Article

Vancomyxins: Vancomycin-Polymyxin Nonapeptide Conjugates That Retain Anti-Gram-Positive Activity with Enhanced Potency against Gram-Negative Strains

Emma van Groesen,[#] Cornelis J. Slingerland,[#] Paolo Innocenti, Milos Mihajlovic, Rosalinde Masereeuw, and Nathaniel I. Martin*

Read Online

ACCESS | Metrics & More | Article Recommendations | SI Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Vancomycin functions by binding to lipid II, the penultimate bacterial cell wall building block used by both Gram-positive and Gram-negative species. However, vancomycin is generally only able to exert its antimicrobial effect against Gram-positive strains as it cannot pass the outer membrane (OM) of Gram-negative bacteria. To address this challenge, we here describe efforts to conjugate vancomycin to the OM disrupting polymyxin E nonapeptide (PMEN) to yield the hybrid "vancomyxins". In designing these hybrid antibiotics, different spacers and conjugation sites were explored for connecting vancomycin and PMEN. The vancomyxins show improved activity against Gram-negative strains compared with the activity of vancomycin or vancomycin supplemented with PMEN separately. In addition, the vancomyxins maintain the antimicrobial effect of vancomycin against Gram-positive strains and, in some cases, show enhanced activity against vancomycin-resistant strains. The hybrid antibiotics described here have reduced nephrotoxicity when compared with clinically used polymyxin antibiotics. This study demonstrates that covalent conjugation to an OM disruptor contributes to sensitizing Gram-negative strains to vancomycin while retaining anti-Gram-positive activity.

**KEYWORDS:** Gram-negative, outer membrane, vancomycin, polymyxin, Gram-positive, click ligation

Vancomycin (Figure 1) is the most prominent clinically used glycopeptide antibiotic and exhibits potent activity against Gram-positive bacteria. It functions by inhibiting cell-wall biosynthesis by targeting the peptidoglycan precursor lipid II and specifically by binding the D-Ala-D-Ala terminus of the lipid II pentapeptide via a network of five hydrogen bonds. In binding to this peptidoglycan precursor, vancomycin prevents cell-wall polymerization by bacterial transpeptidases and transglycosylases which leads to decreased bacterial cell-wall integrity, eventually resulting in lysis of the bacterial cell.[1,2] Furthermore, binding of vancomycin to lipid II is enhanced by cooperative dimerization which increases the binding affinity of vancomycin to lipid II and enhances its antimicrobial activity.[3−5] While lipid II is also present in Gram-negative bacteria, vancomycin is unable to access it due to the presence of the additional outer membrane (OM) found in Gram-negatives.[6] The OM is characterized by an inner leaflet of phospholipids and an outer leaflet decorated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS).[7] Notably, the ability of vancomycin to also bind to Gram-negative lipid II from *E. coli* was confirmed by Shlaes and co-workers. Furthermore, this study suggested that defects in the LPS core can revert resistance of Gram-negative strains to large hydrophilic molecules such as vancomycin.[6] Additionally, in a recent investigation, Bardoel and co-workers showed that serum can sensitize multidrug resistant (MDR) *K. pneumoniae* to

vancomycin, a process facilitated by the membrane attack complex (MAC) of the complement system found in human serum. The MAC forms pores in the OM causing disruption, allowing otherwise Gram-positive specific antimicrobials to also exert their action against Gram-negative strains.[8] These studies, and others carried out in the same area, highlight the potential of vancomycin to be effective against Gram-negative bacteria when the integrity of the OM is compromised.

Different strategies to sensitize Gram-negative bacteria to antibiotics which typically only work against Gram-positive pathogens have been explored in the literature.[9−11] The two main approaches used most often rely on (a) covalent attachment of OM-disrupting or OM-bypassing moieties and (b) coadministration with "adjuvants", which can either affect the OM integrity or impair the bacteria's efflux system.[7,12,13] Previously described covalent conjugates include those reported by Miller and co-workers wherein vancomycin was linked to an

Figure 1. Structures of clinically used antibiotics vancomycin and colistin (polymyxin E), with the colistin-derived polymyxin E nonapeptide (PMEN) also indicated.

iron sequestering siderophore mimetic to yield hybrids with slightly reduced activity against Gram-positive strains but with enhanced activity toward a hypersensitive strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* under iron depleted conditions.[14] More recently, the group of Haldar reported a lipophilic cationic vancomycin analogue, VanQAmC10, which was shown to be bactericidal against MDR *A. baumannii*.[15] Another recent vancomycin derivative, developed by the groups of Wender and Cegelski, involves the introduction of an arginine-amide moiety at the vancomycin C-terminus, significantly enhancing activity against *E. coli* (MIC 8−16 μM) including resistant strains.[16,17] Notably, this arginine-vancomycin conjugate was demonstrated to successfully reduce bacterial burden >6-log fold compared to vehicle and vancomycin in a murine thigh *E. coli* infection model.[16] In addition to such covalent approaches to enhance anti-Gram-negative activity, agents capable of potentiating or synergizing with Gram-positive-specific antibiotics also present an attractive option. In this regard, many OM-disrupting cyclic or linear cationic peptides have been reported to sensitize Gram-negative pathogens to anti-Gram-positive antibiotics including vancomycin.[10,18,19]

Among the most notable OM disrupting agents are the so-called polymyxin nonapeptides which are derived from the clinically used antibiotics polymyxin B and polymyxin E (colistin). Readily obtained by enzymatic degradation of the full-length antibiotic, the cyclic nonapeptides lack the fatty acyl tail and *N*-terminal Dab residue present in the parent polymyxins (Figure 1). Due to its associated (nephro)toxicity when administered systemically, colistin has traditionally only been used as an antibiotic of last resort.[20] However, given increasing rates of resistance, the use of colistin is now on the rise.[20] By comparison, the polymyxin nonapeptides are significantly less toxic than the parent compounds[21,22] but still maintain the capacity to bind to Gram-negative bacteria by recognition of the Lipid A unit of LPS.[23,24] Given their high positive charge, polymyxin nonapeptides displace the divalent cations responsible for stabilizing membrane packing in the Gram-negative OM[25] resulting in disruption of the OM.[20] Notably, while polymyxin nonapeptides retain little-to-none of the activity of the parent antibiotic,[26] they function effectively as synergistic agents and can improve the activity of otherwise Gram-positive specific antibiotics including vancomycin.[18,19]

