ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
LETTERS

LETTER • OPEN ACCESS

The potential for REDD+ to reduce forest degradation in Vietnam

To cite this article: D T Ngo *et al* 2020 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **15** 074025

Environmental Research Letters

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED
11 February 2020

REVISED
23 April 2020

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
5 May 2020

PUBLISHED
26 June 2020

LETTER

The potential for REDD+ to reduce forest degradation in Vietnam

D T Ngo[1], A V Le[1], H T Le[1], S M Stas[2], T C Le[3], H D Tran[3], T Pham[3], T T Le[3], B D Spracklen[2], C Langan[4], R Cuthbert[4], W Buermann[5], O L Phillips[6], E K K Jew[7] and D V Spracklen[2]

[1] University of Agriculture and Forestry, Hue University, Hue, Vietnam
[2] School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom
[3] Viet Nature Conservation Centre, Hanoi, Vietnam
[4] World Land Trust, Halesworth, United Kingdom
[5] University of Ausburg, Ausburg, Germany
[6] School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom
[7] Department of Environment and Geography, University of York, York, United Kingdom

E-mail: D.V.Spracklen@leeds.ac.uk

Keywords: forest degradation, REDD+, livelihoods

Supplementary material for this article is available online

Abstract

Natural forests in Vietnam have experienced rapid declines in the last 70 years, as a result of degradation from logging and conversion of natural forests to timber and rubber plantations. Degradation of natural forests leads to loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services, impacting the livelihoods of surrounding communities. Efforts to address ongoing loss of natural forests, through mechanisms such as Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD+), require an understanding of the links between forest degradation and the livelihoods of local communities, which have rarely been studied in Vietnam. We combined information from livelihood surveys, remote sensing and forest inventories around a protected natural forest area in North Central Vietnam. For forest-adjacent communities, we found natural forests contributed an average of 28% of total household income with plantation forests contributing an additional 15%. Although officially prohibited, logging contributed more than half of the total income derived from natural forests. Analysis of Landsat images over the period 1990 to 2014 combined with forest inventory data, demonstrates selective logging was leading to ongoing degradation of natural forests resulting in loss of 3.3 ± 0.8 Mg biomass ha^{-1} yr^{-1} across the protected area. This is equivalent to 1.5% yr^{-1} of total forest biomass, with rates as high as 3% yr^{-1} in degraded and easily accessible parts of the protected area. We estimate that preventing illegal logging would incur local opportunity costs of USD \$$4.10 \pm 0.90$ per Mg CO_2, similar to previous estimates for tropical forest protected areas and substantially less than the opportunity costs in timber or agricultural concessions. Our analysis suggests activities to reduce forest degradation in protected areas are likely to be financially viable through Vietnam's REDD+ program.

1. Introduction

Deforestation and forest degradation are occurring across the tropics (Sodhi *et al* 2010, Hansen *et al* 2013), resulting in emissions of carbon dioxide (Le Quere *et al* 2018), changes to local climate (Baker and Spracklen 2019), loss of biodiversity (Barlow *et al* 2016) and other ecosystem services, and impacts on the livelihoods of local people (Sodhi *et al* 2009). REDD+ (Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation) represents a collection of actions aimed at reducing carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions associated with deforestation and forest degradation and increasing forest carbon stocks through improved forest management. Developing REDD+ activities that both protect forest resources and the livelihoods of local people (Duchelle *et al* 2017) requires an understanding of how the livelihoods of local communities both depend on and impact forest resources.

Our study focuses on Vietnam, where natural forests have experienced widespread degradation and loss over the last few decades. Forest cover in Vietnam declined from 43% in 1943 to a minimum



Figure 1. Project area in North Central Vietnam. The Khe Nuoc Trong watershed protection forest is highlighted in green with shading representing forest quality (rich: dark green, medium: bright green, poor: light green). Surrounding communes (Kim Thuy, Vinh Ha and Vinh O) where surveys were completed are shown within the blue line. Villages are marked with black circles.

of 16%–27% in 1993, before increasing to 41% in 2016 (Meyfroidt and Lambin 2008, 2009, Cochard *et al* 2017). Increased forest cover has resulted largely from expansion of plantation forests and has occurred alongside continued degradation of natural forests (Khuc *et al* 2018). In Vietnam, as in the rest of Southeast Asia, logging is the main driver of forest degradation (Hosonuma *et al* 2012). In an attempt to prevent degradation of natural forests, Vietnam has implemented successive policies to reduce logging in natural forests (Meyfroidt and Lambin 2009, Sikor and To 2011). However, the reduction of timber extraction quotas has exacerbated illegal logging (Sunderlin 2006). In 2009, Vietnam was identified as a pilot country under the UN-REDD Programme and subsequently received support through the World Bank Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) (UNDP 2009, Brockhaus and Di Gregorio 2014). Today, Vietnam is a UN-REDD Programme Partner Country, with a National REDD+ Action Plan that runs until 2030 and actively supports numerous local projects (Hoang *et al* 2019).

Efforts to reduce forest degradation through increased enforcement and reductions in illegal logging have potential social costs for local communities (Brockington *et al* 2006). People living in and around forests use forest resources for subsistence and cash income as well as benefiting from other ecosystem services provided by the forest (Sunderlin *et al* 2005, Vedeld *et al* 2007, Sodhi *et al* 2009, Angelsen *et al* 2014). Through supporting sustainable use of forest resources, REDD+ may incur an opportunity cost on forest users associated with restrictions on the use of forest resources (Ickowitz *et al* 2017, Duchelle *et al* 2017).

Our study focuses on the relationship between use of forest resources by local communities and forest degradation which has rarely been studied in Vietnam (Sunderlin and Ba 2005, Mcelwee 2008, 2010). Our objective was to assess the contribution of forests to local livelihoods and the impact of this resource use on natural forests, specifically biomass storage. We collected information from community interviews, forest inventories and satellite remote sensing. Through combining this information we estimated the opportunity costs on local communities associated with efforts to reduce forest degradation. Our aim was to contribute new understanding of the potential for REDD+ to reduce forest degradation and support local livelihoods in forested landscapes of Vietnam.

