

THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF THE BAILY–BOREL AND ALLIED COMPACTIFICATIONS

JIAMING CHEN AND EDUARD LOOIJENGA

(communicated by Donald M. Davis)

Abstract

A number of compactifications familiar in complex-analytic geometry, in particular the Baily–Borel compactification and its toroidal variants, as well as the Deligne–Mumford compactifications, can be covered by open subsets whose nonempty intersections are classified by their fundamental groups. We exploit this fact to define a ‘stacky homotopy type’ for these spaces as the homotopy type of a small category. We thus generalize an old result of Charney–Lee on the Baily–Borel compactification of \mathcal{A}_g and recover (and rephrase) a more recent one of Ebert–Giansiracusa on the Deligne–Mumford compactifications. We also describe an extension of the period map for Riemann surfaces (going from the Deligne–Mumford compactification to the Baily–Borel compactification of the moduli space of principally polarized varieties) in these terms.

1. Introduction

In a remarkable, but seemingly little noticed paper [3], Charney and Lee described a rational homology equivalence between the Satake–Baily–Borel compactification of the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties \mathcal{A}_g , denoted here by \mathcal{A}_g^{bb} , and the classifying space of a certain category which has its origin in Hermitian K -theory. They exploited this to show that if we let $g \rightarrow \infty$, the homotopy type of this classifying space, after applying the ‘plus construction’, stabilizes and they computed its stable rational cohomology. In a similar vein, they determined the homotopy type of the Deligne–Mumford stack of the moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ of stable curves [4], a result which was later extended by Ebert and Giansiracusa [6] to the moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of stable pointed curves.

In this paper we put results of that type in a transparent, conceptually natural framework. One of the advantages of our approach is that it allows us to easily generalize and/or reprove all of them. For example, it is flexible and powerful enough to treat the Baily–Borel compactifications of *all* locally symmetric varieties in a uniform manner. Admittedly, this requires good grasp of the Satake extension and its topology (which we briefly review in Section 4), but the pay-off is that this enables

Received June 14, 2020; published on April 21, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14F35, 55R35, 55U10.

Key words and phrases: homotopy stack, Satake compactification, toric compactification, Deligne–Mumford compactification.

Article available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/HHA.2021.v23.n2.a6>

Copyright © 2021, Jiaming Chen and Eduard Looijenga. Permission to copy for private use granted.

us to take the most straightforward approach by working directly with that extension. From our point of view it is somewhat unnatural to invoke (as did Charney and Lee) the Borel–Serre compactification as an auxiliary tool; indeed, avoiding it simplifies matters even in the case they treated (\mathcal{A}_g). Our technique also applies to torus embeddings and the toroidal compactifications of locally symmetric varieties, as well as to the semi-toric embeddings developed in [8] which interpolate between the latter and the Baily–Borel compactifications. And although our focus is here on locally symmetric varieties, our set-up also accommodates the natural compactifications that are defined for a locally symmetric space (not necessarily a variety), such as its various Satake compactifications and its reductive Borel–Serre compactification.

An important feature is its functoriality, which enables us to identify the homotopy type of natural morphisms between such compactifications. For example, if V is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space, then the symmetric space of $\mathrm{SL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ is realized as the space of inner products on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ given up to scalar. A rational boundary component (for one of its Satake extensions) is the space of inner products up to scalar on the realification of a nonzero proper \mathbb{Q} -subspace of V . If we take $V = \mathbb{Q}^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and denote by S_n the $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit space of this symmetric space, then we find that the compactification of S_n thus obtained is a stratified compact Hausdorff space S_n^* whose strata are S_0, \dots, S_n . We thus obtain a closed embedding $S_n^* \hookrightarrow S_{n+1}^*$ and our results give a precise description of the homotopy type of the mapping telescope of this system. (We will discuss the very similar case for the varieties \mathcal{A}_g in detail.)

All these compactifications have the property that they can be obtained as orbit spaces of stratified spaces with respect to an action of a discrete group. Usually, though, the stratification is not locally finite, the space is not locally compact and the action of the group is not proper. Yet, somewhat miraculously, these drawbacks cancel each other out when we pass to the orbit space, which turns out to be a locally compact Hausdorff space after all (for the simplest nontrivial example see 2.8).

The good feature that is common to these cases is that the strata are contractible. This leads to an open covering of the orbit space that is closed under finite intersections and whose members are ‘virtual’ Eilenberg–MacLane spaces. In particular, the orbit space comes as a stratified space whose strata have naturally the structure of an orbifold. This makes that its homotopy type has features that go beyond that of an ordinary homotopy type and in fact, make it look like that it is dominated by the homotopy type of a stack. One of the main results of the paper (Theorem 2.7) formalizes the type of input on which such a structure is present and then yields as output what we call the *stacky homotopy type* of the orbit space, defined to be the homotopy type of the classifying space of the category. But we do not know whether there is here naturally defined a stack of which it is the homotopy type (see Remark 2.4 for a discussion of this issue). Our set-up is reminiscent of—and indeed inspired by—the construction of an étale homotopy type. On a superficial level there is also some similarity with the classical Borel construction, but the construction we present here is quite different in nature.

We regard the application to Satake compactifications as the central result of this article and expect its main applications to be situated in this area. For this reason, we state the theorem in this context now and then return to the introduction proper by giving a brief overview of the paper’s contents.

For this we need some notions (such as the *stacky homotopy type*) that we explain

in detail elsewhere in this article. Let \mathcal{G} be a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over \mathbb{Q} whose center is anisotropic over \mathbb{R} (which means that the Lie group G underlying $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$ has compact center) and is such that \mathcal{G} modulo its center is \mathbb{Q} -simple. Assume that the symmetric space \mathbb{X} of G (‘the space of maximal compact subgroups of G ’) comes with a G -invariant complex structure so that \mathbb{X} is a bounded symmetric domain and let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ be an arithmetic subgroup. The orbit space $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}$ is a priori an analytic orbifold, but the Satake–Baily–Borel theory asserts that it admits a natural compactification as a normal projective variety. As we shall recall below, this compactification is obtained as an orbit space $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$, where $\mathbb{X}^{bb} \supseteq \mathbb{X}$ is the Satake extension.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ denote the collection of \mathbb{Q} -subgroups of \mathcal{G} consisting of the maximal proper \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroups of \mathcal{G} and of \mathcal{G} itself. It is a fact that a member $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ is completely determined by the center of its unipotent radical $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (this is a vector group which is trivial only when $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$) and this leads us to define a partial ordering on $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ letting $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathcal{P}'$ to mean that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}} \supseteq \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}'}$ (so that $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{P}$ for all \mathcal{P}). For every $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ we define in Section 4 its *link subgroup* $\mathcal{P}^{\ell} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$; it suffices to say here that this group acts trivially on the rational boundary component defined by \mathcal{P} . We make $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ the set of objects of two categories:

Definition 1.1. The *orbit category* \mathfrak{S}_{Γ} of the pair (\mathcal{G}, Γ) is the small category whose object set is $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ and for which a morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ is given by a $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with the property that $\gamma \mathcal{P} \gamma^{-1} \leq \mathcal{P}'$. The *Satake category* \mathfrak{W}_{Γ} of \mathfrak{S}_{Γ} has the same object set, but a \mathfrak{W}_{Γ} -morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ is given by a right coset $(\Gamma \cap \mathcal{P}'^{\ell}) \gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{P}'^{\ell}) \backslash \Gamma$ with the property that $\gamma \mathcal{P} \gamma^{-1} \leq \mathcal{P}'$. We have an obvious functor $F: \mathfrak{S}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_{\Gamma}$.

Theorem 1.2. *The classifying space functor applied to the embedding of Γ in \mathfrak{S}_{Γ} (as the automorphism group of the object defined by \mathcal{G}) is a homotopy equivalence and so $|B\mathfrak{S}_{\Gamma}|$ represents the homotopy type of the analytic orbifold $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}$. The Baily–Borel compactification $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$ of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}$ comes with a natural structure of a stacky homotopy type that is represented by $|B\mathfrak{W}_{\Gamma}|$ such that the classifying space construction applied to the functor $F: \mathfrak{S}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_{\Gamma}$ reproduces the stacky homotopy type of the inclusion $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X} \subseteq \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$. In particular, the map on rational cohomology of this inclusion can be identified with the one of $|F|: |B\mathfrak{S}_{\Gamma}| \rightarrow |B\mathfrak{W}_{\Gamma}|$.*

We continue this introduction with outlining the organization of this paper while giving at the same time an idea of its content. In Section 3 we recover with little additional effort the theorem of Ebert and Giansiracusa mentioned above. Our main theorem is proved in Section 4.

In Section 5, we recover the result of Charney and Lee by taking for $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ the inclusion of $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ in the ordinary (\mathbb{Q} -split) symplectic group of genus g . (In that case they also computed the stable rational cohomology, a result we reproduced in an algebro-geometric manner in the mixed Hodge category in [5].)

We observe in Section 6 that Theorem 2.7 also applies to the toroidal compactifications of Ash, Mumford, Rapoport and Tai and we illustrate this with the perfect cone compactification of \mathcal{A}_g . We restate their results in a way that brings it closer to those in this paper and include a sketch of the proof, exhibiting in passing a ring structure on these stable cohomology groups. We use the occasion to introduce the notion of a *multiplicative set of toroidal data*; these define a toroidal compactification \mathcal{A}_g^{Σ} of \mathcal{A}_g

for every g in such a manner that the obvious maps $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+h}$ extend to the corresponding toroidal compactifications, and our observations are phrased in these terms.

In the final Section 7 we combine our results for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ and the toroidal and the Satake compactifications of \mathcal{A}_g to show how the stacky homotopy type of a period map extension to these compactifications can be given by the classifying space construction applied to a functor. This among other things links the two relevant papers of Charney and Lee [3], [4].

Notational conventions.

If a group Γ acts on a set X and $A \subseteq X$ is a subset, then we denote by $\Gamma_A \subseteq \Gamma$ the subgroup of elements of Γ that leave A invariant, by $Z_\Gamma(A) \subseteq \Gamma_A$ the subgroup of elements that act on A as the identity and by $\Gamma(A)$ the quotient $\Gamma_A/Z_\Gamma(A)$.

As a rule an algebraic group (defined over a field contained in \mathbb{R} , usually \mathbb{Q}) is denoted by a script capital, its Lie group of real points by the corresponding roman capital and the Lie algebra of the latter by the corresponding Fraktur lower case.

Finally, if R is a ring (usually, \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), and M is an R -module, then $\text{Sym}^2(M) \subset M \otimes_R M$ stands for the invariants (rather than the co-invariants of $M \otimes_R M$) with respect to the involution which interchanges factors.

Acknowledgments

We thank Sam Grushevsky, Klaus Hulek and Orsola Tommasi for arousing our interest in and for correspondence relating to some of the issues discussed here. We are also grateful to Kirsten Wickelgren and André Henriques for helpful remarks. We further thank four referees for their thoughtful comments and suggestions, which, we believe, very much helped to improve the exposition.

2. Grothendieck–Leray coverings

Recall that every small category \mathfrak{C} defines a simplicial set $B\mathfrak{C}$ and hence a semi-simplicial complex (its geometric realization) $|B\mathfrak{C}|$. An n -simplex of $B\mathfrak{C}$ is represented by a chain $C_0 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_n$ of n morphisms in \mathfrak{C} , the i th degeneracy map produces the $(n+1)$ -simplex obtained by inserting the identity of C_i at the obvious place and the i th face map is the $(n-1)$ -simplex obtained by omitting C_i (when $i=0, n$) or replacing $C_{i-1} \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1}$ by the composite $C_{i-1} \rightarrow C_{i+1}$ (when $0 < i < n$). Its geometric realization $|B\mathfrak{C}|$ is obtained as follows. Take for every n -simplex $C_0 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_n$ as above a copy of the standard n -simplex Δ^n and use the face maps to make the obvious identifications among these copies. The resulting space has almost the structure of a simplicial complex with each edge labeled by a \mathfrak{C} -morphism (*almost*, because a simplex is in general not determined by its vertex set). We subsequently use the degeneracy maps to make further identifications: simplices having all their edges labeled by the identity of an object of \mathfrak{C} are contracted so that in the end there is no 1-simplex with identity label left.

