Transcription factor MYC2 is involved in priming for enhanced defense during rhizobacteria-induced systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Maria J. Pozo^{1*}, Sjoerd Van Der Ent^{1,2*}, L. C. Van Loon¹ and Corné M. J. Pieterse^{1,2}

¹Graduate School Experimental Plant Sciences, Plant–Microbe Interactions, Institute of Environmental Biology, Faculty of Science, Utrecht University, PO Box 800.56, 3508 TB, Utrecht, the Netherlands, ²Center for Biosystems Genomics, PO Box 98, 6700 AB Wageningen, the Netherlands

Summary

Author for correspondence: Corné M. J. Pieterse Tel: +31 30 253 6887 Fax: +31 30 253 2837 Email: C.M.J.Pieterse@uu.nl

Received: 24 April 2008 Accepted: 10 June 2008 • Upon appropriate stimulation, plants can develop an enhanced capacity to express infection-induced cellular defense responses, a phenomenon known as the primed state. Colonization of the roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana* by the beneficial rhizobacterial strain *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS417r primes the leaf tissue for enhanced pathogen- and insect-induced expression of jasmonate (JA)-responsive genes, resulting in an induced systemic resistance (ISR) that is effective against different types of pathogens and insect herbivores.

• Here the molecular mechanism of this rhizobacteria-induced priming response was investigated using a whole-genome transcript profiling approach.

• Out of the 1879 putative methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-responsive genes, 442 genes displayed a primed expression pattern in ISR-expressing plants. Promoter analysis of ISR-primed, MeJA-responsive genes and ISR-primed, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 (*Pst* DC3000)-responsive genes revealed over-representation of the G-box-like motif 5'-CACATG-3'. This motif is a binding site for the transcription factor MYC2, which plays a central role in JA- and abscisic acid-regulated signaling. *MYC2* expression was consistently up-regulated in ISR-expressing plants. Moreover, mutants impaired in the *JASMONATE-INSENSITIVE1/MYC2* gene (*jin1-1* and *jin1-2*) were unable to mount WCS417r-ISR against *Pst* DC3000 and the downy mildew pathogen *Hyaloperonospora parasitica*.

• Together, these results pinpoint MYC2 as a potential regulator in priming for enhanced JA-responsive gene expression during rhizobacteria-mediated ISR.

Key words: Arabidopsis thaliana, defense signaling, ISR, jasmonic acid, microarray, MYC2, priming, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*.

New Phytologist (2008) 180: 511–523

© The Authors (2008). Journal compilation © *New Phytologist* (2008) **doi**: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02578.x

Introduction

To survive, living organisms have evolved complex mechanisms to detect aggressors and to defend themselves. Resistance against pathogens and insect herbivores can be mediated through defenses that are constitutively present, or through defense mechanisms that are induced only upon attack. Induced resistance is typically effective against a broad range of attackers and is often associated with the production of defensive compounds such as pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins with antimicrobial activity (Van Loon *et al.*, 2006b), proteinase inhibitors that affect insect feeding (Howe, 2004), or volatiles that attract parasitoids and predators of the herbivores that feed on the plant (Van Poecke & Dicke, 2004). Induced plant defenses are regulated by a highly interconnected signaling network in which the plant hormones jasmonic acid (JA), salicylic acid (SA), ethylene (ET) and abscisic acid (ABA) play central roles

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

(Durrant & Dong, 2004; Pozo *et al.*, 2004; Van Loon *et al.*, 2006a; Asselbergh *et al.*, 2008). It is thought that spatiotemporal intensities of these alarm signals determine the specific nature of the defense response triggered, as marked by the activation of an attacker-specific set of defense-related genes (Reymond & Farmer, 1998; Rojo *et al.*, 2003; De Vos *et al.*, 2005; Mur *et al.*, 2006; Koornneef *et al.*, 2008). The outcome of the induced defense response seems to be finely tuned by cross-talk between the corresponding signaling pathways (Koornneef & Pieterse, 2008) and regulatory elements in the promoters of the defense-related genes, resulting in quantitative and/or kinetic effects on the induced resistance response (Katagiri, 2004).

The enhanced defensive capacity of plants expressing induced resistance often cannot be attributed to direct activation of defenses. Instead, induced resistance seems to be based on a faster and stronger activation of basal defense mechanisms upon exposure to pathogens or insect attack. It is therefore hypothesized that the broad-spectrum characteristic of induced resistance is largely based on potentiation of the tissue to react more effectively to a stress condition, rather than on direct activation of defenses (Conrath et al., 2006; Frost et al., 2008). Plant cells can be sensitized to react more rapidly and/or more strongly to environmental stresses upon appropriate stimulation. This phenomenon is called priming (Conrath et al., 2002) and can be induced biologically by beneficial rhizobacteria, mycorrhizal fungi, pathogens, and insect herbivores, or chemically by, for example, exogenous application of low doses of SA, its functional analog benzothiadiazole (BTH), JA or ßaminobutyric acid (BABA) (Conrath et al., 2006; Frost et al., 2008). In primed plants, defense responses are not induced directly by the priming agent, but are activated in an accelerated manner following perception of biotic or abiotic stress signals, resulting in an enhanced level of resistance against the stressor encountered. Priming is a common feature of different types of induced resistance and may represent an important ecological adaptation to resist environmental stress (Heil & Silva Bueno, 2007; Pieterse & Dicke, 2007; Walters et al., 2007). By studying the costs and benefits of priming in Arabidopsis thaliana, it was recently shown that the fitness costs of priming are lower than those of constitutively activated defenses, and that the costs of priming are outweighed by its benefits under conditions of disease pressure, suggesting that priming is a cost-effective strategy of plants to cope with environmental stress (Van Hulten et al., 2006).

Priming in beneficial plant-microbe interactions has been studied most extensively in the interaction of plants with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. These nonpathogenic rhizobacteria are abundantly present on the surface of plants roots, where they utilize nutrients that are exuded by the roots. Selected rhizobacterial strains are capable of reducing disease incidence in above-ground plant parts through a plant-mediated defense mechanism known as induced systemic resistance (ISR) (Van Loon *et al.*, 1998). Rhizobacteria-mediated ISR has been demonstrated in many plant species, and is effective against a broad spectrum of plant pathogens, including oomycetes, fungi, bacteria and viruses (Van Loon et al., 1998), and even insect herbivores (Van Oosten et al., 2008). In A. thaliana, ISR triggered by Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS417r is regulated by a JA- and ET-dependent signaling pathway (Pieterse et al., 1998). In contrast to pathogen-induced systemic acquired resistance (SAR), WCS417r-ISR is not associated with a direct activation of genes encoding pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins (Pieterse et al., 1996). Analysis of the A. thaliana transcriptome revealed that, locally in the roots, ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria elicited a substantial change in the expression of almost 100 genes (Verhagen et al., 2004; Léon-Kloosterziel et al., 2005; Van der Ent et al., 2008). However, systemically in the leaves, no consistent alteration in gene expression was observed, demonstrating that the onset of ISR is not associated with detectable changes in gene expression (Van Wees et al., 1999; Verhagen et al., 2004). In addition, no alterations in the production of either JA or ET were detected in the leaves of induced plants expressing WCS417r-ISR, suggesting that the state of ISR relies on an enhanced sensitivity to these plant hormones rather than an increase in their production (Pieterse et al., 2000).

Analysis of the transcriptome of ISR-expressing A. thaliana leaves after challenge inoculation with the bacterial speck pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000) revealed 81 genes with amplified expression patterns, indicating that the plants were primed to respond more rapidly and/or more strongly to pathogen attack (Verhagen et al., 2004). The majority of these primed genes were predicted to be regulated by JA and/or ET, confirming earlier findings that colonization of the roots by WCS417r primed A. thaliana plants for potentiated expression of the JA- and/or ET-responsive genes VEGETATIVE STORAGE PROTEIN2 (VSP2; At5g24770), PLANT DEFENSIN1.2 (PDF1.2; At5g44420), and HEVEIN-LIKE PROTEIN (HEL; At3g04720) (Van Wees et al., 1999; Hase et al., 2003; Van Oosten et al., 2008). Other ISR-inducing rhizobacteria, but also ISR-inducing mycorrhizal fungi and other beneficial fungi, have been demonstrated to enhance the defensive capacity of the plant by priming the plant for potentiated expression of defense-related genes (Van Wees et al., 2008). This strongly suggests that priming for enhanced defense is a common mechanism in the immune response that is triggered by beneficial micro-organisms.

