6:43 PM DEC 01, 2022 THE MOMENT YOUR GUT INSTINCT WAS BACKED BY SCIENTIFIC RESULTS_

THE DIFFERENCE OF BREAKTHROUGH MOMENTS

WITH COMPLETE SOLUTIONS FOR GROUNDBREAKING DISCOVERIES FROM A TRUSTED PARTNER. Your next breakthrough could be closer than you imagine, especially with the right resources to help you advance your research. At BD, we are dedicated to helping you get the data you need, when, where and how you need it. Our integrated solutions in instrumentation, software and reagents are optimized to work together to bring you closer to your next breakthrough moment. And you can depend on us for world-class training, service and support to help you get the most from the results your research depends on. Discover a range of optimized solutions that open endless possibilities for your future research. **Discover the new BD**.



Learn how you can advance your research >



Short Report

Gallstones and incident colorectal cancer in a large pan-European cohort study

Heather A Ward ¹, Neil Murphy ², Elisabete Weiderpass^{3,4,5,6}, Michael F Leitzmann⁷, Elom Aglago², Marc J Gunter², Heinz Freisling², Mazda Jenab², Marie-Christine Boutron-Ruault^{8,9}, Gianluca Severi^{8,9}, Franck Carbonnel^{8,9,10}, Tilman Kühn¹¹, Rudolf Kaaks¹¹, Heiner Boeing¹², Anne Tjønneland¹³, Anja Olsen¹³, Kim Overvad¹⁴, Susana Merino¹⁵, Raul Zamora-Ros ¹⁶, Miguel Rodríguez-Barranco^{17,18}, Miren Dorronsoro^{17,19}, Maria-Dolores Chirlaque^{17,20}, Aurelio Barricarte^{17,21,22}, Aurora Perez-Cornago²³, Antonia Trichopoulou^{24,25}, Christina Bamia ^{24,25†}, Pagona Lagiou^{24,25,26}, Giovanna Masala²⁷, Sara Grioni²⁸, Rosario Tumino²⁹, Carlotta Sacerdote ³⁰, Amalia Mattiello³¹, Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita^{1,32,33,34}, Roel Vermeulen³⁵, Carla Van Gils³⁶, Hanna Nyström³⁷, Martin Rutegård³⁷, Dagfinn Aune^{1,38}, Elio Riboli¹ and Amanda J Cross¹

¹School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

⁴Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, Institute of population-based cancer research, Oslo, Norway

- ⁶Genetic Epidemiology Group, Folkhälsan Research Centre and Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ⁷Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany
- ⁸University Paris-Saclay, University Paris-Sud, UVSQ, CESP, INSERM UMRS, Villejuif, France
- ⁹Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France
- ¹⁰Department of Gastroenterology, Bicêtre University Hospital, Public Assistance Hospitals of Paris, Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France
- ¹¹Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Centre (DKFZ), Foundation under Public Law, Heidelberg, Germany
- ¹²German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke (DIfE), Nuthetal, Germany
- ¹³Danish Cancer Society Research Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ¹⁴Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
- ¹⁵Public Health Directorate, Asturias, Spain
- ¹⁶Unit of Nutrition and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), Barcelona, Spain
- ¹⁷CIBER in Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁸Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública, Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria, Granada, Spain
- ¹⁹Public Health Department of Gipuzkoa, Donostia, Spain
- ²⁰Department of Epidemiology, Regional Health Council, IMIB-Arrixaca, Murcia University, Murcia, Spain

Key words: Gallstones, colorectal cancer, EPIC, cohort

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; CRC: colorectal cancer; EPIC: European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; HRT: hormone replacement therapy; SEER: surveillance, epidemiology and end results Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