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

Our study area is the Khe Nuoc Trong (KNT) watershed protection forest (17.0°N, 106.6°E) and surrounding communities in Quang Binh Province (figure 1), part of the North Central Coast (NCC) region. In 2015, average forest cover in the NCC region was 57%, comprising 74% natural forest and 26% forest plantation (MARD 2018). Natural forest

Table 1. Details of communes surrounding KNT. Average income using the currency conversion 1USD = VND 23 500.

	Kim Thuy Commune	Vinh Ha Commune	Vinh O Commune
Area (ha)	48 475	16 403	8594
Population	4071	1836	1344
Number of villages	13	9	8
Number of households	1067	561	340
Number of people per household (mean ± standard deviation)	5.2 ± 1.5	3.8 ± 1.3	3.9 ± 1.4
Total forest area (incl. plantations)	95.1%	73.4%	97.3%
Natural forest	89.7%	20.9%	44.5%
Plantation forest	5.4%	52.5%	52.8%
Acacia plantation (ha/household) (mean ± standard deviation, maximum in parentheses)	1.0 ± 1.6 (7.5)	0.7 ± 1.1 (6.0)	1.5 ± 1.6 (9.6)
Agricultural land	1.0%	5.2%	1.2%
Agricultural land per household (ha) (mean ± standard deviation)	0.43 ± 0.21	0.25 ± 0.18	0.68 ± 0.34
Ethnic minorities (% of population)			
Kinh	33%	65.7%	5.3%
Van Kieu	67%	34.2%	94.7%
Poverty rate	65.7%	24.5%	74.7%
Average income (US$/household/year, mean ± standard deviation)	$1670 ± 659	$1800 ± 876	$1580 ± 489

in the NCC region is heavily degraded, with 62% of the natural forest classified under national standards as poor quality (<100 m^3 standing timber), 21% as medium quality (200–300 m^3) and only 10% as rich quality (>300 m^3) (MARD 2018). The NCC region is developing an Emissions Reduction Program for the FCPF Carbon Fund (MARD 2018).

The Khe Nuoc Trong (KNT) watershed protection forest (16.9°N–17.05°N, 106.5°E–106.8°E) was established in 2008. It includes lowland and mid-montane evergreen forest with elevations ranging from 120 m to 1220 m and has a current area of around 23 000 ha. KNT is managed by the state for the protection of ecosystem services, predominantly for the protection of water resources. Logging and hunting are not permitted and no government licensed logging has occurred since 2008. Informal logging by local communities is ongoing and considered illegal but enforcement is often limited. Prior to 2008, logging by the state and local communities occurred, but records of timber extraction are not available. Compared to the wider NCC region, KNT has higher quality forests, consisting of 46% rich forests, 22% medium forests and 31% poor forests. KNT experiences a tropical monsoon climate with the coolest months in December and January and the warmest in July and an average temperature of 22 °C. Annual rainfall is 2080 mm with the driest months in February to April (minimum of 20 mm $month^{-1}$) and the wettest in October (450 mm $month^{-1}$).

2.2. Livelihood surveys

KNT is surrounded by 5 communes, administrative zones similar to county level. Villages within three communes (Kim Thuy, Vinh O and Vinh Ha) have easy access to the forests in KNT. These communes cover an area of 73 472 ha with a population of 7251 in 30 villages with a total of 1968 households, mostly from the Van Kieu ethnic minority group (table 1). Levels of poverty in the NCC region are some of the highest in Vietnam (MARD 2018), with annual household income of USD $1500 to $2000 in these communes.

We conducted surveys in Kim Thuy Commune in July 2016 and Vinh O and Vinh Ha communes in March 2018. In each commune, meetings with commune leaders were used to share research objectives and to identify villages where households have reasonable access to and used natural resources within KNT. Identified villages are kept anonymous but were typically close (<3 km) to the border of KNT. Focus groups discussions with 8–10 participants were conducted within each village, to better understand the practical livelihood issues of the villages and to inform questionnaire design. In each identified village, structured household questionnaires (see supplementary material (available online at stacks.iop.org/ERL/15/074025/mmedia)) were then conducted with the head of the household in randomly selected households. In total, 300 households interviews were conducted (140 in Kim Thuy, 80 in Vinh O, 80 in Vinh Ha), representing 10%–25% of the households in each commune (table 1). The main objective of the interviews was to assess the different income sources for each household, based on the methods in Angelsen *et al* (2014). For each household, income from all sources was recorded including crop production, animal husbandry and animal products, forest-based activities (plantation and natural forests), wage labour, and government support. Households were also asked about the perceived change in forest quality. Field surveys

were concluded with commune-level stakeholder meetings.

2.3. Remote sensing

We analysed Landsat satellite images to identify forest disturbances as described in Stas *et al* (2020). The Earth Explorer and the USGS ESPA ordering system were used to retrieve all available Landsat images for Path/Row 126/48 and 125/48 with less than 70% cloud cover between 1990 and 2014 inclusive. This period is chosen to allow us to understand whether the change in protection status of the forest area in 2008 had any impact on forest loss. The pixel_qa layer supplied with each Landsat image was used to remove cloud and cloud-shadow covered pixels. A total of 401 Landsat 4/5, 227 Landsat 7 and 24 Landsat 8 surface reflectance images were obtained, providing an average of 16 images per year. Gaps in Landsat 7 images due to the Scan Line Corrector failure were treated as missing data, in a similar way to pixels obscured by clouds.

