## PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

## royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rstb

## Research



**Cite this article:** Burke KD, Williams JW, Brewer S, Finsinger W, Giesecke T, Lorenz DJ, Ordonez A. 2019 Differing climatic mechanisms control transient and accumulated vegetation novelty in Europe and eastern North America. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**: 20190218. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0218

Accepted: 21 August 2019

One contribution of 17 to a discussion meeting issue 'The past is a foreign country: how much can the fossil record actually inform conservation?'

#### Subject Areas:

ecology, environmental science, palaeontology

#### **Keywords:**

climate change, climate analogue, novel climate, novel ecosystem, pollen

#### Author for correspondence:

Kevin D. Burke e-mail: kdburke@wisc.edu

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.4673120.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING

## Differing climatic mechanisms control transient and accumulated vegetation novelty in Europe and eastern North America

Kevin D. Burke<sup>1</sup>, John W. Williams<sup>2,3</sup>, Simon Brewer<sup>4</sup>, Walter Finsinger<sup>5</sup>, Thomas Giesecke<sup>6,7</sup>, David J. Lorenz<sup>3</sup> and Alejandro Ordonez<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies, <sup>2</sup>Department of Geography, and <sup>3</sup>Center for Climatic Research, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 550 N. Park Street, Madison, WI 53706, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Geography, University of Utah, 260 S. Central Campus Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84119, USA <sup>5</sup>Palaeoecology, ISEM (UMR 5554 CNRS/UM/EPHE), Place E. Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier, France

<sup>7</sup>Department of Physical Geography, Faculty Geoscience, Utrecht University, PO Box 80115, 3508 TC Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>8</sup>Center for Biodiversity Dynamics in a Changing World and Ecoinformatics and Biodiversity, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 116, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

KDB, 0000-0003-3163-9117; JWW, 0000-0001-6046-9634; WF, 0000-0002-8297-0574; TG, 0000-0002-5132-1061; A0, 0000-0003-2873-4551

Understanding the mechanisms of climate that produce novel ecosystems is of joint interest to conservation biologists and palaeoecologists. Here, we define and differentiate transient from accumulated novelty and evaluate four climatic mechanisms proposed to cause species to reshuffle into novel assemblages: high climatic novelty, high spatial rates of change (displacement), high variance among displacement rates for individual climate variables, and divergence among displacement vector bearings. We use climate simulations to quantify climate novelty, displacement and divergence across Europe and eastern North America from the last glacial maximum to the present, and fossil pollen records to quantify vegetation novelty. Transient climate novelty is consistently the strongest predictor of transient vegetation novelty, while displacement rates (mean and variance) are equally important in Europe. However, transient vegetation novelty is lower in Europe and its relationship to climatic predictors is the opposite of expectation. For both continents, accumulated novelty is greater than transient novelty, and climate novelty is the strongest predictor of accumulated ecological novelty. These results suggest that controls on novel ecosystems vary with timescale and among continents, and that the twenty-first century emergence of novelty will be driven by both rapid rates of climate change and the emergence of novel climate states.

This article is part of a discussion meeting issue 'The past is a foreign country: how much can the fossil record actually inform conservation?'

## 1. Introduction

Conservation biologists, tasked with stewarding biodiversity during the Anthropocene, face the challenge of managing systems during a time of rapid environmental change and the shifting of the climate system to a state unlike any in societal experience. Atmospheric  $CO_2$  is now comparable to Pliocene levels [1] and, if rises continue, may lead to a hothouse future [2] the likes of which our planet has not experienced for tens of millions of years [3]. This raises questions about the adaptive capability of socioecological systems [4], the stabilizing or destabilizing effects of Earth system feedbacks [5,6], and how best to help species and ecosystems adapt

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Palynology and Climate Dynamics, Albrecht-von-Haller-Institute for Plant Sciences, University of Göttingen, Untere Karspüle 2, 37073 Göttingen, Germany



**Figure 1.** Schematic of climatic mechanisms that can generate novel mixtures of species (a-c, adapted from [27]), and spatio-temporal domain of this study (d). (a) Novel climates can enable novel mixtures of species by opening up new portions of climate space and new portions of overlap among fundamental niches of species. Climate novelty is measured by assessing the dissimilarity between the climate for a single location for one time period and its closest analogue among all locations in a reference baseline. Climate novelty can be assessed among present, past and future climates. (b) Climate displacement estimates the mean spatial rate of movement of individual climate variables based on the magnitude component of climate velocity vectors. Climate displacement can produce novel communities in two ways. First, if mean displacement is high and species distribution shifts differentially lag behind these rapid climate changes owing to interspecific differences in dispersal. Second, if displacement variance is high and species vary in their sensitivity to individual climate variables, causing them to be pulled to varying degrees. (c) Climate divergence represents the standard deviation in the direction of climate velocity vectors and, like displacement variance, can produce novel mixtures of species. All four mechanisms were mapped for Europe and eastern North America at 500-year intervals from 21 to 0 ka BP, at 50 km spatial resolution, using climate simulations derived from the CCSM3 SynTrace experiments [28–30].

to recent and projected climate changes via assisted migration, assisted evolution or other climate-targeted solutions in ecological renovation [7].

One expected outcome of current climate trends is the rapid reshuffling of species into novel mixtures. The mutability of species associations is well established by long-term ecological records [8-12], which show that species respond individualistically to environmental changes by shifting, expanding or contracting their distributions at rates and in directions that vary among species [13]. These species-level responses can be summarized at the assemblage level by dissimilarity indices that can capture compositional turnover over time [14] and identify 'no-analogue' species assemblages, i.e. emergent mixtures of species that lack a close analogue to any modern counterparts [8,9]. Ecosystem novelty is already rising, owing to a variety of anthropogenic factors and particularly the legacies of current and historical land use [15-17], and is expected to further increase as historically novel climates emerge and land use intensifies [18].

This emergence of novel environments and ecosystems in of itself is not inherently good or bad for biodiversity, but can lead to new adaptive challenges for species and ecosystem managers as new environmental states and unexpected ecological interactions emerge [10]. High levels of climate novelty create challenges for ecological forecasting owing to reduced predictive skill as future environmental states move outside the environmental domains used for model calibration and testing [19,20]. More broadly, the anticipated trajectory of ecosystems away from historic and well-understood baselines towards novel states is leading conservation biologists toward a conceptual reframing that focuses on ecological renovation instead of restoration [7] and on enabling adaptive responses to directional changes, instead of facilitating ecosystem compositional resilience and recovery to stable baseline states [21–23]. Ecosystem and environmental novelty are central to this new framework: whether a contemporary ecosystem composition is novel relative to historic counterparts is a proposed firstorder branch-point in environmental decision-making [24]. Novel ecosystems have raised ethical and sometimes heated debates about the proper value to place on novel ecosystems relative to those with historical counterparts [25,26].

As interest in novel ecosystems has grown, the number of proposed climatic mechanisms has increased (figure 1). Climatic dissimilarity, high rates of spatial climatic displacement (i.e. the magnitude of climate velocity vectors [31]), variation in rates of spatial displacement and divergence among bearings of velocity vectors are all hypothesized to produce novel species assemblages [9,10,27,32], each through different mechanisms. Climate novelty can produce ecological novelty by shifting the realized climate space into new areas of overlap among the fundamental niches of species, allowing new combinations of species to co-exist [10,11]. Conversely, disappearing climates can cause some species assemblages to disaggregate and may place some species at risk of extirpation (figure 1b) [33]. High climate displacement rates can produce transient novel communities as species vary in their rate of movement or dispersal, causing fast-dispersing species to mix in with slow-dispersing species [27]. High variance in the magnitude or bearing of climate velocities among climate variables can produce novel assemblages as species vary in their

sensitivity to climate variables and so each climate variable 'pulls' species in different directions and at different rates.