To gain more insight into how ISR-related priming is regulated, we used a genome-wide expression profiling approach to search for JA-responsive *A. thaliana* genes that show an augmented expression pattern in plants expressing WCS417r-ISR. Subsequently, we performed an *in silico* promoter analysis to identify motifs that are potentially involved in the regulation of the priming response. Here, we provide evidence that the motif CACATG is significantly enriched in the 1-kb promoter regions of the JA-responsive ISR-primed genes. The CACATG motif has previously been demonstrated to be a binding site for the MYC-type helix-loop-helix transcription factor MYC2. We show that mutants *jin1-1* and *jin1-2*, which are impaired in the *JASMONATE-INSENSITIVE1/MYC2* gene (At1g32640), are blocked in their ability to express WCS417r-ISR, indicating that the MYC2 transcription factor is an essential regulator of WCS417r-ISR.

Materials and Methods

Growth conditions for rhizobacteria and plants

Nonpathogenic *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS417r bacteria were used for the induction of ISR. WCS417r was grown for 24 h at 28°C on King's medium B agar plates containing the appropriate antibiotics as described previously (Pieterse *et al.*, 1996). Bacteria were collected and re-suspended in 10 mM MgSO₄ to a density of 10⁹ colony-forming units (cfu) ml⁻¹ (optical density (OD₆₆₀) = 1.0) before being mixed through soil.

Seeds of wild-type Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. accessions Columbia (Col)-0 and Col-5 (the g/1 mutant of Col-0, carrying a mutation in GLABROUS 1 (GL1; At3g27920) resulting in a trichomeless phenotype (Oppenheimer et al., 1991)), the MYC2 mutants jin1-1 and jin1-2 (Col-0 background; Lorenzo et al., 2004), the JA-insensitive mutant coi1-16 (Col-5 background), which is impaired in the CORONATINE-INSENSITIVE1 gene (At2g39940) (Ellis & Turner, 2002), and the ISR-minus mutant npr1-1 ((Col-0 background), which is impaired in the NONEXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS RELATED GENES1 gene (At1g64280) (Cao et al., 1994; Pieterse et al., 1998)) were sown in quartz sand. Two-week-old seedlings were transferred to 60-ml pots containing a sand/potting soil mixture that had been autoclaved twice for 20 min at a 24-h interval. Before transfer of the seedlings, a suspension of ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria $(10^9 \text{ cfu ml}^{-1})$ was mixed through the soil to a final density of 5×10^7 cfu g⁻¹, as described previously (Pieterse *et al.*, 1996). Control soil was supplemented with an equal volume of 10 mM MgSO₄. Plants were cultivated in a growth chamber with a 9-h day (200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 24°C) and a 15-h night (20°C) cycle at 70% relative humidity. Plants were watered twice a week with water and once a week with modified half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution.

Methyl jasmonate treatment

Induction treatment with methyl jasmonate (MeJA) was performed by dipping 5-wk-old Col-0 or Col-5 plants in an aqueous solution containing 50 µM MeJA (Serva; Brunschwig Chemie, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) and 0.015% of the surfactant Silwet L-77 (Van Meeuwen Chemicals B.V., Weesp, the Netherlands), as described previously (Pieterse *et al.*, 1998). Leaf rosettes were harvested at 0, 1, 3, 6 and 12 h after induction treatment and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Because the expression levels of the JA-responsive genes studied are affected by light (data not shown), plants were kept under continuous light during the 12-h time-course to avoid disruption of the time-course by a dark period.

Pathogen inoculations and ISR bioassays

The virulent bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000) (Whalen et al., 1991) and the oomycete pathogen Hyaloperonospora parasitica strain WACO9 (Van Hulten et al., 2006) were used for challenge inoculations. Pst DC3000 was grown overnight in liquid King's medium B at 28°C. Bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation and re-suspended to a final density of 2.5×10^7 cfu ml⁻¹ in 10 mM MgSO₄ containing 0.015% (v/v) Silwet L-77 (Van Meeuwen Chemicals BV). Five-week-old plants were placed at 100% relative humidity 1 d before challenge inoculation. Plants were inoculated by dipping the leaves for 2 s in a Pst DC3000 suspension. To confirm expression of ISR in WCS417r-treated plants, ISR bioassays were performed as described previously (Pieterse et al., 1996), using a subset of plants grown in parallel with the plants for the chemical treatment. Four days after challenge inoculation, disease severity was assessed by determining the percentage of diseased leaves per plant. Leaves were scored as diseased when they showed necrotic or water-soaked lesions surrounded by chlorosis. The disease index was calculated for each plant (n = 20), based on the percentage of diseased leaves.

Hyaloperonospora parasitica bioassays were performed as described previously (Van Hulten et al., 2006; Van der Ent et al., 2008). Three-week-old plants were misted with an H. parasitica WACO9 spore suspension containing 7.5×10^4 sporangiospores ml⁻¹. Inoculated plants were maintained at 17°C and 100% relative humidity for 24 h. Subsequently, humidity was lowered to 70% to reduce effects on plant development and to lower the chance of secondary infections by opportunistic pathogens. Seven days after challenge inoculation, humidity was raised again to 100% to induce sporulation. Disease symptoms were scored for ~250 leaves per treatment at 9 d after inoculation. Disease rating was expressed on the basis of symptom severity and pathogen sporulation on each leaf: I, no sporulation; II, trailing necrosis; III, < 50% of the leaf area covered by sporangia; IV, > 50% of the leaf area covered with sporangia, with additional chlorosis and leaf collapse. In addition, 15 leaves per treatment were stained for quantification of callose deposition.

Callose staining

Quantification of callose deposition was performed as described by Ton *et al.* (2005). Leaves were collected 2 d after *H. parasitica* inoculation and incubated overnight in 96% ethanol. Destained leaves were washed in 0.07 M phosphate buffer, pH 9, incubated for 15 min in 0.07 M phosphate buffer containing 0.005% Calcofluor (fluorescent brightener; Sigma-Aldrich Chemie BV, Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands) and 0.01% aniline-blue (water blue; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), and then washed in 0.07 M phosphate buffer containing only 0.01% aniline-blue to remove excess Calcofluor. Observations were performed with an epifluorescence microscope with a UV filter (band pass 340–380 nm; long-path 425 nm). Callose depositions were quantified by determining the percentage of callose-inducing spores per infected leaf.

RNA gel-blot analysis

Total RNA was obtained by phenol/chloroform extraction and LiCl precipitation, as described by Sambrook et al. (1989). For RNA gel-blot analysis, 15 µg of total RNA was denatured in formamide, electrophoretically separated on 1.2% formaldehyde agarose gels and blotted onto Hybond-N⁺ membranes (Amersham, 's-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands) by capillary transfer. Equal loading was visualized by ethidium bromide staining of rRNA. Templates for the preparation of genespecific probes were prepared by PCR with primers based on the annotated gene sequences of *LIPOXYGENASE* (LOX2; At3g45140), a gene encoding a protein with β -glucosidase/ myrosinase activity (PYK10; At3g09260), NIM1-INTERAC TING1 (NIMIN1; At1g02450), and a gene encoding WRKY transcription factor 54 (WRKY54; At2g40750). DNA probes were labeled with α -³²P-dCTP by random primer extension and hybridizations were carried out overnight at 42°C using Ultrahyb (Ambion, Huntingdon, UK). Blots were exposed for autoradiography and signals quantified using a BioRad Molecular Imager FX (BioRad, Veenendaal, the Netherlands) with QUANTITY ONE software (BioRad).

Quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR)

Gene expression analysis by Q-PCR was performed basically as described previously (Van der Ent et al., 2008). To check for contamination with genomic DNA, a PCR with primers designed for ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE-LIKE2 (EIL2; At5g21120: EIL2_F, 5'-TCT CGT GAG ACG GTC TAG AAG TT-3', and EIL2_R, 5'-ATG AAA CCT AAT CTT CTC CAT TGC-3') was carried out. Subsequently, DNA-free total RNA was converted into cDNA using oligo-dT₂₀ primers (Invitrogen, Breda, the Netherlands), 10 mM dNTPs, and SuperScriptTM III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The efficiency of cDNA synthesis was assessed by Q-PCR, using primers of the constitutively expressed gene UBIQUITIN10 (UBQ10; At4g05320: UBQ10_F, 5'-AAA GAG ATA ACA GGA ACG GAA ACA TAG T-3', and UBQ10_R, 5'-GGC CTT GTA TAA TCC CTG ATG AAT AAG-3'). Based on the results, cDNA of each sample was diluted to obtain a *UBQ10* threshold cycle (C_T) value of 18 ± 0.5 . Gene-specific primers for *MYC2* (At1g32640; MYC2_F, 5'-GAT GAG GAG GTG ACG GAT ACG GAA-3', and MYC2_R, 5'-CGC TTT ACC AGC TAA TCC CGC A-3') were designed previously by Czechowski et al. (2004). Q-PCR reactions were performed in a volume of 20 µl,

containing cDNA, 0.5 µl of each of the two gene-specific primers (10 pmol µl⁻¹), and 10 µl of $2 \times IQ$ SYBR[®] Green Supermix reagent (BioRad, Veenendaal, the Netherlands). The following PCR program was used for all PCR reactions: 95°C for 3 min; 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 59.5°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. C_T values were calculated using OPTICAL SYSTEM SOFTWARE, version 1.0 for MyIQTM (Bio-Rad). C_T values were normalized for differences in dsDNA synthesis using the *UBQ10* C_T values. Normalized transcript levels of each gene were compared in ISR-expressing plants and noninduced controls and the relative levels of transcription were calculated using the $2^{\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). Melting curves were recorded after cycle 40 by heating from 55 to 95°C with a ramp speed of 1.9°C min⁻¹.

Sample preparation, microarray data collection, and transcript profiling

For isolation of RNA, whole leaf rosettes were harvested at 0, 1, 3 and 6 h after MeJA treatment and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was prepared from eight biological replicates that were pooled to reduce noise arising from biological variation. Total RNA was prepared as described above in the section 'RNA gel-blot analysis' and cleaned using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit columns (Qiagen Benelux BV, Venlo, the Netherlands). RNA samples were analyzed for quality by capillary electrophoresis using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). cRNA probe synthesis, hybridization to a GeneChip (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and collection of data from the hybridized GeneChip were performed as described previously (De Vos et al., 2005). Hybridizations with labeled cRNAs were conducted with A. thaliana ATH1 full-genome GeneChips (Affymetrix), containing a total number of 22 810 probe sets representing approx. 23 750 A. thaliana genes (Redman et al., 2004). Probe preparations and GeneChip hybridizations were carried out by ServiceXS (Leiden, the Netherlands) and the Affymetrix service station of Leiden University Medical Centre (LUMC) where they passed all internal quality checks.

After hybridization, GeneChips were analyzed using the GENECHIP OPERATING SOFTWARE (GCOS) (Affymetrix) and GENESPRING 6.1 (Silicon Genetics, Redwood, CA, USA), as previously described (De Vos *et al.*, 2005). The *P*-values from the Pearson correlation tests for GeneChips that were hybridized with probes from samples from the same time-point ranged between 0.979 and 0.992. This is in good agreement with the high correlation coefficients previously reported for independent biological samples (Redman *et al.*, 2004) and indicates that the GeneChip hybridizations and microarray data collection were performed in a technically sound manner. Expressed genes were identified using GCOs, which uses statistical criteria to generate a 'present' (P-flag) or 'absent' (A-flag) call for genes represented by each probe set on the array. The average number of detectable genes with a 'present' call was 14 296 (62.7%),

which is in good agreement with the 60% previously reported by Redman *et al.* (2004). Expression values from each sample were normalized globally by GCOS using a target average intensity of 200 for global scaling so that hybridization intensity of all chips was equivalent. Default settings used during the data analyses were per chip = normalize to 50th percentile and per gene = normalize to specific samples. Genes with accurately detectable transcript levels were defined by probe sets showing averaged expression levels > 30 (95% of all probe sets with a 'present' call had a signal intensity above 30). Probe sets showing an expression value of < 30 were adjusted to 30 to reduce false positives. All microarray data have been deposited in NASC arrays (http://www.affymetrix.arabidopsis.info).

Regulatory motif analysis of promoter regions

For the analysis of the frequency distribution of *cis*-acting elements in the promoters of selected groups of genes, 1 kilobase (kb) of genomic DNA sequences upstream from the inferred translational start sites was downloaded from The Arabidopsis Information Resource (http://www.arabidopsis.org/ tools/bulk/sequences/index.html). To identify significantly overrepresented putative regulatory sequences, the 1-kb regions of genes belonging to the selected groups were analyzed using the Athena database (http://www.bioinformatics2.wsu.edu/ Athena) (O'Connor et al., 2005). Once putative regulatory sequences were selected, the statistical significance of their differential distribution in selected clusters was verified using рово (update 18 May 2005), a promoter bootstrapping program that allows a three-way comparison between two clusters and the background (Kankainen & Holm, 2004). рово can be used to detect, compare and verify predetermined transcription factor binding site motifs in the promoters of one or two clusters of co-regulated genes. The program calculates the frequencies of the motif in the input promoter sets. A bootstrap analysis detects significantly over- or underrepresented motifs. To perform the POBO analysis, the 1-kb promoter sequences of the selected MeJA-responsive and ISR-primed genes (in FASTA format) were uploaded separately in POBO. Subsequently, the frequency distributions of the regulatory motifs were analyzed using 'Arabidopsis_ thaliana_clean' as the background organism. Other parameters used were: number of samples to generate: 1000; number of sequences to pick out: equal to the number of promoters in the smallest group to be compared.

Results

WCS417r-ISR primes the plant for enhanced MeJAinduced expression of *LOX2*

Previously, microarray analyses revealed that *A. thaliana* plants expressing WCS417r-ISR are primed for accelerated expression of genes that are activated upon attack by *Pst* DC3000



Fig. 1 Priming for enhanced methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-responsive *LIPOXYGENASE2* (*LOX2*) gene expression in induced systemic resistance (ISR)-expressing plants. Results are shown of northern blot analysis of *LOX2* transcript levels in leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild-type Columbia (Col)-0 plants grown in soil with or without ISR-inducing *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS417r bacteria. RNA was isolated at different time-points after exogenous application of 50 μ M MeJA. To check for equal loading, rRNA bands were stained with ethidium bromide. h, hours post treatment.

(Verhagen et al., 2004). The majority of these primed genes were predicted to be regulated by JA signaling, suggesting that WCS417-ISR is associated with an enhanced sensitivity of the induced tissues to JA that is produced upon pathogen attack. To test this hypothesis, the responsiveness of noninduced and WCS417r-ISR-expressing plants to exogenously applied MeJA was investigated. Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0 plants grown in soil with or without ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria were treated with 50 µM MeJA and harvested 0, 1, 3, 6 and 12 h later, after which the expression level of the JA-responsive marker gene LOX2 was determined by northern blot analysis. Fig. 1 shows that in MeJA-treated control plants the LOX2 transcript level already started to rise at 1 h after MeJA treatment and reached a maximum at 6 h. In WCS417r-ISR-expressing plants, LOX2 transcript accumulated to higher levels at all timepoints tested. Moreover, at 12 h after MeJA treatment LOX2 mRNA levels were still high in WCS417r-ISR-expressing plants, whereas they had decreased to almost basal levels in MeJAtreated control plants. These results indicate that colonization of the roots by ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria primes the leaf tissue for accelerated expression of JA-responsive genes such as LOX2.