For example, if we regard a discrete group G as a category with just one object and G as its set of morphisms, then this construction reproduces a model for the

classifying space of G . That is why we call $|B\mathfrak{C}|$ the *classifying space* of \mathfrak{C} . The *homotopy type* of $B\mathfrak{C}$ will mean the homotopy type of $|B\mathfrak{C}|$. Note that for every object C of \mathfrak{C} we have a copy of $B\text{Aut}(C)$ in $B\mathfrak{C}$. A functor $F: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}'$ induces a map $BF: B\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow B\mathfrak{C}'$ and a natural transformation $F_0 \Rightarrow F_1$ between two such functors determines a homotopy between the associated maps $|BF_0|$ and $|BF_1|$. In particular, an equivalence of categories induces a homotopy equivalence between their classifying spaces.

Let Y be a locally contractible paracompact Hausdorff space. Assume Y endowed with an indexed covering $\mathfrak{V} = (V_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ by open nonempty subsets that is locally finite and closed under finite nonempty intersection: if $V_\alpha, V_\beta \in \mathfrak{V}$, then $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$ is equal to V_γ for some $\gamma \in A$, when nonempty. These indexed open subsets define a category \mathfrak{V} with object set A for which we have a (unique) morphism $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ when $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$. Any partition of unity subordinate to the maximal members of \mathfrak{V} can be used to define a continuous map $Y \rightarrow |B\mathfrak{V}|$. As Weil showed, this is a homotopy equivalence when each V_α is contractible.

Suppose now that every V_α is a $K(\pi, 1)$ instead. More specifically, assume that for every V_α we are given a covering map $U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$ with U_α contractible. Then we have a category \mathfrak{U} with again A as object set, but for which a morphism is simply a continuous map $U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta$ which commutes with projections onto Y (so that then $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$). We have an obvious functor $\mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}$. Notice that for any $\alpha \in A$, $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\alpha)$ is the group of covering transformations of $U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$ and hence is isomorphic to the fundamental group of U_α . This means that $|B\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\alpha)|$ is homotopy equivalent to U_α . The following theorem is mentioned by Sullivan as Example 3 on page 125 of [13] who refers in turn to Theorem 2 on p. 475 of Lubkin's paper [10] (we thank Kirsten Wickelgren for pointing out these references).

Theorem 2.1 (Lubkin, Sullivan). *In this situation the continuous map $Y \rightarrow |B\mathfrak{V}|$ defined by a partition of unity lifts to $Y \rightarrow |B(\mathfrak{U})|$ and this lift is a homotopy equivalence.*

For the applications that we have in mind we need a generalization of this theorem of a ‘stacky’ nature. To be precise, we assume that U_α is still contractible, but that we are now given a group Γ_α acting properly discontinuously on U_α with a subgroup of finite index acting freely, such that $\pi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$ is the formation of the Γ_α -orbit space. Note that V_α is then paracompact Hausdorff.

In case $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$, let us agree that an *admissible lift* of this inclusion is a pair $(j: U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta, \phi: \Gamma_\alpha \rightarrow \Gamma_\beta)$ for which

(AL₁) $\phi: \Gamma_\alpha \rightarrow \Gamma_\beta$ is a group homomorphism,

(AL₂) j lifts the inclusion $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$ and is equivariant relative to ϕ , and

(AL₃) ϕ maps the Γ_α -stabilizer of every $x \in U_\alpha$ onto the Γ_β -stabilizer of $j(x)$.

Note that Γ_β acts on the admissible lifts of $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$ by having $\gamma \in \Gamma_\beta$ send (j, ϕ) to $(\gamma j, \text{In}(\gamma)\phi)$, where $\text{In}(\gamma)$ is the inner automorphism of Γ_β defined by γ . We observe that if Γ_β acts freely on a connected open-dense subset of the preimage of V_α in U_β , then this action is simply transitive.

Definition 2.2. A *Grothendieck–Leray atlas* \mathcal{U} over Y consists of a collection of pairs $(\Gamma_\alpha, \pi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ as above and assigns to every inclusion $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$ a Γ_β -orbit of

admissible lifts (j, ϕ) , such that these are the morphisms of a category \mathcal{U} : the identity of the pair $(U_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha)$ defines an admissible lift and the composite of two admissible lifts is again admissible.

A *principal Grothendieck–Leray atlas* \mathfrak{U} over Y is a Grothendieck–Leray atlas for which these lifts are indexed in a particular way: it consists of giving for every inclusion $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$ a collection of admissible lifts indexed by a *principal* Γ_β -set I_α^β : $\Phi_\alpha^\beta = (j_i, \phi_i)_{i \in I_\alpha^\beta}$ together with maps $\Phi_\beta^\gamma \times \Phi_\alpha^\beta \rightarrow \Phi_\alpha^\gamma$ defined whenever $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta \subseteq V_\gamma$ such that

(GL₁) we have $I_\alpha^\alpha = \Gamma_\alpha$ with $1 \in \Gamma_\alpha$ defining the pair $(1_{U_\alpha}, 1_{\Gamma_\alpha})$,

(GL₂) for $i \in I_\alpha^\beta$ and $g \in \Gamma_\beta$ we have $j_{g(i)}^\beta = gj_i$ and $\phi_{g(i)}^\beta = \text{In}(g)\phi_i$ and

(GL₃) the map $\Phi_\beta^\gamma \times \Phi_\alpha^\beta \rightarrow \Phi_\alpha^\gamma$ is Γ_γ -equivariant and defines the composition of admissible lifts.

We often regard \mathfrak{U} as a small category with object set A such that Φ_α^β is the set of morphisms $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$.

Remark 2.3. A Grothendieck–Leray atlas is automatically principal if each Γ_α acts faithfully on U_α , for then the collection of all the lifts $U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta$ of $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$ are simply transitively permuted by Γ_β and hence form a principal Γ_α -set.

Remark 2.4. A Grothendieck–Leray atlas gives rise to a Deligne–Mumford stack if its admissible lifts have the property that in (AL₃) ϕ maps the Γ_α -stabilizer of every $x \in U_\alpha$ *isomorphically* onto the Γ_β -stabilizer of $j(x)$. Although the structure that we get in general is weaker, there is a notion of a *local chart*: given $y \in Y$, then the V_α 's containing y are finite in number and their intersection is one of them, say V_{α_o} . We then stipulate that for every $x \in \pi_{\alpha_o}^{-1}(y)$, the pair $(U_{\alpha_o} \rightarrow Y, x)$ defines a local chart. If $\alpha \in A$ is such that $y \in V_\alpha$, then there exists by definition an admissible lift (j, ϕ) of the inclusion $V_{\alpha_o} \subseteq V_\alpha$ and ϕ maps the Γ_{α_o} -stabilizer of x onto the Γ_α -stabilizer of $j(x)$. If this is in fact an isomorphism, then we declare that the pair $(U_\alpha \rightarrow Y, j(x))$ is also a local chart. But the property of being a local chart need be not open: there exist examples for which the set of $x' \in U_{\alpha_o}$ for which $(U_{\alpha_o} \rightarrow Y, x')$ is a chart fails to be a neighborhood of x . All we can say a priori is that $(U_{\alpha_o} \rightarrow Y, x')$ is a local chart when $\pi_{\alpha_o}(x')$ lies in $V_{\alpha_o} \setminus \cup_{y \notin V_\beta} V_\beta$. This is a closed subset of V_{α_o} which contains y and so this only shows that we have a locally finite partition of Y into locally closed subsets along which charts ‘propagate’. This phenomenon we encounter for a Baily–Borel compactifications, where the locally closed subsets of the partition are the Baily–Borel strata.

We associate to a Grothendieck–Leray atlas as above a homotopy type that we will refer to as its *stacky homotopy type*. Let us begin with recalling Segal’s categorical construction of the universal bundle of a discrete group Γ [12]. Let $\hat{\Gamma}$ be the groupoid whose object set is Γ and has for any two objects $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ just one morphism $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'$. Since this category is equivalent to the subcategory represented by the single element $1 \in \Gamma$, the space $|B\hat{\Gamma}|$ is contractible. This category is acted on by the group Γ with quotient category the group Γ , but now viewed as a category with a single object: the quotient forming functor $\hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ sends the unique morphism $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'$ to $\gamma^{-1}\gamma'$. The associated map $|B\hat{\Gamma}| \rightarrow |B\Gamma|$ is a universal Γ -bundle. This construction is clearly functorial on the category of discrete groups.

We apply this in the present situation as follows. For $\alpha \in A$, $\hat{U}_\alpha := U_\alpha \times |B\hat{\Gamma}_\alpha|$ is contractible and the diagonal action of Γ_α on it is free and proper. So if we denote by $\hat{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{V}_\alpha$ the formation of the corresponding orbit space, then this is also a universal Γ_α -bundle. Given an inclusion $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$, then an admissible lift ($j: U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta, \phi: \Gamma_\alpha \rightarrow \Gamma_\beta$) defines a map $\hat{j} := j \times |B\hat{\phi}|: \hat{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{U}_\beta$ that is equivariant with respect to ϕ . Such lifts make up a single Γ_β -orbit and hence we have a map between two universal coverings: they induce the same map $\hat{V}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{V}_\beta$ and they yield all the lifts $\hat{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{U}_\beta$ of the latter. Our assumptions imply that $\alpha \mapsto \hat{V}_\alpha$ defines a functor from \mathfrak{A} to the category of topological spaces so that we can form $\hat{Y} := \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{A}} \{\hat{V}_\alpha\}_\alpha$. The collection of the maps $\hat{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{V}_\alpha$ plus the lifts \hat{j} as above form a category $\hat{\mathfrak{U}}$ of contractible spaces over \hat{Y} . The Lubkin–Sullivan theorem does not quite apply as such to this system of coverings, because the maps $\hat{V}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{V}_\beta$ need not be injective (they are open, though). But it will, if we replace \hat{Y} by the homotopy colimit $\hat{Y}^h := \text{hocolim}_{\mathfrak{A}} \hat{V}_\alpha$ of this system (here we use the construction that regards the system as a simplicial space). It has the property that the natural map $\hat{Y}^h \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ is a homotopy equivalence. We thus find a homotopy equivalence between \hat{Y} and $|B\hat{\mathfrak{U}}|$.

Consider the obvious projection $p_\alpha: \hat{V}_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$. The fiber over $y \in V_\alpha$ is the quotient of the contractible Γ_α -space $|B\hat{\Gamma}_\alpha|$ by the Γ_α -stabilizer of some $x \in U_\alpha$ over y . So it has the rational cohomology of the finite group $(\Gamma_\alpha)_x$, and hence that of a point. This fiber is also a deformation retract of the preimage of a neighborhood of y in V_α . So the Leray spectral sequence for rational cohomology of the projection $\hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ degenerates and this projection induces an isomorphism on rational cohomology.

Assuming that we have a principal Grothendieck–Leray atlas \mathfrak{U} , we can identify Γ_α with the \mathfrak{U} -endomorphisms of α so that $B\Gamma_\alpha \subseteq B\mathfrak{U}$. The projection $\hat{V}_\alpha \rightarrow |B\Gamma_\alpha|$ is a bundle with fiber the contractible U_α . Since this is functorial, these projections assemble to a map $\hat{Y}^h \rightarrow |B\mathfrak{U}|$. Its fibers are contractible and so this is a homotopy equivalence.

We record this discussion in the form of a scholium.

Scholium 2.5. *With a Grothendieck–Leray atlas as above we have associated a natural homotopy class of maps from its stacky homotopy type to Y and this class induces an isomorphism on rational cohomology. For a principal Grothendieck–Leray atlas \mathfrak{U} this stacky homotopy type is represented by $|B\mathfrak{U}|$.*

Remark 2.6. In our applications we encounter refinements of Grothendieck–Leray atlases of a very simple type, namely obtained by giving for each $\alpha \in A$ an open $V'_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha$ such that this inclusion is a homotopy equivalence and $\{V'_\alpha\}_\alpha$ still covers Y . This extends in a natural manner to a Grothendieck–Leray atlas with the same index set and if one is principal, then so is the other. It is clear that this induces a homotopy equivalence between the associated homotopy types. From a conceptual point of view it would be more satisfying to introduce a considerable more general notion of refinement for Grothendieck–Leray atlases: such a refinement should then be given by a functor $F: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$ that gives rise to a (weak) homotopy equivalence of their stacky homotopy types so that the resulting structure on Y (which we might regard as a weak form of a Deligne–Mumford stack) has this (weak) homotopy type as one its attributes. We refrained from developing these notions, as there is no need for them in the present paper.

The applications alluded to in Remark 2.6 above have in common a number of features that are worth isolating. Let X be a space endowed with a *stratification* \mathcal{S} , that is, a partition into subspaces (called strata) such that the closure of each stratum is a union of strata. We then have a partial order on \mathcal{S} for which $S' \leq S$ means that $S' \subseteq \bar{S}$. We assume that the length of chains $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n)$ in \mathcal{S} is bounded, but we do not ask that X be locally compact, nor that \mathcal{S} be locally finite.