Because assessments of novelty depend strongly on choice of reference baseline [15,34], different choice of baselines can lead to different scales of inference (e.g. identifying climates that are continentally novel [35] or geologically novel [3]) and enable insights into the patterns and mechanisms governing the emergence of novel ecosystems over time. Here, we introduce the concepts of transient and accumulated novelty and separately analyse the effects of the above hypothesized climate mechanisms upon each. In transient novelty, each prior time step is the reference baseline for the current period of inference, so transient novelty better captures the short-term emergence of novel communities during periods of rapid environmental change rather than long-term tendencies [9]. In accumulated novelty, the temporal distance between inference time period and reference baseline can be large, so that a time period can be compared with increasingly distant time periods to study how ecological novelty changes over time. Most studies of past climate and vegetation novelty have focused on the novelty of distant past environments relative to the present [10] or among a variety of time periods [20] and are thus assessments of accumulated novelty; the recent assessment of novelty carried out by Finsinger et al. [9] conforms to our definition of transient novelty.

Here, we seek to assess the relative importance of these proposed climate mechanisms for generating novel species mixtures, based on a comparative analysis and spatial modelling of networks of fossil pollen records and transient climate simulations in Europe and eastern North America (ENA) from the last glacial maximum (21 ka BP; LGM) to the present. Europe and ENA offer excellent testing grounds for biogeographic theories owing to their spatio-temporal networks of fossil pollen sites which provide a well-understood and everimproving framework of Late Quaternary climatic history [36-38] and vegetation dynamics [34,39-42]. Despite the extensive literature in both regions, most prior intercontinental comparisons have been qualitative, and each continental literature has tended to emphasize different aspects of vegetation dynamics, e.g. the ENA literature has emphasized fast vegetation responses to climate and novel climates as the primary driver of novel communities [8,43,44], while the European literature has emphasized dispersal limitation and delayed migration from refugia as a key control on postglacial dynamics and current patterns of biodiversity [45-49], although recent papers have explored these questions in both directions [9,50].

To explore the possible effects of the four proposed climatic mechanisms described above on ecological novelty, we first quantify their patterns in space and time for Europe and ENA back to the LGM (figure 1), using downscaled climate simulations from the Community Climate System Model, v. 3 (CCSM3) SynTrace experiment [28,29] and fossil pollen records from the European Pollen Database (EPD) and North American Pollen Database (NAPD) stored in the Neotoma Paleoecology Database (hereafter Neotoma) [9,51]. Then, for transient novelty, we assess temporal trends and fit a series of mixed-effects models to the full spatio-temporal dataset, while for accumulated novelty we assess correlations. This work is the first to our knowledge to quantify and compare continental-scale vegetation novelty with climatic novelty, displacement and divergence simultaneously for Europe and ENA, and thereby offers one of the most comprehensive

assessments to date of the climatic mechanisms that cause species to reshuffle into new communities.

## 2. Material and methods

## (a) Fossil pollen data and vegetation novelty

To quantify vegetation change and novelty across Europe, we use a selection of sites with standardized age models [52], previously assembled for mapping 43 of the most abundant terrestrial taxa in this region [53] (electronic supplementary material, table S1). We use the methods described in the associated publications [9,34,52,53], but also remove duplicate sequences from the same site (electronic supplementary material, appendix S1). The resulting 714 EPD pollen records (figure 2b) are collated into 43 consecutive 500-year-wide age bins, centred on 500-year intervals, from 21 to 0 ka BP (thousand years before present, present defined as 1950 Common Era). However, owing to the scarcity of samples prior to 15 ka BP, pollen samples from 21 to 15 ka BP are averaged into a single late-glacial age bin for each record. This allows us to make inference on critical early time periods throughout our analyses, but warrants some caution when comparing with other 500-year-wide time bins. When more than one sample from a pollen record fell within an age bin, pollen counts were averaged across all samples in that bin. The use of 500-year (or 1000-year) time intervals is common in Late Quaternary vegetation mapping, and is constrained by radiocarbon-dating uncertainties, which can range from 10<sup>1</sup> to 10<sup>3</sup> years and are often on the order of centuries. Other considerations include minimizing the number of bins with no data and maximizing temporal resolution [9]. To avoid sampling from ice-covered regions, we restrict analyses to locations with less than 50% ice cover, using a mask from the ICE-6G gridded data product [54]. Finally, to facilitate the use of time as a random effect in our mixed-effects model framework, we restrict each pollen record to include only its longest, uninterrupted sequence of 500-year binned samples  $(n_{\text{samples EPD}} = 8970)$ , so that there are no gaps within any given record. Thus, the final dataset consists of records that differ in temporal extent, beginning time and end time. The number of EPD records per age bin steadily increases from 14.5 ka BP until the Late Holocene, reaching 468 unique records by 2.5 ka BP (figure 2c). The aggregated full-glacial age bin (21 to 15 ka BP) comprises 76 unique records.

For ENA (figure 2a), we use fossil pollen data drawn from NAPD through Neotoma [51,55] (www.neotomadb.org, accessed on 26 November 2018). We include all datasets specified as 'pollen,' bounded within -105° to -52° longitude and 24°-75° latitude, with calibrated radiocarbon or calendar-year age-depth models. Pollen counts are aggregated using a version of a standard 64-taxon list for North American pollen taxa [56], which we modify by aggregating all pollen types to the genus or family level and removing pollen types that are not well represented across ENA (though are common in western North America), resulting in 43 terrestrial taxa for ENA (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Duplicate sequences are removed, aggregated and masked from ice-covered environments as described for the EPD, resulting in 393 pollen sequences collated into 43 uninterrupted 500-year-wide age bins ( $n_{\text{samples}_\text{NAPD}} = 3421$ ). The number of records per age bin steadily increases from 14.5 ka BP to 0 ka BP, reaching 305 unique records by 0 ka BP (figure 2c). Here, aggregation to a full-glacial bin results in with 94 unique records for 21-15 ka BP.

To quantify vegetation dissimilarity and novelty, we use the squared-chord distance (SCD) metric [8,42]. SCD is calculated for each pollen sample at a given time interval (the period of inference), relative to all pollen samples from a specified reference baseline. Hence, this analysis involves a series of one-to-many comparisons, where the minimum SCD indicates the



**Figure 2.** (*a*,*b*) Map of site locations for all fossil pollen records used to calculate vegetation novelty (n = 393 for ENA, and n = 714 for Europe) and ice extent at 21 ka BP from ICE-GG [54]. Numbered boxes indicate areas used to highlight regional climate trends in later analyses. (*c*) Time series showing the count of sites per time interval. Because of low site density in both ENA and Europe prior to 15 ka BP, pollen samples from 21 to 15 ka BP are grouped together into a single 'full-glacial' age bin.

dissimilarity between the target sample and its closest analogue in the reference baseline. SCD is calculated as follows:

$$\mathrm{SCD}_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \sqrt{p_{jk}} - \sqrt{p_{ik}} \right)^2$$

where SCD<sub>ij</sub> is the multivariate sum of n = 43 squared differences in pollen proportions between the inference period,  $p_{jkr}$  and the reference baseline,  $p_{ikr}$  where k is a given pollen taxon. We characterize vegetation novelty using min(SCD<sub>ij</sub>), thereby using a continuous rather than categorical definition of novelty that is conditioned on choice of baseline [15]. For transient vegetation novelty, the reference baseline consists of pollen samples from the immediately preceding age bin (e.g. for transient novelty at 6 ka BP, the baseline includes all samples from 6.5 ka BP). For accumulated novelty, we allow each time period to serve as the reference baseline for all other time periods. For example, accumulated vegetation novelty at 6 ka BP with respect to 12 ka BP is based on comparing pollen samples from 6 ka BP with those from 12 ka BP, thus assessing the accumulated effects of vegetation changes over a 6000-year period upon the emergence of vegetation novelty.