To date, a small number of studies have explored the effect of conjugating polymyxins to antibiotic agents with the aim of using the covalently attached OM disruptor as an adjuvant for the antimicrobial agent. Generally speaking, these studies have focused on conjugation with Gram-negative active antibiotics. In a recent example, Schweizer and co-workers described the

ligation of full-length polymyxin B to the aminoglycoside tobramycin.[27] The resulting hybrid did not outperform either polymyxin or tobramycin in direct activity but interestingly did potentiate other antibiotics toward several *P. aeruginosa* strains, including MDR isolates.[27] In another even newer development, researchers at Polyphor described bicyclic hybrids comprising a monocyclic β-hairpin peptidomimetic of protegrin I and PMEN.[28] While neither monocyclic peptide exhibits significant activity on its own, the bicyclic hybrids demonstrated extremely potent activity both *in vitro* and in mouse models of infection with a range of Gram-negative pathogens. Notably, these bicyclic constructs are proposed to target the extracellular part of the OM protein BamA, thereby avoiding the need to pass the OM.[28]

Given previous reports showing that covalent attachment of siderophores, LPS binding moieties, or positively charged moieties to vancomycin can lead to improved antimicrobial activity against Gram-negative strains,[15−17,29,30] we hypothesized that conjugation of vancomycin to the highly positively charged OM disruptor PMEN could sensitize Gram-negative strains. Our interest in exploring vancomycin-PMEN conjugates was further spurred given that OM disruption has also previously been demonstrated to enable anti-Gram-negative activity for vancomycin.[6,8,10,18,19] Also of note are recent reports showing that the covalent attachment of cationic moieties to vancomycin is an effective means to resensitize clinically relevant vancomycin-resistant Gram-positive strains.[15,31−35] For these reasons, we anticipated that vancomycin-PMEN conjugates might exhibit enhanced activity toward drug-resistant Gram-positive strains as well. Here, we report the synthesis and evaluation of the vancomyxins: a new class of vancomycin-PMEN hybrid antibiotics. The antimicrobial activities of the vancomyxins against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (including drug-resistant clinical isolates) as well as an assessment of their toxicity toward eukaryotic cells is here reported.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a strategy for preparing the vancomycin/PMEN conjugates we envisioned the use of so-called "click chemistry", wherein complementary azide and alkyne containing precursors are covalently linked by means of the well-established copper-catalyzed azide−alkyne cycloaddition reaction.[36−38] In pursuing this approach, we opted to add the required azide handle to the N-terminus of the PMEN moiety while the alkyne functionality was incorporated into the vancomycin structure at two different locations (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Vancomyxins Prepared in Present Study[a]

PMEN azides

(3)

(4)

(5)

Vancomycin alkynes

C-terminal modified vancomycin (6)

Vancosamine-modified vancomycin (7)

Vancomyxins

spacer (8) (9) (10)

spacer (11) (12) (13)

spacer

(8, 11)

(9, 12)

(10, 13)

i)

[a]Reagents and conditions used: (i) CuSO$_4$ pentahydrate, sodium ascorbate, H$_2$O.

The azido-modified PMEN building blocks were obtained via a convenient semisynthetic approach starting from colistin. In

short, degradation of colistin using the readily available enzyme ficin yielded PMEN which was subsequently converted to

Table 1. Preliminary Antibacterial Activity Assessment of Vancomyxins 8-13

	MIC $(\mu g/mL)^a$						
Strain ID	Vancomycin	8	9	10	11	12	13
Gram-negative bacteria							
E. coli ATCC25922	>128	16	16	32	16	16	32
K. pneumoniae ATCC27736	>128	32	16	64	8	16	32
Gram-positive bacteria							
B. subtilis 168	0.25	0.5	0.25	0.5	0.25	0.25	1
S. aureus ATCC29213	0.125	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.5

^{a}MIC = Minimum inhibitory concentration.

PMEN-Boc$_4$ wherein the four Dab side chains are selectively protected and the N-terminus remains free.[39] Subsequently, azido-carboxylic acids of varying lengths were coupled to the N-terminus of PMEN-Boc$_4$ using BOP/DIPEA. Following Boc-deprotection and HPLC purification, the azide-modified PMEN building blocks 3−5 where obtained (Scheme 1). In the case of the alkyne-modified vancomycin partners, we followed a previously described protocol reported by Sharpless and co-workers who used click chemistry approaches in preparing various dimers of vancomycin.[38] To this end, an alkyne handle was incorporated at either the vancomycin C-terminus or at the vancosamine moiety. Given that neither of these modifications impacts the lipid II binding core of vancomycin, it was expected that structural alterations at these sites would not impair the ability of vancomycin to recognize its target. To install the alkyne at the C-terminus, vancomycin was treated with propargyl amine and HBTU/DIPEA resulting in building block 6. For the preparation of building block 7, installation of the alkyne handle at the vancosamine moiety was achieved via reductive amination using a known alkyne-containing aromatic aldehyde (see Scheme 1 and Supporting Information Schemes S1−S2).[31,38] With the required PMEN-azides and vancomycin-alkynes in hand, the conjugation step involving triazole formation was achieved by means of copper catalysis.[36−38] In all cases, the ligation reactions proceeded cleanly and rapidly to yield the expected vancomyxins (8−13). As indicated in Scheme 1, compounds 8 and 11 feature the shortest spacer deriving from the azido glycine modified PMEN, compounds 9 and 12 contain a 5-carbon moiety, while compounds 10 and 13 include a longer and more hydrophilic PEG$_3$ spacer.

PMEN azides 3-5, the alkyne modified vancomycins 6 and 7, and the resulting hybrid vancomyxins 8-13 were all assessed for antibacterial activity. As expected, compounds 3-5 were significantly less active than colistin (see Supporting Information Table S1) while compounds 6 and 7 showed activity comparable to vancomycin (see Supporting Information Table S2). The vancomyxins 8-13 were initially assessed against two Gram-negative and two Gram-positive strains (Table 1). Notably, against the Gram-negative E. coli ATCC25922 strain used, the vancomyxins displayed improved antimicrobial activity, with a >8-fold reduction in MIC compared to vancomycin observed for compounds 8, 9, 11, 12 (MIC going from >128 to 16 $\mu g/mL$). The decrease in MIC values was less pronounced for the compounds with PEG$_3$-based spacers 10 and 13. Against the K. pneumoniae ATCC27736 strain used, vancomyxin 11 was found to have an MIC of 8 $\mu g/mL$, a > 16-fold improvement with respect to vancomycin, while the other vancomyxins were also found to have increased potencies in the range of >4- to >8-fold (Table 1). In the case of the Gram-positive B. subtilis and S. aureus ATCC29213 strains used in this preliminary screen, it was found that conjugation of PMEN to

vancomycin did not significantly impair anti-Gram-positive activity relative to the parent vancomycin.

