Antifungal versus antibacterial defence of insect wings
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HIGHLIGHTS

- We present a novel concept of dual defence against surface colonisation of insect wings by bacteria and fungi.
- The antifouling and antibacterial properties of insect wings can be attributed to the unique combination of nanoscale structures on the wing surface.
- The robust fungal-repelling properties of damselfly wing surfaces were demonstrated to arise from the presence of entrapped air, facilitated by the surface nano-topography.
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ABSTRACT

Hypothesis: The ability exhibited by insect wings to resist microbial infestation is a unique feature developed over 400 million years of evolution in response to lifestyle and environmental pressures. The self-cleaning and antimicrobial properties of insect wings may be attributed to the unique combination of nanoscale structures found on the wing surface.

Experiments: In this study, we characterised the wetting characteristics of superhydrophobic damselfly Calopteryx haemorrhoidalis wings. We revealed the details of air entrapment at the micro- and nano scales on damselfly wing surfaces using a combination of spectroscopic and electron microscopic techniques. Cryo-focused-ion-beam scanning electron microscopy was used to directly observe fungal spores and conidia that were unable to cross the air–liquid interface. By contrast, bacterial cells were able to cross the air–water interface to be ruptured upon attachment to the nanopillar surface. The robustness of the air entrapment, and thus the wing antifungal behaviour, was demonstrated after 1-week of water immersion. A newly developed wetting model confirmed the strict Cassie-Baxter wetting regime when damselfly wings are immersed in water.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2021.06.093
0021-9797/© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
Findings: We provide evidence that the surface nanopillar topography serves to resist both fungal and bacterial attachment via a dual action: repulsion of fungal conidia while simultaneously killing bacterial cells upon direct contact. These findings will play an important role in guiding the fabrication of biomimetic, anti-fouling surfaces that exhibit both bactericidal and anti-fungal properties.

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Lifestyle and environmental pressures determine the unique features of the wing topography in flying insects [1,2]. Large-winged insects require self-cleaning wings with reduced wettability to decrease the consumption of inertial power during flight take-off. Their surface characteristics can be correlated to their ability to resist contamination and prevention of wetting of the wing surfaces [2]. This is especially important, since large-winged insects such as dragonflies, damselflies and cicadas cannot clean their wings by any physical manner. As such, insect wings have inspired investigation into the principles and application of

Fig. 1. Physical characterisation of the black damselfly C. haemorrhoidalis and two fungi. (Panel A) Photograph of the male black damselfly C. haemorrhoidalis and top-view and tilted (45°) SEM micrographs showing the self-organised free-standing and clustered nanopillar arrays on wing surfaces. The nanopillar array is present on both sides of the wing epicuticle (blue arrows). (Panel B) Photographs and SEM micrographs of A. brasiliensis. A. brasiliensis colonies grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) possess the characteristic brown-black coloured conidiophores, and under high magnification, the slim branching filaments of the hyphae with the conidiophore producing the asexual conidia are shown. (Panel C) Photographs and SEM micrographs of E. nigrum grown on PDA and top-view low and high magnification SEM micrographs of the fungal conidia and hyphae of both species on control surfaces (from left to right). E. nigrum fungi yield a felt-like yellow and black colonies on PDA and possess a warty, fibrous hyphae with blastoconidium arising from a sporodochium (SEM images). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
aerodynamics, hydrodynamics, and importantly, self-cleaning effects of such surfaces [3]. Numerous biomimetic surfaces have been fabricated to take advantage of the naturally occurring self-cleaning properties of these topographies to achieve stable water-repellent, self-cleaning surfaces [4–6].

While investigating the antibacterial effects of insect wings, which have been proposed to resist bacterial attachment due to their superhydrophobicity, the nanopillar array on the surface of insect wings was found to play a role in the mechanical killing of bacterial cells that encounter the surface by the nanostructure-induced lysis [7–9]. It was initially observed for cicada wings immersed for an extended period (24 h) in bacterial suspension, that the wings were not able to effectively repel the contacting cells. All attached bacterial cells were found to be dead, ruptured by a later-reported mechano-bactericidal mechanism [9]. The wings of other insect species, including dragonflies and damselflies, also displayed substantial bactericidal activity [3,7,8]. While the antibacterial effects of insect wings have been thoroughly investigated [3,7,8,10], their mechanism of defence against fungal contamination remains unclear.