#### (b) Climate simulations and mechanisms of novelty

All palaeoclimate simulations are from the CCSM3 SynTrace experiments [28,29], bias-corrected and downscaled to  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$  following the methods described by Lorenz *et al.* [30]. Climate simulations are available as decadal averages of monthly values  $(T_{\min}, T_{\max} \text{ and } P_{\text{total}})$  from 22 to 0 ka BP (figure 3; present is defined as 1950 Common Era). We create a standard 200-year mean (20 simulated data points) climatology centred on each 500-year time interval from 21 to 0 ka BP (160 years for 0 ka BP). Monthly values of  $T_{\text{mean}}$  (mean of  $T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{min}}$ ) and  $P_{\text{total}}$  are summarized as three-month means ( $n_{\text{variables}} = 8$ ) for: December, January, February (DJF); March, April, May (MAM); June, July, August (JJA); and September, October November (SON). For visualization and to understand the behaviour of the simulations, we

also calculate annual means for temperature, precipitation and seasonal temperature range ( $T_{\text{JJA}} - T_{\text{DJF}}$ ; figure 3). We apply an ice mask from ICE-6G [54] to restrict analyses to grid locations with less than 50% ice cover. Climate simulations are then projected to an Albers equal-area projection, with a spatial resolution of 50 km, to support calculations of displacement.

Calculation of climate dissimilarity and novelty follows a similar approach to that of vegetation novelty. However, instead of SCD, climate dissimilarity is quantified as the minimum Mahalanobis distance (MD), as it accounts for covariance among climate variables and thereby minimizes bias that may be introduced by strong correlations among seasonal variables [58]. MD is calculated as follows:

$$MD_{ij} = \sqrt{(b_j - a_i)^T S^{-1}(b_j - a_i)}$$
,

where  $a_i$  refers to a vector of climate variables ( $n_{\text{variables}} = 8$ ) from focal cell *i* of the reference baseline dataset,  $b_j$  refers to a vector of climate variables from focal cell *j* of the inference period for which dissimilarity is being assessed, and  $S^{-1}$  is the covariance matrix of the data estimated from the inference and reference climatologies. Calculation of transient and accumulated climate novelty follows that for vegetation novelty.

Climate displacement vectors are calculated for each climate variable, at each grid location, using the local temporal rate of change (electronic supplementary material, appendix S2, figures S1 and S2) and spatial climate gradients, and are expressed in kilometres/decade [31]. The temporal rate of change is quantified for each 50 km grid cell using the slope coefficient from a linear model fitted using generalized least squares with an autoregressive term to account for temporal autocorrelation [27]. This slope is estimated using the 50 decades of climate simulations prior to a specified time interval. For example, the estimate of displacement for  $T_{\text{DJF}}$  at 1 ka BP for one location is based on the slope coefficient fitted to the 50  $T_{\text{DJF}}$  values at that location between 1.5 and 1 ka BP. We use the prior time periods, rather than a time window centred on the interval, to minimize the use of future climate changes as



**Figure 3.** Observed and modelled climate trends from the LGM to the present. (*a*) Oxygen isotopes from NGRIP [57]. (b-d) Time series of simulated climates for mean annual temperature (*b*), total annual precipitation (*c*) and seasonal temperature range (*d*) for five regions across ENA, and six regions across Europe (locations shown in figure 2). The points in each time series show the decadal means from the downscaled climatologies.

predictors of vegetation novelty for a given time period. The local spatial gradient represents the change of a given variable per unit of distance [59], averaged across a 3 × 3 neighbourhood of grid cells.

The spatial orientation of each climate displacement vector is set by the local spatial gradient and the direction of the temporal change for each climate variable [60], so climate divergence represents the standard deviation among spatial bearing for each climate velocity vector. A low divergence suggests that all velocity vectors of all climate variables would tend to shift along the same axis of direction, whereas high divergences indicate that velocity vectors lack congruence in orientation and hence so might species distribution shifts.

# (c) Statistical methods: climatic predictors of vegetation novelty

We tested the importance of hypothesized climate mechanisms of vegetation novelty using two tests, operating at different spatiotemporal grains. First, we modelled the predictors of vegetation novelty for the full spatio-temporal dataset, using a linear mixedeffects model with the nlme and MuMIn packages in R [61-63]. We incorporate only the climatic mechanism data of grid locations containing a pollen record. Climatic dissimilarity, the mean and variance of displacement rates and divergence among bearings of displacement vectors are included as fixed effects, while we represent time as a random effect to test whether the mechanisms resulting in vegetation novelty change over time even after accounting for fixed effects. The model framework also considers the first-order interactions between fixed effects. The resulting 113 candidate models (based on all combinations of fixed effects) were fitted using the maximum-likelihood method and then compared using the Akaike information criterion. We use relative variable importance  $(RVI_v)$  as a metric to determine the strength of support for a given variable v, as a predictor of vegetation novelty.  $RVI_v$  is determined by summing the Akaike weights of each variable across all candidate models in which a given variable v occurs. RVI<sub>v</sub> ranges from 0 to 1, where the larger an RVI<sub>v</sub> value is the more important variable v is relative to other variables [64]. RVI<sub>v</sub> values between first-order terms and interactions should be ranked independently, as the number of candidate models containing these variables differ. As a second test, we quantify



**Figure 4.** Temporal trends for transient vegetation novelty and hypothesized climatic mechanisms for ENA (blue) and Europe (orange) beginning 20 500 years before present. (*a*) Trends in transient vegetation novelty, based on fossil pollen data and SCD. Climatic mechanisms proposed to cause ecological novelty are derived from simulated climate data: (*b*) climate novelty; (*c*) mean of displacement; (*d*) variance of displacement; (*e*) divergence. Solid lines represent the continental median while shading represents the interquartile range for Europe and ENA. Dashed lines represent the subset of climate grid locations that contain a pollen record.

the correlation between regional median vegetation novelty values and each climatic mechanism. This comparison enables the inclusion of accumulated novelty and backwards displacement rates in addition to transient comparisons.

To assess whether analyses are affected by variations in spatial or temporal sample density, particularly with respect to time (figure 2), we run two series of sensitivity tests (electronic supplementary material, appendix S3, figures S3 and S4). In the first test, we test for the effects of data sparsity by proportionally reducing the dataset size by 20, 40, 60 and 80% across all time periods, with 50 replicate subsamples drawn per treatment level. In the second sensitivity test, we produce an even distribution of samples across time by randomly sampling 3000-year lengths from the pollen records until all time periods have 15, 25, 35 or 45 samples, with 100 replicate subsamples drawn per treatment level.

## 3. Results

### (a) Climate trends: Europe and eastern North America

Mean annual temperatures in Europe and ENA rise throughout the deglaciation, culminating in the relatively stable temperatures of the Holocene. The simulated climate data align closely with temperature trends reconstructed from ice cores (figure 3*a*,*b*) [57]. However, several abrupt climatic episodes punctuated this warming trend, including Heinrich Event 1 (a cold interval, or stadial, with an onset *ca* 17 ka BP [65]), the Bølling/Allerød warm period (a warm interstadial beginning *ca* 14.67 ka BP [66]), and the Younger Dryas (stadial, *ca* 11.7 ka BP [67]). The climatic signals associated with these events vary within regions of Europe and ENA, as well as between Europe and ENA (figure 3*b*). The amplitude of simulated millennial-scale temperature variations is higher in Europe, while the spatial gradient in temperature among locations is larger in ENA (figure 3*b*).

Annual precipitation levels in the climate simulations also show the strongest variation during the Bølling transition (figure 3*c*). Across Europe, precipitation decreases from the LGM until *ca* 15 ka BP. The Bølling transition is characterized by an abrupt increase, followed by an abrupt decrease *ca* 1000 years later. In ENA, precipitation shows a small increase from the LGM until the Bølling transition. Around 14 ka BP, it then declines rapidly before rising and remaining relatively constant throughout the Holocene. Regions near the continental interior of North America are modelled to be drier than present during the late-glacial period, while coastal regions are modelled to be comparably wet, or even wetter, than present.