[†]Deceased

Grant sponsor: Cancer Research UK; Grant numbers: 14136, C570/A16491, C8221/A19170; Grant sponsor: Health Research Fund (FIS);
Grant numbers: PI13/00061, PI13/01162; Grant sponsor: Institute of Health Carlos III and the European Social Fund; Grant number: CP15/00100; Grant sponsor: Medical Research Council; Grant numbers: 1000143, MR/M012190/1; Grant sponsor: European Social Fund; Grant sponsor: World Cancer Research Fund; Grant sponsor: Dutch Prevention Funds; Grant sponsor: LK Research Funds; Grant sponsor: Netherlands Cancer Registry; Grant sponsor: Dutch Ministry of Public Health; Grant sponsor: National Research Council; Grant sponsor: Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum and Federal Ministry of Education and Research; Grant sponsor: Federal Ministry of Education and Research; Grant sponsor: Federal Ministry of Education and Research; Grant sponsor: Dational de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale; Grant sponsor: Mutuelle Générale de l'Education Nationale; Grant sponsor: Institut Gustave Roussy; Grant sponsor: Ligue Contre le Cancer; Grant sponsor: Danish Cancer Society; Grant sponsor: the International Agency for Research on Cancer; Grant sponsor: European Commission Directorate-General for Health and Consumers (DG SANCO)
DOI: 10.1002/ijc.32090

History: Received 18 Jul 2018; Accepted 26 Oct 2018; Online 26 Dec 2018

Correspondence to: Heather A. Ward, Room 150, Medical Building, Norfolk Place. London, W2 1PG, Tel.: +44-20-7594-5081, Fax: +44-20-7594-3456, E-mail: heather.ward@imperial.ac.uk

²International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

³Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Tromsø, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

⁵Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

²¹Navarra Public Health Institute, Pamplona, Spain

²²Navarra Institute for Health Research (IdiSNA), Pamplona, Spain

²³Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

²⁴Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece

²⁵WHO Collaborating Centre for Nutrition and Health, Unit of Nutritional Epidemiology and Nutrition in Public Health, Department of Hygiene,

Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

²⁶Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts

²⁷Cancer Risk Factors and Lifestyle Epidemiology Unit, Institute for cancer research, prevention and clinical network (ISPRO), Florence, Italy

²⁸Epidemiology and Prevention Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy

²⁹Cancer Registry and Histopathology Department, "Civic - M.P.Arezzo" Hospital, Ragusa, Italy

³⁰Unit of Cancer Epidemiology, Città della Salute e della Scienza University-Hospital and Centre for Cancer Prevention (CPO), Turin, Italy

³¹Dipartimento di Medicina Clinica e Chirurgia, Federico II University, Naples, Italy

³²Department for Determinants of Chronic Diseases (DCD), National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

³³Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands

³⁴Department of Social & Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

³⁵Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Utrecht University

³⁶Department of Epidemiology, Julius Centre Research Program Cancer, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

³⁷Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

³⁸Department of Nutrition, Bjørknes University College, Oslo, Norway

Gallstones, a common gastrointestinal condition, can lead to several digestive complications and can result in inflammation. Risk factors for gallstones include obesity, diabetes, smoking and physical inactivity, all of which are known risk factors for colorectal cancer (CRC), as is inflammation. However, it is unclear whether gallstones are a risk factor for CRC. We examined the association between history of gallstones and CRC in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study, a prospective cohort of over half a million participants from ten European countries. History of gallstones was assessed at baseline using a self-reported questionnaire. The analytic cohort included 334,986 participants; a history of gallstones was reported by 3,917 men and 19,836 women, and incident CRC was diagnosed among 1,832 men and 2,178 women (mean follow-up: 13.6 years). Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between gallstones and CRC were estimated using Cox proportional hazards regression models, stratified by sex, study centre and age at recruitment. The models were adjusted for body mass index, diabetes, alcohol intake and physical activity. A positive, marginally significant association was detected between gallstones and CRC among men was inverse but not significant (HR = 0.81, 95%CI 0.63–1.04, p = 0.10). Additional adjustment for details of reproductive history or waist circumference yielded minimal changes to the observed associations. Further research is required to confirm the nature of the association between gallstones and CRC by sex.

What's new?

Gallstones can be extremely painful. But might the inflammation they cause also increase the risk of colorectal cancer (CRC)? Previous results have been inconsistent. In this large, prospective study, the authors found a slight positive association between gallstones and CRC among women. For men, on the other hand, there was an inverse association, but the data didn't reach statistical significance. More research is needed to confirm and further explore these sex-based differences.