Fungal contamination of surfaces leads to persistent problems in industry, transport, and everyday life [11,12]. They are known to colonize a wide range of surfaces, including paint coatings, cellulose-based materials, metals, and concrete structures [13–15]. For example, fungal biofilms are responsible for the effects of corrosion and fouling on the fuel efficiency of ships [16]. For antibiofouling applications, robust superhydrophobicity seems to be crucial for maintaining a continuous ability to repel fungi from the surface. Recently, there has been significant interest in surfaces that do not just exhibit ‘slip’ behaviour, where water condensation or droplets can slide off a surface, but in surfaces that also remain superhydrophobic when fully immersed in water, i.e., for application in microfluidic systems [17,18]. For example, Lee & Hwang recently fabricated a superhydrophobic aluminium surface that could reduce fungal contamination of industrial brazed aluminium heat exchangers [19]. The superhydrophobic surface was generated by the growth of hierarchical micro-nanostructures and the subsequent application of a hydrophobic polymer coating. Although fungal colonisation occurred on the treated heat exchangers and non-treated BAHEs in the same manner, the presence of surface superhydrophobicity, based on a combination of surface architecture and chemistry, allowed for the efficient self-cleaning of these substrates.

In the context of biomedical applications, including implantable devices, numerous different types of antibacterial surfaces have been developed [20–22], yet filamentous fungi are also an important, but often overlooked, component of hospital acquired infections [23]. The incident of serious, life-threatening fungal infections has increased substantially in recent years. Almost a decade ago, an editorial published in the journal Science aimed to lift awareness of the scale of the threat to human health posed by fungi, and the urgent need to find new avenues for the treatment and prevention of fungal disease [24].

Therefore, the aim of this work was to understand the mechanism by which insect wings can prevent fungal colonisation. Herein, we assessed the antifungal properties of the wings of the damselfly Calopteryx haemorrhoidalis using two species of filamentous fungi, Aspergillus brasiliensis ATCC 9642 and Epicoccum nigrum ATCC 9348. Analyses of optical images and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs were used to characterise the interactions of fungal conidia and the damselfly wings used in this study (Fig. 1). The surface wetting behaviour and the antifungal properties of the wing surfaces were investigated using advanced imaging techniques, such as cryo-SEM and cryo-focused-ion-beam (FIB) milling, to visualise the air–water–nanopillar interface and the water–air-nanopillar-fungi biointerface. Here, we present the first demonstration of the role of the wing nanopillar topography in resisting fungal conidia attachment.

### 2. Materials and methods

Chloroform ≥ 99% purity, anhydrous absolute ethanol ≥ 99.0% purity were purchased from Merck (Merck Pty Ltd., Australia). 25% glutaraldehyde grade 1, in H₂O, specially purified for use as an electron microscopy fixative was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich Pty Ltd, Australia).

#### 2.1. Damselfly wing preparation

*C. haemorrhoidalis* is a species of damselfly that is known to inhabit clear European streams and rivers in the Western Mediterranean area [25]. For distinction purposes, the wings of mature male damselfly of the species *C. haemorrhoidalis* are dark brown, while the female damselfly wings are lightly coloured and translucent. Mature male *C. haemorrhoidalis* damselfly specimens were collected from the Els Ports region situated at the borders of Catalonia, Valencia, and Aragon. The membrane of the damselfly wings was dissected into square sections on the dorsal side of the forewing, approximately 5 × 5 mm² in size, using a surgical blade. The wing samples were then briefly rinsed with Milli-Q H₂O (resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹, Millipore, U.S.A.) and finally blow-dried using 99.99% purity nitrogen gas, as described elsewhere [26].

The wings were de-waxed by immersing them in chloroform for 30 min and then rinsing thoroughly with Milli-Q water. Wings were damaged by pressing the surfaces with a blunt object to flatten the pillars.

#### 2.2. Fungal growth

Two fungal species were used in this study, *A. brasiliensis* ATCC 9642 and *E. nigrum* ATCC 9348. *A. brasiliensis* and *E. nigrum* both grow aerobically on organic matter and can survive across a wide temperature range of 6–47°C [27] (Figure S1). These strains were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, Virginia, USA). The fungi were grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA, BD Difco™, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), supplemented by fine particles of wood chips. Potato dextrose agar plates were incubated at 25°C in dark conditions for 10–14 days, and aerial conidia were harvested by flooding a plate with sterile Milli-Q water. Conidia suspensions were filtered through a single layer of Micracloth™ (Merck Pty Ltd., Australia), and spore concentrations were determined by direct count using a haemocytometer. The desired concentration was diluted to obtain 1 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹.

Solutions of fungal conidia suspensions in Milli-Q water (1–3 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹) were used to assess the adhesion of fungal conidia to damselfly wing surfaces. Wing surfaces with dimensions of 0.5 × 0.5 cm² were placed in sterile 24-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), and then submerged in 3 ml of *A. brasiliensis* and *E. nigrum* conidia suspensions, respectively. Intact damselfly wings and control surfaces were incubated at 25°C in dark conditions for periods of 1, 3, 7 and 14 days. Conidia attachment and fungal growth were compared between intact, de-waxed and damaged (scratched) damselfly wings.

