

# snippets

Issue 37 - December 2019  
Special issue in honor of Uli Sauerland

## Contents

1.	Andreea C. Nicolae, Patrick D. Elliott, and Yasutada Sudo	
	<i>Introduction</i> .....	1
2.	Dorothy Ahn	
	<i>ASL IX to locus as a modifier</i> .....	2
3.	Artemis Alexiadou	
	<i>Decomposing scalar approximatives in Greek</i> .....	4
4.	Anna Alsop, Lucas Champollion, and Ioana Grosu	
	<i>A problem for Fox's (2007) account of free choice disjunction</i> .....	7
5.	Anton Benz and Nicole Gotzner	
	<i>Quantifier irgendein and local implicature</i> .....	10
6.	Jonathan David Bobaljik and Susi Wurmbrand	
	<i>Fake indexicals, binding, and the PCC</i> .....	13
7.	Brian Buccola and Emmanuel Chemla	
	<i>Alternatives of disjunctions: when a disjunct contains the antecedent of a pronoun</i> ....	16
8.	Luka Crnić and Brian Buccola	
	<i>Scoping NPIs out of DPs</i> .....	19
9.	Chris Cummins	
	<i>Some contexts requiring precise number meanings</i> .....	22
10.	Patrick D. Elliott and Paul Marty	
	<i>Exactly one theory of multiplicity inferences</i> .....	24

11.	Anamaria Fălăuș and Andreea C. Nicolae	
	<i>Two coordinating particles are better than one: free choice items in Romanian</i> . . . . .	27
12.	Danny Fox	
	<i>Individual concepts and narrow scope illusions</i> . . . . .	30
13.	Danny Fox	
	<i>Degree concepts and narrow scope illusions</i> . . . . .	33
14.	Nicole Gotzner	
	<i>Disjunction, conjunction, and exhaustivity</i> . . . . .	35
15.	Martin Hackl	
	<i>On Haddock's puzzle and the role of presupposition in reference resolution</i> . . . . .	37
16.	Andreas Haida	
	<i>Symmetry, density, and formal alternatives</i> . . . . .	40
17.	Nina Haslinger and Viola Schmitt	
	<i>Strengthened disjunction or non-classical conjunction?</i> . . . . .	43
18.	Fabian Heck and Anke Himmelreich	
	<i>Two observations about reconstruction</i> . . . . .	46
19.	Aron Hirsch	
	<i>Modal adverbs and constraints on type-flexibility</i> . . . . .	49
20.	Natalia Ivlieva and Alexander Podobryaev	
	<i>On variable agreement and scope reconstruction in Russian</i> . . . . .	52
21.	Hadil Karawani	
	<i>The past is rewritten</i> . . . . .	54
22.	Manfred Krifka and Fereshteh Modarresi	
	<i>Persian ezafe and proportional quantifiers</i> . . . . .	56
23.	Paul Marty	
	<i>Maximize Presupposition! and presupposition satisfaction</i> . . . . .	59
24.	Lisa Matthewson, Sihwei Chen, Marianne Huijsmans, Marcin Morzycki, Daniel Reisinger, and Hotze Rullmann	
	<i>Restricting the English past tense</i> . . . . .	61
25.	Clemens Mayr	
	<i>On a seemingly nonexistent cumulative reading</i> . . . . .	65
26.	Marie-Christine Meyer	
	<i>Scalar Implicatures in complex contexts</i> . . . . .	67
27.	Moreno Mitrović	
	<i>Null disjunction in disguise</i> . . . . .	70
28.	Andreea C. Nicolae and Yasutada Sudo	
	<i>The exhaustive relevance of complex conjunctions</i> . . . . .	72
29.	Rick Nouwen	
	<i>Scalar vagueness regulation and locative reference</i> . . . . .	75

30.	Robert Pasternak	
	<i>Unifying partitive and adjective-modifying percent</i> . . . . .	77
31.	Hazel Pearson and Frank Sode	
	<i>'Not in my wildest dreams': a part time minimizer?</i> . . . . .	80
32.	Orin Percus	
	<i>Uli and our generation: some reminiscences</i> . . . . .	82
33.	Jacopo Romoli	
	<i>Why them?</i> . . . . .	84
34.	Fabienne Salfner	
	<i>The rise and fall of non-conservatives</i> . . . . .	87
35.	Petra B. Schumacher	
	<i>Vagueness and context-sensitivity of absolute gradable adjectives</i> . . . . .	90
36.	Stephanie Solt	
	<i>More or less an approximator</i> . . . . .	93
37.	Giorgos Spathas	
	<i>Plural anaphoric reference and non-conservativity</i> . . . . .	95
38.	Benjamin Spector	
	<i>An argument for the trivalent approach to presupposition projection</i> . . . . .	97
39.	Bob van Tiel	
	<i>'The case against fuzzy logic revisited' revisited</i> . . . . .	100
40.	Lyn Tieu	
	<i>A developmental asymmetry between the singular and plural</i> . . . . .	103
41.	Tue Trinh	
	<i>A tense question</i> . . . . .	106
42.	Hubert Truckenbrodt	
	<i>On remind-me presuppositions and embedded question acts</i> . . . . .	108
43.	Michael Wagner	
	<i>Disjuncts must be mutually excludable</i> . . . . .	111
44.	E. Cameron Wilson	
	<i>Constraints on non-conservative readings in English</i> . . . . .	114
45.	Susi Wurmbrand	
	<i>Indexical shift meets ECM</i> . . . . .	117