Introduction

Gallstone disease is one of the most common gastrointestinal diseases. Within Europe, the estimated prevalence of gallstone disease ranges from 5.9% in Italy to 21.9% of all adults in Norway.¹ Gallstones are formed of cholesterol, bilirubin and calcium salts, with smaller amounts of protein and other materials.² Women are at greater risk of gallstones than men: exposure to higher levels of oestrogen, through pregnancy, hormone therapy or oestrogen-containing hormonal contraception, may increase biliary cholesterol levels and decrease

gallbladder movement, resulting in gallstone formation.² Additional risk factors for gallstones include increasing age, family history of gallstones, ethnicity, high serum cholesterol levels, obesity, physical inactivity, smoking and high energy intake, whereas lower risk has been found for higher intakes of dietary fibre and calcium, and moderate consumption of coffee and alcohol.^{3,4} The majority of gallstones are asymptomatic; a prospective study of Danish adults estimated only 20% of gallstones were of clinical significance.⁵ However, the complications associated with gallstones include biliary colic,

cholecystitis, cholangitis, biliary pancreatitis and gallbladder cancer;^{6,7} the inflammation associated with these conditions may also be associated with greater risk of colorectal cancer (CRC).⁸ Altered bile flow may be due to either gallstones themselves⁷ or gallstone treatment (cholecystectomy).⁹ A meta-analysis of case–control and cohort studies reported that higher faecal levels of chenodeoxycholic acid were measured among CRC cases, although no such differences for total bile acids or other bile acid subtypes were detected.¹⁰ Gallstones have also been associated with incident diabetes,¹¹ a risk factor for developing CRC.¹²

Current epidemiologic evidence on the association between gallstones and CRC is inconclusive, with results indicating either no association,¹³ a positive association^{14,15} or inconsistent associations by CRC subsite.^{16,17} The available studies differ notably in sample size and adjustment for covariates: large population-based cohorts had high numbers of gallstone cases (e.g., 42,000)¹⁵ but were limited to clinical covariate data, whereas studies with more extensive data on potential confounders (e.g., diet, alcohol, anthropometry, serum lipids) had fewer gallstone cases (e.g., <600).¹⁶ Additionally, differences in the relationship between gallstones and CRC by sex require further study, as women are at higher risk of both gallstones³ and developing more aggressive right-sided (proximal) colon cancer¹⁸ than men. Furthermore, previous case-control studies have reported differences in the association between gallstones and CRC by sex.¹⁹ Therefore, the aim of this research was to examine gallstones and CRC risk in the large European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study, with information on a wide range of potentially confounding variables collected at baseline.

Methods

Study participants

From 1992 to 2000, the EPIC study recruited 521,324 adults (aged 25–70 years) from Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Norway, the Netherlands, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom.²⁰ Questionnaires on demographics, diet and other lifestyle factors were completed by participants at baseline. All EPIC centres measured height, weight, waist and hip circumference except France, Norway and Oxford, where they were self-reported. Dietary intake over the past year was assessed at baseline through country-specific questionnaires.²⁰ Self-reported history of diagnosed gallstones (categorical: yes, no or unknown) and age at diagnosis (continuous) of gallstones were obtained from the health and lifestyle questionnaire administered at recruitment.

Each participant was followed from baseline to cancer diagnosis, emigration, loss to follow-up, death or end of study follow-up (which varied by centre from June 2008 to December 2013), whichever came first.

The study was approved by the review board of the International Agency for Research on Cancer and national review boards, and all participants provided consent for the retention of acquired data and follow-up for incidence of cancer and death. $^{\rm 20}$

Identification of CRC cases

Cancer registries were used to identify cancer cases in seven of the countries participating in EPIC (Denmark, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom). In the three remaining countries (France, Germany and Greece), a combination of methods were used including health insurance records, cancer and pathology registries, and active follow-up of the study subjects and their next of kin relatives.

The site of the tumour was coded using the 'International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems tenth Revision' (ICD-10). For this analysis, we examined incident CRCs, defined as: colon cancers (C18), rectosigmoid junction cancers (C19) and rectal cancers (C20). When looking at colon and rectal cancer separately, the definition of rectal cancer included cancers in the rectosigmoid junction and the rectum. Proximal colon cancer included tumours of the caecum, appendix, ascending colon, hepatic flexure, transverse colon and splenic flexure (C18.0–18.5). Distal colon cancer included tumours in the descending and sigmoid colon (C18.6–18.7). Overlapping lesions of the colon (C18.8) and colon NOS (not otherwise specified, C18.9) were not classified as either proximal or distal.