We identified forest disturbances using the Normalized Burn Ratio (NBR) that has been widely used to detect forest cover change (Li *et al* 2017) and forest disturbance through selective logging (Grogan *et al* 2015, White *et al* 2017, Langner *et al* 2018, Lima *et al* 2019), wildfire (White *et al* 2017) and insect damage (Meigs *et al* 2011, Senf *et al* 2015). NBR is particularly suited to identifying forest disturbance due to the sensitivity to bare soil, non-photosynthetic vegetation, and vegetation structure and the lower contamination from atmospheric haze (Grogan *et al* 2015, White *et al* 2017, Langner *et al* 2018). We calculated NBR as:

$$NBR = \frac{NIR - SWIR}{NIR + SWIR}$$

where SWIR is the shortwave Infrared band and NIR is the near-IR band. We used the Landsat images to create a time series of NBR for each pixel. We then identified disturbance as pixels with long-term mean NBR >0.5 and exhibiting a temporary reduction in NBR, with two or more consecutive values of NBR <0.5, allowing us to distinguish between recently disturbed canopy cover and naturally open canopy (Langner *et al* 2018). We calculated the annual rate of disturbance between 1990 and 2014 as the number of pixels with an identified disturbance in each year.

2.4. Forest surveys

Forest biomass stocks and biomass removal from logging within KNT were estimated from forest surveys conducted between April 2016 and June 2017. We established 24 permanent plots (0.25 ha, 50 m × 50 m) within KNT (figure 2) across rich, medium and poor forest classes. Establishment of plots and methods to calculate biomass storage are fully detailed in Stas *et al* (2020) and described briefly here.

In each plot, all living stems ⩾10 cm diameter at breast height (DBH) were measured. All living stems ⩾5 cm DBH were measured in a belt transect of 4 × 50 m. Living above-ground biomass (AGB) was computed using an allometric equation including DBH, tree height and wood density (Réjou-Méchain *et al* 2017). Above-ground necromass for fallen and standing dead wood was also calculated. AGB was calculated as the sum of living biomass in stems ⩾5 cm DBH and necromass. Below ground biomass (BGB) was estimated as 24% of AGB where AGB ⩾125 Mg ha^{-1}, else 20%. Total biomass (B, Mg ha^{-1}) was the sum of AGB and BGB. Soil organic carbon was not included here, as this does not change significantly during forest degradation (Stas *et al* 2020).

To assess the amount of biomass extracted by logging, the number and diameter of logged tree stumps ⩾10 cm DBH within each plot were counted. We assume that trees ⩾10 cm DBH will largely be logged for timber, rather than firewood. The total amount of biomass removed by logging (L, Mg ha^{-1}) was estimated based on the ratio of basal area of the logged stumps (A_1) to remaining basal area of the plot (A_2), multiplied by total biomass:

$$L = (A_1/A_2) \times B$$

Wood decay means that the logged stumps will disappear over time, and the logged stumps identified in our plots will represent logging activities over a certain time period. To estimate this time period, we assumed a wood decay rate (k) of 0.18 ± 0.02 yr^{-1} (Baker *et al* 2007). The rate of removal of biomass through logging (R, Mg ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) was estimated as:

$$R = L \times k$$

The average biomass removal from logging in rich, medium and poor forests was estimated as the average across the plots in each category. The total biomass removal from logging (Mg yr^{-1}) was calculated as the average rate of removal multiplied by the area (A; ha) of that category:

$$T = R \times A$$

Our biomass removal estimate only include trees that have been clearly logged and does not account for additional trees damaged during logging operations. We may therefore underestimate the reduction in biomass due to selective logging.

2.5. Opportunity costs of logging and costs of REDD+ projects

We combined information from the forest and household surveys to estimate the amount of biomass removed and income received per unit of biomass removed at the household level. We estimated the average local opportunity cost (O, $ per



Figure 2. Location of forest plots and forest disturbances. The 24 forest plots are marked and numbered. Boundary of the Khe Nuoc Trong watershed protection forest (established in 2008) is shown with a green line. Forest disturbances identified from our Landsat analysis are shown for two time periods.

tCO_2) of preventing logging as the average income local households derived from illegal logging (I; \$ household^{-1} yr^{-1}) divided by the estimated average annual biomass wood extraction per household (W; tCO_2 household^{-1} yr^{-1}):

$$O = I/W$$

W was calculated as the total annual biomass extraction from within KNT (T; Mg biomass yr^{-1}) estimated from the forest surveys converted to mass of CO_2 (to convert biomass to carbon we multiplied by 0.47), divided by the total number of households in the three communes (n):

$$W = (T \times 0.47 \times 3.67)/n$$

To explore the potential for REDD+ finance to reduce forest degradation in Vietnam we estimated the costs associated with establishing a REDD+ project. In addition to the opportunity cost, the break-even carbon price (P, \$ per tCO_2) also includes an implementation cost (I, \$ per tCO_2) and the set-up cost (S, \$ per tCO_2):

$$P = O + I + S$$

We estimated project setup and implementation costs using average setup (\$4.95 ha^{-1}) and implementation (\$11.28 ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) costs reported from a REDD+ project in Cambodia (Warren-Thomas *et al* 2018). We acknowledge that costs in our project, which is in a different country and ecosystem, are likely to be different. To convert these values to costs per tCO_2, we scaled by the area of KNT and total emission reductions that would be achieved if all poor and medium forests within KNT were restored to rich forests assuming a 30 year project timeframe.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Household livelihoods

Figure 3 shows the use of natural forest resources reported in household questionnaires. Firewood was the most frequently used resource, used by 82% of households. Each of the other resources was used by approximately half of households, with 44% collecting timber, 50% collecting honey, 54% hunting and 54% collecting other non-timber forest products (NTFPs).

Resources from natural forests accounted for 28% of total household income, greater than the contribution from animal breeding (22%), crop cultivation (10%), or plantation forestry (15%) (figure 4). The different communes exhibit different contributions from the different sectors, with natural forests contributing 12%–42% and plantation forestry contributing 9–28%. At another location in Vietnam, natural forests accounted for 14% and plantation forests 5% of total income (Mcelwee 2008). In our study, forests (natural and plantation) account for 42% of total household income, greater than the pan-tropical mean of 22% (Angelsen *et al* 2014) reported from a large meta-analysis.