In assessing these preliminary results, it was notable that compounds 10 and 13 consistently exhibited higher MIC values compared to the other vancomyxins, indicating that shorter spacers are preferable. In addition, the location used for attachment of the PMEN moiety to vancomycin (C-terminus or vancosamine) was found to have minimal impact on antibacterial activity, with MIC values differing by no more than 2-fold for the same spacers. Compound 11, wherein the PMEN motif is connected to the vancosamine functionality, performed particularly well. On the basis of these results against Gram-negative and Gram-positive strains, conjugates 8, 9, 11, and 12 were selected for further assessment and vancomyxin 11 for further modification.

As noted above, recent studies by the groups of Wender and Cegelski have shown that C-terminal modification of vancomycin with a positively charged amino acid (arginine-amide) leads to a significant improvement of anti-Gram-negative activity. These reports prompted us to synthesize two additional compounds building upon vancomyxin 11 wherein either a glycine amide or arginine amide was coupled to the C-terminus of 11. In doing so, it is possible to probe the influence of charge at the C-terminus: while the parent vancomyxin 11 contains a negative charge, analogues 14 and 15 are neutral and positively charged, respectively (Figure 2). Vancomyxins 14 and


Figure 2. Structures of the vancomyxins 11, 14, and 15 with ionization state at neutral pH.

Table 2. Expanded Assessment of 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, and 15 against Gram-Negative and Gram-Positive Bacteria[a]

		MIC (μg/mL)								
	Strain ID	Vancomycin	PMEN	Vancomycin +8 μg/mL PMEN	8	9	11	12	14	15
Gram-negative bacteria										
E. coli	ATCC25922	>128	>128	32	16	16	16	16	16	16
	ATCC35218	128	>128	32	16	16	16	16	8	8
K. pneumoniae	ATCC13883	>128	>128	128	32	32	8	8	16	32
	ATCC27736	>128	>128	128	32	16	8	16	16	16
A. baumannii	ATCC17978	>128	>128	128	128	64	32	32	128	128
	BAA-747	>128	>128	128	32	64	32	32	16	32
P. aeruginosa	ATCC10145	>128	>128	16	>128	>128	64	64	32	32
	ATCC27853	>128	>128	4	16	32	16	16	16	16
Gram-positive bacteria										
B. subtilis	168	0.25	>128	ND	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	≤0.008	≤0.008
S. simulans	22	0.125	>128	ND	≤0.008	0.031	0.031	0.016	≤0.008	≤0.008
S. aureus	MSSA ATCC29213	0.125	>128	ND	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	1
	MRSA USA300	0.25	>128	ND	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	1
	VISA LIM-2	4	>128	4	2	2	2	4	2	8
	VISA NRS402	8	>128	8	16	8	8	2	8	4
	VRSA 2 (vanA)	128	>128	128	32	64	64	128	>128	>128
	VRSA 3b (vanA)	>128	>128	>128	32	>128	32	>128	>128	>128
E. faecalis	VRE E1246 (vanA)	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
	VRE E7406(vanB)	32	>128	32	8	64	64	128	128	128
E. faecium	VSE E980	0.5	>128	ND	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.125	0.125
	VRE E155 (vanA)	>128	>128	>128	64	64	64	64	64	8
	VRE E7314 (vanB)	128	>128	128	2	8	2	8	0.5	0.031

[a]MIC = Minimum inhibitory concentration, ND = not determined, PMEN = Polymyxin E nonapeptide, MSSA = Methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus*, MRSA = Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*, VISA = Vancomycin-intermediate *S. aureus*, VRSA = Vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus*, VSE = Vancomycin-sensitive *Enterococci*, VRE = Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci*.

15 were prepared by coupling either glycine amide or arginine amide to the C-terminus of vancomycin alkyne building block **7** (see Supporting Information Scheme S2). Subsequent copper-catalyzed click ligation to azido-PMEN building block **3** yielded analogues **14** and **15**. An expanded antibacterial activity assessment using a variety of Gram-negative and Gram-positive strains was then performed for vancomyxins **14** and **15** along with compounds **8**, **9**, **11**, and **12**, which were identified as most promising in the initial screen (Table 2).

Activity against Gram-Negative Bacteria. As anticipated, neither vancomycin nor PMEN showed any activity against the Gram-negative strains tested when administered on their own. However, the addition of 8 μg/mL PMEN to vancomycin resulted in increased activity against *E. coli* (≥4-fold), *K. pneumoniae* and *A. baumannii* (both ≥2-fold), as well as *P. aeruginosa* (≥8/16-fold). This synergistic effect of PMEN with vancomycin is in accordance with previous studies.[18,19] In the case of vancomyxins, **8**, **9**, **11**, **12**, **14**, and **15**, however, the covalent linking of the vancomycin and PMEN units was found to enhance the activity against most of the strains tested. Against the two *E. coli* strains used, all six vancomyxins showed improved activity relative to the vancomycin/PMEN combination. Notably, the C-terminally modified **14** and **15** exhibited the greatest enhancement with a 4-fold improvement in activity against *E. coli* ATCC35218, reaching MICs as low as 8 μg/mL. When tested against *K. pneumoniae*, the vancomyxins typically displayed a 4- to 8-fold improved activity compared with the vancomycin/PMEN combination, which itself showed little effect. In this case, **11** was found to be the most active compound with an MIC of 8 μg/mL against both *Klebsiella* strains tested and **12** with an MIC of 8 μg/mL against *K. pneumoniae*