# Scalar vagueness regulation and locative reference

Rick Nouwen · Utrecht University

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7358/snip-2019-037-nouw>

*Scalar vagueness* (Sauerland and Stateva, 2011, S&S) is a type of vagueness that concerns expressions that refer to points on a scale. S&S make the following observations: (i) scalar vagueness gives rise to granularity effects; (ii) vagueness regulators are shifters to finer (e.g. *exactly*) or coarser (e.g. *approximately*) levels of granularity.

S&S follow Krifka (2007) to account for granularity in numeral quantification, the standard example of scalar vagueness. Their view is compatible, however, with Hobbs's (1990) conception of granularity as model simplification. One implementation of this is that, given some domain, a granularity maps that domain to one of its subsets. For instance, a multiples-of-5 granularity for numerals will map 40 to 40, 41 to 40, and 43 to 45.

This perspective is helpful when considering non-numerical cases of scalar vagueness. The meaning of *here*, as in (1), depends on the granularity with which we establish the location of events.

(1) Pope Adrian VI was born here.

At first sight, this example seems to stretch the notion of *scale* somewhat. Standardly, we think of scales as partially ordered sets. With locations, which I take to be continuous sets of coordinates, the only ordering that makes sense is one of containment:  $L < K$  whenever the coordinates that make up  $L$  are all in  $K$  (but not vice versa). This way, we get scales like *my office* < *Utrecht* < *the Netherlands* < *the Milky Way*. Location-granularity could now be seen as a mapping from a set of locations to a subset. For instance, at town-level granularity, my Utrecht-based office is not in the domain, but mapped by the granularity function to Utrecht. Hence, an utterance of (1) in my office is false on a finer granularity, but true on a coarser one, since Adrian VI was born in Utrecht, though not in my office.

Now let's look at vagueness regulation. The combination *exactly here* is quite odd, but the precisifier *right* operates exactly as one would expect from S&S: it shifts to a finer granularity. An utterance of (2) in my office seems only true if the contextual granularity was originally (much) coarser than town-level.

(2) Pope Adrian VI was born right here.

While *approximately here* is odd, there are other approximators that do modify *here*. However, none of these appear to coarsen the granularity. An utterance of (3) in my office, which is located quite far from Adrian's birthplace, is intuitively false. Expressions like *roughly here* simply cannot provide a shift from room-level to town-level locations. The sentence in (3) means that Adrian was born *close to* the location of the speaker, rather than that he was born *at* that location taken from the perspective of a coarser granularity. As such, the modifiers in (3) appear to tap into a different notion of precision than *approximately* does in the numeral domain. Their meaning appears to be linked more directly to the coordinate system; it involves *distance*.

- (3) Pope Adrian VI was born roughly / around / about here.

This poses a dilemma. On the one hand, locations could be taken to be scalar since that would allow us to have a general theory of granularity-dependence for scalar terms. On the other hand, while the precisifier *right* seems to work in a way similar to precisification of numerals, expressions that reduce precision for locative reference function differently. This may suggest that vagueness regulation is a much more heterogeneous phenomenon.

## References

- Hobbs, Jerry. 1990. Granularity. In *Readings in Qualitative Reasoning about Physical Systems*, ed. Daniel S. Weld and Johan de Kleer, 542–545. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Krifka, Manfred. 2007. Approximate interpretation of number words: A case for strategic communication. In *Cognitive Foundations of Interpretation*, ed. Joost Zwarts, Gerlof Bouma, and Irene Kraemer, 111–126. Amsterdam: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Sauerland, Uli, and Penka Stateva. 2011. Two types of vagueness. In *Vagueness and Language Use*, ed. Paul Égré and Nathan Klinedinst, 121–145. London: MacMillan.

Rick Nouwen  
[r.w.f.nouwen@uu.nl](mailto:r.w.f.nouwen@uu.nl)  
Trans 10  
NL-3524JK Utrecht  
The Netherlands