After incubation, samples were removed and washed 3 × with 1 ml of Milli-Q H₂O. Wing surfaces were then fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 40 min. Following fixation, the wings were submerged in Milli-Q H₂O and frozen at −20°C overnight. The frozen wing samples were then lyophilised (Freeze Dryer Alpha 1–2
LDPlus, CHRIST) and sputtered with a thin film of gold for SEM imaging.

2.3. Wettability

The surface wettability of the wings and the fungal conidia was examined by measuring the water contact angle (WCA), using the sessile drop method, at the point prior to droplet elongation as the tip was drawn away from the surface. The contact angle measurements were carried out in air using an FTA1000C equipped with a nanodispenser (First Ten Ångstroms, Inc., Portsmouth, VA, USA). Seventeen measurements were taken across the entire surface of each damselfly wing and directly on the fungal colonies (Figure S2).

2.4. Bacterial growth

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 9721 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and stored at −80°C until required. Bacteria were refreshed on nutrient agar (Oxoid, Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd, Australia) for 24 h at 37°C and then sub-cultured a further two times prior to SEM and confocal laser scanning microscopy experiments.

2.5. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)

*C. haemorrhoidalis* damselfly wings were incubated for 24 h with a suspension of *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 9721 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) at 25°C. Bacterial suspensions were prepared by dispersing 1 loopful of bacteria in PBS and diluting until an optical density (OD600nm) of 0.1 was achieved using a Halo RB-10 UV–VIS spectrophotometer (Dynamica Scientific Ltd, U.K.). Whole wings were mounted on the bottom of a 35 mm glass-bottomed Petri dish using Kapton® tape (ProSciTech Pty Ltd, Australia) and 3 mL of the bacterial suspension was used to cover the entire wing. Prior to CLSM, the bacterial suspension was removed, and the attached bacteria were dyed using a LIVE/DEAD BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit (Invitrogen, Molecular Probes, U.S.A.) (including SYTO 9® and propidium iodide). The wing samples were then rinsed and re-submerged in 3 mL of PBS and viewed using ZEISS LSM 880 Airyscan upright microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Germany) in reflectance imaging mode with a 20× Plan-apochromat water immersion objective.

2.6. Cryo-SEM

Prior to the cryo-SEM imaging, insect wings submerged in water for 1-day and 1-week, respectively, were attached to a SEM copper stage using a Tissue-Tek glue (Sakura Finetek, Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands). The wetted wings were then manually plunge-frozen into liquid N2 and transferred into the cryostage pre-chamber, Alto 2500 (Gatan, U.K.) at −140°C, before being sublimed at −95°C for 3 min to remove free water molecules. Samples were then coated with 5 nm Au/Pd at −140°C. After Au/Pd coatings, samples were transferred into the SEM stage at −140°C. Samples were then imaged using Quanta 200F SEM (FEI, Hillsboro, OR) at 10 kV.

The water condensation experiments were also carried out using the Quanta 200F SEM. The electron beam energy and current of 5 keV and 0.019 nA, respectively, were used. Water condensation was achieved by decreasing the sample temperature below the saturation temperature 0–10°C corresponding to the environmental-SEM (ESEM) chamber vapor pressure of 700–1300 Pa (5–10 Torr). The condensing droplets were imaged every ~1 s and corresponding images were captured with a 1 Hz frequency.

2.7. Cryo-FIB-SEM

For cryo-preservation, damselfly wings were trimmed to a size of 1–2 mm² and clamped between a double grid (Oyster) GD50/50 (EMS, U.S.A.). The specimens were placed into a Vitrobot Mk IV chamber (FEI, US) set to 100% humidity and 25°C and after a 1-minute incubation, automatically plunged into a reservoir with liquid ethane. The frozen insect wings were then transferred into liquid nitrogen and to a sample cryo-manipulation system (EM VCM, Leica Microsystems, Germany), and kept submerged in liquid nitrogen. The specimen was moved to the high vacuum coater (EM ACE600, Leica Microsystems, Germany) using a vacuum cryo-transfer system (EM VCT500, Leica Microsystems, Germany). The insect wings were then e-beam coated with a ~10 nm thick layer of platinum, to prevent water ice charging-up during the later SEM imaging and ion-beam milling stages. The coated specimen was transferred to a FIB-SEM (Helios G4 UX, Thermo Fisher Scientific (FEI), U.S.A.) equipped with a custom built cryo-stage (Leica Microsystems, Germany) using the VCT500. Condensed water droplets were imaged under high vacuum using cryo-SEM at 1–5 kV using the secondary electron (SE) detector in immersion mode while FIB set to 30 kV was used to mill cross-sections, in order to reveal the interfaces between water droplets and the insect wing surface.