ATCC13883 (a 16-fold enhancement relative vancomycin + 8 μg/mL PMEN). Similarly, in assays with *A. baumannii*, the vancomycin/PMEN combination was only moderately active (MIC 128 μg/mL) while the vancomyxins showed 2- to 8-fold improvements in antibacterial activity. Interestingly, while the covalently linked vancomyxins show enhanced activity compared to vancomycin supplemented with PMEN against *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *A. baumannii*, the opposite pattern was observed in the case of *P. aeruginosa*. Against *P. aeruginosa* ATCC10145 vancomycin supplemented with 8 μg/mL PMEN exhibited an MIC of 16 μg/mL (an >8-fold enhancement) while the vancomyxyins showed little to no improvement relative to vancomycin. A similar trend was observed with *P. aeruginosa* ATCC27853. While the vancomyxins in this case generally displayed an >8-fold reduction in MIC compared to vancomycin alone (16 μg/mL vs >128 μg/mL), PMEN supplementation strongly synergized with vancomycin reducing its MIC to 4 μg/mL. The greater sensitivity of the *P. aeruginosa* strains to the vancomycin/PMEN combination versus the larger covalently linked vancomyxins may be attributable to the known low-permeability of the *P. aeruginosa* outer membrane.[40] Furthermore, previous investigations have established that *Pseudomonas* strains are particularly sensitive to the polymyxin antibiotics as well as the synergistic effects of the corresponding nonapeptides when coadministered with other Gram-positive specific antibiotics.[41,42]

Activity against Gram-Positive Bacteria. In assessing the activity of the vancomyxins against Gram-positive bacteria, a number of vancomycin-sensitive (MIC ≤ 2 μg/mL), vancomycin-intermediate (MIC 4–8 μg/mL), and vancomycin-resistant (≥16 μg/mL) strains were selected (Table 2). For the

vancomycin-intermediate and vancomycin-resistant strains, the effect of PMEN addition at 8 μg/mL was also investigated which, not surprisingly, had no impact on the reduced potency of vancomycin. This lack of synergy was expected given that PMEN serves as an OM disruptor, a barrier only present in Gram-negative strains. Among the vancomycin-sensitive strains tested (MSSA, MRSA, and VSE) the vancomyxins were found to exhibit a similar or slightly enhanced activity relative to vancomycin. Notably, when assessed against *B. subtilis*, compounds **8**, **9**, **11**, and **12** were found to be as active as vancomycin, while **14** and **15** were found to be extremely potent with activities below 0.008 μg/mL, a >32-fold improvement. Similarly, all the vancomyxins showed significantly increased potency compared to vancomycin against the strain of *S. simulans* tested. When tested against VISA, the vancomyxins demonstrated potencies similar or slightly enhanced relative to vancomycin. Interestingly, however, against VRSA, compounds **9**, **12**, **14**, and **15** were found to show no enhancement of activity while compounds **8** and **11** displayed improved antibacterial potencies with a ≥4-fold reduction of MIC values compared to vancomycin. Among the VRE strains tested, the activities of the vancomyxins relative to vancomycin were found to be highly variable. Against vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis*, the vancomyxins showed little-to-no enhancement whereas against vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium*, particularly the VanB type, the vancomyxins exhibited potent antibacterial activity. Specifically, for the VanB type *E. faecium* strain tested, vancomyxins **9** and **12** have an MIC of 8 μg/mL and vancomyxins **8** and **11** have MIC values of 2 μg/mL, while **14** and **15** demonstrated even more impressive potencies with MICs of 0.5 and 0.031 μg/mL, respectively.

The enhanced antimicrobial activities observed for the vancomyxins against vancomycin-resistant strains suggest that our novel compounds are able to (partially) compensate for the reduced binding of the vancomycin core to the D-Ala-D-Lac lipid II motif, common to VREs containing either vanA or vanB resistance genes. It is well established that mutation of the D-Ala-D-Ala unit found in wild type lipid II to the D-Ala-D-Lac unit found in VanA or VanB positive strains results in a >1000-fold reduction in binding affinity for vancomycin and loss of antimicrobial activity.[1,2,4,43] The finding that the vancomyxins overcome this resistance, especially in the case of VanB positive *E. faecium*, indicates that other structural features are contributing to their enhanced activity. Specifically, the large positive net charge introduced by conjugating the PMEN motif to vancomycin may facilitate electrostatic interactions with the negatively charged bacterial membrane. This in turn may lead to increased membrane anchoring and lipid II engagement thereby interfering with cell wall synthesis and lowering the MIC of the vancomyxins toward otherwise vancomycin-resistant strains. In this regard, it is noteworthy that previous reports have also described the addition of positively charged moieties to vancomycin as means of overcoming vancomycin resistance.[4,15,31−35] Furthermore, conjugation of vancomycin to bacteriocin nisin (1−12) has previously shown to reduce the MIC against VRE.[44]

As described above, vancomyxins **14** and **15** were synthesized to assess if a difference in charge at the C-terminus (neutral and positive) compared to **11** (negative) would enhance activity. Against Gram-negative strains, this did not appear to have a large effect on activity as the MICs of vancomyxins **11**, **14**, and **15** are generally similar, differing by no more than 2-fold. Against Gram-positive strains, however, there are notable species-dependent differences; against VRSA, the negatively charged C-terminus of **11** results in activity superior to that of the neutral **14** and positive-charged **15**, while against vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium*, the positively charged **15** exhibits enhanced activity.

From a mechanistic perspective, we hypothesized that the enhanced anti-Gram-negative activity of the vancomyxins compared to vancomycin might be due to the ability of PMEN to bind LPS and disrupt the outer membrane.[23,24] To investigate this, we performed an LPS antagonization assay which indeed points to an interaction of compound **11** with LPS, as its MIC against *E. coli* ATCC25922 increased significantly from 16 μg/mL to >128 μg/mL when incubated with exogenous LPS (see Supporting Information Table S4).

