

Predicting rehospitalization in patients treated with antipsychotics: a prospective observational study

Heshu Abdullah-Koolmees, Helga Gardarsdottir, Lotte A. Minnema, Kamjar Elmi, Lennart J. Stoker, Judith Vuyk, Laurette E. Goedhard, Toine C. G. Egberts and Eibert R. Heerdink

Abstract

Background: Prediction of rehospitalization in patients treated with antipsychotics is important for identifying patients in need of additional support to prevent hospitalization. Our aim was to identify factors that predict rehospitalization in patients treated with antipsychotics at discharge from a psychiatric hospital.

Methods: Adult patients suffering from schizophrenia, psychotic or bipolar I disorders who had been hospitalized in a psychiatric hospital for ≥ 7 days and were treated with oral antipsychotics at discharge were included. The main outcome was rehospitalization within 6 months after discharge. A prediction model for rehospitalization was constructed including: patient/disease and medication characteristics, patients' beliefs about medicines, and healthcare-professional-rated assessment for all patients. The patients were stratified by diagnosis (schizophrenia and nonschizophrenia). Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC_{ROC}) was also assessed.

Results: A total of 87 patients were included and 33.3% of them were rehospitalized within 6 months after discharge. The variables that predicted rehospitalization were duration of hospitalization, patients' attitude towards medicine use, and healthcare-professional-rated assessment with an AUC_{ROC} of 0.82. Rehospitalization for patients with schizophrenia could be predicted ($AUC_{ROC} = 0.71$) by the Global Assessment of Functioning score, age, and harm score. Rehospitalization was predicted ($AUC_{ROC} = 0.73$) for nonschizophrenia patients with, for example rehospitalization predicted by the nurse.

Conclusions: Rehospitalization was predicted by a combination of variables from the patient/disease and medication characteristics, patients' attitude towards medicine use, and healthcare-professional-rated assessment. These variables can be assessed relatively easily at discharge to predict rehospitalization within 6 months.

Keywords: Rehospitalization, BMQ, antipsychotic adherence, psychotic disorder, health care professionals' expectation, prediction models

Received: 16 October 2017; revised manuscript accepted: 22 January 2018.

Introduction

There is ample evidence for the effectiveness of antipsychotics in the treatment of psychotic and bipolar I disorders. However, relapse rates are high in patients discharged from hospital with antipsychotic medication, leading to episodes of acute psychosis/mania and (re)hospitalizations.^{1–15} Up to 34% of the patients have a relapse within 6 months after hospitalization for

a psychotic episode.¹² This has a high impact on quality of life of patients and may lead to considerable economic costs.^{1–15} About half of the patients with psychotic or bipolar I disorders are (partially) nonadherent with their antipsychotic treatment; either by not initiating the medication, skipping dosages, or discontinuing treatment.^{2,11–14,16} A 2.4 times greater probability of hospitalization has been reported in those who

Ther Adv Psychopharmacol

2018, Vol. 8(8) 213–229

DOI: 10.1177/
2045125318762373

© The Author(s), 2018.



Reprints and permissions:
[http://www.sagepub.co.uk/
journalsPermissions.nav](http://www.sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav)

Correspondence to:
Heshu Abdullah-Koolmees
Division of
Pharmacoepidemiology
and Clinical Pharmacology,
Utrecht Institute for
Pharmaceutical Sciences,
Faculty of Science,
Utrecht University, The
Netherlands
[h.abdullah-koolmees@
outlook.com](mailto:h.abdullah-koolmees@outlook.com) [h.abdullah@
uu.nl](mailto:h.abdullah@uu.nl)

**Helga Gardarsdottir
Toine C. G.
Egberts** Division of
Pharmacoepidemiology
and Clinical Pharmacology,
Utrecht Institute for
Pharmaceutical Sciences,
Faculty of Science,
Utrecht University, The
Netherlands
Department of Laboratory
and Clinical Pharmacy,
University Medical Centre
Utrecht, Utrecht, The
Netherlands

**Lotte A. Minnema
Kamjar Elmi** Division of
Pharmacoepidemiology
and Clinical Pharmacology,
Utrecht Institute for
Pharmaceutical Sciences,
Faculty of Science,
Utrecht University, The
Netherlands

