

Corrigendum

**Corrigendum to “Intervention Effectiveness of The Incredible Years: New Insights Into Sociodemographic and Intervention-Based Moderators”
[Behavior Therapy 48 (2017) 1–18]**

Joyce Weeland¹

Rabia R. Chhangur¹

University of Amsterdam

Utrecht University

Danielle van der Giessen

University of Amsterdam

Walter Matthys

Bram Orobio de Castro

Utrecht University

Geertjan Overbeek

University of Amsterdam

THE AUTHORS REGRET THAT the effect sizes in the original manuscript are incorrect. The correct effect sizes, which are on average larger than reported in the original manuscript, are attached to this corrigendum (see corrected [Table 4](#)). The corrected effect sizes do not change the interpretation of our results in terms of the effectiveness of The Incredible Years program or the magnitude of the effects (i.e., whether they are small, medium or large).

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2016.08.002>.

¹Joyce Weeland and Rabia Chhangur contributed equally to this work.

This work was financially supported by a grant to Geertjan Overbeek from The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO: 452-10-005). We thank the regional health care organizations GGD Almere and GGD Midden-Nederland for their collaboration. We thank The Incredible Years trainers for their devoted delivery of the program.

Address correspondence to Joyce Weeland, Research Institute of Child Development and Education, University of Amsterdam, PO Box 15776, 1001 NG, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; e-mail: j.weeland@uva.nl.

Table 4

Intervention Effects of Reported and Observed Child and Parent Behavior [corrected effect sizes]

	Intercept	Slope	Corrected	Effect sizes		χ^2 (df)	CFI	RMSEA	χ^2
Outcome	<i>B</i> (<i>SD</i>)	<i>B</i> (<i>SD</i>)	<i>p</i> value	Cohen's <i>d</i>					<i>p</i> value
				Posttest	Follow-up				
Child behavior									
Reported externalizing behavior	.085 (.05)	-.125 (.04)**	<.01	0.36	0.31	8.08 (2)	0.98	0.09	.75
Observed externalizing behavior	.122 (.08)*	-.091 (.07)	.28	0.29	0.24	3.77 (2)	0.96	0.05	
Reported prosocial behavior	.012 (.06)	.019 (.03)	.62	0.02	0.13	0.57 (2)	1.00	<.001	
Observed prosocial behavior	.012 (.07)	.008 (.06)	.90	0.05	0.06	7.12 (4)	0.94	0.05	
Parent behavior									
Reported negative behavior	.073 (.06)	-.175 (.04)***	<.001	0.46	0.40	18.25 (4)	0.95	0.10	
Observed negative behavior	-.087 (.10)	-.063 (.08)	.58	0.19	0.08	6.35 (4)	0.98	0.04	
Reported positive behavior	.055 (.06)	.186 (.04)***	<.001	0.45	0.45	6.41 (2)	0.99	0.08	
Observed positive behavior	.130 (.10)	.280 (.06)***	<.001	0.40	0.45	16.93 (4)	0.96	0.09	

Note. df = degree of freedom; CFI = comparative fit index; RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation. As $\chi^2 < df$, the CFI is set to 1.0 and RMSEA to .001, which makes it sufficient to read off whether the p-value is not significant. p values are corrected with Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate correction. * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.