Cell-based toxicity studies. In parallel to the antibacterial activity assays, the hemolytic properties of the vancomyxins were also assessed, revealing them to be nonhemolytic up to the highest concentration tested (512 μg/mL). These findings are in line with our expectations given the nonhemolytic nature of both vancomycin and colistin (see Supporting Information Figure S1). We next turned our attention to evaluating the nephrotoxicity of the vancomyxins. Reports in the literature indicate that both polymyxins and vancomycin can impair kidney function.[45,46] In particular, proximal tubule epithelial cells are known to be sensitive to the polymyxins as a result of extensive reabsorption and intracellular accumulation.[46] In addition, proximal tubule cells have been previously used to characterize the cytotoxic effects of vancomycin.[47] To compare the hybrid vancomyxins with the corresponding parent compounds, their nephrotoxicity was assessed by means of a viability assay using conditionally immortalized proximal tubule epithelial cells (ciPTECs), with relative mitochondrial activity after 24 h as the end point measurement. In these assays, polymyxin B was found to exhibit relatively high nephrotoxicity (TC_{50} = 0.07 mM) while vancomycin and PMEN were significantly less toxic (>50% viability at a concentration of 1 mM for both). By comparison, the vancomyxins were found to exhibit intermediate toxicity toward ciPTECs with TC_{50} values ranging from 0.11 mM for compound **15** to 0.37 mM for compound **8** (see Supporting Information Figure S2 for full details), concentrations generally multiple orders of magnitude higher than the corresponding MIC values.

■ CONCLUSION

While vancomycin is an important antibiotic for the treatment of hospitalized patients with Gram-positive infections, it has little activity against Gram-negative bacteria due to the inaccessibility of its target. Given that vancomycin can bind to the Gram-negative form of lipid II,[6] OM disruptors present a possible means for enhancing the activity of vancomycin against Gram-negative pathogens.[8,10,18,19] To this end, combination strategies involving polymyxin nonapeptide or other OM disruptors have been explored.[10,18,19] However, the covalent conjugation of vancomycin to the OM disrupting PMEN motif has not been previously described. In this study, we report a new class of vancomycin-PMEN hybrids, the vancomyxins. The vancomyxins maintain the activity of vancomycin against vancomycin-sensitive strains and, in some cases, also overcome vancomycin-resistance in Gram-positive organisms. Against Gram-negative organisms, the vancomyxins also show enhanced activity that was generally superior to that observed with a simple combination of vancomycin with PMEN. It is worth noting that when comparing the activities of the hybrid vancomyxins to vancomycin or the combination of vancomycin/PMEN, the

conventional concentration units of μg/mL was used. However, given that the molecular weights of the vancomyxins are approximately double that of vancomycin, the differences in MIC are even more pronounced when comparing the appropriate molar concentrations (see Supporting Information Tables S1–S3). In addition, LPS binding of the vancomyxins is confirmed. Furthermore, the vancomyxins are not hemolytic and exhibit lower toxicity against kidney cells compared to the clinically used polymyxin B. In summary, these findings indicate that the covalent attachment of an OM disrupting PMEN motif to vancomycin is a viable strategy for enhancing anti-Gram-negative activity.

METHODS

Synthetic Procedures. The synthesis of all intermediates and of the vancomyxins is described in detail in the Supporting Information. Given here is the general procedure for the copper-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition used to prepare the vancomyxins: The ligation protocol used generally followed that previously described by Silverman et al.[38] In short, to a solution of the vancomycin alkyne (0.03 mmol, 1 equiv) in water (1.5 mL), the PMEN azide (0.03 mmol, 1 equiv) in water (1.5 mL) was added. Subsequently, sodium ascorbate (0.008 mmol, 0.25 equiv) and $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ (0.003 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were added and the mixture was allowed to stir at RT for 16 h. The reaction mixture was directly purified using preparative high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a C_{18} column (25 × 250 mm, 10 μm) with UV detection at 214 nm. The following method was used: flow rate = 12 mL/min; solvent A, 0.1% TFA in H_2O/acetonitrile 95:5, and solvent B, 0.1% TFA in H_2O/acetonitrile 5:95. The gradient elution was as follows: 95:5 (A/B) for 5 min, 95:5 to 40:60 (A/B) over 50 min, 40:60 to 0:100 (A/B) for 1 min, 0:100 (A/B) for 2 min, then reversion back to 95:5 (A/B) over 1 min, 95:5 (A/B) for 2 min. Fractions were immediately freeze-dried and subsequently analyzed by LCMS. Pure product containing fractions were redissolved, pooled, and lyophilized to yield the vancomyxins as white powders.

Broth Microdilution Assays. From glycerol stocks, bacteria were plated out on blood agar plates overnight at 37 °C. One colony was transferred to growth media and grown at 37 °C at 200 rpm to exponential growth phase as determined by OD_{600}. The growth media used for most strains was cation adjusted Mueller Hinton Broth (0.5 mM Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+}) + 0.002% of polysorbate 80 (p80), except for all *Enterococci* and *VISA/VRSA* strains, for which the positive control was unable to grow well in this media; therefore, TSB + 0.002% p80 was used in these cases. For VRSA, the media was supplemented with 6 μg/mL vancomycin at this stage. At OD_{600} = 0.5, the bacteria were diluted 100-fold and 50 μL was added to a 2-fold serial dilution series of test compound (50 μL) to reach a total volume of 100 μL per well. The 96-well polypropylene plates were incubated at 37 °C at 600 rpm overnight (18–20 h for Gram-negative strains, 20–24 h for Gram-positive strains), and plates were inspected for visual bacterial growth. Synergy experiments were performed in a similar manner as the MIC assay, except 8 μg/mL PMEN final concentration was added to the wells. LPS antagonization assays were performed in a similar manner except that a final concentration of 1 mg/mL LPS was added to the wells. In this case, colistin was used as a control. MICs are reported as the median of triplicates.

Hemolysis Assays. Defibrinated whole sheep blood was centrifuged for 15 min at 4 °C (400 g). The top layer was

discarded, and the bottom layer was washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and centrifuged for 15 min at 4 °C (400 g). Washing cycles were repeated at least three times. In polypropylene 96-well microtiter plates, 10-fold serial dilutions of antibiotics in PBS with 0.002% p80 in biological triplicates were added (75 μL) and an equal volume of packed blood cells diluted 25x in PBS with 0.002% p80 (75 μL) was added to all wells. Plates were incubated for 20 h at 37 °C with continuous shaking (500 rpm). After incubation, plates were centrifuged for 5 min (800 g) and 25 μL of supernatant was transferred to a clear UV-star flat-bottom polystyrene 96-well plate already containing 100 μL H_2O per well. Absorption was measured at 415 nm. Data were corrected by subtraction of the background response of 1% DMSO in the presence of cells with no antibiotic and normalized using the absorbance of 0.1% Triton X-100 with blood cells as 100% hemolysis control.