2.8. Raman spectroscopy

Detection of air entrapment at nano- and micro-scale was performed using a Raman micro-spectrometer (WITEC, Ulm, Germany) with a laser wavelength of 532 nm (hv = 2.33 eV). A water-immersion 60× magnification objective lens (numerical aperture = 0.9) was employed to map the water distribution over the nanopillar array. The displacement of the objective lens between the focal plane of the wing surface and the water layer, which were based on the characteristic peak intensity for C–C bonds (aromatic rings in melanin) and C–O bonds (covalent bonds in water), was used to estimate the thickness of the air blanket. A grid of 100 spectra × 100 spectra was acquired for a 10×5 μm² scanning area, with an integration time of 1 s per spectrum. Scanning was performed on three different areas of every sample immediately after initial water-immersion at room temperature.

2.9. SEM

High-resolution SEM images of native wing surfaces, fungal conidia, and wing surfaces with attached conidia were obtained at 20,000, 60,000, and 100,000 magnifications using the SEM capabilities of the electron beam lithography tool (RAITH150 Two, Raith GmbH, Germany) at 5 kV. Wing samples were coated with thin gold films of 7.5 nm in thickness using a MP-19020NCTR NeoCoater (JEOL Ltd, Japan), before viewing with the microscope. The height of nanopillars was determined by tilting the samples at a 45° angle.

Nanopillar width and tip diameters were measured manually using ImageJ software (version 1.50i), an automated approach was found to be unsuitable because of the natural bending and clustering of the nanopillars. To obtain pillar density measurements using ImageJ, the colour threshold for binary SEM images (Figure S4) was adjusted and the particles were analysed. Particles with a diameter below 30 nm were removed as artefacts. The nanostructure density, inclusive of free-standing and clustering nanofeatures, was determined using measurements taken over six regions, 1.5 μm × 2 μm in area, on each of the wing surfaces of the damselfly.
2.10. Bouncing droplet behaviour

Bouncing water droplets were studied using a Phantom v2512 high-speed camera at 28,000 fps and 768 × 768 resolution. Droplets were released 13 mm above the wing surfaces at room temperature using an FTA1000c equipped with a nanodispenser (First Ten Ångstroms, Inc., U.S.A.). The water droplet volume used was 9.6 ± 0.8 μl, with a diameter of approximately 2.6 ± 0.08 mm. The droplet bouncing behaviour was characterized as ‘pancake’ or conventional bouncing. This was determined by calculating the ratio (Q) between the lateral extension diameter of the droplet as it detached from the surface (djump) and the maximum diameter of the water droplet on the surface (dmax), where Q > 0.8 indicated a pancake bounce. The Weber number was determined for each sample using the equation We = ρv^2r_0^2/γ, where ρ is the water density, assumed as 997 kg m⁻³, v is the impact velocity, r_0 is the droplet radius (1.3 mm), and γ is the surface tension of the droplet, accepted as 7.2 × 10⁻⁴ N m⁻¹. For all our experiments, it was 9.26 ± 0.27.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physico-chemical characterization of C. Haemorrhoidalis damselfly wings

SEM was used to analyse the C. haemorrhoidalis wing topography. The wing epicuticle was characterised by a disordered distribution of nanopillars with mean height of 433 ± 71 nm, diameters of 48 ± 11 nm and interpillar spacing of 116 ± 40 nm. The C. haemorrhoidalis damselfly wings were found to be superhydrophobic, exhibiting apparent WCAs (θ°) across the wing surface ranging from 155° to 170° (Fig. 2A). The superhydrophobicity of the wing surface may be attributed to the wing epicuticle structure (which possesses a nanopillar array) and the chemical composition of the epicuticle on the wing membrane [1], shown in Figure S3. Briefly, synchrotron-sourced infrared imaging of the C. haemorrhoidalis wings revealed the typical components of the surface wax. These includedamide bands that are indicative of the protein and chitin components of the wing, and characteristic peaks corresponding to melanin (see Supplementary Results). The outer layer of the insect wings, known as the cuticulin, has a strong affinity for the oriented polar groups of the wax layer, therefore the non-polar ends of the long-chain hydrocarbons tend to orient away from the surface [28]. To determine the contribution of the epicuticle structure to the wing superhydrophobicity, de-waxed wings were used as the non-structured control surfaces since they lack any nanopillars on the wing membrane. The moderate hydrophobicity (114 ± 8°) of the ‘de-waxed’ wings can only be attributed to the lipid content of the inner cuticle layers (chitin) following the ‘stripping’ of the outer cuticle and the nanopillar structures. This observation agrees with that reported in previously published data on the contact angle of flat chitin sheets [29].