Theorem 2.7. *Let Γ be a discrete group which acts on the stratified space (X, \mathcal{S}) and suppose that for every stratum S we are given a subgroup $\Gamma_S^\ell \subseteq Z_\Gamma(S)$, called the link subgroup, such that $\Gamma_S^\ell \supseteq \Gamma_{S'}^\ell$ when $S \leq S'$, and such that $\Gamma_{\gamma S}^\ell = \gamma \Gamma_S^\ell \gamma^{-1}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ (in particular, Γ_S^ℓ is normal in Γ_S).*

If we can find for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$ an open neighborhood U_S of S in X such that

- (i) $U_S \cap U_{S'}$ is empty unless $S' \geq S$ or $S' \leq S$,
- (ii) $\gamma(U_S) = U_{\gamma S}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$,
- (iii) for every stratum S , $\Gamma_S^\ell \backslash U_S$ is a paracompact Hausdorff space on which Γ_S / Γ_S^ℓ acts properly with a cofinite subgroup acting freely,
- (iv) for every chain $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n)$ of strata, $\Gamma_{S_0}^\ell \backslash (U_{S_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{S_n})$ is contractible,

then the orbit space $\Gamma \backslash X$ is a paracompact Hausdorff space which comes with the structure of a stacky homotopy type. It is natural in the sense that it does not depend on the choice of open subsets U_S .

This stacky homotopy type is represented by the category \mathfrak{S} with object set \mathcal{S} and for which a morphism $S \rightarrow S'$ is a right coset $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_{S'}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$ with the property that $\gamma S \geq S'$ (so that we have natural homotopy class of maps $|B\mathfrak{S}| \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash X$ which induces an isomorphism on rational cohomology). This is functorial with respect to inclusions $X' \subseteq X$ of open Γ -invariant unions of strata.

If furthermore Γ acts faithfully and the action in (iii) is free (so that necessarily $\Gamma_S^\ell = Z_\Gamma(S)$ for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$), then in the preceding ‘stacky homotopy’ can be replaced by ‘homotopy’.

Proof. We note that (i) implies that any finite nonempty intersection of such U_S is of the form $U_{S_\bullet} = U_{S_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{S_n}$ for a unique chain $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n)$ in \mathcal{S} . From (i) and (ii) we get that every Γ -orbit meets U_S in a Γ_S -orbit or is empty. Hence $\Gamma_S \backslash U_S$ maps homeomorphically onto an open subset V_S of $\Gamma \backslash X$. Any nonempty intersection of such open subsets of $\Gamma \backslash X$ is the image V_{S_\bullet} of $U_{S_\bullet} := U_{S_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{S_n}$ for some chain S_\bullet and hence homeomorphic to $\Gamma_{S_\bullet} \backslash U_{S_\bullet}$. If we put $\bar{U}_{S_\bullet} := \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell \backslash U_{S_\bullet}$, then \bar{U}_{S_\bullet} is an open subset of $\bar{U}_{S_0} = \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell \backslash U_{S_0}$. By (iii) and (iv) this is a contractible paracompact Hausdorff space on which $\bar{\Gamma}_{S_\bullet} := \Gamma_{S_\bullet} / \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell$ acts properly.

We claim that the collection of pairs $(\bar{U}_{S_\bullet}, \bar{\Gamma}_{S_\bullet})$ extends in a natural manner to a principal Grothendieck–Leray atlas: let S_\bullet and S'_\bullet be finite chains in \mathcal{S} such that the image of \bar{U}_{S_\bullet} in $\Gamma \backslash X$ is contained in the image of $\bar{U}_{S'_\bullet}$. This is equivalent to the existence of a $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that S'_\bullet is a subchain of γS_\bullet and the elements of Γ with this property then make up the right coset $\Gamma_{S'_\bullet} \gamma$. The smaller coset $\Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \gamma$ defines an admissible lift: since $\gamma \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell = \Gamma_{\gamma S_0}^\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \gamma$, this indeed induces a continuous map

$j: \overline{U}_{S_\bullet} \rightarrow \overline{U}_{S'_\bullet}$ over $\Gamma \backslash X$ and since $\gamma \Gamma_{\mathfrak{S}_\bullet} \gamma^{-1} = \Gamma_{\gamma \mathfrak{S}_\bullet} \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{S}'_\bullet}$, conjugation by γ defines a homomorphism $\phi := \overline{\Gamma}_{\mathfrak{S}_\bullet} \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_{\mathfrak{S}'_\bullet}$ such that j is ϕ -equivariant. So we have a collection of admissible lifts indexed by the $\Gamma_{\gamma S'_0}^\ell$ -cosets contained in $\Gamma_{S'_\bullet} \gamma$. This is clearly a principal set for the group $\overline{\Gamma}_{S'_\bullet} = \Gamma_{S'_\bullet} / \Gamma_{\gamma S'_0}^\ell$. The other three properties of Definition 2.2 are now easily checked.

So the associated category \mathfrak{S}_\bullet has as its objects the finite chains in \mathcal{S} and a morphism $S_\bullet \rightarrow S'_\bullet$ is given by right coset $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$ such S'_\bullet is a subchain of γS_\bullet . Strictly speaking we do not have principal Grothendieck–Leray atlas yet, because of an ‘overcount’ in our indexing: the image V_{S_\bullet} of \overline{U}_{S_\bullet} in $\Gamma \backslash Y$ is of course also the image of $\gamma \overline{U}_{S_\bullet}$ and in this way we get $\#(\Gamma / \Gamma_{S_\bullet}^\ell)$ copies of \overline{U}_{S_\bullet} having the same image. So in this rather trivial sense the cover $\{V_{S_\bullet}\}$ can fail to be locally finite. But we can of course select for each Γ -orbit of \mathfrak{S}_\bullet -objects a representative and then take the full subcategory $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet^\circ \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\bullet$ with this collection of objects. We then get a principal Grothendieck–Leray atlas and since $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet^\circ \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\bullet$ is an equivalence of categories, the stacky homotopy type of $\Gamma \backslash Y$ is that of $|B\mathfrak{S}_\bullet|$.

We have a functor $F: \mathfrak{S}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ defined by $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n) \mapsto S_0$. Indeed, a morphism $[\gamma]: S_\bullet \rightarrow S'_\bullet$ as above has the property that $S'_0 = \gamma S_i$ for some i and so $F(S'_\bullet) = S'_0 = \gamma S_i \leq \gamma S_0 = \gamma F(S_\bullet)$. Since $\gamma \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \subseteq \gamma \Gamma_{S_i}^\ell = \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \gamma$, γ determines an element $[\gamma]$ of $\Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$ and this yields our \mathfrak{S} -morphism $F[\gamma]: S_0 \rightarrow S'_0$.

According to Thm. A of [11], $|BF|$ is a homotopy equivalence if we show that for every object $S \in \mathcal{S}$ of \mathfrak{S} , the category F/S is contractible. Let us recall that an object of F/S is given by pair $(S_\bullet, [\gamma])$, where $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n)$ is an object of \mathfrak{S}_\bullet and $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$ is such that $\gamma S_0 \geq S$. An F/S -morphism $(S_\bullet, [\gamma]) \rightarrow (S'_\bullet, [\gamma'])$ is a \mathfrak{S}_\bullet -morphism $[\delta]: S_\bullet \rightarrow S'_\bullet$ (with $[\delta] \in \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$), so that S'_\bullet is a subchain of γS_\bullet with the property that $\gamma' \delta$ and γ define the same element of $\Gamma_S^\ell \backslash \Gamma$. This category has as a final object, namely $(S, [1]):$ for an object $(S_\bullet, [\gamma])$ of F/S , $[\gamma]$ defines an F/S -morphism $(S_\bullet, [\gamma]) \rightarrow (S, [1])$. This implies that F/S is contractible.

The last assertion is obtained by applying Theorem 2.1 instead of 2.5. \square

In many applications, we will take $\Gamma_S^\ell = Z_\Gamma(S)$, but this need not be so in the situation that is our main interest, the Baily–Borel compactification. It is also with this case in mind that we included a stacky version.

Here is perhaps the simplest nontrivial illustration of Theorem 2.7.

Example 2.8 (The infinite ramified cover of the unit disk). We take for X be the space that contains the upper half plane \mathbb{H} as an open subset and for which the complement $X \setminus \mathbb{H}$ is a singleton $\{\infty\}$. A neighborhood basis of ∞ meets \mathbb{H} in the upwardly shifted copies of \mathbb{H} . We take this partition as our stratification \mathcal{S} and we take $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, with Γ acting by translations on \mathbb{H} (and of course trivially on ∞) and $\Gamma_{\{\infty\}}^\ell = Z_\Gamma(\{\infty\}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell = Z_\Gamma(\mathbb{H}) = \{0\}$. We choose $U_{\{\infty\}} = X$ and $U_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{H}$. The category \mathfrak{S} that we get from Theorem 2.7 has the two objects $\{\infty\}, \mathbb{H}$ with $\{\infty\}$ being a final object. The only \mathfrak{S} -morphisms apart from the unique morphism $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \{\infty\}$ are the elements of the (translation) group \mathbb{Z} viewed as automorphisms of \mathbb{H} . So $|B\mathfrak{S}|$ can be identified with the cone over the classifying space $|B\mathbb{Z}|$.

The map $z \mapsto \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)$ identifies the pair $\mathbb{Z} \backslash (X, \mathbb{H})$ with the pair (Δ, Δ^*) consisting of the complex unit disk Δ and the punctured disk $\Delta^* := \Delta \setminus \{0\}$. So if

we consider Δ^* as the primary datum, then we are just filling in the puncture and in the above picture $\Delta^* \subseteq \Delta$ corresponds to the inclusion of $|B\mathbb{Z}|$ in the cone over $|B\mathbb{Z}|$.

This example generalizes in a simple manner to the product $(\Delta^n, (\Delta^*)^n)$ (which we obtain as an orbit space of $(\mathbb{H} \cup \{\infty\})^n$ under the action of \mathbb{Z}^n). Closely related to this is the example below of a torus embedding. It appears implicitly in some of our applications.

Example 2.9. Let Γ be a free abelian group of finite rank. Then $T = \mathbb{C}^\times \otimes \Gamma$ is an algebraic torus with underlying affine variety $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma^\vee])$, where $\Gamma^\vee = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$. Let also be given a closed strictly convex cone $\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R} \otimes \Gamma$ spanned by a finite subset of Γ . Recall that this defines a normal affine torus embedding $T \subseteq T^\sigma$ as follows. Denoting by $\check{\sigma} \subseteq \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ the cone of linear forms that are ≥ 0 on σ , then $T^\sigma := \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\Gamma^\vee \cap \check{\sigma}]$ and the inclusion $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma^\vee] \supseteq \mathbb{C}[\Gamma^\vee \cap \check{\sigma}]$ defines the embedding $T \subseteq T^\sigma$. We also recall that T^σ is stratified into algebraic tori that are quotients of T and indexed by the faces of σ : for every face τ of σ denote by Γ_τ the intersection of Γ with the vector subspace of $\mathbb{R} \otimes \Gamma$ spanned by τ and put $T_\tau := \mathbb{C}^\times \otimes \Gamma_\tau$. Then $T(\tau) := T/T_\tau$ is a stratum.

But in this context it is better to think of T (via the exponential map) as the orbit space of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \Gamma$ by Γ , letting each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ act as translation over $2\pi\sqrt{-1}\gamma$. There is then a corresponding picture for T^σ : if we write \mathfrak{t}_τ for the \mathbb{C} -span of τ , then T^σ is the orbit space with respect to the obvious Γ -action on the disjoint union of the complex vector spaces $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma := \sqcup_{\tau \leq \sigma} \mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\tau$ (endowed with a topology which is defined in the spirit of Example 2.8). We define a neighborhood U_τ of $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\tau$ in \mathfrak{t}^σ as follows: let $\Phi \subseteq \check{\sigma} \cap \Gamma^\vee$ be the set of integral generators of the one-dimensional faces of $\check{\sigma} \cap \Gamma^\vee$. Then we define U_τ as the subset of $\sqcup_{\rho \leq \tau} (\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\rho)$ defined by the property that its intersection with $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\rho$ is defined by $\text{Re}(\phi) > \text{Re}(\phi')$ for all $(\phi, \phi') \in \Phi \times \Phi$ with $\phi|_\tau > 0$ and $\phi'|_\tau = 0$ (note that both ϕ and ϕ' define linear forms on $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\rho$). Then we have $\Gamma_{U_\tau} = \Gamma$ and $Z_\Gamma(\tau) = \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{t}_\tau$. Since $(\Gamma \cap \mathfrak{t}_\tau) \backslash U_\tau$ fibers over $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\tau$ with fibers conical open subsets of complex vector space it is contractible. The associated category \mathfrak{S} has its objects indexed by faces τ of σ , and a morphism $\tau \rightarrow \tau'$ only exists when $\tau \subseteq \tau'$ and is then given by an element of $\Gamma(\tau') := \Gamma/\Gamma \cap \mathfrak{t}'_\tau$. This category has a final object represented by $\tau = \sigma$ and so $|B\mathfrak{S}|$ is contractible. We may also obtain $|B\mathfrak{S}|$ as the geometric realization of the diagram of spaces $B\Gamma(\tau)$ connected by the maps $B\Gamma(\tau) \rightarrow B\Gamma(\tau')$ ($\tau \subseteq \tau'$).