Lennart J. Stoker
Division of
Pharmacoepidemiology
and Clinical Pharmacology,
Utrecht Institute for
Pharmaceutical Sciences,
Faculty of Science,
Utrecht University, The
Netherlands
Brocacef Hospital
Pharmacy, Maarssen, The
Netherlands

Judith Vuyk
Laurette E. Goedhard
Altrecht Mental Health
Care, Utrecht, The
Netherlands

Eibert R. Heerdink
Division of
Pharmacoepidemiology
and Clinical
Pharmacology,
Utrecht Institute for
Pharmaceutical Sciences,
Faculty of Science,
Utrecht University, The
Netherlands
Department of Laboratory
and Clinical Pharmacy,
University Medical Centre
Utrecht, Utrecht, The
Netherlands
Altrecht Mental Health
Care, Utrecht, The
Netherlands

are less than 80% adherent to antipsychotic therapy.¹⁷

It would be useful to be able to early identify patients at higher risk for rehospitalization in order to provide these patients with additional support. Previous studies have shown that patient, disease and treatment characteristics including age, duration of hospitalization, and severity of disease can be predictors for rehospitalization, as well as type of treatment and early nonadherence with antipsychotic medication.^{18,19} Healthcare professionals may have insights into patients' adherence, which may be used to predict future success of therapy.²⁰ It is unknown whether patients' attitude towards medication therapy is a predictor for relapse in patients being treated with antipsychotics. Patients' attitude towards medication use has two important dimensions: necessity and concern. Necessity reflects the perceived need for use of the medication by the patient, while concern measures the fear of negative outcomes from use of the medication, such as side effects, and addiction.^{13,21}

The aim of this study was to predict the risk of rehospitalization in patients treated with antipsychotic medication discharged from a psychiatric hospital, using patient, disease and treatment characteristics, patients' beliefs and attitudes towards antipsychotic medication, and healthcare professionals' expectations towards patients' adherence and probability of rehospitalization. These factors were assessed at discharge.

Methods

Setting

This study was performed in nine departments of Altrecht Mental Health Care (Altrecht), a conglomeration of five psychiatric hospitals in The Netherlands serving a total population of 800,000 inhabitants.²² Date of discharge was considered as index date. The study period included the 6 months following the index date or until patients were rehospitalized (considered end of follow up) whichever came first. The study was approved by the Altrecht's scientific board (2013–17/oz1309/ck) and performed in accordance with The Federation of Dutch Medical Scientific Societies' code of conduct for the use of data in health research.

Design and study population

A prospective, observational study was performed in which patients were followed from discharge up

to 6 months or until rehospitalization, whichever came first. The study population included adult patients (≥ 18 years) with a psychotic or bipolar I disorder (according to DMS-IV TR) that were treated with oral antipsychotics at discharge (ATC: N05A excluding lithium) and who were hospitalized for 7 days or longer.¹² The patients used only oral antipsychotics, thus the patients did not use depot antipsychotics. If patients were rehospitalized within 7 days of discharge, we considered this hospitalization as a part of the index hospitalization.^{12,18,23} Patients discharged between May 2013 and April 2014 were eligible for participation in the study and received information about the study from a nurse or a researcher (KE) prior to being discharged from Altrecht. Those patients who gave informed consent filled in general questions before discharge regarding sex, age, their community pharmacy, and the Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire (BMQ-specific, Appendix 1). Besides the questions for the patients, the nurse and the physician involved in patients' treatment both filled in a healthcare professionals' questionnaire on patients' expected adherence, probability of rehospitalization and therapeutic relationship, which is set out in Appendix 2.

Outcome

The main clinical outcome was (time to) psychiatric rehospitalization within 6 months of discharge. Patients were considered to be rehospitalized when the time between discharge and rehospitalization was at least 7 days.^{12,18,23}

Patient and disease characteristics

Data on patient and disease characteristics were collected from the hospital files.^{14,24–26}

Medication characteristics

Data on medication characteristics were obtained from the hospital files.²⁷ Medication-related information from the patients' questionnaire investigated were:

- (1) Whether patients picked up their medication at their community pharmacy themselves (yes/no);
- (2) If someone was always available to remind patients to take medication (yes/no/now and then);
- (3) If somebody else was giving patients their medication when they were not taking it (yes/no).