Exclusions

Data related to gallstones were not collected in Sweden and Norway, therefore, participants from these countries were not included in the analysis (n = 91,022). Among the remaining eight countries, participants who were missing data on gallstones (n = 74,744), and those who reported being unsure of gallstone diagnosis (n = 1,275) were excluded from the analysis. Additionally, participants who were missing data on length of follow-up (n = 22,642) and/or potential confounders (diabetes, alcohol intake and physical activity, n = 42,948) were also excluded; the selection of these covariates is described below. The present analysis included data from 334,986 participants.

Statistical analyses

Cox proportional regression models were used to estimate hazards ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Person years of follow-up since recruitment was the primary time variable in all models. All models included age at recruitment as a covariate, and with study centre, sex and age at recruitment (1-year intervals) as stratum variables.

The proportional hazards assumption was examined using a time interaction model, with the consistency of the association between gallstones and CRC during follow-up estimated by splitting follow-up time in 5-year bands, and fitting interactions between the exposure and bands of follow-up time. Covariates for the multivariable model were evaluated individually to determine if they changed the beta value for the association between gallstones and CRC by greater than 10%,

relative to a model without the covariate of interest. The evaluation of potential confounders was restricted to participants without missing data on covariates of interest. The variables tested included: education level (none, primary school completed, technical/professional school, secondary school or tertiary education); body mass index (BMI, kg/m², continuous); smoking status (never, former, current smoker), alcohol intake (grams/day: 0, >0-6, >6-12, >12-24, >24-60, >60-96, >96), physical activity (Cambridge Index: inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active), family history of CRC (yes, no), diabetes (yes, no), hyperlipidaemia (yes, no), red meat intake (g/day, continuous), processed meat intake (g/day, continuous), total fibre intake (g/day, continuous), total fat intake (g/day, continuous) and total cholesterol intake (mg/day, continuous). Among women, variables reflecting reproductive history were also assessed: history of full term pregnancy (yes, no), ever use of oral contraceptive pill (yes, no), menopausal status (premenopausal, postmenopausal, perimenopausal, surgical menopause), ever breastfeeding (yes, no) and ever used hormone replacement therapy (HRT, yes, no). Among these variables, BMI, alcohol intake, diabetes and physical activity met the criteria for inclusion in the multivariable models. BMI may be related to CRC through multiple mechanisms, including factors related to gallstone development; therefore, BMI was included as an additional adjustment (Model 3) separate to the other above-listed covariates (Model 2).

Among participants with a history of gallstones, the models were rerun to examine the effect of age at gallstone diagnosis (comparing above/below median age at diagnosis). A lag analysis was performed to investigate the possibility of reverse causation: the Cox regression models were rerun after excluding those who had less than two person-years of follow-up.

Tests for interaction were conducted using multiplicative model terms. For binary variables, the p-value for interactions was obtained from the analytic model; for categorical variables, p-values were obtained from likelihood ratio tests comparing nested models (with and without the interaction term). STATA (version 13) was used for all analyses.

Results

Baseline characteristics

Among the 334,986 participants, 23,753 (7.1%) had gallstones (3,917 in men and 19,836 in women). Compared to those without a history of gallstones, men and women with a history of gallstones were more likely to be older, have a higher BMI, have been diagnosed with diabetes, have been diagnosed with hyperlipidaemia, and were more likely to be classified as physically inactive (Table 1). Among women, those with a history of gallstones reported lower levels of tertiary education, less use of oral contraceptives, a higher proportion of full term pregnancies and were more likely to be postmenopausal than those without a history of gallstones. The median age at diagnosis of gallstones was 46 years among men and 39 years among women. Incident CRC was diagnosed among 1,832 men (1,102 colon [440 distal, 536 proximal], 730 rectal) and 2,178 women (1,485 colon [721 distal, 626 proximal], 693 rectal). The mean follow-up time was 13.6 years (SD 3.9).