Identification of putative MeJA-responsive genes

To identify JA-responsive genes that, like *LOX2*, are primed during WCS417r-ISR, we analyzed the whole-genome transcript profile of control and WCS417r-ISR-expressing *A. thaliana* Col-0 plants at different time-points after MeJA treatment using whole-genome Affymetrix *A. thaliana* ATH1 GeneChips (Redman *et al.*, 2004). Because the primed expression pattern of *LOX2* was clear within the first 6 h after MeJA treatment (Fig. 1), we performed the transcript profiling with leaf material that was harvested at 1, 3 and 6 h after application. Expressed genes were identified and expression values for each sample were normalized globally using GCOS. To identify putative MeJA-responsive genes, we applied the following selection criteria. Firstly, the expression level had to

significantly exceed the background (P-flag generated by GCOS) and the hybridization intensity had to be > 30 units at t = 0for down-regulated genes and at at least two of the later timepoints for the up-regulated genes. Secondly, the expression level of the significantly up- or down-regulated genes had to change at least twofold in MeJA-treated leaves compared with that in control leaves (0 h). To reduce the number of false positives, we required the change to occur at at least two of the three timepoints and in the same direction. Although the cut-off value of twofold is arbitrary, in combination with the additional timing and direction criteria this value has been demonstrated to yield a robust selection of gene sets (De Vos et al., 2005). Probe sets corresponding to 1879 genes (955 up- and 924 down-regulated) met these criteria. These genes were considered putative MeJAresponsive genes and are listed in Supporting Information Table S1. The list includes well-characterized JA-responsive genes that are commonly used as markers for JA-dependent responses, such as LOX2, PDF1.2, THIONIN2.1 (THI2.1; AT1g72260), VEGETATIVE STORAGE PROTEIN1 (VSP1; At5g24780), CORONATINE-INDUCED PROTEIN1 (COR1; At1g19670), JASMONIC ACID RESPONSIVE1 (JR1; At3g16470), JASMONIC ACID RESPONSIVE2 (JR2; At4g23600), and genes involved in the JA biosynthetic pathway, such as ALLENE OXIDE SYNTHASE1 (AOS1; At5g42650), and JASMONIC ACID CARBOXYL METHYLTRANSFERASE (JMT; At1g19640). To validate the GeneChip data obtained, we compared the putative MeJA-responsive genes with those identified in other transcript profiling studies in A. thaliana. Sasaki et al. (2001) performed a similar time-course study of the A. thaliana response to MeJA treatment using a cDNA macroarray. Despite the different type of array used and experimental set-up, 80% of the MeJA-responsive genes described in their work also showed a more than twofold change in expression in our data (not shown).

Identification of putative ISR-primed MeJA-responsive genes

To identify genes that may be primed to respond more rapidly and/or with different amplitude to MeJA in WCS417r-ISRexpressing plants (so-called ISR-primed genes), we required the expression level of the putative MeJA-responsive genes listed in Table S1 to be > 1.5-fold different in MeJA-treated ISR-expressing plants versus MeJA-treated control plants. This priming cut-off value is based on previous quantitative expression data for genes that showed a robust primed expression pattern after pathogen attack (Verhagen et al., 2004). A total of 442 genes met these selection conditions and are listed in Table S2. Although LOX2 showed a clear ISR-primed expression pattern after MeJA treatment when northern blotting was used (Fig. 1), it is not listed in Table S2. The reason is that LOX2 transcript levels could not be reliably compared in the microarray analysis, because LOX2 was among the eight MeJA-responsive genes whose probe sets were saturated (expression levels > 5000

units) after hybridization with all probes from MeJA-treated plants.

To further verify the microarray data, we selected the putative ISR-primed MeJA-responsive gene PYK10 (At3g09260) and analyzed its expression along with that of LOX2 in an independent experiment. *PYK10* encodes a β -glucosidase/myrosinase, which is implicated in JA-dependent plant defenses, and was recently demonstrated to be crucial for the beneficial interaction between A. thaliana and the endophytic fungus Piriformospora indica (Hara-Nishimura & Matsushima, 2003; Matsushima et al., 2003; Sherameti et al., 2008). The response of LOX2 and PYK10 to MeJA treatment was assessed at 0, 1, 3 and 6 h after treatment of the leaves of wild-type and JAinsensitive coi1-16 mutant plants that were grown in soil with or without ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria. In a parallel set of plants, expression of ISR was verified using Pst DC3000 as a challenging pathogen. Because mutant coi1-16 is in the background of glabrous Col-5, we used Col-5 plants as a control. As expected, colonization of the roots by WCS417r bacteria induced systemic protection against Pst DC3000 in wild-type (Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test; P < 0.001) but not in mutant coi1-16 plants (Fisher's LSD test; P < 0.368) (data not shown). Northern blot analysis and quantification of the hybridization signals with a Phosphorimager (BioRad, Veenendaal, the Netherlands) showed that LOX2 and PYK10 mRNAs accumulated to high levels in MeJAtreated Col-5 plants, whereas the transcript levels of both genes were much lower or undetectable in MeJA-treated coi1-16 plants (Fig. 2). Moreover, LOX2 and PYK10 mRNA levels were more than 1.5-fold higher in ISR-expressing Col-5 plants at at least two of the three time-points tested, confirming the ISR-primed and MeJA-responsive expression pattern of these genes.

Selected ISR-primed MeJA-responsive genes also show a primed expression pattern upon pathogen attack

Because the ISR-primed genes were selected on the basis of their augmented expression after MeJA treatment, we anticipated that these genes would also show a primed expression pattern upon infection by a JA-inducing pathogen. Therefore, we analyzed the expression patterns of the ISR-primed MeJAresponsive genes LOX2, PYK10, NIMIN1 and WRKY54 in control and WCS417r-treated Col-5 and coi1-16 plants at 0, 3, 6 and 24 h after challenge with Pst DC3000. Of the four selected genes, LOX2 and PYK10 were ISR-primed MeJAresponsive genes that showed an accelerated up-regulation in ISR-expressing plants after MeJA treatment (Fig. 2; Table S2), whereas NIMIN1 and WRKY54 were suppressed in ISRexpressing plants after MeJA treatment (Table S2). Expression of WCS417r-ISR against Pst DC3000 was confirmed for WCS417r-treated Col-5 plants, while the ISR minus mutant coi1-16 did not mount a significant level of protection against Pst DC3000 (data not shown). LOX2 and PYK10 were induced in Col-5 after inoculation with Pst DC3000 (Fig. 3a) and



Fig. 2 Priming for enhanced methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-responsive expression of LIPOXYGENASE2 (LOX2) and a gene encoding a protein with β -glucosidase/myrosinase activity (PYK10) in Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS417r-treated Columbia (Col)-5 and coi1-16 plants. Results are shown of quantitative northern blot analysis of LOX2 and PYK10 transcript levels in the leaves of Arabidopsis thaliana wildtype Col-5 plants and jasmonate (JA)-insensitive coi1-16 mutant plants grown in soil with or without induced systemic resistance (ISR)inducing Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS417r bacteria. RNA was isolated at different time-points after exogenous application of 50 µM methyl jasmonate (MeJA). Hybridization signals on the northern blots were quantified using a Phosphorimager and normalized using 185 rRNA signal intensities. Asterisks indicate that the ratio of the MeJA-induced expression level in WCS417r-treated plants to that in control plants was at least 1.5. The experiments were repeated with similar results.

showed a potentiated expression pattern in ISR-expressing Col-5 plants at at least two of the three time-points tested. By contrast, in the coi1-16 mutant, Pst DC3000-induced expression and WCS417r-mediated priming of the genes were fully abolished (LOX2) or strongly diminished (PYK10). Also NIMIN1 and WRKY54 were induced in Col-5 upon inoculation with Pst DC3000 (Fig. 3b). However, in the ISR-expressing plants, expression of both genes showed a significantly lower level of induction after pathogen challenge, consistent with the WCS417r-mediated suppression of their expression in MeJAtreated plants. In coi1-16 mutant plants, Pst DC3000-induced expression of NIMIN1 and WRKY54 was similar to that observed in Col-5 plants, indicating that the pathogen-induced expression of these genes is regulated in a JA-independent manner. Nevertheless, the WCS417r-mediated suppression of Pst DC3000-induced NIMIN1 and WRKY54 expression was blocked in coi1-16 plants, indicating that the WCS417rmediated priming of these genes was regulated in a JA-dependent manner. As both NIMIN1 and WRKY54 are SA-responsive genes (Weigel et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2006), their JAdependent suppression in plants expressing WCS417r-ISR may be caused by antagonistic cross-talk between the SA and JA signaling pathways (Koornneef & Pieterse, 2008). Collectively, these results confirm that the selected ISR-

primed genes *LOX2*, *PYK10*, *NIMIN1* and *WRKY54* show a similarly primed expression pattern in ISR-expressing plants upon treatment with MeJA or inoculation with the pathogen *Pst* DC3000.