3. The homotopy type of a Deligne–Mumford compactification

Ebert and Giansiracusa determined in [6] the homotopy type of the Deligne–Mumford moduli space of stable n -punctured genus g curves. We outline how this fits our setting. A priori our set up applies to the rational homotopy type only, but in the present case our arguments work without change if we wish to do this for the homotopy type of that moduli space as an orbifold.

We fix a n -punctured surface S of genus g , which means that S is a connected oriented differentiable surface that can be obtained as the complement of n distinct points of a compact surface of genus g . We assume that S is *hyperbolic* in the sense

that its Euler characteristic $2 - 2g - n$ is negative. This is indeed equivalent to S admitting a complete metric of constant curvature -1 and of finite volume. Imposing such a metric is equivalent to putting on S a complex structure compatible with the given orientation so that it becomes a nonsingular complex-algebraic curve which is universally covered by the upper half plane. Denote by $\mathcal{Hyp}(S)$ the space of all such metrics on S . This space is acted on by the group $\text{Diff}(S)$ of diffeomorphisms of S . The identity component $\text{Diff}^0(S)$ of $\text{Diff}(S)$ acts freely and its orbit space, the *Teichmüller domain* $\mathcal{T}(S)$ of S , is contractible and has naturally the structure of a complex manifold of complex dimension $3g - 3 + n$. Letting $\text{Diff}^+(S) \subseteq \text{Diff}(S)$ stand for the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of S (which may permute the punctures), then the *mapping class group* $\Gamma(S) := \text{Diff}^+(S)/\text{Diff}^0(S)$ acts on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ by complex-analytic transformations and this action is proper. The moduli stack of smooth n -punctured curves of genus g , $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$, is as an orbifold the $\Gamma(S)$ -orbit space of $\mathcal{T}(S)$.

A compact 1-dimensional submanifold $A \subseteq S$ is necessarily a disjoint union of a finite number of embedded circles. Say that A is *admissible* if every connected component of $S \setminus A$ is of hyperbolic type (so this includes the case $A = \emptyset$). We define the *augmented curve complex* of S as the partially ordered set $\mathcal{C}^*(S)$ of which an element is an isotopy class σ of admissible compact 1-dimensional submanifolds $A \subseteq S$ as above, the partial order being given by inclusion. Note that $\mathcal{C}^*(S)$ has the empty set as its minimal element (whence ‘augmented’). For a simplex $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^*(S)$, we denote by $\Gamma(S)_\sigma \subseteq \Gamma(S)$ the subgroup that stabilizes this isotopy class in the strict sense that the isotopy class of each connected component of representative A of σ is preserved without reversal of orientation. This implies that an element of $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$ induces a mapping class for each connected component of $S \setminus A$. The Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus A)$ and the product of the mapping class groups of the connected components of $S \setminus A$ only depend (up to unique isomorphism) on σ and so we take the liberty of writing $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma)$ and $\Gamma(S \setminus \sigma)$ instead. The natural homomorphism $\Gamma(S)_\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma(S \setminus \sigma)$ has image a cofinite subgroup of $\Gamma(S \setminus \sigma)$ and kernel a copy of $\mathbb{Z}^{v(\sigma)}$ in $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$, where $v(\sigma)$ is the vertex set of σ (a vertex corresponds to the image in $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$ of a Dehn twist along the corresponding component of A ; beware that $v(\sigma)$ can be empty in which case $\mathbb{Z}^{v(\sigma)} = \{0\}$). Note that the image of $\mathbb{Z}^{v(\sigma)}$ is a central subgroup of $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$. This will be our $\Gamma(S)_\sigma^\ell$.

Consider the disjoint union $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$ of the Teichmüller spaces $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma)$, where σ runs over all the admissible isotopy classes. The group $\Gamma(S)$ acts in this union and there is a natural $\Gamma(S)$ -invariant topology on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$ which has the property that the closure of $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma)$ meets $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma')$ if and only if σ is a face of σ' .

The moduli space of stable punctured curves of genus g and with n (unnumbered) punctures, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ can be regarded as the $\Gamma(S)$ -orbit space of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$. In fact, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ is a Deligne–Mumford stack in the complex-analytic category and the stratification of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ inherited from the one of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$ is associated to a normal crossing divisor. It can be shown that every stratum $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$ admits a regular neighborhood U_σ in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$ whose $\Gamma(S)$ -stabilizer is $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$ and has the property that the resulting covering $\{U_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^*(S)}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7. The theorem in question gives us the following reformulation of the theorem of Ebert and Giansiracusa [6] (which for $n = 0$ is due to Charney and Lee [4]):

Theorem 3.1. *The homotopy type of the Deligne–Mumford stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ is naturally realized by the classifying space of the category $\mathfrak{C}^*(S)$ whose objects are the elements of the augmented curve complex $\mathcal{C}^*(S)$ and for which a morphism $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$ is given by a $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{Z}^{\sigma'} \setminus \Gamma(S)$ with the property that $[\gamma]\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$.*

We remind the reader that the Deligne–Mumford stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ is not reduced as such when (g, n) has the value $(0, 3)$ (a singleton whose stabilizer is the symmetric group on three elements) or is of hyperelliptic type $(1, 1)$ or $(2, 0)$ (then the mapping class group has a center of order two acting trivially).

4. The homotopy type of a Baily–Borel compactification

In this section we are going to derive Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 2.7. This will also give us occasion to illustrate the theorem with an example.

Structure of maximal parabolic subgroups

Let \mathcal{P} be a maximal proper parabolic subgroup of G defined over \mathbb{Q} and let P be its group of real points. We associate with \mathcal{P} the following groups defined over \mathbb{Q} , or rather their groups of real points.

- $R_u(P)$: the unipotent radical of P .
- U_P : the center of $R_u(P)$. This is a vector group that is never trivial.
- V_P : the quotient $R_u(P)/U_P$. This is a (possibly trivial) vector group.
- L_P : the *Levi quotient* $P/R_u(P)$ of P . It is a reductive group.
- M_P^h : the kernel of the action of L_P on $\mathfrak{u}_P = \text{Lie}(U_P)$ via the adjoint representation. The superscript h refers to *horizontal* or *hermitian*.
- P^h : the preimage of M_P^h in P , in other words, the kernel of the action of P on \mathfrak{u}_P via the adjoint representation.
- A_P : the \mathbb{Q} -split center of L_P . This comes with an isomorphism $A_P \cong \mathbb{R}^\times$.
- M_P^ℓ : the commutator subgroup of the centralizer of M_P^h in L_P . The superscript ℓ stands for *link* or *linear*. It has compact center.
- L_P^ℓ : the almost product $M_P^\ell A_P = A_P M_P^\ell$.
- P^ℓ : the preimage of L_P^ℓ in P .
- $G(P)$: the quotient $P/P^\ell = L_P/L_P^\ell$. The composite $M_P^h \subseteq L_P \rightarrow G(P)$ is an isogeny: it is onto with finite kernel.

Then P acts transitively on \mathbb{X} and the P^ℓ -orbits define a holomorphic P -equivariant fibration of \mathbb{X} , $\pi_P^G: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$, where $\mathbb{X}(P)$ is defined as an orbit space. This orbit space is called a *rational boundary component* of \mathbb{X} (or rather, of the pair $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{G})$). It is clear that the P -action on $\mathbb{X}(P)$ is through $G(P)$. This action is transitive and this realizes $\mathbb{X}(P)$ as the bounded symmetric domain associated with $G(P)$. So $\mathbb{X}(P)$ has its own rational boundary components.

In $\mathfrak{u}_P = \text{Lie}(U_P)$ we have a naturally defined convex open cone C_P that is a P -orbit for the adjoint representation. This representation evidently factors through the Levi quotient L_P , but its subgroup $L_P^\ell = M_P^\ell A_P$ is still transitive on C_P . This cone can be understood as the P^h -orbit space of \mathbb{X} , the more precise statement being that the semi-subgroup $P^h \exp(\sqrt{-1}C_P) \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}$ (as acting on \mathbb{X}) preserves \mathbb{X} , and makes it in fact an orbit of this semigroup and that we have a P -equivariant (real-analytic) bundle $\mathcal{J}_P: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_P$ whose fibers are the P^h -orbits. The cone C_P is self-dual: there

is a P -equivariant (but in general nonlinear) isomorphism of C_P onto its open dual $C_P^\circ \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_P^\vee$, (i.e., the set of real linear forms on \mathfrak{u}_P that are positive on $\overline{C}_P \setminus \{0\}$).

Comparable pairs of parabolic subgroups

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ the collection of maximal proper \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroups of \mathcal{G} and identify this set with the corresponding collection of subgroups of G . Since any $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ can be recovered from U_P or \mathfrak{u}_P as its stabilizer, a partial order on $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ is defined by letting $P \geq Q$ mean that $U_P \supseteq U_Q$. This is equivalent to: $P^\ell \supseteq Q^\ell$ and also to $P^h \subseteq Q^h$ (but this does not imply that $R_u(P) \supseteq R_u(Q)$). From the second characterization we see that $P \geq Q$ implies that the projection $\pi_P^G: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ factors through $\pi_Q^G: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(Q)$. The resulting factor $\pi_P^Q: \mathbb{X}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ then defines a rational boundary component of $\mathbb{X}(Q)$ of which the associated maximal \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroup of $G(Q)$ is the image of $P \cap Q$ in $Q/Q^\ell = G(Q)$. We shall denote that subgroup by P/Q . The map $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})_{\geq Q} \mapsto P/Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(Q))$ thus defined is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets. Note that $P \geq Q$ implies $\mathbb{X}(P) \leq \mathbb{X}(Q)$.

Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ be such that $P \geq Q$. We then have inclusions

$$U_Q \subseteq U_P \cap Q^\ell \subseteq U_P \subseteq Q,$$

where the last inclusion follows from the fact that U_P stabilizes \mathfrak{u}_Q . The image $U_P/(U_P \cap Q^\ell)$ of U_P in $Q/Q^\ell = G(Q)$ is the center $U_{P/Q}$ of $R_u(P/Q)$ and the projection

$$c_Q^P: \mathfrak{u}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_P/(\mathfrak{u}_P \cap \mathfrak{q}^\ell) \cong \mathfrak{u}_{P/Q}$$

maps C_P onto the cone $C_{P/Q}$ that is attached to P/Q . This projection fits in a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi_Q^G} & \mathbb{X}(Q) \\ \mathcal{J}_P \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{J}_{P/Q} \\ C_P & \xrightarrow{c_Q^P} & C_{P/Q} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_P: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_Q$ forms the P^h -orbit space and $P^h \subseteq Q^h$, \mathcal{J}_P factors through $\mathcal{J}_Q: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_P$ and so there is an induced map $\mathcal{J}_Q^P: C_P \rightarrow C_Q$. This map is nonlinear in general and is in fact the ‘adjoint’ of the inclusion $C_Q \subseteq C_P$ via self-duality: $C_P \cong C_P^\circ \rightarrow C_Q^\circ \cong C_Q$. Since $Q^\ell \subseteq P^\ell$, the adjoint action of Q^ℓ on \mathfrak{p} preserves \mathfrak{u}_P and $C_P \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_P$. It clearly also preserves the flag of subspaces $\{0\} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_Q \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_P \cap \mathfrak{q}^\ell \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_P$ and it will act as the identity on the last quotient $\mathfrak{u}_P/(\mathfrak{u}_P \cap \mathfrak{q}^\ell) \cong \mathfrak{u}_{P/Q}$. In fact the map $c_Q^P: C_P \rightarrow C_{P/Q}$ is the formation of the Q^ℓ -orbit space of C_P . If we restrict this action of Q^ℓ to $R_u(Q)$, then $R_u(Q)$ acts trivially on the successive quotients of this flag and the map

$$(\mathcal{J}_Q^P, c_Q^P): C_P \rightarrow C_Q \times C_{P/Q}$$

is the formation of the $R_u(Q)$ -orbit space of C_P . The image of $R_u(Q)$ in $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{u}_P)$ is unipotent and this group acts freely on C_P (this is explained in a more general setting in §5 of [9]: in the notation of that paper the above flag is $\{0\} \subseteq V_F \subseteq V^F \subseteq V$, where $V = \mathfrak{u}_P$, $C = C_P$ and $F = C_Q$). In particular, the map $C_P \rightarrow C_Q \times C_{P/Q}$ is locally trivial with fiber an affine space.