As with mean annual temperature, seasonal temperature range increases during the last deglaciation, reaching a plateau beginning *ca* 17 ka BP (figure 3*d*). The duration of this plateau varies within and among regions. In Europe, the Bølling/Allerød marks a reduction in temperature seasonal range, and in Eastern Europe (regions 4, 5), seasonal temperature range continues to decline thereafter. In Western Europe (regions 1, 2, 3, 6), annual temperature range remains high until 12 ka BP, then gradually declines. In ENA, seasonal temperature range is high until 14 ka BP, then declines, first abruptly, then gradually. In all regions, seasonal temperature ranges are higher in all ENA regions than in Europe, except for the relatively continental regions in Eastern Europe.

## (b) Transient novelty

Trends in transient vegetation novelty during the last deglaciation differ substantially between Europe and ENA (figure 4*a*).

**Table 1.** Summary of the mixed-effects modelling results, for the effects of climatic mechanisms on transient vegetation novelty. Climatic mechanisms are sorted by their Relative Variable Importance (RVI<sub>v</sub>), which indicates the relative support of a variable as a predictor of transient vegetation novelty. Across all models, the average standardized  $\beta$  coefficients are shown for each variable. We include 8970 observations for Europe and 3421 observations for ENA, distributed across all 43 consecutive age bins.

term	RVI <sub>v</sub>	average $\beta$	term	RVI <sub>v</sub>	average $oldsymbol{eta}$
Europe			ENA		
first-order terms			first-order terms		
Climate Novelty	1	-0.034	Climate Novelty	1	0.032
Displacement (Mean)	1	-0.015	Divergence	0.81	0.000
Displacement (Variance)	1	0.000	Disp. (Mean)	0.56	0.001
Divergence	0.68	0.000	Disp. (Variance)	0.54	0.000
interactions			interactions		
ClimNov : DispMean	1	0.013	DispMean : DispVar	0.62	0.000
ClimNov : DispVar	0.93	0.000	ClimNov : Divergence	0.26	0.000
DispMean : DispVar	0.62	0.000	ClimNov : DispMean	0.16	0.001
DispVar : Divergence	0.28	0.000	DispMean : Divergence	0.16	0.000
ClimNov : Divergence	0.23	0.000	ClimNov : DispVar	0.15	0.000
DispMean : Divergence	0.20	0.000	DispVar : Divergence	0.14	0.000

For Europe, the median regional novelty is highest at 14.5 ka BP (relative to a dataset comprising all pollen samples between 21 and 15 ka BP), then rapidly declines to a minimum by 13.5 ka BP. Transient novelty then rises to new plateau by 11.5 ka BP. Conversely, transient novelty in ENA is initially lower than in Europe, but then rises and remains high between 14 and 11.5 ka BP, gradually declining between 11.5 and 4.5 ka BP. Transient novelty in both continents remains relatively low during the Holocene. However, novelty increases beginning around 3 ka BP across Europe, perhaps owing to agricultural land use and land cover conversion [9,68].

These continental-scale trends in vegetation novelty are strongly driven by subcontinental-scale variations. For both continents, transient vegetation novelty is low at most locations (electronic supplementary material, figures S5 and S6), and regions of high novelty are locally confined. In Europe, transient novelty is highest during the Bølling transition, particularly across northern Europe, as is displacement (electronic supplementary material, figure S5). In ENA, transient novelty is high during the Bølling transition along the southern margin of the Laurentide Ice Sheet and along stretches of southeastern and coastal USA (electronic supplementary material, figure S6).

Climate novelty and the mean and variance of displacement rates show temporal trends broadly similar to vegetation novelty, while divergence shows few variations when aggregated across each continent (figure 4*b*–*e*). Climate novelty and displacement rates are highest at 14.5 ka BP for Europe, while peaking slightly later, at 13.5 ka BP, for ENA. In Europe, a secondary peak occurs at 12.5 ka BP, while in ENA climate novelty and displacement steadily decline through the Holocene. The continental-scale trends are similar between medians calculated for all locations versus those calculated just at locations with fossil pollen records (figure 4, dashed versus solid lines), particularly after 15 ka BP, indicating that the pollen site network in this study represents the regional climatic trends of the past 15 ka. Climate divergence is the exception, as values are nearly constant from 21 to 0 ka BP, though some variation exists when considering only sites with pollen records.

The mixed-effects modelling results indicate that the controls on vegetation novelty differ by continent. In ENA, climate novelty is indicated as the most important predictor of vegetation novelty, followed by divergence (table 1). The primary importance of climate novelty as a driver of vegetation novelty in ENA is consistent with prior hypotheses for this region (RVI = 1). Among the interacting effects, we find moderate support (RVI = 0.62) for the interaction between the mean and variance of displacement rates as a predictor of vegetation novelty. Conversely, in Europe, climate novelty and the mean and variance of displacement rates are most important (RVI = 1), followed by divergence (RVI = 0.68) as a moderate predictor of vegetation novelty (table 1). Surprisingly, however, the fitted coefficients for climate novelty and mean displacement are negative (table 1), indicating that these predictors are negatively correlated to transient ecological novelty, contrary to expectation. Among the interacting effects, we find strong support for the interaction between the mean displacement rate and climate novelty as a predictor of vegetation novelty. The mixed-effects models also suggest that time acts as random effect, with different slopes and intercepts (electronic supplementary material, figure S7), indicating that the form of the fitted relationship between vegetation novelty and climatic predictors varies among time intervals.

The sensitivity tests indicate that the rank-ordering of RVI values for the most important predictors of vegetation novelty is largely insensitive to progressive reductions in dataset size (electronic supplementary material, figure S3), while there are minor changes in the ordering of the weakly predictive model variables. Similarly, subsampling tests with standardized numbers of samples for all time intervals mostly preserve the rank-ordering of RVI values (electronic supplementary material, figure S4). Model variables with the strongest support for being predictive of vegetation novelty have the highest RVI values, while there are some changes



**Figure 5.** Median comparisons of accumulated (*a*) vegetation novelty, (*b*) climate novelty, (*c*) displacement and (*d*) divergence for Europe and ENA, for all pairs of time periods. Climatic mechanisms based on full domain of simulated climate data. Horizontal axes represent the time period for which a variable is being assessed, while vertical axes represent the reference baseline period. For example, the high novelty scores in the lower-right quadrant of panel (*a*) show that vegetation novelty in Europe is highest for the mid-Holocene relative to the late-glacial period.

for the weakly predictive variables. For ENA, the variance and mean of displacement rates increase with importance with temporally controlled subsampling, but remain secondary to transient climate novelty and divergence.

## (c) Accumulated versus transient novelty

The mixed-effects modelling focused only on transient novelty (as in [9]), whereas most studies of novel climates and vegetation have focused on accumulated novelty (as in [8]). Figure 5 shows both: values adjacent to the diagonal are equivalent to transient novelty, whereas positions further from the main diagonal correspond to increasingly large temporal distances and accumulated novelty. Moving along the horizontal axis shows novelty of multiple time intervals relative to a single baseline, while moving along the vertical axis shows how dissimilar a single time period is relative to different reference baselines. Comparisons in the lower triangle of these panels follow time's arrow and so novelty here is interpreted as emerging novelty, while the upper panel is time-reversed and so novelty here indicates assemblages that have disappeared over time [33]. Because the spatio-temporal correlation structure in the multi-temporal accumulated data is complex, we present visual descriptions and correlations without attempting to assess significance. Across Europe, accumulated vegetation novelty is highest during Mid-to-Late Holocene relative to the late-glacial. SCD values have a maximum at 4.5 ka BP relative to the late-glacial age bin, but remain higher throughout the Holocene. For ENA, high SCD values are observed throughout the Holocene, with a maximum at 1.5 ka BP with respect to 14 ka BP. Accumulated vegetation novelty tends to be higher in Europe than in ENA, yet accumulated novelty values are more than sixfold the highest transient novelty values for Europe and ENA. Hence, ecological novelty can emerge within forest communities over even short timescales (figure 4), yet novelty of these changes is small relative to the novelty that accumulates over time (figure 5).