Promoter analysis of ISR-primed genes

To gain further insight into the molecular mechanisms of priming for enhanced MeJA-responsive gene expression during WCS417r-ISR, we performed an *in silico* analysis of the promoter sequences of the selected ISR-primed genes to identify putative *cis*-regulatory elements. Functional *cis*-regulatory elements in plant promoters are typically found within the first 1 kb upstream of the ATG translation start site (Rombauts et al., 2003). Therefore, we scanned the 1-kb regions upstream of the 5' untranslated regions (UTRs) of the genes listed in Tables S1 and S2, using the transcription factor binding site enrichment tool of the Athena database (http://www. bioinformatics2.wsu.edu/Athena) (O'Connor et al., 2005), to identify statistically overrepresented *cis*-regulatory elements in ISR-primed versus nonprimed MeJA-responsive genes. Five motifs, including the G-box-related element 5'-CACATG-3', were enriched in the 1-kb promoter regions of the nonprimed and ISR-primed MeJA-responsive genes (Table S3). However, no unique motifs could be identified in the ISR-primed gene set, suggesting that the potentiated expression of these MeJAresponsive genes in ISR-expressing plants is caused by quantitative rather than qualitative differences in transcription factor activity.

To search for motifs that are specifically enriched in ISRprimed over nonprimed genes, we statistically analyzed the frequency distribution of the identified transcription factor binding motifs in the promoters of the nonprimed and the ISR-primed MeJA-responsive genes. To this end, we used POBO, a promoter bootstrapping program that allows a three-way comparison between two clusters of co-regulated genes and the genomic background (Kankainen & Holm, 2004). Of the overrepresented motifs in the MeJA-responsive genes (Table S3), the G-box-related motif CACATG stood out as being the only one that was significantly more overrepresented in the ISR-primed genes. Fig. 4(a) shows that the promoters of the MeJA-responsive genes are significantly enriched in CACATG motifs in comparison to the genomic background. Yet, the CACATG motif was significantly more overrepresented in the promoters of the ISR-primed MeJA-responsive genes as compared with the nonprimed MeJA-responsive genes.

If the CACATG motif is indeed associated with the primed expression pattern of JA-responsive genes, it could be expected that the promoters of the previously identified ISR-primed *Pst* DC3000-responsive genes (Verhagen *et al.*, 2004) are also enriched for this transcription factor binding site. Indeed, POBO analysis of the 1-kb promoter region of the 81 ISRprimed *Pst* DC3000-responsive genes from this study revealed that the CACATG motif is significantly overrepresented in these genes (Fig. 4b). Together, these results suggest a functional



Fig. 3 Primed expression patterns of *LIPOXYGENASE2* (*LOX2*), a gene encoding a protein with β-glucosidase/myrosinase activity (*PYK10*), *NIMIN1*, and a gene encoding WRKY transcription factor 54 (*WRKY54*) in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS417r-treated Columbia (Col)-5 and *coi1-16* plants after inoculation with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 (*Pst* DC3000). Results are shown of quantitative northern blot analysis of *LOX2*, *PYK10*, *NIMIN1* and *WRKY54* transcript levels in the leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild-type Col-5 plants and jasmonate (JA)-insensitive *coi1-16* mutant plants grown in soil with or without induced systemic resistance (ISR)-inducing WCS417r bacteria. RNA was isolated at different time-points after inoculation with *Pst* DC3000. Hybridization signals on the northern blots were quantified using a Phosphorimager and normalized using *18S* rRNA signal intensities. Asterisks indicate that ratio of the *Pst* DC3000-induced expression level in WCS417r-treated plants to that in control plants was at least 1.5. The experiments were repeated with similar results.



Fig. 4 Frequency distribution of G-box-related CACATG motif in the promoter sequences of nonprimed and induced systemic resistance (ISR)primed *Arabidopsis thaliana* genes. The occurrence of CACATG motifs was quantified in the 1-kb sequences upstream of the 5' untranslated region (UTR) of the nonprimed and ISR-primed methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-responsive genes (a) and nonprimed and ISR-primed *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 (*Pst* DC3000)-responsive genes (b) using POBO bootstrapping analysis (Kankainen & Holm, 2004). The nonprimed and ISR-primed genes were compared with randomly selected promoter sequences from the *A. thaliana* genome. Nonprimed and ISR-primed *Pst* DC3000-responsive genes are taken from Verhagen *et al.* (2004). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences in the occurrence of the *cis*-acting element (χ^2 test; $\alpha = 0.05$).

role for this motif in the priming for enhanced JA-responsive gene expression during WCS417r-ISR.

The MYC2 transcription factor is required for WCS417r-ISR

The CACATG motif was previously identified as a binding site for the MYC2 transcription factor in the promoter of

the ABA- and drought-responsive gene *RESPONSIVE TO DEHYDRATION 22* (*RD22*; At5g25610) (Abe *et al.*, 1997). The transcription factor MYC2 also plays an important role in the regulation of JA-responsive gene expression and defense against pathogen and insect attack (Anderson *et al.*, 2004; Lorenzo *et al.*, 2004; Lorenzo & Solano, 2005; Dombrecht *et al.*, 2007). To investigate whether MYC2 is required for the expression of WCS417r-ISR, we tested the ability of the



Fig. 5 Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS417r-induced systemic resistance (ISR) against Pst DC3000 and Hyaloperonospora parasitica is blocked in MYC2-impaired jin1 mutants. (a) Levels of induced protection against Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000) in Arabidopsis thaliana Columbia (Col)-0 and mutants impaired in the JASMONATE-INSENSITIVE1/MYC2 gene (jin1-1 and jin1-2). ISR was induced by growing the plants for 3 wk in soil containing ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria. Five-week-old plants were challenge inoculated with a bacterial suspension of virulent Pst DC3000. Four days after challenge inoculation, the percentage of diseased leaves was assessed and the level of induced protection calculated on the basis of the reduction in disease symptoms relative to challenged, noninduced plants. *, statistically significant differences compared with noninduced control plants (Student's t-test, $\alpha = 0.05$, n = 20). (b) Quantification of ISR against Hyaloperonospora parasitica in Col-0 and jin1-2. ISR was induced by growing the plants in soil containing ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria. Plants were challenge inoculated with H. parasitica when 3 wk old. Disease severity was determined 7 d after challenge. Disease ratings are expressed as the percentage of leaves ($n = \sim 250$) in disease-severity classes: I, no sporulation; II, trailing necrosis; III, < 50% of the leaf area covered with sporangia; IV, > 50% of the leaf area covered with sporangia, with additional chlorosis and leaf collapse. *, statistically significantly different distributions of the disease severity classes compared with the noninduced control treatments (χ^2 test, $\alpha = 0.05$). (c) Induced resistance against H. parasitica is associated with enhanced deposition of callose-containing papillae at sites of attempted penetration, resulting in a reduction of the number of spores that successfully penetrate into A. thaliana leaves. Two days after challenge with H. parasitica, the proportion of spores that induced the formation of callose-containing papillae around the infection site was quantified in leaves of Col-0 and jin1-2 plants $(\chi^2 \text{ test}, \alpha = 0.05).$

MYC2-impaired mutants *jin1-1* and *jin1-2* (Berger *et al.*, 1996; Lorenzo *et al.*, 2004) to express WCS417r-ISR against the pathogens *Pst* DC3000 and *H. parasitica*. Fig. 5(a) shows that WCS417r-ISR resulted in a significant level of protection of Col-0 plants against *Pst* DC3000. However, mutants *jin1-1* and *jin1-2* failed to develop ISR against this pathogen. Similarly, *jin1-2* failed to develop ISR against *H. parasitica* (Fig. 5b). Moreover, priming for enhanced deposition of callose-containing papillae at sites of attempted penetration of *H. parasitica*, a typical reaction of WCS417r-ISR-expressing Col-0 plants (Van der Ent *et al.*, 2008), was significantly reduced in WCS417r-treated *jin1-2* plants (Fig. 5c).