PTECs Assay. *Cell culture.* ciPTECs overexpressing organic anion transporter 1 (OAT-1)[48,49] were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium, supplemented with fetal calf serum (10%), insulin (5 μg/mL), transferrin (5 μg/mL), selenium (5 μg/mL), hydrocortisone (35 ng/mL), Epidermal Growth Factor (10 ng/mL), and tri-iodothyronine (40 pg/mL). Cells were cultured at 33 °C for sustained proliferation. For the experiment, cells were washed with HBSS and detached by incubating them with Accutase solution for 5 min at 37 °C. Density was adjusted to 2.0 × 10^5 cells/mL of which 100 μL was added to each well of a 96-well plate. Seeded cells were incubated for 24 h at 33 °C, followed by 6 days incubation at 37 °C to allow them to fully differentiate. Medium was refreshed every second or third day. All cells were grown in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% (v/v) CO_2.

Cell Viability Assay. Cytotoxicity was assessed using PrestoBlue cell viability reagent. Compounds were dissolved and diluted in serum free medium. Differentiated ciPTECs were washed once with HBSS and exposed to the compounds for 24 h at 37 °C. Afterward, cells were washed with HBSS and incubated with 10% PrestoBlue reagent in HBSS at 37 °C for 1 h in the dark. Fluorescence was recorded using excitation wavelength of 530 nm and emission wavelength of 590 nm. Raw data were corrected for PrestoBlue background fluorescence and reported relative to the no-treatment control (cells with medium only). Data were fitted with Graphpad Prism software by nonlinear regression with 0 as constraint to obtain TC_{50} values. Presented data are based on triplicates and presented as mean ± SEM.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Ⓢ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsinfecdis.1c00318.

> Synthetic schemes and synthesis of all building blocks; analytical RP-HPLC traces, HRMS analysis and yields for all new compounds synthesized; additional MIC analysis of building blocks and control compounds; MIC analysis in μM; hemolytic and PTEC toxicity data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

  Nathaniel I. Martin – *Biological Chemistry Group, Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, 2333 BE, Leiden, The Netherlands;* Ⓞ orcid.org/0000-0001-8246-3006; Email: n.i.martin@biology.leidenuniv.nl

Authors

Emma van Groesen − *Biological Chemistry Group, Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, 2333 BE, Leiden, The Netherlands*

Cornelis J. Slingerland − *Biological Chemistry Group, Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, 2333 BE, Leiden, The Netherlands*

Paolo Innocenti − *Biological Chemistry Group, Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, 2333 BE, Leiden, The Netherlands*

Milos Mihajlovic − *Division of Pharmacology, Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CG, Utrecht, The Netherlands*

Rosalinde Masereeuw − *Division of Pharmacology, Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CG, Utrecht, The Netherlands;* ⊙ orcid.org/0000-0002-1560-1074

Complete contact information is available at:
https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.1c00318

Author Contributions

#These authors contributed equally to this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

◼ REFERENCES

(1) Pootoolal, J., Neu, J., and Wright, G. D. (2002) Glycopeptide Antibiotic Resistance. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 42* (1), 381−408.

(2) Walsh, C. T., Fisher, S. L., Park, I. S., Prahalad, M., and Wu, Z. (1996) Bacterial Resistance to Vancomycin: Five Genes and One Missing Hydrogen Bond Tell the Story. *Chem. Biol. 3* (1), 21−28.

(3) Beauregard, D. A., Williams, D. H., Gwynn, M. N., and Knowles, D. J. (1995) Dimerization and Membrane Anchors in Extracellular Targeting of Vancomycin Group Antibiotics. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39* (3), 781−785.

(4) Blaskovich, M. A. T., Hansford, K. A., Butler, M. S., Jia, Z., Mark, A. E., and Cooper, M. A. (2018) Developments in Glycopeptide Antibiotics. *ACS Infect. Dis. 4*, 715−735.

(5) Mackay, J. P., Gerhard, U., Beauregard, D. A., Williams, D. H., Westwell, M. S., and Searle, M. S. (1994) Glycopeptide Antibiotic Activity and the Possible Role of Dimerization: A Model for Biological Signaling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116* (11), 4581−4590.

(6) Shlaes, D. M., Shlaes, J. H., Davies, J., and Williamson, R. (1989) Escherichia Coli Susceptible to Glycopeptide Antibiotics. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 33* (2), 192−197.

(7) Henderson, J. C., Zimmerman, S. M., Crofts, A. A., Boll, J. M., Kuhns, L. G., Herrera, C. M., and Trent, M. S. (2016) The Power of Asymmetry: Architecture and Assembly of the Gram-Negative Outer Membrane Lipid Bilayer. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 70* (1), 255−278.

(8) Heesterbeek, D. A. C., Martin, N. I., Velthuizen, A., Duijst, M., Ruyken, M., Wubbolts, R., Rooijakkers, S. H. M., and Bardoel, B. W. (2019) Complement-Dependent Outer Membrane Perturbation Sensitizes Gram-Negative Bacteria to Gram-Positive Specific Antibiotics. *Sci. Rep. 9* (1), 3074.

(9) Stokes, J. M., MacNair, C. R., Ilyas, B., French, S., Côté, J.-P., Bouwman, C., Farha, M. A., Sieron, A. O., Whitfield, C., Coombes, B. K., and Brown, E. D. (2017) Pentamidine Sensitizes Gram-Negative Pathogens to Antibiotics and Overcomes Acquired Colistin Resistance. *Nat. Microbiol. 2*, 17028.

(10) Li, Q., Cebrián, R., Montalbán-López, M., Ren, H., Wu, W., and Kuipers, O. P. (2021) Outer-Membrane-Acting Peptides and Lipid II-Targeting Antibiotics Cooperatively Kill Gram-Negative Pathogens. *Commun. Biol. 4* (1), 31.

(11) Ghosh, M., Lin, Y.-M., Miller, P. A., Möllmann, U., Boggess, W. C., and Miller, M. J. (2018) Siderophore Conjugates of Daptomycin Are Potent Inhibitors of Carbapenem Resistant Strains of Acinetobacter Baumannii. *ACS Infect. Dis. 4* (10), 1529−1535.

(12) Li, X.-Z., Plésiat, P., and Nikaido, H. (2015) The Challenge of Efflux-Mediated Antibiotic Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 28* (2), 337−418.