Gallstones and CRC risk

Preliminary analyses yielded evidence of an interaction between sex and gallstones in relation to CRC risk (p = 0.036); therefore, subsequent analyses were conducted separately for men and women. Among women, gallstones were positively associated with the risk of CRC in the ageand centre-adjusted model (Model 1: HR 1.15, 95%CI 1.00–1.33, p = 0.045); further adjustment for BMI, diabetes, alcohol intake and physical activity attenuated the significance of the association (Model 3: HR 1.14, 95% CI 0.99–1.31, p = 0.077). Among men, the relationship between gallstones and CRC was inverse but not statistically significant (Table 2).

Gallstones were associated with greater colon cancer risk among women (HR = 1.20, 95%CI: 1.02–1.41; p = 0.032); as with CRC, further adjustment attenuated the significance of the association (Model 3: HR 1.18, 95%CI 1.00–1.39, p = 0.054). There were no associations detected between gallstones and rectal cancer among women, nor between gallstones and colon cancer among men. Among men, the relationship between gallstones and rectal cancer was inverse but not significant (Model 2: HR 0.69, 95% CI 0.44–1.07, p = 0.10); further adjustment for BMI yielded marginal significance (Model 3: HR 0.67, 95% CI 0.43–1.05, p = 0.078).

Among women, no interactions were observed between gallstones, risk of colon cancer and ever use of HRT (p = 0.41), ever use of oral contraceptives (p = 0.36), menopausal status (p = 0.24), history of full-term pregnancy (p = 0.81), or history of breastfeeding (p = 0.13). The associations between gallstones and distal colon cancer (men, HR 0.85 [95% CI 0.53-1.38]; women, HR 1.17 [95 %CI 0.93-1.49]) were similar to those observed for proximal colon cancer (men, HR 0.91 [95% CI 0.59-1.42]; women HR 1.21 [95% CI 0.94-1.55]), adjusted for covariates, Model 3. Adjusting for reproductive history among women yielded minimal changes to the observed associations for gallstones and CRC (HR 1.17, 95%CI 0.99-1.31), colon cancer (HR 1.18, 95% CI 1.00-1.39) and rectal cancer (HR 1.05, 95% CI 0.79-1.38). Similarly, a post-hoc sensitivity analysis to additionally adjust for waist circumference among female participants with these data available (n = 186,198, including 1,290 colon cancer cases) vielded HR values within 10% of those from Model 3, Table 2.

Tests for interaction by country or BMI yielded predominantly null results for CRC, colon cancer and rectal cancer among men and women; as an exception, a marginally significant interaction was detected for rectal cancer and country among women (Table 2).

Comparison by median age at diagnosis, did not yield any significant associations with CRC, colon or rectal cancer risk (Table 2). Excluding participants with less than 2 years of

	Men		Women	
	History of gallstones		History of gallstones	
	No	Yes	No	Yes
n (%)	96,338 (96.1)	3,917 (3.9)	214,895 (91.5)	19,836 (8.5)
Colorectal cancer cases (n)	1,762	70	1,906	272
Age (years), mean (SD)	52.2 (9.2)	56.68 (7.8)	50.3 (9.9)	55.4 (8.2)
Longer education including university (%)	29.3	29.2	26.4	15.8
BMI, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	26.8 (3.7)	27.9 (3.7)	24.8 (4.5)	27.2 (5.3)
Smoking status (%)				
Never	31.0	28.0	61.0	61.3
Former	36.3	45.3	20.1	19.8
Current	31.7	25.8	16.9	17.3
Alcohol intake (%)				
0 g/day	5.3	7.7	15.6	19.9
>0-6 g/day	19.5	24.1	28.1	31.2
>6-12 g/day	15.3	16.4	30.2	27.1
>12-24 g/day	21.9	21.6	15.5	12.9
>24-60 g/day	29.2	24.2	9.8	8.0
>60-96 g/day	7.1	4.9	0.9	0.8
>96 g/day (men only)	1.8	1.1		
Physical activity (%)				
Inactive	17.6	25.4	25.0	31.9
Moderately inactive	31.4	34.3	36.8	35.5
Moderately active	25.1	23.3	24.4	19.8
Active	25.9	17.0	13.8	12.8
Have diabetes mellitus (%)	3.9	9.6	2.1	6.9
Have hyperlipidaemia (%)	22.3	31.6	14.1	22.8
Red meat intake (g/day), median (IQR)	52.9 (26.3–84.9)	43.6 (24.8–72.5)	34.3 (13.0–58.4)	36.0 (18.0-59.7)
Processed meat intake (g/day), median (IQR)	30.6 (11.5–57.0)	39.2 (15.8–68.5)	18.9 (6.7–35.9)	21.4 (9.5–40.1)
Total fat intake (g/day), median (IQR)	90.3 (71.2–113)	86.7 (68.0–108)	76.5 (59.7–96.2)	72.9 (56.9–92.5)
Total cholesterol intake (mg/day), median (IQR)	354 (253–474)	326 (239–432)	301.6 (213–404)	287 (205–383)
Ever used oral contraceptive pill (%)			57.7	49.4
Menopausal status (%)				
Premenopausal			38.8	19.0
Postmenopausal			41.4	59.3
Perimenopausal			16.5	15.4
Surgical menopause			3.3	6.3
One or more full term pregnancy (%)			81.3	89.7
Ever breastfed infant (%)			66.6	71.8
Ever used hormone replacement therapy (%)			15.8	19.2