Many assemblages of vegetation present during the lateglacial period disappeared by the Early Holocene (figure 5a,e, upper triangle). For example, in Europe, the pollen assemblages from the late-glacial are most dissimilar to pollen from a baseline of 7.5 ka BP (figure 5a). Similarly, for ENA, lateglacial assemblages differ the most from those of the Holocene (figure 5e).

Climatic novelty exhibits a temporal pattern that most closely resembles that of vegetation novelty (figure  $5b_f$ ). MD values are continuously high during the entirety of the Holocene with respect to the late-glacial (21–15 ka BP) for Europe, while showing the highest MD values during the Late Holocene (6–1 ka BP) relative to the late-glacial for ENA. MD values also suggest that climates were more novel across ENA than in Europe during these time periods.

Climate displacement rates indicate intervals of rapid climatic change (figure 5c,g). In this case, the lower triangle shows forward velocities, whereas the upper triangle shows backward velocities (cf. [69,70]). Displacement rates are high for both regions during the Bølling transition and the Younger Dryas, for example. Owing to time-averaging effects, estimated displacement rates decrease in magnitude as temporal distance increases. However, even several thousand years after these abrupt climate events, climate displacement rates remain high relative to the background mean, with e.g. elevated mean displacement rates persisting even 5 ka after onset of the Bølling transition. Climate divergence shows a similar and largely uniform pattern for both regions (figure 5d,h).

Accumulated vegetation novelty correlates most strongly with climate novelty for both Europe and ENA when comparing median values associated with the same reference and inference period (Pearson correlation of 0.61 for Europe, and

0.82 for ENA; electronic supplementary material, figure S8). Only weak correlation exists for displacement (Pearson correlation of -0.21 for Europe and 0.21 for ENA), and for divergence (Pearson correlation of 0.20 for Europe and 0.35 for ENA). For ENA, these results are consistent with the mixed-effects modelling for transient novelty in that climate novelty emerges as the most important predictor of vegetation novelty, followed by divergence. However, for Europe, the findings differ from the mixed-effects modelling for transient novelty, in that climate novelty is again the strongest predictor, but the sign of the coefficient is positive, consistent with prior expectation. Moreover, the correlation of accumulated novelty with climate displacement appears to be weaker than for the transient analyses. This suggests that the importance of climate novelty for ecological novelty may grow as time accumulates, while at shorter timescales additional processes may influence the emergence of novel communities.

## 4. Discussion

### (a) Overview

Our results reinforce the hypothesis that past novel ecosystems form at least partially in response to past novel climates [10,71], while also lending support to fast spatial rates of climate change as important mechanisms for generating ecological novelty. Other work has shown that, for the twenty-first century, different climate predictors of ecological novelty (climate novelty, displacement rates, divergence among climate velocity vectors for individual climate variables) have very different predicted patterns for the twenty-first century [27,72]. Our findings and prior work thus lead to the expectation that, over the coming decades, novel ecosystems are likely to arise in different regions for different reasons: driven, for example, in tropical regions by the emergence of novel climates, owing to fast spatial rates of climate change in low-relief and high-latitude regions [27]. These analyses also indicate that the patterns and likely climatic drivers of ecosystem novelty differ between ENA and Europe and with timescale.

# (b) Controls on transient and accumulated novelty in ENA and Europe

The differences reported here between transient and accumulated novelty and between ENA and Europe, two classic regions for studying past climate-driven vegetation dynamics, suggest that interregional differences in climate history can lead to different ecological outcomes and sensitivities. Much of these climatic and ecological differences can in turn be linked to geographical position and the known spatial variations in climate, both today and during the last deglaciation. Europe, given its position downwind of the North Atlantic, tends to show stronger signals of millennial-scale climate variations that are driven by meltwater inputs into the North Atlantic and corresponding changes in ocean circulation, heat content and sea ice extent (figure 3b,c; [73,74]). Similarly, because European climates have a strong maritime influence, seasonal temperature ranges, both past and present, tend to be reduced relative to temperature ranges in ENA, particularly in Western Europe (figure 3d). Hence, orbital-induced Late Quaternary variations in seasonal temperature range, which are hypothesized to be important drivers of past novel climates and an important control on the formation of late-glacial noanalogue plant communities in ENA [10,71], may have more muted influence on European vegetation dynamics.

These geographical and climatic factors may explain why in ENA both accumulated and transient vegetation novelty show a strong positive relationship with climate novelty, while in Europe the expression of transient novel ecosystems during the last deglaciation is less pronounced and tends to be strongest at 14.5 ka BP, at the beginning of the time series analysed here (figure 4*a*). This weaker expression of transient ecological novelty in Europe may also explain why the hypothesized positive relationship between climate novelty and ecosystem novelty emerges only for accumulated novelty (figure 5 and table 1), i.e. at short timescales the differences between adjacent time steps are muted and the signals of ecological novelty are relatively weak, whereas as temporal distance increases, the ecological and climatic novelty between time intervals increases and the signals strengthen.

This difference in geographical position and climatic history may also help explain the different emphases in the European and ENA literatures on rates of climate change and vegetation disequilibrium as important drivers of vegetation dynamics. Postglacial rates of climate displacement usually are higher in Europe than in ENA, notably by almost 2.5-fold at 17 ka BP, and nearly 1.8-fold greater at 14.5 ka BP (figure 4c). In both regions, the climate displacement rates of the last deglaciation are high even by twenty-first century standards, with past rates peaking between 3 and 5 km/decade between 14.5 and 13.5 ka BP (figure 4c), versus projected future global mean displacement rates of 4.2 km/decade (based on temperature alone, [31]). The larger-amplitude mean annual temperature variations (figure 3b) and rates of spatial displacement in Western Europe make this region ideal for studying vegetation responses to abrupt warming [14,75]. Individual plant taxa have responded to climatic fluctuations at varying rates owing to their life-history traits and dispersal capabilities. Hence, abrupt millennial-scale climate changes may be a central control on European vegetation community dynamics and structure [34,76]. The literature varies on whether dispersal limitation was an important control on postglacial rates of tree migration in Europe and transient community assembly. Pollen-based maps indicate rates of expansion averaging 4-10 km/decade in Europe, with little evidence for climate limitation [34], while modelling studies that combine environmental niche modelling with migration modelling suggest that expansion of Fagus sylvatica in some areas was limited by seed dispersal [47]. In ENA, where millennial-scale temperature variations are more muted (figure 3b), vegetation disequilibrium may be less important [8,77], although rates of tree range expansion for some species may be limited by species mutualisms and other factors [78].

This work thus adds new dimensions to intersecting effects of climatic novelty and rates of climate change on ecological novelty and understanding the differences between ENA and Europe in postglacial vegetation dynamics. Traditional explanations for differences between Europe and ENA in postglacial rates of tree expansion have focused on the size and orientation of mountain ranges. In this framework, the European Alps act as a barrier to northwards species range expansion, while the north–south trending Appalachians act as a corridor. More recent work has highlighted the importance of mountainous regions as providing microclimate refugia for species through high topographic and substrate complexity [48,79]. Additionally,

low climate velocities in mountainous areas may allow species to quickly adapt to changing climates by moving upslope or downslope into nearby, thermally suitable, habitats [60]. The work presented here suggests that differences in climatic history and resultant magnitudes of postglacial rates of climate change may be an underappreciated source of differences in drivers of vegetation dynamics and the formation of novel ecosystems. In particular, key factors include the differential position of ENA and Europe on opposite sides of the North Atlantic, the higher continentality and seasonality of ENA climates, and the faster rates of millennial-scale climate change in Europe.

#### (c) Caveats

An important caveat with these results is their reliance on the CCSM3 SynTrace simulations. All palaeoclimatic simulations carry inaccuracies and biases (as do many inferences based on empirical palaeoclimatic proxies). Comparisons of CCSM3 simulations to chironomid-inferred summer temperature reconstructions in the Mediterranean suggest similar temperatures through the Early Holocene but that the CCSM3 SynTrace experiments underestimate the magnitude of Younger Dryas cooling [80]. Potential inaccuracies in the climate simulations, combined with weaker signals of vegetation novelty together with multiple predictors and interaction terms, may explain why some climatic mechanisms in Europe have negative coefficients in the mixed-effects model (table 1), contrary to expectation. More work is needed here. In particular, there is an urgent need to move beyond palaeoclimatic simulations for understanding the climatic drivers of past vegetation dynamics, but independent palaeotemperature records of the last deglaciation remain scarce, particularly in ENA [81,82]. A key step forward is to build palaeoclimatic data products based on the assimilation of Earth system model simulations with independent palaeoclimatic proxy records to produce global palaeoclimatic data products that are consistent with the available data [83].