Figure 3. The use of forest resources by local households. Use is split by personal use and for sale. Honey and hunting are shown separately to other Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs), which including collection of wild mushrooms, medicinal plants, rattan, and wild vegetables. Results are weighted by the number of households in the three communes.



Figure 4. Relative contribution of different sectors to total household income. Results are shown for the three communes and as an average weighted by the number of households in each commune.

We found the largest contributions to overall income came from logging in the natural forest (16%; USD $277/household/year), acacia plantations (15%), animal husbandry (14%) and salary and social allowances (12%) (figure 5). There was variability in this distribution across the different communes (supplementary figure 1), with logging in the natural forests (6%–22%) and acacia plantations (9%–28%) consistently contributing a substantial fraction of total income. Income from plantation forests was greater than the pan-tropical mean of 1% (Angelsen *et al* 2014), despite the relatively small area of plantation available to each household in our study (0.7–1.5 ha per household) (table 1).

In our study, logging was the most important natural forest resource contributing 58% of the income from natural forests (figure 6). Honey (10%) and other NTFPs (18%) also make important contributions. Hunting makes a smaller contribution (6%), but is still greater than reported elsewhere in Vietnam (Mcelwee 2010). Meta-analysis of previous studies also report ecosystem services are dominated by woodfuel and wood products (timber, building poles) which accounted for 60% of forest income (Angelsen *et al* 2014).



Figure 5. Contribution of different resources to total household income. Results are weighted by the number of households in the three communes.



Figure 6. Contribution of different natural forest resources to total income derived from natural forests. Results are shown for the three communes and as an average weighted by the number of households in each commune.

3.2. Forest degradation

Forest disturbances were concentrated in poor and medium forests in eastern regions of KNT (figure 2). The spatial distribution of disturbance identified by our remote sensing analysis was in broad agreement with the distribution identified through focus group discussions and participatory mapping (Stas *et al* 2020). Large blocks of disturbance, mostly outside KNT, are associated with acacia plantations. Figure 7 shows the rate of forest disturbance within KNT identified by the remote sensing analysis over 1990 to 2014, during which logging regulations changed substantially. Disturbance rates in specific years can be biased by variability in cloud cover altering availability of cloud free images, so we calculate and report average rates of disturbance over multi-annual periods. Some small areas of acacia plantation occur within KNT, and we exclude disturbances identified within these areas from our analysis. During the 1990s and 2000s, Vietnam reduced harvesting quotas in natural forests and implemented a series of logging bans (Tuynh and Phuong 2001, Meyfroidt and Lambin 2009). In 2008, KNT was established as a watershed protection forest. However, our analysis shows no evidence of reduced disturbance within KNT, with the average rate of disturbance during the 7 years after reserve establishment (2008–2014, 142.6 pixels yr^{-1}) being greater than the 7 years before establishment (2001–2007; 100.6 pixels yr^{-1}). Ongoing forest disturbance is

Table 2. Perception of local households on how the quality of natural forest has changed in recent years. Figures are shown separately for the three communes.

	Kim Thuy	Vinh Ha	Vinh O
Better	7.8%	27.5%	15.3%
Worse	63.6%	48.8%	52.7%
Unchanged	28.6%	23.7%	22%

confirmed by household interviews with 49%–64% of households indicating that forest quality had declined in recent years (table 2). Together this analysis suggests that protected area status that was granted in 2008 and successive nationwide controls on logging have not reduced the extent of logging within KNT.

Total biomass storage (defined here as AGB for living stems with DBH $\geqslant$5 cm, root biomass, standing dead wood and woody debris) was greatest in rich forests which stored 284 Mg ha^{-1} compared to 188 Mg ha^{-1} in medium forests and 140 Mg ha^{-1} in poor forests (table 3). AGB in KNT (229 Mg ha^{-1} in rich, 152 Mg ha^{-1} in medium, and 115 Mg ha^{-1} in poor forests) are similar to other natural forests in Vietnam (figure 8) (Con *et al* 2013, Do *et al* 2019) with a clear reduction along the disturbance gradient (Hai *et al* 2015, Luong *et al* 2015, Nam *et al* 2018, MARD 2018, Stas *et al* 2020). Allometric equations for both above- and below-ground biomass have been developed specifically for evergreen broadleaf forests in Vietnam (Nam *et al* 2016, Huy *et al* 2016a, 2016b, Kralicek *et al* 2017) and can improve biomass estimates.

We estimated selective logging resulted in an average biomass removal rate of 3.1 Mg ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (table 3), equivalent to 1.5% yr^{-1} of forest biomass. Greater biomass removal in poor (4.3 $\pm$ 1.5 Mg ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, 3.1% yr^{-1}) and medium (6.3 $\pm$ 2.3 Mg ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, 3.3% yr^{-1}) compared to rich (1.1 $\pm$ 0.7 Mg ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, 0.4% yr^{-1}) forests matches the remote sensing analysis (Stas *et al* 2020). We scaled up the estimates from the forest plots to estimate of the total biomass removal through selective logging in KNT of 72 800 $\pm$ 14 000 Mg yr^{-1} (table 3).

Our estimates of biomass removal focused on logging for timber, so we only assessed removal of stems with DBH $\geqslant$10 cm. Collection of firewood was common in the villages surveyed, but is likely to be composed of small, dead branches collected close to the villages (Mcelwee 2010, Kim *et al* 2017). Previous estimates of firewood use in Vietnam are 3.3–13.1 kg household^{-1} d^{-1} (Kim *et al* 2017, Techato and Techato 2018), equivalent to 1.2–4.8 Mg household^{-1} yr^{-1}. If there are similar levels of use in our area, biomass removal for firewood would represent 4%–13% of biomass removal due to logging.