Patients' attitude towards medicine use

The attitude towards antipsychotic medication was assessed with the BMQ-specific, which is divided into the necessity and the concerns subscales. The necessity subscale, consisting of five statements, measures patients' beliefs about the necessity to take antipsychotic medication, while the concerns subscale, consisting of six statements, measures patients' concerns about their antipsychotic medication (Appendix 1).²¹ The total scores of the two subscales were summed, divided by the total number of statements in the scale and then multiplied by five. Patients were divided into four different belief groups: accepting (necessity score 15–25, concerns score 5–15), indifferent (necessity score 5–15, concerns score 5–15), skeptical (necessity score 5–15, concerns score 15–25), and ambivalent (necessity score 15–25, concerns score 15–25).^{21,28} General beliefs about medication were measured using the BMQ-general scale consisting of the subscales harm and overuse. Both subscales consist of four statements. The scores of the four statements for harm and overuse were summed and used as a continuous variable.

Finally, initiation of antipsychotic medication after discharge (initiated within 7 days or >7 days) was assessed based on information from the patients' community pharmacy medication history. Patients were expected to get their first antipsychotic prescription dispensed within 7 days post discharge. In The Netherlands, patients do not receive antipsychotic medication at discharge to use at home but are expected to pick up their medication at a community pharmacy. However, when the discharge was just before or during the weekend, the patient could get medication for a maximum duration of 3 days. Furthermore, if the patient refilled their antipsychotic medication before admission, and still had enough antipsychotic medication at home, this was taken into account. The information about initiation of antipsychotic medication was used to visualize the belief groups in Figure 1.

Healthcare-professional-rated assessment

Furthermore, physicians and nurses (healthcare professionals) involved in patients' treatment prior to discharge filled in a questionnaire including questions on:

- (1) Whether the healthcare professional had asked the patient during admission whether

he/she was adherent to their antipsychotics (yes/no/do not know anymore);

- (2) Whether, during admission, they had discussed adherence to antipsychotic medication with the patient (yes/no/do not know anymore);
- (3) If they could predict whether the patient would use antipsychotic medication after discharge (yes/no/I do not know);
- (4) Estimating the patient's adherence to antipsychotics after discharge (in %, scale 0–100%);
- (5) The prediction on risk on rehospitalization (scale 0–100%, categorized as: $\leq 50\%$ and $> 50\%$);
- (6) How they considered their patient–healthcare professional/therapeutic relationship (good or moderate/bad).

Data analysis

First, the association between the variables and rehospitalization were investigated in a univariate analysis using Cox proportional hazards. Second, the variables were analyzed using backward selection. Starting with all variables in the model for each group, variables were subsequently excluded from the model when p value ≥ 0.20 . The multivariate prediction model was also separately analyzed for patients with and without schizophrenia. Relative risks (RRs) were measured as hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) using Cox proportional hazards. The data analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows, version 20.0, IBM Software, Chicago, USA. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC_{ROC}) was assessed for the multivariate prediction model by using the library 'risksetROC' in statistical software R version 3.1.2, University of Auckland in Auckland, New Zealand.²⁹ A risk score was calculated for the multivariate prediction model by summing all regression coefficients and then adding 8. Subsequently, the risk score was categorized in tertiles to obtain three equally divided groups with proportion of patients rehospitalized.³⁰ Finally, for the internal consistency of the different scales of the BMQ, Cronbach's alpha test was performed.

Results

A total of 87 patients gave informed consent and were included in this study; 16 patients refused to participate. Patients' mean age was 38.4 years

[standard deviation (SD): 12.1], and most of them had a previous hospitalization (89.7%), as shown in Table 1.

The mean score on the necessity subscale of the BMQ-specific was 16.6 (SD: 4.2), 15.2 (SD: 3.3) on the concern subscale. Internal consistency of the subscales was variable; $\alpha = 0.81$ for necessity, $\alpha = 0.57$ for concerns, $\alpha = 0.62$ for overuse, $\alpha = 0.57$ for harm. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the patients in the four groups for the BMQ-specific scale. Most patients were either in the ambivalent (37.9%) or in the accepting (32.2%) group. 18.2% of the ambivalent patients were rehospitalized, 42.9% of the accepting, 50.0% of the skeptical, and 25.0% of the indifferent. A sum of 71.3% (62) of the patients refilled their oral antipsychotic medication within 7 days after discharge, 21.8%¹⁹ did not refill within 7 days and for 6.9%⁶ of the patients it was unknown. Among the patients that refilled antipsychotic medication within 7 days after discharge, 37.1% were ambivalent, 35.4% were accepting, 19.4% were skeptical, and 8.1% were indifferent. Among the patients that did not refill their antipsychotic medication within 7 days after discharge, 47.7% were ambivalent, 26.3% skeptical, 15.8% accepting, and 10.5% indifferent.