Cancer Epidemiology

Table 2. Risk of colorectal cancer in relation to history of gallstones and duration of gallstones in the EPIC study

		Colorectal cancer		Colon cancer		Rectal cancer	
History of gallstones		N cases	HR (95%CI)	N cases	HR (95%CI)	N cases	HR (95%CI)
Women (<i>n</i> = 234,731)	Model 1	2,178	1.15 (1.00–1.33)	1,485	1.20 (1.02–1.41)	693	1.05 (0.81–1.37)
	Model 2		1.15 (1.00–1.32)		1.19 (1.01–1.40)		1.06 (0.81–1.38)
	Model 3		1.14 (0.99–1.31)		1.18 (1.00–1.39)		1.04 (0.80–1.36)
	Interaction by country ¹		0.39		0.11		0.079
	Interaction by BMI (kg/m ²) ¹		0.22		0.10		0.75
Men (<i>n</i> = 100,255)	Model 1	1832	0.83 (0.65–1.06)	1,102	0.93 (0.69–1.26)	730	0.67 (0.43-1.04)
	Model 2		0.83 (0.65–1.07)		0.92 (0.68–1.25)		0.69 (0.44–1.07)
	Model 3		0.81 (0.63–1.04)		0.89 (0.66–1.21)		0.67 (0.43–1.05)
	Interaction by country ¹		0.78		0.99		0.18
	Interaction by BMI (kg/m ²) ¹		0.73		0.25		0.30
Duration of gallstones ²							
Women (<i>n</i> = 17,904)	Model 1	253	0.99 (0.76–1.29)	182	1.06 (0.78–1.45)	71	0.82 (0.49–1.36)
	Model 2		0.98 (0.76–1.28)		1.06 (0.78–1.45)		0.79 (0.48–1.31)
	Model 3		0.98 (0.75–1.28)		1.06 (0.77-1.44)		0.79 (0.48–1.31)
Men (<i>n</i> = 3,845)	Model 1	69	0.78 (0.45–1.37)	46	0.78 (0.40-1.51)	23	0.80 (0.29–2.18)
	Model 2		0.78 (0.44–1.39)		0.78 (0.39–1.56)		0.92 (0.29–2.87)
	Model 3		0.78 (0.44–1.39)		0.79 (0.39–1.58)		0.83 (0.26-2.63)

Model 1: Adjusted for age at recruitment; stratified by centre and age (one-year intervals).

Model 2: Model 1 plus adjustment for diabetes, alcohol intake (categories), and physical activity (Cambridge index).

Model 3: Model 2 plus adjustment for BMI (kg/m^2) .

¹Analysis included covariates from Model 3.

²Above *vs.* below median age of diagnosis (men: 46 years, women: 39 years).

follow-up (n = 5,479 participants, including 322 CRC cases [144 men, 178 women]) yielded results comparable to those of the main analysis (Models 1 and 2, Table 2) for CRC, colon cancer and rectal cancer (data not shown). No significant variation was detected across 5-year bands of follow-up for CRC, colon cancer or rectal cancer (Supporting Information Table S1).

Discussion

In this large multicountry European study, a history of gallstones was modestly associated with CRC in general and colon cancer specifically, in women but not in men. Adjustment for reproductive history did not account for the marginal association detected among women. Gallstones were not associated with rectal cancer among women; in contrast, effect sizes among men were suggestive of an inverse association between gallstones and rectal cancer.