3.3. Forest degradation, livelihoods and the role of REDD+

Through combining information from household interviews and forest inventories we estimate the opportunity costs associated with restrictions on logging. We estimate each household removes 36.4 Mg biomass household^{-1} yr^{-1} (62.7 tCO_2 household^{-1} yr^{-1}) with an opportunity cost of USD \$4.10 $\pm$ 0.90 per tCO_2. Our estimated opportunity cost is within the range of previous estimates for REDD+ projects in protected areas in Southeast Asia (\$3.65–\$10.70 per tCO_2) and substantially lower than in timber or oil palm concession areas in Southeast Asia (\$4.89–\$55.23 per tCO_2) (figure 9; table 4).

We assessed the opportunity costs at the household level. Sikor and To (2011) studied the illegal timber supply chain in northern Vietnam and estimated that one third of income from illegal logging went to villagers and woodcutters, with the remainder going further up the supply chain, including traders, wholesalers, government officials and lawmakers. Therefore the overall opportunity costs of preventing illegal logging may be considerably greater when accounting for the full supply chain. If the division of income is similar to that estimated by Sikor and To (2011), overall opportunity costs of preventing illegal logging would be \$12.30 per tCO_2. The lower opportunity costs estimated for protected areas may partly be a reflection of local opportunity costs of illegal logging not fully accounting for the full supply chain.

We estimated a project implementation cost of \$2.83 per tCO_2 and a setup cost of \$0.04 per tCO_2 (see Methods), giving an overall break-even cost of \$6.97 per tCO_2. Our work therefore suggests that the prices currently paid on carbon markets (\$5−\$13 per tCO_2) are of similar magnitude to the break even costs of a REDD+ project in this region of Vietnam. This suggests that the current price of carbon may be sufficient to support establishment of REDD+ projects in protected areas in Vietnam. There is household variability (Andersson *et al* 2018) that is not represented in the averages presented here. For example richer households may have access to more forest plantations (Sikor and Baggio 2014) making them less reliant on natural forest resources. On the other hand, richer households may have greater access to the tools and resources required for logging, allowing greater exploitation of natural forests. Other studies in Vietnam suggest that forest communities are willing to participate in forest protection schemes where they are paid to cease logging (Nielsen *et al* 2018) provided that the mechanisms to provide payment are accountable and that distribution is equitable—where households who experience the greater opportunity costs are recompensed accordingly (Pham *et al* 2014).

Understanding the contribution of illegal logging to both livelihoods and forest degradation is challenging: records of the incidences of logging are not available and there are sensitivities asking households



Figure 7. Forest disturbance in KNT during 1990 to 2014 identified through analysis of Landsat images. Average disturbance reported for 5 year periods. KNT was established as a watershed protection forest in 2008.

Table 3. Logging rates in the KNT protected area. Total biomass includes AGB for living stems with DBH ⩾5 cm, root biomass, standing dead wood and woody debris. Uncertainties are reported as the standard error across the forest plots. Uncertainty in logged biomass and logged biomass rate are estimated through propagating relevant uncertainty terms.

	Forest quality type		
	Poor	Medium	Rich
Area (ha)	7004	4968	10 323
Basal area (m^2 m^{-2})	17.3 ± 0.7	21.7 ± 1.7	30.2 ± 1.5
AGB (DBH 5–10 cm) (Mg ha^{-1})	8.9	6.6	9.9
AGB (DBH 10–60 cm) (Mg ha^{-1})	98.1	111.9	149.4
AGB (DBH >60 cm) (Mg ha^{-1})	0	20.5	62.2
Dead wood (Mg ha^{-1})	9.3	13.4	7.9
Total AGB (Mg ha^{-1})	115.1	152.4	229.4
Total biomass (Mg ha^{-1})	140 ± 12	189 ± 18	284 ± 24
Logged basal area (m^2 m^{-2} ha^{-1})	2.9 ± 0.7	3.8 ± 0.9	0.6 ± 0.3
Logged biomass (Mg ha^{-1})	23.4 ± 7.7	34.2 ± 12.0	5.7 ± 3.3
Logged biomass rate (Mg ha^{-1} yr^{-1})	4.3 ± 1.5	6.3 ± 2.3	1.1 ± 0.7
Total logged biomass rate (Mg yr^{-1})	30 400 ± 10 500	31 400 ± 5700	11 000 ± 6500
Contribution to total (%)	42%	43%	15%

about illegal activities. We recognise that there will be substantial household-level variation in the contribution of logging to household incomes that are not accounted for in the commune average values we report here. On the ground surveys of the incidences of illegal logging are now required to better ground-truth the remote sensed datasets of forest degradation. Data from Sentinel-2 (Lima *et al* 2019), available from 2015, provide better spatial and temporal resolution that will improve ability to identify selective logging particularly in regions with frequent cloud cover.

Our work has demonstrated the large contribution of illegal logging to the livelihoods of local communities combined with the contribution of this logging to forest degradation. REDD+ projects have the challenge of identifying effective interventions that can together reduce forest degradation, whilst maintaining and improving livelihoods. Previous work has suggested that options for better forest management in Vietnam include increased community control and management of forests (Sunderlin 2006), improved land tenure (Sunderlin *et al* 2013, Traedal and Vedeld 2017), performance-based contracts for the provision of forest ecosystem services (Sikor and Tan 2011), strengthened forest protection and management of illegal logging (Nguyen *et al* 2016). Enforcement of logging regulations in KNT appears to be limited and will need to be strengthened to reduce forest degradation. In recent years, devolution of forest management has increased management of forests by local communities in Vietnam (Sunderlin 2006, Lambini and Nguyen 2014). Vietnam has implemented a pilot benefit sharing mechanism, a legal framework for



Figure 8. Above-ground living biomass (trees >5 cm DBH) in poor, medium and rich forests. Results from this study are compared against previous work.

Table 4. Opportunity costs of REDD+. Synthesis of previous estimates of the opportunity costs of REDD+.