A secondary caveat lies in potential limitations in the fossil pollen record. The fossil pollen records in ENA and Europe represent the densest networks available for the last 20 ka. Nonetheless, pollen records offer an incomplete and biased representation of vegetation history, with a variable taxonomic resolution that is typically at the genus level and usually ranges from species to families. This variable taxonomic resolution can cause some aspects of past novel ecosystems to be emphasized and others overlooked [84]. The application of pollen-vegetation models to fossil pollen records may reduce the bias of quantifying past abundance and their changes [85]. Sensitivity analyses suggest that our main findings are not too sensitive to variations in site density (electronic supplementary material, figures S3 and S4). Nonetheless, site density decreases back through time (figure 2c), which underscores the need for additional longterm ecological records during the abrupt climatic and ecological changes of the last deglaciation.

### (d) Implications for conservation biology

The last deglaciation (19 000–8000 years ago) is one of the best available model systems for studying broad-scale species responses to climate change: the species involved are nearly all present today, networks of long-term ecological records are dense relative to earlier time periods, and the deglaciation encompasses large changes in global climates, ice sheets, species distributions and human footprints. A defining ecological characteristic of this time period is its dynamism; species distributions shift as climate changes, and ecosystems show large changes in composition, often including mixtures of species no longer found at present. These records of climate-driven range dynamics and a growing understanding of the driving mechanisms of past novel communities, combined with on-going climate changes at present, are helping shift the conceptual focus of conservation biology to broaden from frameworks primarily focused on resilience and restoration to frameworks that also include efforts to facilitate responses of ecosystems to changing and novel climates [21-23]. A key need in conservation biology is to develop climate metrics that best indicate climate exposure and vulnerability [86,87]. However different metrics, when applied to the historic and projected climate trends for the twenty and twenty-first centuries, show large differences in spatial patterns at continental to global scales, leading to uncertainty about which metrics are best suited for conservation planning [70,72,88]. For example, during the twentieth century and early twenty-first century, climate novelty is globally highest in the tropics, while displacement rates are fastest in the high latitudes and topographically flat areas [27]. The fossil record offers the opportunity to test such metrics against the observed ecological changes in the past, as a way of testing hypotheses about the climatic mechanisms that drive species range dynamics, community turnover and the emergence of novel ecosystems. Of course, other factors besides climate change can produce, and may already have produced in the past [9], novel ecosystems and, as humankind ventures into the Anthropocene, other anthropogenic pressures will be pervasive [89] and should be considered in tandem with the pressures of a changing climate. Nonetheless, by better understanding when and where past novel species assemblages emerged, and the strongest climatic predictors, palaeoecologists and conservation biologists can better understand and predict where novel ecosystems are likely to emerge in the coming decades. Garnering knowledge of the past may thus be critical to facilitating the managed renovation of ecosystems in the coming decades.

Data accessibility. Data associated with our mixed-effects modelling framework, pollen count data and downscaled climate simulations for Europe have been deposited in the Dryad Digital Repository (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.9w0vt4b9s) [90]. Downscaled climate simulations for ENA (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.1597g.2) [91] and Matlab code to calculate novelty (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad. 0j18k00) [92] are available in Dryad from their original publications.

Authors' contributions. K.D.B., J.W.W., and A.O. designed the research; K.D.B. performed research; D.J.L provided downscaled climate simulations for Europe. All authors analysed data and contributed to writing the paper.

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding. We thank V. C. Radeloff and the Novel Ecosystems Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship participants (grant no. 1144752). Additional support was provided by NSF grant no. DEB-1353896.

Acknowledgements. We thank the data stewards and contributors of original data to the Neotoma Paleoecology Database and its constituent databases the European Pollen Database and North American Pollen Database for the availability of pollen data. We thank the Royal Society and organizers (S. T. Turvey & E. E. Saupe) of the special symposium for bringing together conservation biologists and palaeobiologists. We also thank members of the Williams Laboratory for thoughtful discussion during manuscript development.

## References

- Haywood AM *et al.* 2016 The Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project (PlioMIP) Phase 2: Scientific objectives and experimental design. *Clim. Past* 12, 663–675. (doi:10.5194/cp-12-663-2016)
- Steffen W *et al.* 2018 Trajectories of the Earth System in the Anthropocene. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 8252–8259. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1810141115)
- Burke KD, Williams JW, Chandler MA, Haywood AM, Lunt DJ, Otto-Bliesner BL. 2018 Pliocene and Eocene provide best analogs for near-future climates. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 13 288–13 293. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.1809600115)
- Kareiva P, Fuller E. 2016 Beyond resilience: how to better prepare for the profound disruption of the Anthropocene. *Glob. Policy* 7, 107–118. (doi:10. 1111/1758-5899.12330)
- Lenton TM, Williams HTP. 2013 On the origin of planetary-scale tipping points. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 28, 380–382. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2013.06.001)
- Barnosky AD *et al.* 2012 Approaching a state-shift in Earth's biosphere. *Nature* 486, 52–58. (doi:10.1038/ nature11018)
- Prober SM, Doerr VAJ, Broadhurst LM, Williams KJ, Dickson F. 2019 Shifting the conservation paradigm: a synthesis of options for renovating nature under climate change. *Ecol. Monogr.* 89, 1–23. (doi:10. 1002/ecm.1333)
- Williams JW, Shuman BN, Webb III T. 2001 Dissimilarity analyses of late-Quaternary vegetation and climate in eastern North America. *Ecology* 82, 3346–3362. (doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[3346:daolqv]2.0.co;2)
- Finsinger W, Giesecke T, Brewer S, Leydet M. 2017 Emergence patterns of novelty in European vegetation assemblages over the past 15 000 years. *Ecol. Lett.* 20, 336–346. (doi:10.1111/ele.12731)
- Williams JW, Jackson ST. 2007 Novel climates, no-analog communities, and ecological surprises. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 5, 475–482. (doi:10.1890/070037)
- Jackson ST, Overpeck JT. 2000 Responses of plant populations and communities to environmental changes of the late Quaternary. *Paleobiology* 26, 194–220. (doi:10.1017/S0094837300026932)
- Davis MB. 1976 Pleistocene biogeography of temperate deciduous forests. *Geosci. Man* XIII, 13–26.
- Aitken SN, Yeaman S, Holliday JA, Wang T, Curtis-McLane S. 2008 Adaptation, migration or extirpation: climate change outcomes for tree populations. *Evol. Appl.* 1, 95–111. (doi:10.1111/j. 1752-4571.2007.00013.x)
- Seddon AWR, Macias-Fauria M, Willis KJ. 2015 Climate and abrupt vegetation change in Northern Europe since the last deglaciation. *Holocene* 25, 25–36. (doi:10.1177/0959683614556383)
- Radeloff VC *et al.* 2015 The rise of novelty in ecosystems. *Ecol. Appl.* 25, 2051–2068. (doi:10. 1890/14-1781.1)
- 16. Goring SJ *et al.* 2016 Novel and lost forests in the upper Midwestern United States, from new

estimates of settlement-era composition, stem density, and biomass. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0151935. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151935)