There is a limited literature on gallstones and CRC for comparison with the present results. A necropsy analysis found that there was a positive association between gallstones and CRC in females only, particularly for right-sided CRC (odds ratio 6.79, 95%CI 1.14–46.46).²¹ Furthermore, an association between gallstones and CRC was found only among women in a meta-analysis of 28 case–control studies conducted in Chinese populations.¹⁹ In contrast, screen-detected gallstones were associated with right-sided colon cancer in the general population of Copenhagen, but no interaction by sex

was found.¹⁶ In the large Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database, gallstones were inversely associated with rectal cancer, marginally associated with lower risk of distal colon cancer, and not associated with CRC overall.¹⁷ However, sex-specific analyses or tests for interaction by sex were not reported for SEER, and only age, sex, and diabetes were included as covariates.¹⁷ We are not aware of studies reporting specifically on gallstones and rectal cancer among men. Although speculative, a potential pathway for the inverse association between gallstones and rectal cancer among men could be through bilirubin, which is typically higher among men, contributes to the formation of gallstones and has been associated with lower risk of CRC in some,²² but not all,²³ previous studies. Among women, the marginal association between gallstones and colon cancer may reflect the influence sex hormones,²⁴ which may not have been fully adjusted for with the available covariates. Further research in large cohort studies with detailed covariate data is needed to confirm the relationship between gallstones and CRC.

Strengths of the present analysis included the large size and prospective design of EPIC which permitted stratification by sex and eliminated the potential for recall bias. To date, this is the largest cohort study that has included anthropometric measurements and extensive health and lifestyle questionnaire data, which permitted exploration of a wide range of potential causes of gallstones. Multivariable models were presented with and without adjustment for BMI to examine the relationship between gallstones and CRC risk independent of obesity, as gallstones may be on the causal pathway between obesity and CRC. However, data on history of gallstones was missing from 17% of the EPIC participants, data on cholecystectomy and other treatment types was not collected, and data on age at diagnosis was limited. Data was collected only at baseline, therefore data on incident gallstones or treatment after baseline was not available. It has been estimated that only 18% of all gallstones will be clinically detected during long-term follow-up,⁵ therefore those reporting a history of gallstones in EPIC were likely of greater clinical severity, and potentially represent a biased subset of potential gallstone cases. Additionally, those who experienced gallstones and were diagnosed with CRC prior to recruitment in EPIC would not have been included in the present analysis. The effect sizes detected were modest and the potential for residual confounding cannot be excluded. Lastly, self-reported gallstone history may have resulted in some misclassification, however this is more likely to have attenuated associations toward the null.

In conclusion, the current analysis suggests there may be an increased risk of colon cancer among women with a history of gallstones. These results suggest that gallstones may reflect pathways that are relevant for CRC such as bilirubin/bile acid metabolism, sex hormones and cholesterol. Future research should focus on confirming if such associations exist in other populations, and if so, identifying the underlying mechanisms that could account for such variation by CRC subsite and sex.

Data sharing statement

For information on how to submit an application for gaining access to EPIC data and/or biospecimens, please follow the instructions at http://epic.iarc.fr/access/index.php.

Acknowledgements

The coordination of EPIC is financially supported by the European Commission (DG-SANCO) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer. The national cohorts are supported by Danish Cancer Society (Denmark); Ligue Contre le Cancer, Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Education Nationale, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (France); German Cancer Aid, German Cancer Research Centre (DKFZ), Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), Deutsche Krebshilfe, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum and Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Germany); the Hellenic Health Foundation (Greece); Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro-AIRC-Italy and National Research Council (Italy); Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); ERC-2009-AdG 232997 and Nordforsk, Nordic Centre of Excellence programme on Food, Nutrition and Health (Norway); Health Research Fund (FIS), PI13/00061 to Granada, PI13/01162 to EPIC-Murcia, Regional Governments of Andalucía, Asturias, Basque Country, Murcia and Navarra and the Catalan Institute of Oncology (Spain); Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Research Council and County Councils of Skåne and Västerbotten (Sweden); Cancer Research UK (14136 to EPIC-Norfolk; C570/A16491 and C8221/A19170 to EPIC-Oxford), Medical Research Council (1000143 to EPIC-Norfolk, MR/M012190/1 to EPIC-Oxford) (United Kingdom). R.Z.R. would like to thank the "Miguel Servet" program (CP15/00100) from the Institute of Health Carlos III and the European Social Fund (ESF).