Land use	Location	Opportunity cost (US \$/tCO_2)	Reference
Protected area	Vietnam	\$4.10 ± 0.90	This work
	Indonesia	\$10.70	Graham *et al* (2017)
	SE Asia	\$3.65	Graham *et al* (2016)
Reforestation	SE Asia	\$2.46	Graham *et al* (2016)
	Indonesia	\$2.46	Graham *et al* (2017)
Timber concession	Indonesia	\$15.35	Graham *et al* (2017)
	SE Asia	\$25.00	Fisher *et al* (2011b)
	SE Asia	\$9.63	Graham *et al* (2016)
Oil palm concession	Indonesia	\$19.93	Graham *et al* (2017)
	SE Asia	\$20.41	Graham *et al* (2016)
Timber and oil palm	SE Asia	\$47.00	Fisher *et al* (2011b)
Reduced impact logging	Indonesia	\$6.48	Graham *et al* (2017)
	SE Asia	\$6.95	Graham *et al* (2016)
	Pan-tropical	\$1.80	Sasaki *et al* (2016)
Timber and rubber	Cambodia	\$33.43	Warren-Thomas *et al* (2018)
Agriculture and charcoal	Tanzania	\$3.90	Fisher *et al* (2011a)

sharing the benefits, rights and responsibilities of forest conservation and management with local communities (Bayrak *et al* 2014). In Bach Ma National Park, Vietnam, this scheme increased average household income by 30% through regulated access to NTFP (Huynh *et al* 2016). In our study area, forest protection contracts contributed only 2.6% of total household income, insufficient to replace income from illegal logging. Extending the scheme to cover more forest and more households might increase income and reduce the need for illegal logging. Acacia plantations are an important income source for local communities, but timber cycles are too short (3–5 year rotations) to provide the large dimension timber that would reduce pressure on natural forests. Extending rotation period of forest plantations could provide a better source of timber, reduce pressure on natural forests and enhance incomes. However, short rotations are selected by households for a range of practical reasons and delivering extended rotations is likely to be challenging. Protected areas exhibit variable success both at reducing deforestation (Jenkins and Joppa 2009, Spracklen *et al* 2015) and improving livelihoods of local communities (Clements *et al* 2014). Protected areas that consider the needs of local communities (Elliot *et al*, 2001) are also more likely to deliver positive conservation outcomes (Oldekop *et al* 2016). Improved dialogue between the protected area management and local communities is required.



Figure 9. Comparison of potential opportunity costs for different REDD+ projects in Southeast Asia. Values are synthesised from the literature and reported in table 4.

4. Conclusions

We combined information from household surveys, forest inventories and remote sensing to understand the interactions between livelihoods, forest resource use and forest degradation around a protected natural forest in Vietnam. We found that natural forests and plantations together provide 42% of total household income, substantially more than the average of 22% reported across the tropics (Angelsen *et al* 2014). We found natural forests were particularly important, contributing 28% of total household income, equal or greater than the income from plantation forests.

Remote sensing analysis and forests surveys demonstrated pervasive selective logging across the protected area. Rates of disturbance remained stable over the period 1990 to 2014, despite the area gaining protected status in 2008. We estimated that illegal logging removed 3 Mg biomass ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, sufficient to progressively degrade the forest. Poor and medium quality forests contained only 50% of the above-ground biomass of rich forests.

Illegal logging made the single most important contribution to local livelihoods, contributing 58% of the total income from natural forests. We estimated opportunity costs for preventing illegal logging as USD $4.10 per tCO_2, similar to opportunity costs in other protected forest areas in Southeast Asia and substantially less than the opportunity costs in commercial timber and plantation concessions. This suggests that activities to reduce unsustainable logging in protected areas in Vietnam may be viable under planned REDD+ programs. A landscape-scale approach to forest management, with improved enforcement within protected areas combined with provision of alternative livelihood strategies to mitigate loss of income from illegal logging, may help reduce further degradation of natural forest areas without harming livelihoods of local people.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by an Institutional Links grant, ID 216372155, under the Newton-Vietnam partnership. This grant is funded by the UK Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) and delivered by the British Council. SS was supported by the World Land Trust (WLT) and the University of Leeds. DVS acknowledges support from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) (Grant Numbers NE/M003574/1), a Philip Leverhulme Prize, and from the United Bank of Carbon (UBoC). This work received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the

European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 771492). We acknowledge logistical support from VietNature and the WLT. We would like to thank our field research assistants for their hard work throughout the data collection period, and the communities within the three communes for their hospitality and enthusiasm. This project was initiated by Roger Wilson and would not have been possible without his experience, energy, and enthusiasm.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID iDs

B D Spracklen https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9000-8804
C Langan https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4617-640X
E K K Jew https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0241-404X
D V Spracklen https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7551-4597

References

Andersson K P, Smith S M, Alston L J, Duchelle A E, Mwangi E, Larson A M, de Sassi C, Sills E O, Sunderlin W D and Wong G Y 2018 Wealth and the distribution of benefits from tropical forests: implications for REDD+ *Land Use Policy* **72** 510–22

Angelsen A, Jagger P, Babigumira R, Belcher B, Hogarth N J, Bauch S, Börner J, Smith-Hall C and Wunder S 2014 Environmental Income and rural livelihoods: a global comparative analysis *World Dev.* **64** S12–28

Baker J C A and Spracklen D V 2019 Climate benefits of intact Amazon forests and the biophysical consequences of disturbance *Front. For. Glob. Change* **2** 47

Baker T R, Honorio Coronado E N, Phillips O L, Martin J, van der Heijden G M F, Garcia M and Silva Espejo J 2007 Low stocks of coarse woody debris in a southwest Amazonian forest *Oecologia* **152** 495–504

Barlow J *et al* 2016 Anthropogenic disturbance in tropical forests can double biodiversity loss from deforestation *Nature* **535** 144–7

Bayrak M M, Tu T N and Marafa L M 2014 Creating social safeguards for REDD+: lessons learned from benefit sharing mechanisms in Vietnam *Land* **3** 1037–58

Brockhaus M and Di Gregorio M 2014 National REDD+ policy networks: from cooperation to conflict *Ecol. Soc.* **19** 14