Raman analysis (Fig. 2B) of water-immersed damselfly wings confirmed the presence of a large air cushion, as observed in the photographs in Fig. 2A. Peaks at 1380 and 1580 cm⁻¹ indicate the n-band and G-band of carbon compounds, respectively, resulting from the combination of N–H bending and C–N stretching of melanin, attributed to the wing surface [30]. As the z-height was increased, all signals for C–C bonds were lost (0 CCD counts), indicative of the air layer, before the peak corresponding to water (O–H bonds) at 3430 cm⁻¹ appeared. The peak located at 3430 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the Raman scattering of partly hydrogen-bonded water. The thickness of the air layer after initial submersion was measured to be approximately 300 μm. This air cushion was observable for more than two weeks, although it became noticeably thinner over this period (Figure S4).

3.2. Assessment of antifungal behaviour of Calopteryx haemorrhoidalis wings

The initial step in fungal fouling involves the attachment of the fungal conidia to the biotic or abiotic surface (Figure S1) [31]. Fungal conidia and aerial hyphae are encased by a hydrophobic protein coat [32,33] aiding their dispersal on the surface of water droplets [34]. Thus, fungal fouling is closely associated with wetting phenomena in the solid-water-biological matter system. The WCA, hydrophobicity index and zeta potential of A. brasiliensis and E. nigrum conidia, respectively, are shown in Figure S2 and Table S1. Here, we utilized the spores and conidia of A. brasiliensis and E. nigrum to investigate their interactions with damselfly wing surfaces during water submersion.

The dynamic interactions of environmental fungi E. nigrum and A. brasiliensis conidia with the damselfly wings were studied over the course of 1, 3- and 7-days. Analyses of SEM images revealed that the conidia and spores of both fungal strains were unable to colonize the wing surfaces after 7-days incubation (Fig. 2C, Figure S5). By contrast, the de-waxed wings, used as control surfaces, were successfully colonized by fungal conidia and spores after just 1 day of incubation (Fig. 2C).

Direct contact between the wing surface and conidia, achieved by pressing the wing to the conidial lawn, resulted in widely varied attachment patterns (Figure S6). Intact wings (no visible surface damage) resisted colonization by A. brasiliensis spores whereas those wings with surface defects incurred 5.5-fold increase in attachment (Figure S6). This agrees with the observations made for the attachment of fungal conidia and spores to wing surfaces during liquid submersion. Thus, in both air and liquid submersion conditions, it is hypothesized that repulsion of fungal conidia/spore attachment may stem from a combination of surface chemistry and surface topography where (in liquid conditions) air entrapment does not allow fungal contact with the wing membrane and dually, a reduction in the amount of ‘favorable’ surface area for attachment.

In contrast, after incubation of the wings in physiological suspension containing P. aeruginosa bacteria for 1 week, bacterial cells attached to the surface were detected but were observed to be mechanically lysed [8] (Fig. 3A). Bacteria were demonstrated to escape the liquid phase and cross the air–water interface and the thick air-cushion to successfully attach onto the wing surface (where they were subsequently ruptured and killed by the action of the surface nanopillars [9]). CLSM in reflectance imaging mode revealed the presence of dead bacteria (stained red by membrane impermeable propidium iodide (PI)) directly underneath the areas of the membrane covered with air after only 24 h of incubation in PBS (Fig. 3B). Thus, bacterial cells were observed to attach to the surface, and die, beneath layers of air, indicating that bacteria can cross the air–water interface. Air bubbles are observed in the merged bright field image being released from the surface.

When considering a colloidal suspension in an aqueous medium, the total forces interacting on a suspended colloid near the air–water interface are governed by van der Waals, electrostatic, and hydrophobic forces [35]. Van der Waals forces are repulsive because the air–water interface is negatively charged. However, this electrostatic repulsion can be overcome due to the hydrophobic interactions taking place at the air–water interface. Thermodynamically, the air–water interface is an energetically favorable space for colloids in the micron-size range (such as bacteria) compared to other domains within the bulk of the suspension [36]. If the colloidal particle or air–water interface is in motion, additional inertial forces come into play [36]. If the net force inter-
Fig. 2. Fungi-repelling wing surfaces of black damselfly *C. haemorrhoidalis*. (A) WCA measurements taken from different areas of the wing surface in air, as indicated by the red arrows. Photograph and schematic representation of the air entrapment on the surface of damselfly wings during liquid submersion. (B) Raman spectroscopy analysis of the air entrapment on the wing surface following full wing submersion in water, as visualised in the photograph in A. The distribution maps show retained air, depicted by black (0 ccd counts) and either wing or water as indicated by strong signal (3079 ccd counts) for C–C bonds and O–H bonds, respectively. The corresponding spectra are shown alongside. The scale bar is 1 μm. The depth of each scan was approximately 10 μm. (C) SEM micrographs of intact and de-waxed wing surfaces after 1-week and 1-day of incubation with *A. brasiliensis* spores and *E. nigrum* conidia, respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
acting on a colloid approaching the air–water interface are positive, then the colloid will attach to and penetrate the interface, forming a three-phase contact line [37]. These principles also govern the interactions between bacteria and air–water interfaces [38,39]. Bacteria that collect at the air–water interface can be expected to alter the interfacial tension through the production of surface-active agents such as surfactants (low molecular weight amphipathic compounds) and may enable bacterial cells to land on the nanopillars.