References

- Aerts R, Penninckx F. The burden of gallstone disease in Europe. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003; 18(Suppl 3):49–53.
- Njeze GE. Gallstones. Niger J Surg Off Publ Niger Surg Res Soc 2013;19:49–55.
- Pak M, Lindseth G. Risk factors for Cholelithiasis Gastroenterol Nurs 2016;39:297–309.
- Aune D, Lars Vatten BJ, Paolo Boffetta B. Tobacco smoking and the risk of gallbladder disease. Eur J Epidemiol 2016;31:643–53.
- Shabanzadeh DM, Sørensen LT, Jørgensen T. A prediction rule for risk stratification of incidentally discovered Gallstones: results from a large cohort study. *Gastroenterology* 2016;150: 156–167.e1.
- Caddy GR, Tham TCK. Symptoms, diagnosis and endoscopic management of common bile duct stones. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2006;20: 1085–101.
- Shukla VK, Tiwari SC, Roy SK. Biliary bile acids in cholelithiasis and carcinoma of the gall bladder. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 1993;2:155–60.
- Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and Cancer. Cell 2010;140:883–99.
- Shao T, Yang Y-X. Cholecystectomy and the risk of colorectal Cancer. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100: 1813–20.

- Tong JL, Ran ZH, Shen J, et al. Association between fecal bile acids and colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Yonsei Med* J 2008;49:792–803.
- Weikert C, Weikert S, Schulze MB, et al. Presence of Gallstones or kidney stones and risk of type 2 diabetes. Am J Epidemiol 2010;171:447–54.
- Tsilidis KK, Kasimis JC, Lopez DS, et al. Type 2 diabetes and cancer: umbrella review of metaanalyses of observational studies. *BMJ* 2015;350: g7607.
- Maringhini A, Moreau JA, Melton LJ, et al. Gallstones, gallbladder cancer, and other gastrointestinal malignancies. An epidemiologic study in Rochester, Minnesota. *Ann Intern Med* 1987; 107:30–5.
- Chen Y-K, Yeh J-H, Lin C-L, et al. Cancer risk in patients with cholelithiasis and after cholecystectomy: a nationwide cohort study. *J Gastroenterol* 2014;49:923–31.
- Johansen C, Chow WH, Jørgensen T, et al. Risk of colorectal cancer and other cancers in patients with gall stones. *Gut* 1996;39:439–43.
- Shabanzadeh DM, Sørensen LT, Jørgensen T. Association between screen-detected gallstone disease and Cancer in a cohort study. *Gastroenterology* 2017;152:1965–1974.e1.

- Nogueira L, Freedman ND, Engels EA, et al. Gallstones, cholecystectomy, and risk of digestive system cancers. *Am J Epidemiol* 2014;179:731–9.
- Kim S-E, Paik HY, Yoon H, et al. Sex- and gender-specific disparities in colorectal cancer risk. World J Gastroenterol 2015;21:5167–75.
- Xu Y-K, Zhang F-L, Feng T, et al. Meta-analysis on the correlation of cholecystectomy or cholecystolithiasis to risk of colorectal cancer in Chinese population. *Ai Zheng* 2009;28:749–55.
- Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, et al. European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr* 2002;5:1113–24.
- McFarlane MJ, Welch KE. Gallstones, cholecystectomy, and colorectal cancer. Am J Gastroenterol 1993;88:1994–9.
- Zucker SD, Horn PS, Sherman KE. Serum bilirubin levels in the U.S. population: gender effect and inverse correlation with colorectal cancer. *Hepatology* 2004;40:827–35.
- Ioannou GN, Liou IW, Weiss NS. Serum bilirubin and colorectal cancer risk: a population-based cohort study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006;23:1637–42.
- Lin JH, Giovannucci E. Sex hormones and colorectal cancer: what have we learned so far? J Natl Cancer Inst 2010;102:1746–7.

Cancer Epidemiology