(13) Zabawa, T. P., Pucci, M. J., Parr, T. R., and Lister, T. (2016) Treatment of Gram-Negative Bacterial Infections by Potentiation of Antibiotics. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 33*, 7−12.

(14) Ghosh, M., and Miller, M. J. (1996) Synthesis and in Vitro Antibacterial Activity of Spermidine-Based Mixed Catechol- and Hydroxamate-Containing Siderophore–Vancomycin Conjugates. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. 4* (1), 43−48.

(15) Sarkar, P., Samaddar, S., Ammanathan, V., Yarlagadda, V., Ghosh, C., Shukla, M., Kaul, G., Manjithaya, R., Chopra, S., and Haldar, J. (2020) Vancomycin Derivative Inactivates Carbapenem-Resistant Acinetobacter Baumannii and Induces Autophagy. *ACS Chem. Biol. 15* (4), 884−889.

(16) Neville, L. F., Shalit, I., Warn, P. A., Scheetz, M. H., Sun, J., Chosy, M. B., Wender, P. A., Cegelski, L., and Rendell, J. T. (2021) In Vivo Targeting of Escherichia Coli with Vancomycin-Arginine. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 65* (4), e02416−20.

(17) Antonoplis, A., Zang, X., Wegner, T., Wender, P. A., and Cegelski, L. (2019) Vancomycin-Arginine Conjugate Inhibits Growth of Carbapenem-Resistant E. Coli and Targets Cell-Wall Synthesis. *ACS Chem. Biol. 14* (9), 2065−2070.

(18) Vaara, M. (1992) Agents That Increase the Permeability of the Outer Membrane. *Microbiol. Rev. 56* (3), 395−411.

(19) Ofek, I., Cohen, S., Rahmani, R., Kabha, K., Tamarkin, D., Herzig, Y., and Rubinstein, E. (1994) Antibacterial Synergism of Polymyxin B Nonapeptide and Hydrophobic Antibiotics in Experimental Gram-Negative Infections in Mice. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 38* (2), 374−377.

(20) Velkov, T., Thompson, P. E., Nation, R. L., and Li, J. (2010) Structure–Activity Relationships of Polymyxin Antibiotics. *J. Med. Chem. 53* (5), 1898−1916.

(21) Chiharha, S., Ito, A., Yahata, M., Tobita, T., and Koyama, Y. (1974) Chemical Synthesis, Isolation and Characterization of α-N-Fattyacyl Colistin Nonapeptide with Special Reference to the Correlation between Antimicrobial Activity and Carbon Number of Fattyacyl Moiety. *Agric. Biol. Chem. 38* (3), 521−529.

(22) Keirstead, N. D., Wagoner, M. P., Bentley, P., Blais, M., Brown, C., Cheatham, L., Ciaccio, P., Dragan, Y., Ferguson, D., Fikes, J., Galvin, M., Gupta, A., Hale, M., Johnson, N., Luo, W., McGrath, F., Pietras, M., Price, S., Sathe, A. G., Sasaki, J. C., Snow, D., Walsky, R. L., and Kern, G. (2014) Early Prediction of Polymyxin-Induced Nephrotoxicity with next-Generation Urinary Kidney Injury Biomarkers. *Toxicol. Sci. 137* (2), 278−291.

(23) Vaara, M. (1983) Polymyxin B Nonapeptide Complexes with Lipopolysaccharide. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 18* (1−2), 117−121.

(24) Brandenburg, K., Moriyon, I., Arraiza, M. D., Lewark-Yvetot, G., Koch, M. H. J., and Seydel, U. (2002) Biophysical Investigations into the Interaction of Lipopolysaccharide with Polymyxins. *Thermochim. Acta 382* (1), 189−198.

(25) Domalaon, R., Idowu, T., Zhanel, G. G., and Schweizer, F. (2018) Antibiotic Hybrids: The Next Generation of Agents and Adjuvants against Gram-Negative Pathogens? *Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 31* (2), e00077−17.

(26) Duwe, A. K., Rupar, C. A., Horsman, G. B., and Vas, S. I. (1986) In Vitro Cytotoxicity and Antibiotic Activity of Polymyxin B Nonapeptide. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 30* (2), 340−341.

(27) Domalaon, R., Yang, X., Lyu, Y., Zhanel, G. G., and Schweizer, F. (2017) Polymyxin B(3)-Tobramycin Hybrids with Pseudomonas Aeruginosa-Selective Antibacterial Activity and Strong Potentiation of Rifampicin, Minocycline, and Vancomycin. *ACS Infect. Dis. 3* (12), 941−954.

(28) Luther, A., Urfer, M., Zahn, M., Müller, M., Wang, S.-Y., Mondal, M., Vitale, A., Hartmann, J.-B., Sharpe, T., Monte, F. Lo, Kocherla, H., Cline, E., Pessi, G., Rath, P., Modaresi, S. M., Chiquet, P., Stiegeler, S., Verbree, C., Remus, T., Schmitt, M., Kolopp, C., Westwood, M.-A., Desjonquères, N., Brabet, E., Hell, S., LePoupon, K., Vermeulen, A., Jaisson, R., Rithié, V., Upert, G., Lederer, A., Zbinden, P., Wach, A., Moehle, K., Zerbe, K., Locher, H. H., Bernardini, F., Dale, G. E., Eberl, L., Wollscheid, B., Hiller, S., Robinson, J. A., and Obrecht, D. (2019) Chimeric Peptidomimetic Antibiotics against Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Nature* 576 (7787), 452−458.

(29) Guan, D., Chen, F., Qiu, Y., Jiang, B., Gong, L., Lan, L., and Huang, W. (2019) Sulfonium, an Underestimated Moiety for Structural Modification, Alters the Antibacterial Profile of Vancomycin Against Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 58 (20), 6678−6682.

(30) Shi, W., Chen, F., Zou, X., Jiao, S., Wang, S., Hu, Y., Lan, L., Tang, F., and Huang, W. (2021) Design, Synthesis, and Antibacterial Evaluation of Vancomycin-LPS Binding Peptide Conjugates. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 45, 128122.

(31) Yarlagadda, V., Akkapeddi, P., Manjunath, G. B., and Haldar, J. (2014) Membrane Active Vancomycin Analogues: A Strategy to Combat Bacterial Resistance. *J. Med. Chem.* 57 (11), 4558−4568.