To confirm and visualise the air layer between the nanoscale pillars on the wing surfaces and to assess the stability of the air entrapment, the wings were retained in water for 1 day and 1 week and then plunge-frozen for cryo-SEM (Fig. 4A, B). In Fig. 4A, no frozen liquid layer is visible on the wing surface following submersion in water for 24 h. Thus, no liquid droplets can be retained on the surface upon removal from the liquid medium after water submersion for 1 day. However, after prolonged submersion in water (1 week) the air layer was reduced in volume and thickness; a frozen water layer can be observed covering the wing membranes, adhering to the intersecting veins (Fig. 4B). The layer of vitrified water was found to behave as a blanket lying atop a cushion of air. The ‘breaks’ in the water blanket (Fig. 4B inset) are most likely due to the variation in height distribution of the nanopillar array, where taller nanopillars facilitate air entrapment of varying thickness. Retention of the wing in an inoculating media of PBS containing both P. aeruginosa and fungal conidia for 1 week resulted in the observance of bacterial cells attached to the nanopillar topography, surrounded by the frozen water blanket (Fig. 4C); however, conidia attachment was not detected.

Investigation of fungal conidia attempting to land on the damselfly wing surfaces was also performed using cryo-SEM. Both A. brasilensis and E. nigrum were found to be trapped at the liquid–air interface, as shown in Fig. 4D and E, respectively. Therefore, the air–liquid interface is demonstrated to play an important role in inhibition of fungal fouling. Comparative analyses of fungal attachment to damaged (scratched) wing surfaces further confirmed the results obtained for dewaxed wing surfaces. In SEM images (Figure S4), the germination of the spores and conidia and production of hyphae was associated with hyphae being suspended above the wing surface, until contact is made only on a damaged section of the wing, where a surface scratch is obvious. These observations confirm that fungal conidia were physically unable to contact the wing membrane, unless there is some surface defect present that has removed some of the nanopillar array, or they can attach to the more hydrophilic areas of the wing, i.e., the veins.

Superhydrophobic surfaces can also cause water droplets, encountering the surface, to bounce. These droplets can undergo a phenomenon known as the ‘pancake’ bouncing [40]. Pancake bouncing occurs as droplets flatten and spread on impact with the surface before lifting off in a flattened pancake-like shape. Droplets are classified by the Q ratio, which is the ratio between the lateral extension diameter of the droplet as it detaches from the surface (d_jump) and the maximum diameter of water droplet on the surface (d_max). Q > 0.8 indicates a pancake bounce [40]. Here, we analysed the bouncing droplet behaviour with and without fungal conidia being present on the wings of C. haemorrhoidalis, as shown in Fig. 5A, Figure S8). The total contact time of the bouncing droplet was found to be 2.0 ± 1.1 s (Supplementary methods). The surface tension of a droplet can be changed by the presence of surface active amphiphilic compounds such as surfactants [41]. Therefore, the change in surface tension of a water droplet due to a high concentration of fungi was tested. However, no observable difference in contact time was able to be detected between the droplets with, or without, conidia. By contrast, the contact angle of both nutrient media and PBS solutions were substantially altered by bacterial growth at 37°C for 24 h that effectively reduced surface tension by approximately 5 times. Nutrient broth
and PBS solutions exhibited contact angles of 144 ± 7° and 161 ± 6°, respectively on the wing surfaces. These values reduced to 98 ± 5° and 125 ± 2° for nutrient broth and PBS, respectively, in the presence of bacterial growth (Fig. 6G). Thus, these results confirm our hypothesis that bacteria collecting at the air–water interface on superhydrophobic surfaces can alter the interfacial tension; however, penetration and crossing of the air–water interface by the bacteria still require expenditure of mechanical energy despite a decrease in interfacial tension, and the mechanism of such a cross-over requires further detailed investigation.