Brockington D, Igoe J and Schmidt-Soltau K 2006 Conservation, human rights, and poverty reduction *Conserv. Biol.* **20** 250–2

Clements T, Suon S, Wilkie D S and Milner-Gulland E J 2014 Impacts of protected areas on local livelihoods in Cambodia *World Dev.* **64** S125–S134

Cochard R, Ngo D T, Waeber P O and Kull C A 2017 Extent and causes of forest cover changes in Vietnam's provinces 1993–2013: a reviews and analysis of official data *Environ. Rev.* **25** 199–217

Con T V, Thang N T, Ha D T T, Khiem C C, Quy T H, Lam V T, Van Do T and Sato T 2013 Relationship between aboveground biomass and measures of structure and species diversity in tropical forests of Vietnam *For. Ecol. Manage.* **310** 213–8

Do T V *et al* 2019 Ecoregional variations of aboveground biomass and stand structure in evergreen broadleaved forests *J. For. Res.* (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-019-00969-y)

Duchelle A E *et al* 2017 Balancing carrots and sticks in REDD+: implications for social safeguards *Ecol. Soc.* **22**

Fisher B *et al* 2011a Implementation and opportunity costs of reducing deforestation and forest degradation in Tanzania *Nat. Clim. Change* **1** 161–4

Fisher B, Edwards D P, Giam X and Wilcove D S 2011b The high costs of conserving Southeast Asia's lowland rainforests *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **9** 329–34

Graham V, Laurance S G, Grech A, Mcgregor A and Venter O 2016 A comparative assessment of the financial costs and carbon benefits of REDD+ strategies in Southeast Asia *Environ. Res. Lett.* **11** 114022

Graham V, Laurance S G, Grech A and Venter O 2017 Spatially explicit estimates of forest carbon emissions, mitigation costs and REDD+ opportunities in Indonesia *Environ. Res. Lett.* **12** 044017

Grogan K, Pflugmacher D, Hostert P, Kennedy R and Fensholt R 2015 Cross-border forest disturbance and the role of natural rubber in mainland Southeast Asia using annual Landsat time series *Remote Sens. Environ.* **169** 438–53

Hai V D, Van Do T, Trieu D T, Sato T and Kozan O 2015 Carbon stocks in tropical evergreen broadleaf forests in Central Highland, Vietnam *Int. For. Rev.* **17** 20–9

Hansen M C *et al* 2013 High-resolution global maps of 21st-Century forest cover change *Science* **342** 850–3

Hoang C, Satyal P and Corbera E 2019 'This is my garden': justice claims and struggles over forests in Vietnam's REDD+ *Clim. Policy* **19** S23–35

Hosonuma N, Herold M, De Sy V, De Fries R S, Brockhaus M, Verchot L, Angelsen A and Romijn E 2012 An assessment of deforestation and forest degradation drivers in developing countries *Environ. Res. Lett.* **7** 044009

Huy B, Kralicek K, Poudel K P, Phuong V T, Khoa P V, Hung N D and Temesgen H 2016a Allometric equations for estimating tree aboveground biomass in evergreen broadleaf forests of Viet Nam *For. Ecol. Manage.* **382** 193–205

Huy B, Poudel K P and Temegen H 2016b Aboveground biomass equations for evergreen broadleaf forests in South Central Coastal of Vietnam: selection of eco-regional or pantropical models *For. Ecol. Manage.* **376** 276–83

Huynh H, Lobry de Bruyn L, Prior J and Kristiansen P 2016 Community participation and harvesting of non-timber forest products in benefit-sharing pilot scheme in Bach Ma National Park, Central Vietnam *Trop. Conserv. Sci.* **9** 877–902

Ickowitz A, Sills E and de Sassi C 2017 Estimating smallholder opportunity costs of REDD+: a pantropical analysis from household to carbon and back *World Dev.* **95** 15–26

Jenkins C N and Joppa L 2009 Expansion of the global protected area system *Biol. Conserv.* **142** 2166–74

Khuc Q V, Tran B Q, Meyfroidt P and Paschke M W 2018 Drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in Vietnam: an exploratory analysis at the national level *For. Policy Econ.* **90** 128–41

Kim L T T, Nichols J D and Brown K 2017 Firewood extraction and use in rural Vietnam: a household model for three communities in Ha Tinh Province *Agrofor. Syst.* **91** 649–61

Kralicek K, Huy B, Poudel K P, Temesgen H and Salas C 2017 Simultaneous estimation of above- and below-ground biomass in tropical forests of Vietnam *For. Ecol. Manage.* **390**

Lambini C K and Nguyen T T 2014 A comparative analysis of the effects of institutional property rights on forest livelihoods and forest conditions: evidence from Ghana and Vietnam *For. Policy Econ.* **38** 178–90

Langner A, Miettinen J, Kukkonen M, Vancutsem C, Simonetti D, Vieilledent G, Verhegghen A, Gallego J and Stibig H-J 2018 Towards operational monitoring of forest canopy disturbance in evergreen rain forests: a test case in continental Southeast Asia *Remote Sens.* **10** 544