To investigate whether WCS417r-ISR is associated with an increase in the level of *MYC2* mRNA, we analyzed *MYC2* transcript levels in noninduced control and WCS417r-ISR-expressing Col-0 plants in five independent experiments in which significant levels of ISR were detected (data not shown). Q-PCR analysis revealed that *MYC2* transcript levels were consistently raised 1.6- to 3.5-fold in WCS417r-ISR-expressing plants (Fig. 6). In *npr1-1* mutant plants that are unable to mount an ISR response (Pieterse *et al.*, 1998), the level of *MYC2* mRNA was not elevated upon colonization of the roots by WCS417r (Fig. 6). Thus, colonization of the roots by ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria results in a moderate, but



Fig. 6 *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS417r-induced MYC2 expression. Results are shown of quantitative PCR analysis of MYC2 transcript levels in the leaves of 5-wk-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* Columbia (Col)-0 and induced systemic resistance (ISR)-impaired *npr1-1* plants (impaired in the *NONEXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS RELATED GENES1* gene), the roots of which were treated (closed bars) or not (open bars) with ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria. *MYC2* transcript levels in noninduced control plants were set at 1. *, statistically significant differences compared with the noninduced control (Student's *t*-test; $\alpha = 0.05$).

consistently enhanced expression of the transcription factor gene *MYC2*. Together, these results demonstrate that MYC2 is required for the expression of WCS417r-ISR.

Discussion

In many interactions between plants and beneficial microorganisms, induced resistance is not associated with direct activation of defense-related genes, but with potentiated expression of the defense responses that are activated upon pathogen or insect attack. This suggests that priming for enhanced defense is a common mechanism by which ISRinducing beneficial organisms confer broad-spectrum resistance in plants (Van Wees et al., 2008). This is not illogical, because activation of inducible defenses involves major costs that affect plant growth and reproduction (Heil, 2002), and this is inconsistent with the beneficial nature of these plant-microbe interactions. In this study, we identified a group of putative MeJA-responsive genes for which the expression kinetics showed a potentiated pattern in WCS417r-ISR-expressing plants. In silico analysis of the promoters of these ISR-primed MeJAresponsive genes revealed that, when compared with the nonprimed MeJA-responsive genes, the primed genes contain a significantly larger number of the G-box-related motif CACATG (Fig. 4), suggesting a role for this motif in WCS417r-mediated priming for enhanced JA-responsive gene expression.

The G-box-related motif CACATG was previously shown to function as a binding site for the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) domain-containing transcription factor MYC2 (Abe et al., 1997; De Pater et al., 1997). In addition to binding to G-box-related sequences such as CACATG, MYC2 has been demonstrated to preferentially bind to the G-box sequence CACGTG (Dombrecht et al., 2007). The MYC2 locus was first identified in a mutant screen for reduced sensitivity to JA (Berger et al., 1996) and is allelic to JASMONATE-INSENSITIVE1 (JAI1/JIN1) (Lorenzo et al., 2004). In several studies, MYC2 has been demonstrated to play an important role in the regulation of JA- and ABA-responsive genes (Abe et al., 1997; Abe et al., 2003; Anderson et al., 2004; Boter et al., 2004; Lorenzo et al., 2004; Lorenzo & Solano, 2005). Recent advances in JA signaling research revealed that MYC2 interacts with JASMONATE-ZIM-DOMAIN (JAZ) repressor proteins that, upon induction of the JA pathway, become targeted for SCF^{COI1} E3 ubiquitin ligase-dependent degradation by the proteasome (Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007), thereby relieving the JAZ-mediated suppression of the transcription factor activity of MYC2. Upon induction of the JA pathway, MYC2 functions as a positive regulator of JA-responsive genes such as LOX2 and VSP2, which are associated with the wound response (Boter et al., 2004; Lorenzo et al., 2004). In contrast, MYC2 acts as a negative regulator of JA-responsive genes such as PDF1.2 and HEL, both of which are associated with defense against pathogens

(Anderson *et al.*, 2004; Lorenzo *et al.*, 2004). Moreover, MYC2 modulates the expression of JA-responsive transcription factor genes, thereby indirectly affecting the expression of a large number of downstream JA-responsive genes (Dombrecht *et al.*, 2007). Mutations in the *MYC2/JIN1* gene affect the level of resistance against the pathogens *Botrytis cinerea, Plectosphaerella cucumerina, Fusarium oxysporum* and *P. syringae* (Anderson *et al.*, 2004; Lorenzo *et al.*, 2004; Nickstadt *et al.*, 2004; Laurie-Berry *et al.*, 2006) and the insect herbivore *Helicoverpa armigera* (Dombrecht *et al.*, 2007), highlighting the important regulatory function of this transcription factor in JA-dependent plant defense.

If MYC2 is important for WCS417r-mediated priming for enhanced JA-responsive gene expression upon pathogen or insect attack, then one would expect that mutations in the MYC2 gene will affect the ability to develop ISR. Indeed, MYC2 mutants *jin1-1* and *jin1-2* were blocked in their ability to mount WCS417r-ISR against Pst DC3000 (Fig. 5), a pathogen that was previously shown to be affected by JAdependent defenses (Pozo et al., 2004). Furthermore, we demonstrated that ISR-expressing Col-0 plants accumulated higher levels of MYC2 mRNA, whereas the ISR-impaired mutant npr1-1 did not (Fig. 6), suggesting that elevated MYC2 mRNA levels play a role in mediating the primed expression pattern of JA-responsive genes in pathogen-challenged, WCS417r-ISR-expressing plants. The observation that the WCS417rinduced expression of MYC2 by itself is not sufficient for the activation of MYC2-dependent genes suggests that a second signal, induced, for example, upon pathogen or insect attack, is required for the expression of the primed defense response. This is corroborated by the observation that over-expression of MYC2 does not lead to increased expression of JA- or ABAresponsive genes, but rather enhances the sensitivity of these plants to JA and ABA (Abe et al., 2003; Boter et al., 2004).

Our study also demonstrates that a mutation in the *MYC2* gene affects WCS417r-ISR against the biotroph *H. parasitica* (Fig. 5), a pathogen that is not very sensitive to JA-dependent defenses. So how can the role of MYC2 in WCS417r-ISR against this pathogen be explained? Previously, we demonstrated that WCS417r-ISR against *H. parasitica* is associated with priming for enhanced deposition of callose-rich papillae at the sites of attempted spore penetration (Van der Ent *et al.*, 2008). This primed defense response has been demonstrated to depend on ABA signaling (Ton *et al.*, 2005; Van der Ent, 2008). Because MYC2 is an important regulator of ABA-dependent defenses (Abe *et al.*, 1997; Abe *et al.*, 2003; Boter *et al.*, 2004), it is plausible that the MYC2 dependence of WCS417r-ISR against *H. parasitica* is caused by the role of MYC2 in ABA signaling.

In conclusion, our results suggest the following model for WCS417r-induced priming of JA-dependent defenses during ISR. Colonization of the roots by ISR-inducing WCS417r bacteria leads to a systemic induction of the expression of the *MYC2* transcription factor gene. In the absence of a JA-inducing

attacker, increased MYC2 levels do not result in enhanced defense-related gene expression, possibly because the action of MYC2 is suppressed by previously identified JAZ proteins (Chini *et al.*, 2007). Upon attack by a JA-inducing pathogen or insect, the JAZ repressor proteins are degraded via the proteasome. This clears the way for the accelerated expression of MYC2-regulated JA-responsive genes, resulting in enhanced resistance against the JA-inducing attacker encountered. This study provides novel insights into the molecular mechanisms of priming for enhanced defense and may be instrumental in developing novel strategies for durable crop protection.