(32) Okano, A., Isley, N. A., and Boger, D. L. (2017) Peripheral Modifications of [Ψ[CH2NH]Tpg4]Vancomycin with Added Synergistic Mechanisms of Action Provide Durable and Potent Antibiotics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 5052−5061.

(33) Blaskovich, M. A. T., Hansford, K. A., Gong, Y., Butler, M. S., Muldoon, C., Huang, J. X., Ramu, S., Silva, A. B., Cheng, M., Kavanagh, A. M., Ziora, Z., Premraj, R., Lindahl, F., Bradford, T. A., Lee, J. C., Karoli, T., Pelingon, R., Edwards, D. J., Amado, M., Elliott, A. G., Phetsang, W., Daud, N. H., Deecke, J. E., Sidjabat, H. E., Ramaologa, S., Zuegg, J., Betley, J. R., Beevers, A. P. G., Smith, R. A. G., Roberts, J. A., Paterson, D. L., and Cooper, M. A. (2018) Protein-Inspired Antibiotics Active against Vancomycin- and Daptomycin-Resistant Bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (1), 1−17.

(34) Wu, Z.-C., Cameron, M. D., and Boger, D. L. (2020) Vancomycin C-Terminus Guanidine Modifications and Further Insights into an Added Mechanism of Action Imparted by a Peripheral Structural Modification. *ACS Infect. Dis.* 6 (8), 2169−2180.

(35) Sarkar, P., Basak, D., Mukherjee, R., Bandow, J. E., and Haldar, J. Alkyl-Aryl-Vancomycins: Multimodal Glycopeptides with Weak Dependence on the Bacterial Metabolic State. *J. Med. Chem.* 202164 (14), 10185−10202.

(36) Rostovtsev, V. V., Green, L. G., Fokin, V. V., and Sharpless, K. B. (2002) A Stepwise Huisgen Cycloaddition Process: Copper(I)-Catalyzed Regioselective "Ligation" of Azides and Terminal Alkynes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 41 (14), 2596−2599.

(37) Tornøe, C. W., Christensen, C., and Meldal, M. (2002) Peptidotriazoles on Solid Phase: [1,2,3]-Triazoles by Regiospecific Copper(I)-Catalyzed 1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions of Terminal Alkynes to Azides. *J. Org. Chem.* 67 (9), 3057−3064.

(38) Silverman, S. M., Moses, J. E., and Sharpless, K. B. (2017) Reengineering Antibiotics to Combat Bacterial Resistance: Click Chemistry [1,2,3]-Triazole Vancomycin Dimers with Potent Activity against MRSA and VRE. *Chem. - Eur. J.* 23 (1), 79−83.

(39) O'Dowd, H., Kim, B., Margolis, P., Wang, W., Wu, C., Lopez, S. L., and Blais, J. (2007) Preparation of Tetra-Boc-Protected Polymyxin B Nonapeptide. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 48 (11), 2003−2005.

(40) Chevalier, S., Bouffartigues, E., Bodilis, J., Maillot, O., Lesouhaitier, O., Feuilloley, M. G. J., Orange, N., Dufour, A., and Cornelis, P. (2017) Structure, Function and Regulation of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Porins. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 41 (5), 698−722.

(41) Moore, R. A., Bates, N. C., and Hancock, R. E. (1986) Interaction of Polycationic Antibiotics with Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Lipopolysaccharide and Lipid A Studied by Using Dansyl-Polymyxin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 29 (3), 496−500.

(42) Viljanen, P., and Vaara, M. (1984) Susceptibility of Gram-Negative Bacteria to Polymyxin B Nonapeptide. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 25 (6), 701−705.

(43) Healy, V. L., Lessard, I. A., Roper, D. I., Knox, J. R., and Walsh, C. T. (2000) Vancomycin Resistance in Enterococci: Reprogramming of the D-Ala-D-Ala Ligases in Bacterial Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis. *Chem. Biol.* 7, 109−119.

(44) Arnusch, C. J., Bonvin, A. M. J. J., Verel, A. M., Jansen, W. T. M., Liskamp, R. M. J., de Kruijff, B., Pieters, R. J., and Breukink, E. (2008) The Vancomycin−Nisin(1−12) Hybrid Restores Activity against Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci. *Biochemistry* 47 (48), 12661−12663.

(45) van Hal, S. J., Paterson, D. L., and Lodise, T. P. (2013) Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Vancomycin-Induced Nephrotoxicity Associated with Dosing Schedules That Maintain Troughs between 15 and 20 mg per Liter. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 57 (2), 734−744.

(46) Azad, M. A. K., Roberts, K. D., Yu, H. H., Liu, B., Schofield, A. V., James, S. A., Howard, D. L., Nation, R. L., Rogers, K., de Jonge, M. D., Thompson, P. E., Fu, J., Velkov, T., and Li, J. (2015) Significant Accumulation of Polymyxin in Single Renal Tubular Cells: A Medicinal Chemistry and Triple Correlative Microscopy Approach. *Anal. Chem.* 87 (3), 1590−1595.

(47) Sakamoto, Y., Yano, T., Hanada, Y., Takeshita, A., Inagaki, F., Masuda, S., Matsunaga, N., Koyanagi, S., and Ohdo, S. (2017) Vancomycin Induces Reactive Oxygen Species-Dependent Apoptosis via Mitochondrial Cardiolipin Peroxidation in Renal Tubular Epithelial Cells. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 800, 48−56.

(48) Jansen, J., Schophuizen, C. M. S., Wilmer, M. J., Lahham, S. H. M., Mutsaers, H. A. M., Wetzels, J. F. M., Bank, R. A., van den Heuvel, L. P., Hoenderop, J. G., and Masereeuw, R. (2014) A Morphological and Functional Comparison of Proximal Tubule Cell Lines Established from Human Urine and Kidney Tissue. *Exp. Cell Res.* 323 (1), 87−99.

(49) Nieskens, T. T. G., Peters, J. G. P., Schreurs, M. J., Smits, N., Woestenenk, R., Jansen, K., van der Made, T. K., Röring, M., Hilgendorf, C., Wilmer, M. J., and Masereeuw, R. (2016) A Human Renal Proximal Tubule Cell Line with Stable Organic Anion Transporter 1 and 3 Expression Predictive for Antiviral-Induced Toxicity. *AAPS J.* 18 (2), 465−475.