Le Quere C *et al* 2018 Global carbon budget 2018 *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **10** 2141–94
Li Y, Sulla-Menashe D, Motesharrei S, Song X-P, Kalnay E, Ying Q, Li S and Ma Z 2017 Inconsistent estimates of forest cover change in China between 2000 and 2013 from multiple datasets: differences in parameters, spatial resolution, and definitions *Sci. Rep.* **7** 8748
Lima T A, Beuchle R, Langner A, Grecchi R C, Griess V C and Achard F 2019 Comparing Sentinel-2 MSI and Landsat 8 OLI imagery for monitoring selective logging in the Brazilian Amazon *Remote Sens.* **11** 1–21
Luong N V, Tateishi R, Hoan N T and Tu T T 2015 Forest change and its effect on biomass in Yok Don National Park in Central Highlands of Vietnam using ground data and geospatial techniques *Adv. Remote Sens.* **4** 108–18
MARD 2018. Emissions Reduction Program Document (ER-PD) Submission to Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) Carbon Fund 5 January 2018 Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Vietnam
Mcelwee P D 2008 Forest environmental income in Vietnam: household socioeconomic factors influencing forest use *Environ. Conserv.* **35** 147–59
Mcelwee P D 2010 Resource use amongst rural agricultural households near protected areas in Vietnam: the social costs of conservation and implications for enforcement *Environ. Manage* **45** 113–31
Meigs G W, Kennedy R E and Cohen W B A 2011 Landsat time series approach to characterize bark beetle and defoliator impacts on tree mortality and surface fuels in conifer forests *Remote Sens. Environ.* **115** 3707–18
Meyfroidt P and Lambin E F 2008 Forest transition in Vietnam and its environmental impacts *Glob. Change Biol.* **14** 1319–36
Meyfroidt P and Lambin E F 2009 Forest transition in Vietnam and displacement of deforestation abroad *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106** 16139–44
Nam V T, Anten N P R and van Kuijk M 2018 Biomass dynamics in a logged forest: the role of wood density *J. Plant Res.* **131** 611–21
Nam V T, van Kuijk M and Anten N P R 2016 Allometric equations for aboveground and belowground biomass estimations in an evergreen forest in Vietnam *PLoS ONE* **11** e0156827
Nguyen T A, Masuda M and Iwanaga S 2016 Status of forest development and opportunity cost of avoiding forest conversion in Ba Be National Park, Vietnam *Tropics* **24** 153–67
Nielsen M R, Theilade I, Meilby H, Nui N H, Lam N T and Can P E S 2018 REDD+ match Willingness to Accept payments in contracts for reforestation and avoided forest degradation? The case of farmers in upland Bac Kan, Vietnam *Land Use Policy* **79** 822–33
Oldekop J A, Holmes G, Harris W E and Evans K L 2016 A global assessment of the social and conservation outcomes of protected areas *Conserv. Biol.* **30** 133–41
Pham T T, Moeliono M, Brockhaus M, Le D N, Wong G Y and Le T M 2014 Local preferences and strategies for effective, efficient, and equitable distribution of PES revenues in Vietnam: lessons for REDD+ *Hum. Ecol.* **42** 885–99
Réjou-Méchain M, Tanguy A, Piponiot C, Chave J and Hérault B 2017 BIOMASS: an R Package for estimating aboveground biomass and its uncertainty in tropical forests *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **8** 1163–7
Sasaki N *et al* 2016 Sustainable management of tropical forests can reduce carbon emissions and stabilize timber production *Front. Environ. Sci.* **4** 50
Senf C, Pflugmacher D, Wulder M A and Hostert P 2015 Characterizing spectral-temporal patterns of defoliator and bark beetle disturbances using Landsat time series *Remote Sens. Environ.* **170** 166–77
Sikor T and Baggio J A 2014 Can smallholders engage in tree plantations? An entitlements analysis from Vietnam *World Dev.* **64** S101–S112
Sikor T and Tan N Q 2011 Realizing Forest Rights in Vietnam: Addressing Issues in Community Forest Management RECOFTC: The Center for People and Forests, Bangkok http://hdl.handle.net/10535/7810
Sikor T and To P X 2011 Illegal logging in Vietnam: lam Tac (Forest Hijackers) in Practice and Talk *Soc. Nat. Resour.* **24** 688–701
Sodhi N S *et al* 2009 Local people value environmental services provided by forested parklands *Biodivers. Conserv.* **19** 1175–88
Sodhi N S *et al* 2010 Conserving Southeast Asian forest biodiversity in human-modified landscapes *Biol. Conserv.* **142** 2375–84
Spracklen B D, Kalamandeen M, Galbraith D, Gloor E and Spracklen D V 2015 A global analysis of deforestation in moist tropical forest protected areas *PLoS ONE* **10** e0143886
Stas S *et al* 2020 Logging intensity drives variability in carbon stocks in lowland forests in Vietnam *For. Ecol. Manage.* **460** 117863
Sunderlin W D 2006 Poverty alleviation through community forestry in Cambodia, Laos and Vietnam: as assessment of the potential *For. Policy Econ.* **8** 386–96
Sunderlin W D *et al* 2013 How are REDD+ proponents addressing tenure problems? Evidence from Brazil, Cameroon, Tanzania, Indonesia, and Vietnam *World Dev.* **55** 37–52
Sunderlin W D, Angelsen A, Belcher B, Burgers P, Nasi R, Santoso L and Wunder S 2005 Livelihoods, forests and conservation in developing countries: an overview *World Dev.* **33** 1383–402
Sunderlin W D and Ba H T 2005 *Poverty Alleviation and Forests in Vietnam* (Bogor: CIFOR)
Techato P and Techato K 2018 Patterns of firewood use among ethnic minority communities and local forest management: A case study in Pu Hu Nature Reserve, Vietnam *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* **16** 4229–49
Traedal L T and Vedeld P O 2017 Livelihoods and land uses in environmental policy approaches: the case of PES and REDD+ in the Lam Dong Province of Vietnam *Forests* **8** 39
Tuynh V H and Phuong P X 2001 *Forests Out of Bounds: Impacts and Effectiveness of Logging Bans in Natural Forests in Asia-Pacific* ed P B Durst, T R Waggener, T Enters and L C Tan (Bangkok, Thailand: FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific)
UNDP 2009 UN-REDD Vietnam Programme Revised Standard Joint Programme Document.
Vedeld P, Angelsen A, Bojo J, Sjaastad E and Berg G K 2007 Forest environmental incomes and the rural poor *For. Policy Econ.* **9** 869–79
Warren-Thomas E M *et al* 2018 Protecting tropical forests from the rapid expansion of rubber using carbon payments *Nat. Commun.* **9** 911
White J C, Wulder M A, Hermosilla T, Coops N C and Hobart G W 2017 A nationwide annual characterization of 25 years of forest disturbance and recovery for Canada *Remote Sens. Environ.* **194** 303–21