Example 4.1 (The symplectic group). Let $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a symplectic vector space over \mathbb{Q} of dimension $2g$ and take for \mathcal{G} its automorphism group $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{V})$. So $G = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, where $V = \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R})$. The embedding $\mathrm{Sym}_2 V \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ which assigns to $a^2 \in \mathrm{Sym}^2 V$ the endomorphism $x \mapsto \langle x, a \rangle a$ maps onto the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ and we shall identify the two.

The compact dual $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(V)$ is the space of isotropic complex g -planes $F \subseteq V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the symmetric domain of $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is the open subset $\mathbb{X}(V) \subseteq \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(V)$ of F on which the Hermitian form $v \in V_{\mathbb{C}} \mapsto \sqrt{-1} \langle v, \bar{v} \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ is positive definite. A maximal proper \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is the $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ -stabilizer (denoted P_I) of a nonzero isotropic subspace $I \subseteq V$ defined over \mathbb{Q} and vice versa. The associated holomorphic fibration is the projection $\pi_P: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(I^{\perp}/I)$ which sends F to the image of $F \cap I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \rightarrow (I^{\perp}/I)_{\mathbb{C}}$.

The unipotent radical $R_u(P_I)$ of P_I is the subgroup that acts trivially on I and I^{\perp}/I (the symplectic form determines an isomorphism $V/I^{\perp} \cong I^{\vee}$ and so this group then automatically acts trivially on V/I^{\perp}). The center U_I of $R_u(P_I)$ is the subgroup that acts trivially on I^{\perp} and its (abelian) Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_I can be identified with $\mathrm{Sym}^2 I \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^2 V \cong \mathfrak{g}$. The cone $C_I \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_I$ is the cone of positive definite elements of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 I$. The dual cone $C_I^{\circ} \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathrm{Hom}(I, \mathbb{R})$ is the space of positive definite quadratic forms on $I_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the duality isomorphism $C_I \cong C_I^{\circ}$ comes from the fact that a positive definite quadratic form on a finite dimensional real vector space determines one on its dual. We identify $R_u(P_I)/U_I$ with a group of elements in $\mathrm{GL}(I^{\perp})$ which act trivially on both I and I^{\perp}/I ; this group is abelian and its Lie algebra can be identified with $\mathrm{Hom}(I^{\perp}/I, I) \cong (I^{\perp}/I) \otimes I$.

The Levi quotient L_I of P_I can be identified $\mathrm{GL}(I) \times \mathrm{Sp}(I^{\perp}/I)$. The split radical A_I of L_I is the group of scalars in $\mathrm{GL}(I)$ (a copy of \mathbb{R}^{\times}), its horizontal subgroup M_I^h is $\{\pm 1_I\} \times \mathrm{Sp}(I^{\perp}/I)$ and its link subgroup $M_I^{\ell} = \mathrm{SL}(I)$. Note that $G(P_I) = L_I/A_I \cdot M_I^{\ell} = \mathrm{Sp}(I^{\perp}/I)$ (which is indeed in an obvious way a quotient of M_I^h) and that P_I^h resp. P_I^{ℓ} is the group of symplectic transformations of V that preserve I and act on I as ± 1 resp. on I^{\perp}/I as the identity.

The projection $\mathcal{J}_I: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_I$ is obtained as follows. Let $F \subseteq V_{\mathbb{C}}$ represent an element of \mathbb{X} . Recall that $v \in F \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-1} \langle v, \bar{v} \rangle$ is a positive definite hermitian form on F . The map $F \rightarrow (V/I^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(I, \mathbb{C})$ is onto with kernel $F \cap I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp}$, so if we identify $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(I, \mathbb{C})$ with the orthogonal complement of $F \cap I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp}$ in F we get a Hermitian form on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(I, \mathbb{C})$. The real part of this form defines a positive definite element of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 I$, i.e., an element of C_I .

Finally the partial order relation $P_J \leq P_I$ means simply $J \subseteq I$. In that case P_J^{ℓ} (the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ which stabilizes J and acts as the identity on J^{\perp}/J) indeed preserves I and the image of this action is the full subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(I)$ which stabilizes J and acts as the identity on I/J . The transformations that also act as the identity on I come from $R_u(P_J)$. The flag defined by P_J in $\mathfrak{u}_I = \mathrm{Sym}^2 I$ is $\{0\} \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^2 J \subseteq I \circ J \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^2 I$. If we view $a \in C_I$ as a positive definite quadratic form on $\mathrm{Hom}(I, \mathbb{R})$, then the subspace $\mathrm{Hom}(I/J, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}(I, \mathbb{R})$ has an orthogonal complement with respect to a which maps isomorphically onto $\mathrm{Hom}(J, \mathbb{R})$. In other words, there is unique section s of $I \rightarrow I/J$ and unique $a' \in C_J$ and $a'' \in C_{I/J}$ such that $s = a' + s_*(a'')$. The resulting projection $C_I \rightarrow C_J \times C_{I/J}$ is then clearly the formation of the $R_u(P_J)$ -orbit space (via which it is a torsor for the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}(I/J, I)$). The first factor is the nonlinear map $\mathcal{J}_J^I: C_I \rightarrow C_J$ and the second factor is the natural map $c_J^I: C_I \rightarrow C_{I/J}$.

The Satake extension

Without loss of generality we may and will assume that \mathcal{G} is almost \mathbb{Q} -simple. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G}) \cup \{\mathcal{G}\}$ and observe that the notions we defined for a member of $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ extend in an almost obvious way to $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$. For instance, $R_u(G) = \{1\}$ and so $C_G = \{0\}$, $G(G) = G$ and (hence) $\mathbb{X}(G) := \mathbb{X}$.

The *Satake extension* of \mathbb{X} is a topological space \mathbb{X}^{bb} that contains \mathbb{X} as an open dense subset and comes with a stratification:

$$\mathbb{X}^{bb} = \coprod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})} \mathbb{X}(P),$$

where the topology on each stratum is the usual one. For what follows we need a good understanding of the topology on \mathbb{X}^{bb} and so let us briefly review this here. The incidence relation \geq for the strata will be opposite to the partial order on \mathcal{P}_{\max}^* : $\mathbb{X}(P) \leq \mathbb{X}(Q)$ if and only if $P \geq Q$ (indeed, the minimal element G of \mathcal{P}_{\max}^* corresponds to the open subset $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}(G)$). So for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$, the union of strata containing $\mathbb{X}(P)$ in its closure is $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P)) = \cup_{Q \leq P} \mathbb{X}(Q)$. The projections $\pi_P^Q: \mathbb{X}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ have the property that $\pi_Q^R \pi_P^Q = \pi_P^R$ when $P \geq Q \geq R$ and hence the π_P^Q combine to form a retraction

$$\pi_P =: \cup_{Q \leq P} \pi_P^Q: \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P)) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$$

with the property that $\pi_P \pi_Q = \pi_P|_{\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(Q))}$ when $Q \leq P$.

The topology on \mathbb{X}^{bb} can be described in terms of cocores. A *cocore* of C_P (with respect to the L_P^ℓ -action on C_P) is an open subset $K \subseteq C_P$ which contains an orbit of an arithmetic subgroup of L_P^ℓ and is such that $\overline{C}_P + K \subseteq K$. We refer to [2] for the following basic properties: If K and K' are cocores, then so are $K \cap K'$, the convex hull of $K \cup K'$ and λK for any $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, there exists a $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ such that $\lambda_1 K \subseteq K' \subseteq \lambda_2 K$. When $Q \leq P$, then c_Q^P maps a cocore K in C_P to one in C_Q .

For any cocore K , $\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K$ is invariant under the preimage of $M_P^h M_P^\ell$ in P (this is a normal subgroup of P of codimension one). It maps under π_P^G onto $\mathbb{X}(P)$ and so

$$(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb} := \coprod_{Q \leq P} \pi_Q^G \mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K \subseteq \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$$

contains $\mathbb{X}(P)$. The topology of \mathbb{X}^{bb} at $\mathbb{X}(P)$ is then characterized by the fact that for every $z \in \mathbb{X}(P)$ the collection $U^{bb}(K, V) := (\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb} \cap \pi_P^{-1}V$ where K runs over the cocores in C_P and V over the neighborhoods of z in $\mathbb{X}(P)$ is a neighborhood basis of z in \mathbb{X}^{bb} . With this topology, $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$ is open subset of \mathbb{X}^{bb} , $\mathbb{X}(P)$ is locally closed in \mathbb{X}^{bb} and the induced topology on \mathbb{X}^{bb} is the one that it already has a symmetric domain. It is clear that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on \mathbb{X}^{bb} by homeomorphisms. The space \mathbb{X}^{bb} is Hausdorff, but rarely locally compact.

Geodesic retraction

The projection π_P is a *geodesic retraction*: for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$ is there is a canonical geodesic $\gamma_{P,x}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ through that point with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{P,x}(t) = \pi_P(x)$. A geodesic through x is given by a one-parameter subgroup of G that is ‘perpendicular’ to the compact subgroup G_x ; in the present case it is the one in P whose projection in L_P is given by the action of A_P . The image of this geodesic under the projection $\mathcal{J}_P: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_P$ is then just the ray that lies on the line spanned by $\mathcal{J}_P(x)$. These geodesics are defined on all of $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$ (albeit that they will be constant

on $\mathbb{X}(P)$) and depend continuously on their point of departure. So this defines a $(\Gamma \cap P)$ -equivariant deformation retraction of $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$ onto $\mathbb{X}(P)$. (We can now also be explicit about the map $\mathcal{J}: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_P^\circ$: fix a G -invariant hermitian metric on \mathbb{X} . For every $u \in \mathfrak{u}_P$, denote by $u_x \in T_x \mathbb{X}$ the infinitesimal displacement defined by the action. Then $\mathcal{J}(x)(u)$ is the imaginary part of $h_x(u_x, \dot{\gamma}_{P,x}(0))$.)

Since a cocore K of C_P is invariant under multiplication by scalars ≥ 1 , the geodesic deformation retraction preserves $(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb}$ and so restricts to one of $(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb}$ onto $\mathbb{X}(P)$. For any $Q \leq P$, we have $K + C_Q \subseteq K$ and from this one may deduce that the deformation retraction of $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(Q))$ onto $\mathbb{X}(Q)$ also preserves $(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb} \cap \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(Q))$. Moreover, the diagram (1) specializes to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K & \xrightarrow{\pi_Q^G} & \mathcal{J}_{P/Q}^{-1}K_{P/Q} \\ \mathcal{J}_P \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{J}_{P/Q} \\ K & \xrightarrow{c_Q^P} & K_{P/Q} \end{array}$$

where $K_{P/Q} := c_Q^P(K)$ is a cocore in $C_{P/Q}$. Since the top arrow is onto, it follows that $\mathcal{J}_{P/Q}^{-1}K_{P/Q} = (\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb} \cap \mathbb{X}(Q)$. In particular, every stratum of $(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb}$ is given by a cocore.

The Baily–Borel compactification

Suppose $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ is an arithmetic subgroup. The central result of the Satake–Baily–Borel theory asserts that the orbit space $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$ is a compact topological space, the *Baily–Borel compactification* of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}$, which underlies the structure of a complex projective variety. Note that $Z_\Gamma(\mathbb{X}(P))$ contains $\Gamma \cap P^\ell$ as a subgroup of finite index. A key step in the proof is the local version which states that the orbit space $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$ is locally compact (and has in fact the structure of normal complex-analytic variety). The $(\Gamma \cap P)$ -equivariant geodesic deformation retraction π_P descends to a $\Gamma(P)$ -equivariant geodesic deformation retraction $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P)) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$.