- 17. Hobbs RJ, Higgs ES, Hall CM (eds) 2013 Novel ecosystems: intervening in the new ecological world order. New York, NY: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Ordonez A, Martinuzzi S, Radeloff VC, Williams JW. 2014 Combined speeds of climate and land-use change of the conterminous US until 2050. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 4, 811–816. (doi:10.1038/nclimate2337)
- Fitzpatrick MC, Blois JL, Williams JW, Nieto-Lugilde D, Maguire KC, Lorenz DJ. 2018 How will climate novelty influence ecological forecasts? Using the Quaternary to assess future reliability. *Glob. Change Biol.* 24, 3575–3586. (doi:10.1111/gcb.14138)
- Maguire KC, Blois JL, Williams JW, Nieto-Lugilde D, Lorenz DJ, Ferrier S, Fitzpatrick MC. 2016 Controlled comparison of species- and community-level models across novel climates and communities. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 283, 20152817. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.2817)
- Fisichelli NA, Schuurman GW, Hoffman CH. 2016 Is 'resilience' maladaptive? Towards an accurate lexicon for climate change adaptation. *Environ. Manage.* 57, 753–758. (doi:10.1007/s00267-015-0650-6)
- Millar CI, Stephenson NL, Stephens SL. 2007 Climate change and forest of the future: managing in the face of uncertanity. *Ecol. Appl.* **17**, 2145–2151. (doi:10.1890/06-1715.1)
- Rissman AR *et al.* 2018 Forest management for novelty, persistence, and restoration influenced by policy and society. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 16, 454–462. (doi:10.1002/fee.1818)
- Barnosky AD *et al.* 2017 Merging paleobiology with conservation biology to guide the future of terrestrial ecosystems. *Science* **355**, eaah4787. (doi:10.1126/science.aah4787)
- Murcia C, Aronson J, Kattan GH, Moreno-Mateos D, Dixon K, Simberloff D. 2014 A critique of the 'novel ecosystem' concept. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 29, 548–553. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2014.07.006)
- Hobbs RJ, Higgs ES, Harris JA. 2014 Novel ecosystems: concept or inconvenient reality? A response to Murcia *et al. Trends Ecol. Evol.* 29, 645–646. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2014.09.006)
- Ordonez A, Williams JW, Svenning J-C. 2016 Mapping climatic mechanisms likely to favour the emergence of novel communities. *Nat. Clim. Change* 6, 1104–1109. (doi:10.1038/nclimate3127)
- Liu Z *et al.* 2009 Transient simulation of last deglaciation with a new mechanism for Bølling-Allerød warming. *Science* **325**, 310–314. (doi:10. 1126/science.1171041)
- He F, Shakun JD, Clark PU, Carlson AE, Liu Z, Otto-Bliesner B, Kutzbach JE. 2013 Northern Hemisphere forcing of Southern Hemisphere climate during the last deglaciation. *Nature* 494, 81–85. (doi:10.1038/ nature11822)
- Lorenz DJ, Nieto-Lugilde D, Blois JL, Fitzpatrick MC, Williams JW. 2016 Downscaled and debiased climate simulations for North America from 21,000

years ago to 2100AD. *Scient. Data* **3**, 160048. (doi:10.1038/sdata.2016.48)

- Loarie SR, Duffy PB, Hamilton H, Asner GP, Field CB, Ackerly DD. 2009 The velocity of climate change. *Nature* 462, 1052–1055. (doi:10.1038/ nature08649)
- Reu B, Zaehle S, Bohn K, Pavlick R, Schmidtlein S, Williams JW, Kleidon A. 2014 Future no-analogue vegetation produced by no-analogue combinations of temperature and insolation. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 23, 156–167. (doi:10.1111/geb.12110)
- Williams JW, Jackson ST, Kutzbach JE. 2007 Projected distributions of novel and disappearing climates by 2100 AD. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 5738–5742. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0606292104)
- Giesecke T, Brewer S, Finsinger W, Leydet M, Bradshaw RHW. 2017 Patterns and dynamics of European vegetation change over the last 15,000 years. J. Biogeogr. 44, 1441–1456. (doi:10.1111/ jbi.12974)
- Mahony CR, Cannon AJ, Wang T, Aitken SN. 2017 A closer look at novel climates: new methods and insights at continental to landscape scales. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23, 3934–3955. (doi:10.1111/qcb.13645)
- COHMAP members. 1988 Climatic changes of the last 18,000 years: observations and model simulations. *Science* 241, 1043–1052. (doi:10.1126/ science.241.4869.1043)
- Webb III T, Anderson KH, Bartlein PJ, Webb RS. 1998 Late Quaternary climate change in eastern North America: a comparison of pollen-derived estimates with climate model results. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 17, 587–606. (doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(98) 00013-4)
- Jackson ST, Overpeck JT. 2000 Responses of plant populations and communities to environmental changes of the Late Quaternary. *Paleo* 26, 194–220.
- Davis MB, Shaw RG. 2001 Range shifts and adaptive responses to Quaternary climate change. *Science* 292, 673–679. (doi:10.1126/science.292.5517.673)
- Bennett KD, Tzedakis PC, Willis KJ. 1991 Quaternary refugia of north European trees. J. Biogeogr. 18, 103–115. (doi:10.2307/2845248)
- Jackson ST, Overpeck JT, Webb III T, Keattch SE, Anderson KH. 1997 Mapped plant-macrofossil and pollen records of late Quaternary vegetation change in eastern North America. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 16, 1–70. (doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(96)00047-9)
- Huntley B. 1990 Dissimilarity mapping between fossil and contemporary pollen spectra in Europe for the past 13,000 years. *Quat. Res.* 33, 360–376. (doi:10.1016/0033-5894(90)90062-P)
- Webb III T. 1986 Is vegetation in equilibrium with climate? How to interpret late-Quaternary pollen data. *Vegetatio* 67, 75–91. (doi:10.1007/BF00037359)
- Shuman BN, Newby PC, Huang Y, Webb III T. 2004 Evidence for the close climatic control of New England vegetation history. *Ecology* 85, 1297–1310. (doi:10.1890/02-0286)
- 45. Svenning J-C, Sandel B. 2013 Disequilibrium vegetation dynamics under future climate change.

*Am. J. Bot.* **100**, 1266–1286. (doi:10.3732/ajb. 1200469)

- Svenning J-C, Skov F. 2007 Ice age legacies in the geographical distribution of tree species richness in Europe. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 16, 234–245. (doi:10. 1111/j.1466-8238.2006.00280.x)
- Saltré F, Saint-Amant R, Gritti ES, Brewer S, Gaucherel C, Davis BAS, Chuine I. 2013 Climate or migration: what limited European beech post-glacial colonization? *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 22, 1217–1227. (doi:10.1111/geb.12085)
- Gavin DG *et al.* 2014 Climate refugia: joint inference from fossil records, species distribution models and phylogeography. *New Phytol.* 204, 37–54. (doi:10. 1111/nph.12929)
- Normand S, Ricklefs RE, Skov F, Bladt J, Tackenberg O, Svenning JC. 2011 Postglacial migration supplements climate in determining plant species ranges in Europe. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 278, 3644–3653. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.2769)
- 50. Enquist BJ *et al.* 2018 Late Quaternary climate legacies in contemporary plant functional composition. *Glob. Change Biol.* **24**, 4827–4840. (doi:10.1111/gcb.14375)
- Williams JW *et al.* 2018 The Neotoma Paleoecology Database, a multiproxy, international, communitycurated data resource. *Quat. Res.* 89, 156–177. (doi:10.1017/qua.2017.105)
- Giesecke T *et al.* 2014 Towards mapping the late Quaternary vegetation change of Europe. *Veg. Hist. Archaeobot.* 23, 75–86. (doi:10.1007/s00334-012-0390-y)
- 53. Brewer S *et al.* 2016 Late-glacial and Holocene European pollen data. *J. Maps* **13**, 921–928. (doi:10.1080/17445647.2016.1197613)
- Peltier WR, Argus DF, Drummond R. 2015 Space geodesy constrains ice age terminal deglaciation: the global ICE-6G\_C (VM5a) model. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* **120**, 450–487. (doi:10.1002/ 2014JB011176)
- Goring S, Dawson A, Simpson G, Ram K, Graham RW, Grimm EC, Williams JW. 2015 neotoma: A programmatic interface to the Neotoma Paleoecological Database. *Open Quat.* 1, 1–17. (doi:10.5334/oq.ab)
- Williams JW, Shuman B. 2008 Obtaining accurate and precise environmental reconstructions from the modern analog technique and North American surface pollen dataset. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 27, 669–687. (doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.01.004)
- 57. NGRIP members. 2004 High-resolution record of Northern Hemisphere climate extending into the last interglacial period. *Nature* **431**, 147–151. (doi:10.1038/nature02805)
- Mahony CR, MacKenzie WH, Aitken SN. 2018 Novel climates: trajectories of climate change beyond the boundaries of British Columbia's forest management knowledge system. *Forest Ecol. Manage.* 410, 35–47. (doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2017. 12.036)
- Burrows MT *et al.* 2011 The pace of shifting climate in marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Science* 334, 652–655. (doi:10.1126/science.1210288)