3.3. The micro-nanoscale air-entrainment on the Calopteryx haemorrhoidalis wing

The superhydrophobic properties of the damselfly wings could be further characterised using environmental SEM. Here, in situ imaging of micron-sized water droplets (1 – 5 μm) condensing on the surfaces of the wings was observed (Fig. 5C). In general, there are four known stages of water condensation on any solid interface: nucleation, alignment, deformation and coalescence [42]. Water droplets nucleating on the surface of the wing membrane were observed to migrate towards the vein, where they aligned to form a three-phase contact line between the meniscus and the vein. Finally, the droplets were observed to deform and bridge by coalescence as they gathered more water and moved along the length of the vein. Water condensation was found to be inhibited on the epicuticle of the wing due to the presence of the nanopillar arrays that entrapped a consistent air layer, forcing the droplet to sit atop the pillars. These observations allowed us to assume that the veins of the wings, primarily made up of chitin and proteins, were more hydrophilic than the wing epicuticle itself and, therefore, act as the boundaries for the air pockets that lie atop the nanopillars (Fig. 5B).

The air cushion that sits atop the cuticle of insect wings can be easily visualised, which has enabled it to be observed as a homogenous layer sitting across the wing surface, yet the specific nanoscale geometry of the air-layer has yet to be revealed. Therefore, to visualise the air-entrainment between the nanopillars, water condensation on the wing surface was vitrified and the droplet-nanopillar interface was investigated using Cryo-FIB-SEM (Fig. 6). As seen in Fig. 5C, condensing water droplets will preferentially migrate towards the hydrophilic wing veins; however, using this technique, the travelling water droplets could be captured in a frozen state on the wing membrane surface. Milling of the interface revealed the presence of air, observed as the dark contrast between the nanopillars on the wing membrane (Fig. 6B).

Assuming that the nanopillar pattern present on the surface of dragonfly wings is formed by cylindrical nanopillars with a height $h$, radius $r$ and interpillar distance $d$, we can estimate the Laplace pressure $\Delta p$ of air pockets trapped between pillars according to the original Cassie-Baxter model (Fig. 6D) [43]. The free energy of infinitesimal variation of height of the boundary between air and liquid is given by

$$dF = -\Delta pdV + \gamma_{lv}Al + \gamma_{lv}dlA_{ld} - \gamma_{sl}dA_{sl} = 0$$

where the $\gamma_{lv}$ is the surface tension between air and liquid, $\gamma_{lv}$ is the interfacial tension between solid (pillar surface) and liquid and $\gamma_{sl}$ is the surface tension between solid and air; the $A_{ld}, A_{sl}$ are the surface areas of the vapour-liquid, liquid–solid and solid–liquid phases, respectively. Since the pillars are oriented perpendicularly to the surface, the corresponding area variations are expressed through the height variation parameter, $dh$. The volume variation $dV = (d^2 - \pi r^2)dh$. The liquid–air interface does not change when the interface moves between pillars and thus $dA_{ld} = 0$. The variation of the solid liquid interface $dA_{sl} = 2\pi r dh$. With this, the Laplace pressure in the air pocket can be expressed as
Fig. 5. Surface wettability analysis of damselfly C. haemorrhoidalis wing surfaces. (A) Snapshots of micron-sized water droplets (10 µL volume) bouncing on the wing surfaces, captured using a high-speed camera (28000fps). (B) Time-lapsed scanning electron micrographs showing the condensation of water (false-coloured blue) on the veins and the rolling-off of micron-sized water droplets (white arrow) on the wing surfaces using environmental SEM (scale bar 20 µm). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Fig. 6. Cryo-FIB milling of the air–water-nanopillar bio-interface. (A) Low-magnification SEM micrograph of a frozen water droplet sitting atop the wing membrane. (B) SEM micrograph of the air–water-nanopillar interface (contact line delineated by the blue dashed line). (C) SEM micrograph showing the presence of air filling the void between each nanopillar is highlighted by a white box and illustrated by the inset schematic diagram. (D) Graph of the dependence of the air pocket thickness on the apparent contact angle $\theta$ of the flat surface. Inset graph plots the dependence of air pocket thickness for cos($\theta$) which is linearly proportional to surface tension. (E) Representative SEM micrograph of the damselfly wing surface with flattened pillars. Inset image shows the water contact angle on the flat surface, $n = 3$. (F) Graph showing the relation between apparent water contact angle and the water contact angle on a flat surface. (G) Contact angle measurements of PBS and nutrient broth on wing surfaces with and without bacterial growth for 24 h, $n = 3$. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
\[ \Delta p = -\left(\gamma_d - \gamma_{lv}\right) \frac{2\pi r}{d^2 - \pi r^2} \]  