The image of $\Gamma \cap P$ in L_P^ℓ is an arithmetic subgroup and so there exist cocores K_P in C_P that are invariant under the image of $\Gamma \cap P$ in L_P^ℓ . For such a cocore, $U_{K_P} := \mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K_P$ is of course invariant under $\Gamma \cap P$ and what we just asserted about $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$ also holds for $U_{K_P}^{bb}$. In particular, $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K) := (\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash U_{K_P}^{bb}$ can be regarded as a regular open neighborhood of $\mathbb{X}(P)$ in $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$. The retraction π_P induces a $\Gamma(P)$ -equivariant geodesic deformation retraction $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$. Since $\mathbb{X}(P)$ is contractible, so is $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K)$.

We can take K_P so small as to ensure that every $(\Gamma \cap P)$ -orbit in $U_{K_P}^{bb}$ is the intersection of $U_{K_P}^{bb}$ with a Γ -orbit. This implies that if for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma U_{K_P}^{bb}$ meets $U_{K_P}^{bb}$, then $\gamma \in P$ and in particular $\gamma U_{K_P}^{bb} = U_{K_P}^{bb}$. So for a stratum $S = \Gamma(P) \backslash \mathbb{X}(P)$ of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$, $U_S(K) := (\Gamma \cap P) \backslash U_{K_P}^{bb} = \Gamma(P) \backslash U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K)$ is a regular open neighborhood of S in $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$ and π_P will induce a deformation retraction of $U_S(K)$ onto S .

A \mathfrak{W}_Γ -morphism $P \rightarrow P'$ is almost tantamount to giving a rational boundary component $\mathbb{X}(Q) \leq \mathbb{X}(P)$ plus an isomorphism of $\mathbb{X}(Q)$ onto $\mathbb{X}(P')$ that is induced by an element of Γ .

We have an obvious functor $F: \mathfrak{S}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$. The fiber of the identity of $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ in \mathfrak{S}_Γ , when viewed as an object of \mathfrak{W}_Γ is equal to $\Gamma \cap P^\ell$. It is clear that for any subgroup $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma$, \mathfrak{S}_{Γ_1} resp. \mathfrak{W}_{Γ_1} appears as a subcategory of \mathfrak{S}_Γ resp. \mathfrak{W}_Γ .

Example 4.2 (Example 4.1 continued). An object of \mathfrak{S}_Γ is then given by an isotropic subspace $I \subseteq V$ and a morphism $I \rightarrow J$ by a $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma I \subseteq J$. Two such elements $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ define the same morphism in the Satake category precisely if $\gamma'\gamma^{-1}$ preserves J and induces the identity in J^\perp/J .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We regard $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}$ as a quotient stack so that its homotopy type as such is given by $B\Gamma$. Next we observe that the forgetful functor $R: \mathfrak{S}_\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ (which forgets P) is a retract. The fiber of R over the identity of G is the subcategory of \mathfrak{S}_\bullet defined by the finite linear chains in \mathfrak{S}_\bullet that have G as a minimal element. This category has an initial object, namely the identity of S (now viewed as a linear chain of length zero). This implies that $|B(\Gamma \backslash R)|$ is contractible so that by Thm. A of [11], $|BR|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

The remaining assertions will follow if we verify the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7 for \mathbb{X}^{bb} with its natural stratification into $\mathbb{X}(P)$ and take $\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(P)}^\ell := \Gamma \cap P^\ell$ as our link group. Then $\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(P)}/\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(P)}^\ell = \Gamma \cap P/\Gamma \cap P^\ell = \Gamma(P)$ acts properly on $\mathbb{X}(P)$ with a subgroup of finite index acting freely. Since $\mathbb{X}(P) \leq \mathbb{X}(Q)$ is equivalent to $P^\ell \supseteq Q^\ell$, we then have $\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(P)}^\ell \supseteq \Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(Q)}^\ell$, as required.

For every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ we choose in a Γ -equivariant fashion an open cocore $K_P \subseteq C_P$ (meaning that $K_{\gamma P \gamma^{-1}} = \gamma K_P$). We let $U_{K_P} := \mathcal{J}_P^{-1} K_P$ and $U_{K_P}^{bb}$ be as before. We know that $U_{K_P}^{bb}$ is then open in \mathbb{X}^{bb} and contains $\mathbb{X}(P)$ as a $(\Gamma \cap P)$ -equivariant deformation retract. It is then clear that these neighborhoods satisfy properties (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.7.

We noted that the orbit space $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K) = (\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash U_{K_P}^{bb}$ is an analytic variety with $\Gamma(P)$ -action which comes with an analytic $\Gamma(P)$ -equivariant retraction $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$. The group $\Gamma(P)$ acts on $\mathbb{X}(P)$ as an arithmetic group and hence this action is proper with a subgroup of finite index acting freely. The same is then true for its action on $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K)$ and so property (iii) is also satisfied.

On order to check property (iv), consider any chain $P_\bullet = (P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n)$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ and put $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb} = \bigcap_{i=0}^n U_{K_{P_i}}^{bb}$. We must show that $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$ is contractible. For any $x \in U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$, the geodesic $\gamma_{P_0, x}$ stays in $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$ and so we have a $(\Gamma \cap P_0^\ell)$ -equivariant deformation retraction of $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$ onto its intersection with $\mathbb{X}(P_0)$. In particular, $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$ has $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb} \cap \mathbb{X}(P_0)$ as deformation retract.

Since we are now left to prove that $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb} \cap \mathbb{X}(P_0)$ is contractible, we focus on $\mathbb{X}(P_0)$ with its $\Gamma(P_0)$ -action. This means that we can pretend that $P_0 = G$, so that we must show that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{K_{P_i}}$ is a contractible subset of \mathbb{X} . The chain P_\bullet defines a flag of faces $\{0\} = C_{P_0}^+ \subseteq C_{P_1}^+ \subseteq \dots \subseteq C_{P_n}^+$. But then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{K_{P_i}}$ is equal to U_K , where $K := \bigcap_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{J}_{P_i}^{P_n})^{-1} K_{P_i} \subseteq C_{P_n}$. So it remains to prove that K is contractible: for then so is U_K and we then apply Theorem 2.7.

To this end we write P for P_n and Q for P_1 . Since K_{P_i} is invariant under P_i^ℓ , it is also invariant under $R_u(Q)$ (for $Q \leq P_i$). Hence K is $R_u(Q)$ -invariant. Since $(\mathcal{J}_Q^P, c_Q^P): C_P \rightarrow C_Q \times C_{P/Q}$ forms the $R_u(Q)$ -orbit space and has affine fibers, it suffices to prove that the image of K under this map is contractible. This image is open

and invariant under translations in the convex cone $C_Q \times \{0\}$ and projects in $C_{P/Q}$ onto an open subset invariant under translations in $C_{P/Q}$. A double application of Lemma 4.3 below then finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let U and U' be real finite dimensional vector spaces, $C \subseteq U$ an open convex cone and $K \subseteq C \times U'$ an open subset which is invariant under translations in $C \times \{0\}$. Then the projection $K \xrightarrow{\pi_{U'}} \pi_{U'}(K)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. With loss of generality we may assume that C is nondegenerate. Put $K' := \pi_{U'}(K)$ and choose $\phi \in C^\circ$. Then the base $\mathbb{P}(C)$ is a convex open subset of the affine subspace of $\mathbb{P}(U)$ defined by $\phi \neq 0$ and so $\mathbb{P}(C)$ is contractible. For every $r \in \mathbb{P}(C)$ and $y \in K'$ denote by $\lambda(r, y) > 0$ the infimum of ϕ on the intersection of the ray emanating from $(0, y)$ defined by r with K . Then λ is continuous and if $p: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(C)$ is the obvious projection, then (p, ϕ) maps K homeomorphically onto the subspace of $\mathbb{P}(C) \times K' \times (0, \infty)$ consisting of (r, y, t) with $t > \lambda(r, y)$. The projection of this image onto $\mathbb{P}(C) \times K'$ is a clearly a homotopy equivalence. And so is the projection of $\mathbb{P}(C) \times K'$ onto K' . \square

Remark 4.4. We recall that $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ is the vertex set of the *Tits building* of the \mathbb{Q} -group \mathcal{G} . This is a simplicial complex whose simplices are the linear chains in $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ (and so any simplex comes with a total order on its vertex set). To give such a linear chain $\mathcal{P}_\bullet = (P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_k)$ amounts to giving a proper \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroup of G (namely $\cap_i P_i$), for if P is a proper \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroup of G , then the collection of maximal proper \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroups containing P is a chain in $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ and the intersection of its members gives us back P . In other words, the collection of nonempty linear chains in $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ can be identified with the collection of proper \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroups of G , even as partially ordered sets, where the relation ‘*is a subchain of*’ corresponds to the relation ‘*contains*’.

5. The Satake compactification of \mathcal{A}_g according to Charney and Lee

Denote by \mathfrak{V}_g the category whose objects are pairs $(L \supseteq I)$, where L is a unimodular symplectic lattice of rank $2g$ and $I \subseteq L$ is primitive isotropic sublattice and for which a morphism $(L \supseteq I) \rightarrow (L' \supseteq I')$ is given by an isomorphism $\phi: L \cong L'$ such that $\phi(I) \subseteq I'$. Letting $\mathfrak{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the groupoid of unimodular symplectic lattices L of rank $2g$ whose morphisms are symplectic isomorphisms, then we have a forgetful functor $\mathfrak{V}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by $(L \supseteq I) \mapsto L$. This is also a homotopy equivalence, because a fiber over L is the partially ordered set of primitive isotropic sublattices and this has an initial object (namely 0), so has a contractible geometric realization. Let us write H for the lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 equipped with its standard symplectic form. Since every unimodular symplectic lattice of rank $2g$ is isomorphic to H^g , the full subcategory $\mathfrak{Sp}(H^g) \subseteq \mathfrak{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ is an equivalence and so the inclusion $\mathfrak{Sp}(H^g) \subseteq \mathfrak{V}_g$ (defined by taking $I = 0$ in H^g) yields a homotopy equivalence after passing to classifying spaces.

The *Giffen category of genus g* , \mathfrak{W}_g , is the category whose objects are the unimodular symplectic lattices M of rank $\leq 2g$ and for which a morphism $M \rightarrow M'$ is given by a primitive isotropic sublattice $I \subseteq M$ and a symplectic isomorphism

$I^\perp/I \xrightarrow{\cong} M'$ (the composition should be clear). A functor $F_g: \mathfrak{V}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_g$ is defined by $F_g(L \supseteq I) := I^\perp/I$. Indeed, for a \mathfrak{V}_g -morphism $\phi: (L \supseteq I) \rightarrow (L' \supseteq I')$, we have $I \subseteq \phi^{-1}I'$ and $J := \phi^{-1}I'/I$ is then an isotropic subspace of $F_g(L \supseteq I) = I^\perp/I$ such that ϕ induces an isomorphism of J^\perp/J onto $I'^\perp/I' = F_g(L' \supseteq I')$.