- Ackerly DD, Loarie SR, Cornwell WK, Weiss SB, Hamilton H, Branciforte R, Kraft NJB. 2010 The geography of climate change: implications for conservation biogeography. *Divers. Distrib.* 16, 476–487. (doi:10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00654.x)
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Core Team. 2018 nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-137. See https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme.
- 62. R Core Team. 2018 *R: a language and environment for statistical computing (version 3.5.1).* Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. See http://www.R-project.org/.
- Bartoń K. 2019 MuMln: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.41.1. See https://CRAN.R-project. org/package=MuMln.
- 64. Burnham KP, Anderson DR. 2002 Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical informationtheoretic approach, 2nd edn. New York, NY: Springer.
- Marcott SA *et al.* 2011 Ice-shelf collapse from subsurface warming as a trigger for Heinrich events. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 13 415–13 419. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1104772108)
- Severinghaus J, Brook E. 1999 Abrupt climate change at the end of the last glacial period inferred from trapped air in polar ice. *Science* 286, 930–934. (doi:10.1126/science.286.5441.930)
- Severinghaus JP, Sowers T, Brook EJ, Alley RB, Bender ML. 1998 Timing of abrupt climate change at the end of the Younger Dryas interval from thermally fractionated gases in polar ice. *Nature* **391**, 141–146. (doi:10.1038/34346)
- Marquer L *et al.* 2017 Quantifying the effects of land use and climate on Holocene vegetation in Europe. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **171**, 20–37. (doi:10.1016/j. quascirev.2017.07.001)
- Hamann A, Roberts DR, Barber QE, Carroll C, Nielsen SE. 2015 Velocity of climate change algorithms for guiding conservation and management. *Glob. Change Biol.* 21, 997–1004. (doi:10.1111/gcb. 12736)
- Carroll C, Lawler JJ, Roberts DR, Hamann A. 2015 Biotic and climatic velocity identify contrasting areas of vulnerability to climate change. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0140486. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140486)
- Jackson ST. 2000 Out of the garden and into the cooler? A Quaternary perspective on deep-time paleoecology. *Paleontol. Soc. Pap.* 6, 287–308. (doi:10.1017/S1089332600000814)
- Belote RT, Carroll C, Martinuzzi S, Michalak J, Williams JW, Williamson MA, Aplet GH. 2018 Assessing agreement among alternative climate change projections to inform conservation recommendations in the contiguous United States. *Scient. Rep.* 8, 9441. (doi:10.1038/s41598-018-27721-6)
- Alley RB, Clark PU. 2002 The deglaciation of the Northern Hemisphere: a global perspective. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 27, 149–182. (doi:10.1146/ annurev.earth.27.1.149)
- 74. Clark PU, Marshall SJ, Clarke GKC, Hostetler SW, Licciardi JM, Teller JT. 2001 Freshwater forcing of

abrupt climate change during the last glaciation. *Science* **293**, 283–287. (doi:10.1126/science. 1062517)

- Ammann B et al. 2013 Responses to rapid warming at Termination 1a at Gerzensee (Central Europe): primary succession, albedo, soils, lake development, and ecological interactions. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **391**, 111–131. (doi:10. 1016/j.palaeo.2013.11.009)
- Huntley B, Allen JRM, Collingham YC, Hickler T, Lister AM, Singarayer J, Stuart AJ, Sykes MT, Valdes PJ. 2013 Millennial climatic fluctuations are key to the structure of last glacial ecosystems. *PLoS ONE* 8, e61963. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061963)
- Ordonez A, Williams JW. 2013 Climatic and biotic velocities for woody taxa distributions over the last 16 000 years in eastern North America. *Ecol. Lett.* 16, 773–781. (doi:10.1111/ele.12110)
- Pither J, Pickles BJ, Simard SW, Ordonez A, Williams JW. 2018 Below-ground biotic interactions moderated the postglacial range dynamics of trees. *New Phytol.* 220, 1148–1160. (doi:10.1111/nph.15203)
- Hampe A, Jump AS. 2011 Climate relicts: past, present, future. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 42, 313–333. (doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145015)
- Samartin S, Heiri O, Joos F, Renssen H, Franke J, Brönnimann S, Tinner W. 2017 Warm Mediterranean mid-Holocene summers inferred from fossil midge assemblages. *Nat. Geosci.* **10**, 207–212. (doi:10.1038/ngeo2891)
- Watson BI, Williams JW, Russell JM, Jackson ST, Shane L, Lowell TV. 2018 Temperature variations in the southern Great Lakes during the last deglaciation: comparison between pollen and GDGT proxies. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **182**, 78–92. (doi:10.1016/j. quascirev.2017.12.011)
- Marlon JR *et al.* 2017 Climatic history of the northeastern United States during the past 3000 years. *Clim. Past* **13**, 1355–1379. (doi:10.5194/cp-13-1355-2017)
- Franke J, Brönnimann S, Bhend J, Brugnara Y. 2017 A monthly global paleo-reanalysis of the atmosphere from 1600 to 2005 for studying past climatic variations. *Scient. Data* 4, 170076. (doi:10. 1038/sdata.2017.76)
- Jackson ST, Williams JW. 2004 Modern analogs in Quaternary paleoecology: here today, gone yesterday, gone tomorrow? *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 32, 495–537. (doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.32. 101802.120435)
- Dawson A, Paciorek CJ, McLachlan JS, Goring S, Williams JW, Jackson ST. 2016 Quantifying pollenvegetation relationships to reconstruct ancient forests using 19th-century forest composition and pollen data. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **137**, 156–175. (doi:10. 1016/j.quascirev.2016.01.012)
- Belote RT *et al.* 2017 Mapping conservation strategies under a changing climate. *Bioscience* 67, 534–545. (doi:10.1093/biosci/bix028)
- Dawson TP, Jackson ST, House JI, Prentice IC, Mace GM. 2011 Beyond predictions: biodiversity conservation in a changing climate. *Science* 332, 53–58. (doi:10.1126/science.1200303)

- Dobrowski SZ, Parks SA. 2016 Climate change velocity underestimates climate change exposure in mountainous regions. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12349. (doi:10.1038/ ncomms12349)
- Kareiva P, Marvier M. 2012 What is conservation science? *Bioscience* 62, 962–969. (doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.11.5)
- Burke KD, Williams JW, Brewer S, Finsinger W, Giesecke T, Lorenz DJ, Ordonez A. 2019 Data from: Differing climatic mechanisms control transient and accumulated vegetation novelty in Europe and eastern North America. Dryad Digital Repository. (doi:10.5061/dryad.9w0vt4b9s)
- 91. Lorenz DJ, Nieto-Lugilde D, Blois JL, Fitzpatrick MC, Williams JW. 2016 Data from: Downscaled and

debiased climate simulations for North America from 21,000 years ago to 2100AD, v2, Dryad Digital Repository. (doi:10.5061/dryad.1597g)

 Burke KD, Williams JW, Chandler MA, Haywood AM, Lunt DJ, Otto-Bliesner B. 2018 Data from: Pliocene and Eocene provide best analogs for near-future climates. Dryad Digital Repository. (doi:10.5061/ dryad.0j18k00)