campo, Giovanni Lagioia, Manuela Ciani Scarnicci and Annarita Paiano	
12	Valorization of Agricultural Wastes and Biorefineries: A Way of Heading to Circular Economy	181
	Gemma Cervantes, Luis G. Torres and Mariana Ortega	

Contributors

Tiziana Beltrani Resource Valorisation Laboratory (RISE), ENEA—Agenzia Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e lo Sviluppo Economico Sostenibile, Rome, Italy

Lorenzo Calabri ART-ER S.Cons.p.A, Bologna, Italy

Margherita Campo PHYTOLAB (Pharmaceutical, Cosmetic, Food Supplement, Technology and Analysis)-DiSIA, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Francesca Cappellaro ENEA, SSPT-SEC, Bologna, Italy

Vladimiro Cardenia Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

Andrea Cecchin Department of Plant Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo, USA;

Department of Earth System Science and Policy, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, USA

Gemma Cervantes Agronomy School, Universidad de La Salle Bajío, León, Guanajuato, Mexico

Laura Cutaia ENEA, SSPT-USER-RISE, Rome, Italy;

Resource Valorisation Laboratory (RISE), ENEA—Agenzia Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e lo Sviluppo Economico Sostenibile, Rome, Italy

Biswajit Debnath Chemical Engineering Department, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, India;

Aston University, Birmingham, UK

Pauline Deutz Department of Geography, Geology and Environment, University of Hull, Hull, UK

Juanita Duque-Hernández Universidad de los Andes School of Management, Bogotá, D.C., Colombia

Ewa Dziobek The Mineral and Energy Economy Research Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland

Valentina Fantin Resource Valorisation Laboratory (RISE), ENEA—Agenzia Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e lo Sviluppo Economico Sostenibile, Bologna, Italy

Roberto Giordano Department of Architecture and Design, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy

Carmen Jaca University of Navarra, TECNUN, School of Engineers, San Sebastian, Spain

Joanna Kulczycka AGH University of Science and Technology, Cracow, Poland

Marco La Monica Resource Valorisation Laboratory (RISE), ENEA—Agenzia Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e lo Sviluppo Economico Sostenibile, Rome, Italy

Giovanni Lagioia Department of Economics, Management and Business Law, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy

Erika Mancuso Resource Valorisation Laboratory (RISE), ENEA—Agenzia Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e lo Sviluppo Economico Sostenibile, Rome, Italy

Giovanni Margareci EGE, Bologna, Italy

Ugo Mencherini ART-ER S.Cons.p.A, Bologna, Italy

Elena Montacchini Department of Architecture and Design, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy

Anna Morgante University “G. d’Annunzio” of Chieti-Pescara, Pescara, Italy

Marta Ormazabal University of Navarra, TECNUN, School of Engineers, San Sebastian, Spain

Mariana Ortega Agromás A.C, Cuautepec, Hidalgo, Mexico

Annarita Paiano Department of Economics, Management and Business Law, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy

Sara Picone ART-ER S.Cons.p.A, Bologna, Italy

Vanessa Prieto-Sandoval Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, School of Economics and Business, Bogotá, Colombia

Andrea Raggi Department of Economic Studies, University “G. d’Annunzio” of Chieti-Pescara, Pescara, Italy

Manuela Ratta Emilia-Romagna Region, Directorate General for Territorial and Environmental Care, Bologna, Italy

Annalisa Romani PHYTOLAB (Pharmaceutical, Cosmetic, Food Supplement, Technology and Analysis)-DiSIA, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Roberta Salomone Department of Economics, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

Javier Santos University of Navarra, TECNUN, School of Engineers, San Sebastian, Spain

Silvia Sbaffoni Resource Valorisation Laboratory (RISE), ENEA—Agenzia Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e lo Sviluppo Economico Sostenibile, Rome, Italy

Simona Scalbi ENEA, SSPT-USER-RISE, Rome, Italy

Manuela Ciani Scarnicci eCampus University, Novedrate, Como, Italy

Maria-Anna Segreto ENEA, DUEE-SPS-SEI, Bologna, Italy

Alberto Simboli University “G. d’Annunzio” of Chieti-Pescara, Pescara, Italy

Paola Sposato ENEA, SSPT-USER-RISE, Rome, Italy

Raffaella Taddeo University “G. d’Annunzio” of Chieti-Pescara, Pescara, Italy

Silvia Tedesco Department of Architecture and Design, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy

Luis G. Torres Unidad Profesional Interdisciplinaria de Biotecnología, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Ciudad de México, Mexico

Tullia Gallina Toschi Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Ryszard Uberman The Mineral and Energy Economy Research Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland

Edi Valpreda ENEA-COM, Bologna, Italy

Bart van Hoof Universidad de los Andes School of Management, Bogotá, D.C., Colombia