We now consider a special case of Example 4.1. We take as our \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group the group $\mathcal{S}p_g$ which assigns to a commutative ring R with unit the group $\mathrm{Sp}(R \otimes H^g)$ so that $\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)$ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathcal{S}p_g(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{Q}}^g)$. The associated real Lie group $\mathcal{S}p_g(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{R}}^g)$ has as its symmetric space the domain $\mathbb{X}_g := \mathbb{X}(H^g)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(H^g) \backslash \mathbb{X}_g$ can be identified with the moduli space \mathcal{A}_g of principally polarized abelian varieties. It is clear that $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}$ is the full subcategory of \mathfrak{V}_g whose objects are of the form $(H^g \supseteq I)$. The interpretation of $\mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}$ as in Example 4.2 enables us to define a functor $\mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_g$ by $I \mapsto I^\perp/I$. We then have a commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{V}_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow F_g \\ \mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{W}_g \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow on the left is given by Theorem 1.2. Since every unimodular symplectic lattice of rank $2g$ is isomorphic to H^g , the horizontal arrows are equivalences of categories and so Theorem 1.2 gives the following rephrasing of a theorem of Charney–Lee [3]:

Proposition 5.1. *The inclusion $\mathrm{Sp}(H^g) \subseteq \mathfrak{V}_g$ is an equivalence of categories and the stacky homotopy type of the inclusion of $j_g: \mathcal{A}_g \subseteq \mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$ is reproduced by applying the classifying space construction applied to the functor $F_g: \mathfrak{V}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_g$.*

Remark 5.2. There is a monoidal structure present that we wish to explicate in view of its applications to cohomological stability [5]. The map which assigns to two principally polarized abelian varieties their product defines a morphism $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}$. This morphism is covered by the map $\mathbb{X}_g \times \mathbb{X}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{g+g'}$ which assigns to the pair $(F \subseteq H_{\mathbb{C}}^g, F' \subseteq H_{\mathbb{C}}^{g'})$ the direct sum $F \oplus F' \subseteq H_{\mathbb{C}}^{g+g'}$. The corresponding functor $\mathfrak{V}_g \times \mathfrak{V}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}_{g+g'}$ is given by $((L \supseteq I), (L' \supseteq I')) \mapsto (L \oplus L', I \oplus I')$. The map $\mathbb{X}_g \times \mathbb{X}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{g+g'}$ extends in an obvious manner to the Satake extensions $\mathbb{X}_g^{bb} \times \mathbb{X}_{g'}^{bb} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{g+g'}^{bb}$ and hence drops to a morphism $\mathcal{A}_g^{bb} \times \mathcal{A}_{g'}^{bb} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}^{bb}$ that extends the map $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}$ above. Its counterpart $\mathfrak{W}_g \times \mathfrak{W}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_{g+g'}$ for the Giffen categories is given $(M, M') \mapsto M \oplus M'$. Indeed, the commutative diagram on the right below has the same rational homology type as the commutative diagram on the left.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_{g'} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{A}_{g+g'} \\ j_g \times j_{g'} \downarrow & & \downarrow j_{g+g'} \\ \mathcal{A}_g^{bb} \times \mathcal{A}_{g'}^{bb} & \xrightarrow{i^{bb}} & \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}^{bb} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{V}_g \times \mathfrak{V}_{g'} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{V}_{g+g'} \\ F_g \times F_{g'} \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{g+g'} \\ \mathfrak{W}_g \times \mathfrak{W}_{g'} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{W}_{g+g'} \end{array}$$

By taking $g' = 1$ and choosing a point of \mathcal{A}_1 resp. the element (H, I) , where I is the span of the first basis vector of H , the above diagrams become the stabilization

maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{g+1} \\
 j_g \downarrow & & \downarrow j_{g+1} \\
 \mathcal{A}_g^{bb} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^{bb}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{Y}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y}_{g+1} \\
 F_g \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{g+1} \\
 \mathfrak{W}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{W}_{g+1}
 \end{array}$$

The homotopy type of the maps on the right hand side do not depend on the point we choose, for \mathcal{A}_1 is isomorphic to the affine line and hence connected.

6. The homotopy type of a toroidal compactification

The parabolic cone

We place ourselves in the setting of the previous section. Let us first recall from [2] how a toroidal compactification is defined. Let \mathfrak{g} stand for the \mathbb{Q} -Lie algebra of \mathcal{G} and regard C_P as a cone in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. Then any element of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Q})$ in the closure of C_P lies in a *unique* C_Q with $Q \leq P$ and if we define the *parabolic cone* as $C(\mathfrak{g}) := \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})} C_P \subset \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and define the *face (of $C(\mathfrak{g})$) associated to P* as $C_P^+ := \cup_{Q \leq P} C_Q$, then

- (a) $C(\mathfrak{g}) := \sqcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})} C_P$ (the union is disjoint),
- (b) C_P^+ is the relative closure of C_P in $C(\mathfrak{g})$ and $P \leq Q$ if and only if $C_P^+ \leq C_Q^+$,
- (c) two faces intersect in a face.

So the faces of $C(\mathfrak{g})$ are in bijective correspondence with the elements of $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ and the flags of faces that are not reduced to $\{0\}$ are in bijective correspondence with simplices of the Tits building of \mathcal{G} .

For every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$, the group $\Gamma \cap P$ acts via an arithmetic subgroup of L_P^ℓ on \mathfrak{u}_P and preserves C_P^+ . It is known to have as fundamental domain in C_P^+ a rational polyhedral cone (i.e., the convex cone spanned by a finite subset of $\mathfrak{u}_P(\mathbb{Q})$). For example, if $\phi \in \mathfrak{u}_P^*$ is such that ϕ is positive on $\overline{C}_P \setminus \{0\}$, then the set of $x \in C_P^+$ with $\phi(x) \leq \phi(\gamma x)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap P$ is a rational polyhedral cone that is also a fundamental domain for $\Gamma \cap P$. So if Σ_P is a $\Gamma \cap P$ -invariant decomposition of C_P^+ into rational polyhedral cones, then it induces one on each of its faces C_Q^+ , $Q \leq P$.

Admissible decompositions of the parabolic cone

Let Σ be a Γ -invariant decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{g})$ into a rational polyhedral cones (such decomposition is said to be Γ -*admissible*). This determines a toroidal extension of \mathbb{X}^Σ of \mathbb{X} which is locally like the one we have for the extension described in the torus case 2.9 and is at the same time very much in the spirit of the Satake–Baily–Borel extension. The difference with the latter is that the projections π_P are replaced by projections $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\sigma)$ indexed by the cones $\sigma \in \Sigma$ for which the topology is easier to understand. A fiber of this projection is an orbit of the semigroup $\exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} + \sqrt{-1}(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \cap C_P))$ acting on $\check{\mathbb{X}}$. Let $\pi_\sigma^{\{0\}}: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\sigma)$ denote the formation of this orbit space. Then $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$ has the structure of a complex manifold for which $\pi_\sigma^{\{0\}}$ is a holomorphic map. The Γ -stabilizer Γ_σ of σ acts on $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$ with a kernel that contains the free abelian group $\Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})$ as a subgroup of finite index. We shall take $\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(\sigma)}^\ell := \Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})$. Our Theorem 1.2 applies and yields:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{T}_\Gamma^\Sigma$ be the category with objects the members of Σ and for which a morphism $\tau \rightarrow \sigma$ is given by a right coset $\Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})) \backslash \Gamma$ for which $(\Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}))\gamma\tau \subseteq \sigma$. Then the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{T}_\Gamma^\Sigma$ defined by the object $\{0\}$ can be identified with Γ and we have a natural functor $\mathfrak{T}_\Gamma^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$ defined by $\Pi \mapsto P(\Pi)$. If we apply the classifying space construction to the functors $\Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_\Gamma^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$ we recover the stacky homotopy type of the morphisms $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X} \subseteq \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^\Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$.*

Toroidal compactifications of \mathcal{A}_g

We here take $G = \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{R}}^g)$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{Sp}(H^g)$. To give a $\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)$ -admissible decomposition of the parabolic cone of $\mathfrak{sp}(H_{\mathbb{R}}^g)$ equivalent to giving a $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z}^g)$ -admissible decomposition $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}^g)$ of the cone $C_g^+ \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathbb{R}^g$ of positive semipositive elements in $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathbb{R}^g$ with rational radical.

Some of the standard constructions produce for every g a $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z}^g)$ -admissible decomposition $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}^g)$ of C_g^+ . This of course amounts to an assignment Σ which for every finitely generated lattice L gives a $\mathrm{GL}(L)$ -admissible decomposition $\Sigma(L)$ of the corresponding cone $C(L)^+$ in $\mathrm{Sym}^2 L_{\mathbb{R}}$. The naturality property we are interested in is best expressed in these terms: let us first observe that for lattices L, L' we have a decomposition $\mathrm{Sym}^2(L \oplus L') \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2 L \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^2 L' \oplus (L \otimes L')$, where $L \otimes L'$ embeds in $\mathrm{Sym}^2(L \oplus L')$ via $e \otimes e' \mapsto e \otimes e' + e' \otimes e$. The inclusion of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(L) \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^2(L')$ in $\mathrm{Sym}^2(L \oplus L')$ defines an inclusion $C(L)^+ \times C(L')^+ \subset C(L \oplus L')^+$. Let us say that Σ is *multiplicative* if this makes $\Sigma(L) \times \Sigma(L')$ a subset of $\Sigma(L \oplus L')$. Since 0 is a member of $\Sigma(L')$, this implies that $\Sigma(L) \hookrightarrow \Sigma(L \oplus L')$. (There is also a parallel notion of comultiplicative toroidal data involving the projection $C(L \oplus L')^+ \rightarrow C(L)^+ \times C(L')^+$, but we will not discuss this here.)

Example 6.2. An example is the *perfect cone decomposition* Σ^{perf} : the convex hull $K^{\mathrm{perf}}(L) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^2 L$ of $\{v \otimes v\}_{v \in L \setminus \{0\}}$ has the property that every face of its boundary is a polyhedron and by definition a member of $\Sigma^{\mathrm{perf}}(L)$ is the cone spanned by such a polyhedron. It has the additional property that every 1-dimensional member (called a *ray*) is the cone spanned by a nonzero square so that $\mathrm{GL}(L)$ permutes them transitively. A finite subset $S \subset L \setminus \{0\}$, $\{v \otimes v\}_{v \in S}$ spans a boundary face of $K^{\mathrm{perf}}(L)$ if and only if there exists a positive definite quadratic form q on $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ (which is the same thing as a linear form on $\mathrm{Sym}^2 L_{\mathbb{R}}$) which takes its minimal value on $L \setminus \{0\}$ in S . This also shows that Σ^{perf} is multiplicative, for if q' defines a boundary face of $K^{\mathrm{perf}}(L')$, then $q + q'$ defines a boundary face of $K^{\mathrm{perf}}(L \oplus L')$ that is the product of these two.

Let Σ be multiplicative. This yields for every g a toroidal compactification \mathcal{A}_g^Σ and we then ask how the associated toroidal compactifications \mathcal{A}_g^Σ and \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^Σ are related.

Let σ be a member of $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}^r)$. Then for every $g \geq r$, σ defines a stratum $\mathcal{A}_g(\sigma)$ of \mathcal{A}_g^Σ whose codimension equals $\dim(\sigma)$. The stratum $\mathcal{A}_g(\sigma)$ admits a natural cover $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(\sigma)$ by the $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z}^r)$ -stabilizer of σ (a finite group) that has the structure of a torus fibration over $\mathcal{X}_{g-r}^{(r)}$. Here $\mathcal{X}_{g-r}/\mathcal{A}_{g-r}$ is the universal ppav of relative dimension $g - r$ and $\mathcal{X}_{g-r}^{(r)}/\mathcal{A}_{g-r}$ is its r -fold fiber product. For $h \geq 0$, the product morphism $i: \mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+h}$ extends to the compactifications $i^\Sigma: \mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma \times \mathcal{A}_h^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+h}^\Sigma$ in a stratified manner: for $0 \leq s \leq h$ and a member τ of $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}^s)$ the product $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(\sigma) \times \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_h(\tau)$ lands in

$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{g+h}(\sigma \times \tau)$ as part of a commutative diagram of closed immersions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(\sigma) \times \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_h(\tau) & \xrightarrow{i^\Sigma} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{g+h}(\sigma \times \tau) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X}_{g-r}^{(r)} \times \mathcal{X}_{h-s}^{(s)} & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} & \mathcal{X}_{g+h-r-s}^{(r+s)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_{g-r} \times \mathcal{A}_{h-s} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{A}_{g+h-r-s} \end{array}$$

We have an induced map of stacky homotopy types, which via Theorem 6.1 is given by the associated functor

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}^\Sigma \times \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^h)}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^{g+h})}^\Sigma.$$

Now fix a (general) elliptic curve E . This defines a point of \mathcal{A}_1 , so that the above construction gives a closed immersion $i_E^\Sigma: \mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^\Sigma$ which extends the morphism $i_E: \mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+1}$ defined by multiplication with E . The induced map of stacky homotopy types, $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^{g+1})}^\Sigma$, is derived from the one above by fixing the second argument be the identity of $\mathrm{Sp}(H)$. At this point one may wonder whether this map stabilizes after the plus construction is applied to their stacky homotopy types, but it is more natural to proceed as follows.

The (complex) codimension of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(\sigma) \times \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_h(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma \times \mathcal{A}_h^\Sigma$ is equal to the codimension of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{g+h}(\sigma \times \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+h}^\Sigma$ (namely $\dim(\sigma) + \dim(\tau)$) and indeed, this morphism is transversal to the stratification. In particular, it has a normal bundle of rank gh . This normal bundle can be identified with the exterior tensor product $\mathcal{F}_g^\Sigma \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_h^\Sigma$, where \mathcal{F}_g^Σ is an extension of the Hodge bundle on \mathcal{A}_g to \mathcal{A}_g^Σ . We have a Gysin map

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}_g)-k}(\mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}_h)-l}(\mathcal{A}_h^\Sigma; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \\ H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}_{g+h})-2gh-k-l}(\mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma \times \mathcal{A}_h^\Sigma; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{i_!^\Sigma} H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}_{g+h})-k-l}(\mathcal{A}_{g+h}^\Sigma; \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

We get for $(h, l) = (1, 0)$ (using the natural generator for $H^2(\mathcal{A}_1^\Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$) a map

$$H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}_g)-k}(\mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}_{g+1})-k}(\mathcal{A}_{g+1}^\Sigma; \mathbb{Q}).$$

This is also the Gysin map of $i_E^\Sigma: \mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^\Sigma$. Grushevsky–Hulek–Tommasi asked whether this is an isomorphism for $k < g$. They proved in [7] that for the perfect cone decomposition this is indeed the case, by only using fact that every one dimensional member has spanned by a square. This implies that each member of $\Sigma(C_r^+)$ which meets the interior of C_r^+ has dimension at least r .