(2)

or using the Young-Dupré equation for the contact angle on a flat surface (in this case it corresponds to flattened pillars to simulate a wax surface layer with no protrusions (Fig. 6E))

\[ \gamma_{lv} - \gamma_d = \gamma_{lv} \cos \theta \]

\[ \Delta p = \gamma_{lv} \cos \theta \frac{2\pi r}{d^2 - \pi r^2} \]  

(3)

or in a dimensionless form

\[ \Delta p = \frac{r \Delta p}{\gamma_{lv}} \frac{2 \cos \theta}{\pi - 1} \]  

(4)

The contact angle of a flat surface \( \theta \) is related to the apparent contact angle \( \theta' \) on a nanostructured surface via

\[ \cos \theta' = \Phi_d \cos \theta - \Phi_{lw}, \]

where \( \Phi_d \) and \( \Phi_{lw} \) are the corresponding area fractions of solid liquid and liquid air interfaces with respect to the projections:

\[ \Phi_d = \frac{(2\pi r(H-h) + \pi r^2)/d^2}{H}, \]  

(5)

\[ \Phi_{lw} = \frac{(d^2 - \pi r^2)/d^2}{H}, \]  

(6)

The height of pillar is equal to its radius \( h = r \).

The pressure in the air pocket reads:

\[ \Delta p = \gamma_{lv} \frac{1 + \cos \theta'}{\pi \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{\pi d^2} + \frac{d^2}{\pi d^2}}} \times \frac{2\pi r}{d^2(1 - \frac{d^2}{\pi d^2})}. \]  

(6)

This expression in plotted in Figure S9 and shows how the pressure is decreasing for the small radius \( r \) pillars of increasing height \( H \) at a dense packing on the surface \((r < d)\). For example, when height of pillar is equal to its radius \( H/r = 1 \), the pressure is augmented by 5% as compared with the liquid–vapor surface tension \( \gamma_{lv} \).

Surface tension governs the behavior at the interface between wing, air, and water. Furthermore, surface tension on the wings can be affected by temperature \( T \) as \( \sim 1/T \). It was shown that cicada wing exhibits a 5-fold difference in temperature diffusivity in the plane of the wing as compared with across the wing [44]. The main reason being the contribution of air between nano-needles which has temperature diffusivity of \( 2.2 \times 10^{-7} \) m\(^2\)/s as compared with the wing’s temperature diffusivity of 0.7 (across) and 3.6 (in-plane) \times 10^{-7} \) m\(^2\)/s, while water has diffusivity of \( 1.4 \times 10^{-7} \) m\(^2\)/s at normal conditions. Hence, the air pockets trapped on the surface of the wing are important in temperature equilibration on the surface when non-uniformity of temperature distribution might occur, and surface tension is locally modified. This is also a factor for stability of the air entrapment at the wing surface.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the nanopillar array on the surface of insect wings are known to play a role in mechanically inactivating microbial cells that encounter the surface [8,20], however, the antifungal properties of insect wings, and the role played by the surface nanotopography, was not previously studied and understood. In this study, we confirmed the mechanism of antifungal action of superhydrophobic damselfly wings. It was demonstrated that the filamentous fungi \( A. brasiliensis \) and \( E. nigrum \) were unable to attach to damselfly wings in submerged conditions, even following one week of incubation. The prevention of fungal conidia attachment was linked to the presence of a uniquely stable air layer on both the micro- and nanoscales. The layers include the thicker micro-scale air cushion that is visible to the naked eye and the nanobubbles that exist on top (and in between) the nanopillars. Comprehensive theoretical and experimental analyses of the wing wetting states confirmed the true Cassie-Baxter wetting state of the wing surfaces. Thus, during submersion, only the tips of the wax nanopillars on the wing epicuticle were exposed to the inoculating liquid and the air pocket prevents attachment by fungal conidia. During the removal of the wing surfaces from the inoculating medium, the liquid slides off together with the fungi. Finally, we demonstrated that the antifungal and antibacterial properties of insect wing surfaces stem from two separate mechanisms that can both be attributed to the array of nanopillars found on the epicuticle of the wing membrane. While insect wings avoid bacterial colonisation by exploiting the nanopillar topology of the epicuticle surface to mechanically lyse all bacteria encountering the surface, the antifungal properties of the insect wings are governed by the ability of the surface to maintain a consistent and impenetrable air layer to repel fungal conidia, as confirmed by a comprehensive analysis and visualisation of the air–liquid interface. Since one route of fungal fouling of surfaces derives from spores and conidia being suspended in water, the minimisation of contact between water and the solid surface may be an effective tool in preventing the biofouling of abiotic surfaces. As demonstrated in this study, this can be achieved by the maintenance of a stable air film between the solid surface and the liquid, even in applications of extended water immersion, facilitated by surface nanotopography.
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