Acknowledgements

We thank Roberto Solano and Oscar Lorenzo, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, CSIC, Madrid, Spain for providing *jin1-1* and *jin1-2* seeds, and John Turner, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK, for providing the *coi1-16* seeds. We also wish to thank Bas van Breukelen and Coral del Val for assistance in the analysis of the GeneChip data and clustering. The research was supported by grant CBSG A4 of the Centre for BioSystems Genomics, VICI grant 865.04.002 of the Earth and Life Sciences Foundation (ALW), which is subsidized by the Netherlands Organisation of Scientific Research (NWO), and a post-doctoral EU Marie Curie Individual Fellowship (QLK5-CT-2001-52136).

References

- Abe H, Urao T, Ito T, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2003. Arabidopsis AtMYC2 (bHLH) and AtMYB2 (MYB) function as transcriptional activators in abscisic acid signaling. *The Plant Cell* 15: 63–78.
- Abe H, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Urao T, Iwasaki T, Hosokawa D, Shinozaki K. 1997. Role of Arabidopsis MYC and MYB homologs in drought-and abscisic acid-regulated gene expression. *The Plant Cell* 9: 1859–1868.
- Anderson JP, Badruzsaufari E, Schenk PM, Manners JM, Desmond OJ, Ehlert C, Maclean DJ, Ebert PR, Kazan K. 2004. Antagonistic interaction between abscisic acid and jasmonate-ethylene signaling pathways modulates defense gene expression and disease resistance in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 16: 3460–3479.
- Asselbergh B, De Vleesschauwer D, Höfte M. 2008. Global switches and fine-tuning – ABA modulates plant pathogen defense. *Molecular Plant– Microbe Interactions* 21: 709–719.
- Berger S, Bell E, Mullet JE. 1996. Two methyl jasmonate-insensitive mutants show altered expression of *AtVsp* in response to methyl jasmonate and wounding. *Plant Physiology* 111: 525–531.
- Boter M, Ruiz-Rivero O, Abdeen A, Pratt S. 2004. Conserved MYC transcription factors play a key role in jasmonate signaling both in tomato and Arabidopsis. *Genes and Development* 18: 1577– 1591.
- Cao H, Bowling SA, Gordon AS, Dong X. 1994. Characterization of an Arabidopsis mutant that is nonresponsive to inducers of systemic acquired resistance. *The Plant Cell* 6: 1583–1592.
- Chini A, Fonseca S, Fernandez G, Adie B, Chico JM, Lorenzo O, Garcia-Casado G, Lopez-Vidriero I, Lozano FM, Ponce MR *et al.* 2007. The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. *Nature* 448: 666–671.

- Conrath U, Beckers GJM, Flors V, García-Agustín P, Jakab G, Mauch F, Newman M-A, Pieterse CMJ, Poinssot B, Pozo MJ et al. 2006. Priming: getting ready for battle. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 19: 1062– 1071.
- Conrath U, Pieterse CMJ, Mauch-Mani B. 2002. Priming in plantpathogen interactions. *Trends in Plant Science* 7: 210–216.
- Czechowski T, Bari RP, Stitt M, Scheible WR, Udvardi MK. 2004. Real-time RT-PCR profiling of over 1400 Arabidopsis transcription factors: unprecedented sensitivity reveals novel root- and shoot-specific genes. *Plant Journal* 38: 366–379.
- De Pater S, Pham K, Mememlink J, Kijne J. 1997. RAP-1 is an Arabidopsis MYC-like R protein homologue that binds to G-box sequence motifs. *Plant Molecular Biology* 34: 169–174.
- De Vos M, Van Oosten VR, Van Poecke RMP, Van Pelt JA, Pozo MJ, Mueller MJ, Buchala AJ, Métraux JP, Van Loon LC, Dicke M et al. 2005. Signal signature and transcriptome changes of Arabidopsis during pathogen and insect attack. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 18: 923– 937.
- Dombrecht B, Xue GP, Sprague SJ, Kirkegaard JA, Ross JJ, Reid JB, Fitt GP, Sewelam N, Schenk PM, Manners JM *et al.* 2007. MYC2 differentially modulates diverse jasmonate-dependent functions in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 19: 2225–2245.
- Durrant WE, Dong X. 2004. Systemic acquired resistance. Annual Review of Phytopathology 42: 185–209.
- Ellis C, Turner JG. 2002. A conditionally fertile *coi1* allele indicates crosstalk between plant hormone signaling pathways in *Arabidopsis thaliana* seeds and young seedlings. *Planta* 215: 549–556.
- Frost CJ, Mescher MC, Carlson JE, De Moraes CM. 2008. Plant defense priming against herbivores: getting ready for a different battle. *Plant Physiology* 146: 818–824.
- Hara-Nishimura I, Matsushima R. 2003. A wound-inducible organelle derived from endoplasmic reticulum: a plant strategy against environmental stresses? *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 6: 583–588.
- Hase S, Van Pelt JA, Van Loon LC, Pieterse CMJ. 2003. Colonization of Arabidopsis roots by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* primes the plant to produce higher levels of ethylene upon pathogen infection. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 62: 219–226.
- Heil M. 2002. Ecological costs of induced resistance. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 5: 345–350.
- Heil M, Silva Bueno JC. 2007. Within-plant signaling by volatiles leads to induction and priming of an indirect plant defense in nature. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 104: 5467–5472.
- Howe GA. 2004. Jasmonates as signals in the wound response. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 23: 223–237.
- Kankainen M, Holm L. 2004. POBO, transcription factor binding site verification with bootstrapping. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32: 222– 229.
- Katagiri F. 2004. A global view of defense gene expression regulation a highly interconnected signaling network. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 7: 506–511.
- Koornneef A, Leon-Reyes A, Ritsema T, Verhage A, Den Otter FC, Van Loon LC, Pieterse CMJ. 2008. Cross-talk between salicylate- and jasmonate-dependent defense pathways in Arabidopsis is associated with changes in the redox status. *Plant Physiology* 147: 1358–1368.
- Koornneef A, Pieterse CMJ. 2008. Cross-talk in defense signaling. *Plant Physiology* 146: 839–844.
- Laurie-Berry N, Joardar V, Street IH, Kunkel BN. 2006. The Arabidopsis thaliana JASMONATE INSENSITIVE 1 gene is required for suppression of salicylic acid-dependent defenses during infection by *Pseudomonas syringae*. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions 19: 789–800.
- Léon-Kloosterziel KM, Verhagen BWM, Keurentjes JJB, Van Pelt JA, Rep M, Van Loon LC, Pieterse CMJ. 2005. Colonization of the Arabidopsis rhizosphere by fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. activates a rootspecific, ethylene-responsive *PR-5* gene in the vascular bundle. *Plant Molecular Biology* 57: 731–748.