A quick proof may be sketched as follows: the space $\mathcal{X}_{g-r}^{(r)}$ is a virtual classifying space for its orbifold fundamental group $\mathrm{Sp}(H^{g-r}) \times (H^{g-r} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^r)$ (or rather an extension of the finite group $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z}^r)_\sigma$ by this group). The Borel–Serre stability theorems imply that $\bar{i}_E: \mathcal{X}_{g-r}^{(r)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{g+1-r}^{(r)}$ (given by multiplication with E^r) induces an isomorphism on rational homology in degree $< g - r$, or more precisely, that the relative homology of the pair $(\mathcal{X}_{g+1-r}^{(r)}, \bar{i}_E(\mathcal{X}_{g-r}^{(r)}))$ vanishes in degree $< g - r$. Since $i_E^\Sigma: \mathcal{A}_g(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+1}(\sigma)$ is a fibered pull-back along \bar{i}_E , the same is true for the pair $(\mathcal{A}_{g+1}(\sigma), i_E^\Sigma(\mathcal{A}_g(\sigma)))$. By Alexander duality, this is equivalent to the pair $(U_{g+1}(\sigma), \partial U_{g+1}(\sigma)) := (\mathcal{A}_{g+1}(\sigma), \partial \mathcal{A}_{g+1}(\sigma))|_{U_{g+1}}$ having no rational cohomology in

degree $> \dim_{\mathbb{R}} U_{g+1}(\sigma) - (g - r)$. Since $r + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} U_{g+1}(\sigma) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{g+1}$, this implies that $(U_{g+1}(\sigma), \partial U_{g+1}(\sigma))$ has no rational cohomology in degree $> \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{g+1} - g$. This remains true when $\mathcal{A}_g(\sigma)$ is empty, i.e., when σ meets the interior of C_{g+1}^+ , because in that case $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{g+1}(\sigma) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{g+1} - g$. Since $\partial U_{g+1}(\sigma)$ is the union of the $U_{g+1}(\tau)$ with $\tau \supsetneq \sigma$, a simple induction argument then implies that U_{g+1} has no cohomology in degree $> \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{g+1} - g$.

It is worthwhile to restate this in terms of perverse homology. Cohomology classes on an irreducible stratified variety with suitable local triviality properties (which are satisfied here), can be geometrically understood as representable by cycles of complementary dimension that have proper intersection with the strata modulo boundaries with the same property: in other words, with homology with zero perversity, written here as H_{\bullet}^0 . As this turns a Gysin map into a direct image, the stability theorem of Grushevsky–Hulek–Tommasi can be understood as one pertaining to $H_{\bullet}^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Q})$ in degrees $< g$. So we have well-defined stable perverse homology $H_{\bullet}^0(\mathcal{A}_{\infty}^{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Q})$. Since the Gysin maps are compatible with the stabilization maps, $H_{\bullet}^0(\mathcal{A}_{\infty}^{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Q})$ inherits from the multiplicative property the structure of a graded \mathbb{Q} -algebra. We have a natural map $H_k^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_k(\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Q})$ isomorphism $H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}_g) - k}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_k^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Q})$ is up to sign given as the cap product with the fundamental class $[\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma}] \in H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}_g)}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Q})$, but as Grushevsky–Hulek–Tommasi point out this is not always an isomorphism (although it might be so in a stable range). Hence it is presently not clear whether there is an underlying stable homotopy type.

If, however, we are given a functorial way of selecting a nonempty subset $\Sigma'(L) \subset \Sigma(L)$ consisting of *simplicial* cones whose union in $C(L)^+$ is closed and such that $\Sigma'(L) \times \Sigma'(L')$ lands in $\Sigma'(L \oplus L')$ (note that a product of simplicial cones is indeed a simplicial cone), then we are in a better shape: $\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma'}$ will be an open union of strata of \mathcal{A}_g^{Σ} which adds to \mathcal{A}_g (virtually) a normal crossing divisor and the natural map $H_{\bullet}^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma'}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma'}; \mathbb{Q})$ will be an isomorphism. Indeed, following the argument of Grushevsky–Hulek–Tommasi (who did this for the matroidal locus) it can be shown that the maps $\mathcal{A}_g^{\Sigma'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^{\Sigma'}$ stabilize on rational homology. The given of Σ' yields for every $g \geq 0$ a full subcategory $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}^{\Sigma'} \subset \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}^{\Sigma}$ and we expect the associated functor $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}^{\Sigma'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^{g+1})}^{\Sigma'}$ to become virtually $(g - 1)$ -connected, once we apply the plus construction so that we end up with an H -space. The above ring product and the usual coproduct turn its rational homology into a connected graded Hopf algebra.

7. The homotopy type of extensions of the period map

The map which assigns to a compact Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$ its Jacobian as a principally polarized abelian variety defines a *period map* $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$. If S_g is a closed connected oriented surface, then the \mathbb{Q} -homotopy type of \mathcal{J} is represented by the map on classifying spaces of the group homomorphism $\Gamma(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(S))$. Mumford observed that the period map $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ extends to a morphism $\mathcal{J}^{bb}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$; it assigns to a stable curve the Jacobian of its normalization and has the property that the preimage of a stratum of \mathcal{A}_g^{bb} is a locally closed union of strata of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$:

Proposition 7.1. *Let S_g be a closed connected oriented surface of genus $g > 1$. Let $P: \mathfrak{C}^*(S_g) \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_g$ be the functor which assigns to an element σ of the augmented curve complex $\mathfrak{C}^*(S_g)$ the quotient $\overline{H}_1(S_g \setminus \sigma)$ of the quasi-symplectic lattice $H_1(S_g \setminus \sigma)$ by its radical (or equivalently, the image of $H_1(S_g \setminus \sigma) \rightarrow H^1(S_g \setminus \sigma)$). The restriction of this functor to the initial object \emptyset of $\mathfrak{C}^*(S_g)$ gives the symplectic representation $P_\emptyset: \Gamma(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(S))$ and the stacky homotopy type of the square on the left below is obtained by applying the classifying space functor to the square on the right:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_g & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} & \mathcal{A}_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}^{bb}} & \mathcal{A}_g^{bb} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(S) & \xrightarrow{P_\emptyset} & \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(S)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}^*(S_g) & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(S))} \end{array}$$

Proof. We confine ourselves to the basic idea of the proof. First note that the period map lifts to a map $\mathcal{J}(S_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(H_1(S_g))$. This extends to a continuous map $\overline{\mathcal{J}}(S_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(H_1(S_g))^{bb}$ which on the stratum $\mathcal{J}(S_g \setminus \sigma)$ is given by first mapping $\mathcal{J}(S \setminus \sigma)$ to the Teichmüller space of the (possibly disconnected) surface obtained from $S \setminus \sigma$ by filling in all the punctures and then applying the period map on each connected component. We can arrange that the open cover of $\overline{\mathcal{J}}(S_g)$ that was used to define $\mathfrak{C}^*(S_g)$ refines the preimage of the open cover of $\mathbb{X}(H_1(S_g))^{bb}$ that was used to define its Satake category. The proposition then follows. \square

Toroidal compactifications of \mathcal{A}_g to which the period map extends

We assume $g \geq 2$ and fix a symplectic isomorphism $H_1(S_g) \cong H^g$. Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{C}^*(S_g)$ be an element of the augmented curve complex that is represented by the compact submanifold $A \subset S_g$. Each $v \in \tau$ defines after orientation a cohomology class $e_v \in H^1(S_g)$ that is either zero or primitive (indivisible). We use Poincaré duality form to identify $H^1(S_g)$ with its $H_1(S_g)$ and identify the latter with H^g . The sign ambiguity in e_v disappears if we pass to $e_v \otimes e_v \in \mathrm{Sym}^2 H^g$. We shall refer to the nonzero elements of $\{e_v \otimes e_v\}_{v \in \tau} \subset \mathrm{Sym}^2 H^g$ as its *Picard–Lefschetz set*.

Let Σ be a $\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)$ -admissible decomposition of the parabolic cone of $\mathfrak{sp}(H_{\mathbb{R}}^g) = \mathrm{Sym}^2 H_{\mathbb{R}}^g$. According to Alexeev and Bruniyate [1], the period map $\mathcal{J}^{bb}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$ lifts to a morphism $\mathcal{J}^\Sigma: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma$ if and only if for every $\tau \in \mathfrak{C}^*(S_g)$ its Picard–Lefschetz set is contained in a member of Σ . This criterion is for instance satisfied by the perfect cone decomposition [1]. Assume this is the case and denote by $\sigma_\tau \in \Sigma$ the smallest member containing the associated Picard–Lefschetz set. Every $\sigma \in \Sigma$ determines a primitive isotropic sublattice $I(\sigma)$ (so that the relative interior of σ defines a family of positive definite quadratic forms on $I(\sigma)_{\mathbb{R}}^*$). Notice that $I(\sigma_\tau)$ is the image of $H_1(A) \rightarrow H_1(S_g) \cong H_g$.

Proposition 7.2. *The \mathbb{Q} -homotopy type of the diagram of maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}^\Sigma} \mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$ is obtained by applying the classifying space construction to the diagram of functors $\mathfrak{C}^*(S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}$, where the first functor is given by $\tau \in \mathfrak{C}^*(S) \mapsto \sigma_\tau$ and the second by $I \mapsto I(\sigma)$.*

Note that Alexeev–Bruniyate [1] have shown that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ maps to the matroidal locus in $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{perf}}$ so that it makes sense to investigate the stabilization properties of this map.

References

- [1] V. Alexeev and A. Brunyate, Extending the Torelli map to toroidal compactifications of Siegel space, *Invent. Math.* **188** (2012), 175–196.
- [2] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport and Y.-S. Tai, *Smooth compactifications of locally symmetric varieties* (2nd ed. with the coll. of Peter Scholze). Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 2010.
- [3] R. Charney and R. Lee, Cohomology of the Satake compactification, *Topology* **22** (1983), 389–423.
- [4] R. Charney and R. Lee, Moduli space of stable curves from a homotopy viewpoint, *J. Differential Geom.* **20** (1984), 185–235.
- [5] J. Chen and E. Looijenga, The stable cohomology of the Satake compactification of \mathcal{A}_g , *Geom. Topol.* **21** (2017), 2231–2241.
- [6] J. Ebert and J. Giansiracusa, On the homotopy type of the Deligne–Mumford compactification, *Algebraic Geom. Topol.* **8** (2008), 2049–2062.
- [7] S. Grushevsky, K. Hulek and O. Tommasi, Stable cohomology of the perfect cone toroidal compactification of the moduli space of abelian varieties, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **741** (2018), 211–254.
- [8] E. Looijenga, Compactifications defined by arrangements II, Locally symmetric varieties of type IV, *Duke Math. J.* **119** (2003), 527–588.
- [9] E. Looijenga, Discrete automorphism groups of convex cones of finite type, *Compos. Math.* **150** (2014), 1939–1962.
- [10] S. Lubkin, On a conjecture of André Weil, *Amer. J. Math.* **89** (1967) 443–548.
- [11] D. Quillen, Higher algebraic K-theory I, in *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories*, pp. 85–147. Lect. Notes Math. **341**, Springer, Berlin 1973.
- [12] G. Segal, Classifying spaces and spectral sequences, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **34** (1968) 105–112.
- [13] D.P. Sullivan, *Geometric topology: localization, periodicity and Galois symmetry* (the 1970 MIT notes), K-Monogr. Math. **8**, Springer 2005.

Jiaming Chen jiaming.chen@imj-prg.fr

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - Paris Rive Gauche, Université de Paris,
Bâtiment Sophie Germain, 8 Place Aurélie Nemours, Case 7045, 75205 PARIS Cedex
13, France

Eduard Looijenga e.j.n.looijenga@uu.nl

Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China;
Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Utrecht, Nederland

Copyright of Homology, Homotopy & Applications is the property of International Press of Boston and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.