- Livak K, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2-^{DDCT} method. *Methods* 25: 402–408.
- Lorenzo O, Chico JM, Sanchez-Serrano JJ, Solano R. 2004. JASMONATE-INSENSITIVE1 encodes a MYC transcription factor essential to discriminate between different jasmonate-regulated defense responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 16: 1938–1950.
- Lorenzo O, Solano R. 2005. Molecular players regulating the jasmonate signalling network. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 8: 532–540.
- Matsushima R, Kondo M, Nishimura M, Hara-Nishimura I. 2003. A novel ER-derived compartment, the ER body, selectively accumulates a β-glucosidase with an ER-retention signal in Arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* 33: 493–502.
- Mur LAJ, Kenton P, Atzorn R, Miersch O, Wasternack C. 2006. The outcomes of concentration-specific interactions between salicylate and jasmonate signaling include synergy, antagonism, and oxidative stress leading to cell death. *Plant Physiology* 140: 249–262.
- Nickstadt A, Thomma BPHJ, Feussner I, Kangasjarvi J, Zeier J, Loeffler C, Scheel D, Berger S. 2004. The jasmonate-insensitive mutant *jin1* shows increased resistance to biotrophic as well as necrotrophic pathogens. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 5: 425–434.
- O'Connor TR, Dyreson C, Wyrick JJ. 2005. Athena: a resource for rapid visualization and systematic analysis of *Arabidopsis* promoter sequences. *Bioinformatics* 21: 4411–4413.
- Oppenheimer DG, Herman PL, Sivakumaran S, Esch J, Marks MD. 1991. A *myb* gene required for leaf trichome differentiation in Arabidopsis is expressed in stipules. *Cell* **6**7: 483–493.
- Pieterse CMJ, Dicke M. 2007. Plant interactions with microbes and insects: from molecular mechanisms to ecology. *Trends in Plant Science* 12: 564–569.
- Pieterse CMJ, Van Pelt JA, Ton J, Parchmann S, Mueller MJ, Buchala AJ, Métraux J-P, Van Loon LC. 2000. Rhizobacteria-mediated induced systemic resistance (ISR) in *Arabidopsis* requires sensitivity to jasmonate and ethylene but is not accompanied by an increase in their production. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 57: 123–134.
- Pieterse CMJ, Van Wees SCM, Hoffland E, Van Pelt JA, Van Loon LC. 1996. Systemic resistance in Arabidopsis induced by biocontrol bacteria is independent of salicylic acid accumulation and pathogenesis-related gene expression. *The Plant Cell* 8: 1225–1237.
- Pieterse CMJ, Van Wees SCM, Van Pelt JA, Knoester M, Laan R, Gerrits H, Weisbeek PJ, Van Loon LC. 1998. A novel signaling pathway controlling induced systemic resistance in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 10: 1571–1580.
- Pozo MJ, Van Loon LC, Pieterse CMJ. 2004. Jasmonates signals in plant-microbe interactions. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 23: 211–222.
- Redman JC, Haas BJ, Tanimoto G, Town CD. 2004. Development and evaluation of an Arabidopsis whole genome Affymetrix probe array. *Plant Journal* 38: 545–561.
- **Reymond P, Farmer EE. 1998.** Jasmonate and salicylate as global signals for defense gene expression. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 1: 404– 411.
- Rojo E, Solano R, Sanchez-Serrano JJ. 2003. Interactions between signaling compounds involved in plant defense. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 22: 82–98.
- Rombauts S, Florquin K, Lescot M, Marchal K, Rouze P, Van de Peer Y. 2003. Computational approaches to identify promoters and cis-regulatory elements in plant genomes. *Plant Physiology* 132: 1162–1176.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. 1989. Molecular Cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd edition. Cold Spring Harbor, NY. USA: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sasaki Y, Asamizu E, Shibata D, Nakamura Y, Kaneko T, Awai K, Amagai M, Kuwata C, Tsugane T, Masuda T et al. 2001. Monitoring of methyl jasmonate-responsive genes in Arabidopsis by cDNA macroarray: self-activation of jasmonic acid biosynthesis and crosstalk with other phytohormone signaling pathways. DNA Research 8: 153–161.

- Sherameti I, Venus Y, Drzewiecki C, Tripathi S, Mohan Dan V, Nitz I, Varma A, Grundler FM, Oelmüller R. 2008. PYK10, a β-glucosidase located in the endoplasmatic reticulum, is crucial for the beneficial interaction between *Arabidopsis thaliana* and the endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica. Plant Journal* 54: 428–439.
- Thines B, Katsir L, Melotto M, Niu Y, Mandaokar A, Liu G, Nomura K, He SY, Howe GA, Browse J. 2007. JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the SCF(COI1) complex during jasmonate signalling. *Nature* 448: 661– 665.
- Ton J, Jakab G, Toquin V, Flors V, Iavicoli A, Maeder MN, Métraux J-P, Mauch-Mani B. 2005. Dissecting the ß-aminobutyric acid-induced priming phenomenon in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 17: 987–999.
- Van der Ent S. 2008. Transcriptional regulators of rhizobacteria-induced systemic resistance. PhD thesis. Utrecht, the Netherlands: Utrecht University.
- Van der Ent S, Verhagen BWM, Van Doorn R, Bakker D, Verlaan MG, Pel MJC, Joosten RG, Proveniers MCG, Van Loon LC, Ton J et al. 2008. MYB72 is required in early signaling steps of rhizobacteriainduced systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology* 146: 1293–1304.
- Van Hulten M, Pelser M, Van Loon LC, Pieterse CMJ, Ton J. 2006. Costs and benefits of priming for defense in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 103: 5602–5607.
- Van Loon LC, Bakker PAHM, Pieterse CMJ. 1998. Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 36: 453–483.
- Van Loon LC, Geraats BPJ, Linthorst HJM. 2006a. Ethylene as a modulator of disease resistance in plants. *Trends in Plant Science* 11: 184–191.
- Van Loon LC, Rep M, Pieterse CMJ. 2006b. Significance of inducible defense-related proteins in infected plants. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 44: 135–162.
- Van Oosten VR, Bodenhausen N, Reymond P, Van Pelt JA, Van Loon LC, Dicke M, Pieterse CMJ. 2008. Differential effectiveness of microbially induced resistance against herbivorous insects in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 21: 919–930.
- Van Poecke RMP, Dicke M. 2004. Indirect defence of plants against herbivores: using *Arabidopsis thaliana* as a model plant. *Plant Biology* 6: 387–401.
- Van Wees SCM, Luijendijk M, Smoorenburg I, Van Loon LC, Pieterse CMJ. 1999. Rhizobacteria-mediated induced systemic resistance (ISR) in Arabidopsis is not associated with a direct effect on expression of known defense-related genes but stimulates the expression of the jasmonateinducible gene *Atvsp* upon challenge. *Plant Molecular Biology* 41: 537– 549.
- Van Wees SCM, Van der Ent S, Pieterse CMJ. 2008. Plant immune responses triggered by beneficial microbes. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2008.05.005
- Verhagen BWM, Glazebrook J, Zhu T, Chang H-S, Van Loon LC, Pieterse CMJ. 2004. The transcriptome of rhizobacteria-induced systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 17: 895– 908.
- Walters D, Newton A, Lyon G. 2007. Induced resistance for plant defence: a sustainable approach to crop protection. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Wang D, Amornsiripanitch N, Dong X. 2006. A genomic approach to identify regulatory nodes in the transcriptional network of systemic acquired resistance in plants. *PLoS Pathogens* 2: 1042–1050.
- Weigel RR, Bauscher C, Pfitzner AJ, Pfitzner UM. 2001. NIMIN-1, NIMIN-2 and NIMIN-3, members of a novel family of proteins from Arabidopsis that interact with NPR1/NIM1, a key regulator of systemic acquired resistance in plants. *Plant Molecular Biology* 46: 143–160.
- Whalen MC, Innes RW, Bent AF, Staskawicz BJ. 1991. Identification of *Pseudomonas syringae* pathogens of Arabidopsis and a bacterial locus determining avirulence on both Arabidopsis and soybean. *The Plant Cell* 3: 49–59.

New Phytologist

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Table S1 Normalized expression levels, fold-changeinformation, AGI numbers and TIGR annotation of theputative methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-responsive genes

Table S2 Normalized expression levels, fold-changeinformation, AGI numbers and TIGR annotation of the

putative induced systemic resistance (ISR)-primed methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-responsive genes

Table S3 *P*-values (hypergeometric distribution) of over-represented motifs in promoters of induced systemic resistance(ISR)-primed methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-responsive genes,compared with those of nonprimed MeJA-responsive genes

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.



About New Phytologist

- New Phytologist is owned by a non-profit-making **charitable trust** dedicated to the promotion of plant science, facilitating projects from symposia to open access for our Tansley reviews. Complete information is available at **www.newphytologist.org**.
- Regular papers, Letters, Research reviews, Rapid reports and both Modelling/Theory and Methods papers are encouraged. We are committed to rapid processing, from online submission through to publication 'as-ready' via *Early View* – our average submission to decision time is just 29 days. Online-only colour is **free**, and essential print colour costs will be met if necessary. We also provide 25 offprints as well as a PDF for each article.
- For online summaries and ToC alerts, go to the website and click on 'Journal online'. You can take out a **personal subscription** to the journal for a fraction of the institutional price. Rates start at £135 in Europe/\$251 in the USA & Canada for the online edition (click on 'Subscribe' at the website).
- If you have any questions, do get in touch with Central Office (newphytol@lancaster.ac.uk; tel +44 1524 594691) or, for a local contact in North America, the US Office (newphytol@ornl.gov; tel +1 865 576 5261).