Rehospitalization

A total of 29 (33.3%) patients were rehospitalized within 6 months, with a median time to rehospitalization of 32 days (range: 12–181 days). A total of 12.6% of the patients were rehospitalized within 1 month after discharge, and 21.8% within 3 months.

The results of the univariate analysis for the association of the variables and rehospitalization are shown in Table 2. Of the patient/disease characteristics, only RR for duration of hospitalization (RR = 0.99; 95% CI: 0.98–0.998) and age (RR = 1.02; 95% CI: 0.99–1.05) had a $p < 0.20$. For the medication characteristics, patients that picked up their own medication at their community pharmacy had a higher risk of rehospitalization compared with patients that got their medication delivered or picked up by others (RR = 1.45; 95% CI: 0.87–2.39). Patients who were not reminded to take their antipsychotic medication (RR = 3.32, 95% CI: 1.13–9.78), and patients that were now and then reminded (RR = 2.41; 95% CI: 0.68–8.54) by someone else had a higher risk of rehospitalization compared with

patients that were always reminded. Among the beliefs groups, skeptical patients had a threefold higher risk of rehospitalization than ambivalent patients (RR = 3.38; 95% CI: 1.20–9.50). Increase of 1 unit for the harm score gave an RR of 0.92 (95% CI: 0.81–1.04) and for the overuse score, an RR of 1.02 (95% CI: 0.90–1.15). Of the healthcare-professional-rated assessment variables, patients for whom a nurse predicted a risk of >50% for rehospitalization had a twofold higher risk of rehospitalization compared with patients with a nurse prediction of $\leq 50\%$ for rehospitalization (RR = 2.13; 95% CI: 1.03–4.42).

Table 3 shows the results of the multivariate analysis of the multivariate prediction model. Several variables remained in the multivariate prediction model predicting rehospitalization for all patients (Table 3). For example, duration of hospitalization gave an RR of 0.99 (95% CI: 0.97–1.00) per day. The diagnosis of bipolar disorder I resulted in the lowest RR of 0.12 (95% CI: 0.02–0.73) in the diagnosis category. Patients with a prior hospitalization had a lower risk of rehospitalization (RR of 0.70; 95% CI: 0.01–0.66) when compared with patients that were hospitalized for the first time. Number of comedications gave an RR of 1.29 (95% CI: 1.09–1.52) for each comedication used at discharge. Patients that had nobody to give them their medication when they were not taking it had an RR of 0.08 (95% CI: 0.10–0.64) compared with patients that received medication from somebody.

Skeptical patients had higher risk of rehospitalization (RR = 6.30; 95% CI: 1.18–33.70) compared with ambivalent patients. Patients that had a moderate/bad relationship with their physician had a higher risk for rehospitalization (RR = 3.27; 95% CI: 0.77–13.89) compared with those that had a good relationship with their physician. When the nurse predicted rehospitalization, it gave an RR of 1.04 (95% CI: 1.00–1.07). AUC_{ROC} for the multivariate prediction model was 0.82.

Risk score of rehospitalization

The risk score was calculated for the multivariate prediction model. This model was transformed in a scoring rule based on the regression coefficient for the selected variables (Table 4). The total score (0–16.5) was assessed for all the patients and can be considered as a measure for prediction of rehospitalization at discharge. Patients were categorized in tertiles based on their score. Proportion of

Table 1. Demographic and patient/disease characteristics at discharge.

Characteristics	<i>n</i>	%/SD/range
Total	87	
Mean age, years (SD)	38.4	12.1
Sex (%)		
Male	52	59.8%
Diagnosis of psychotic disorder (%)		
Brief psychotic disorder	18	20.7%
Schizophrenia	34	39.1%
Schizoaffective disorder	13	14.9%
Bipolar disorder I	22	25.3%
Duration of baseline hospitalization (days, median, range)	48	7–371
7–29 days	28	32.2%
30–60 days	31	35.6%
≥61 days	28	32.2%
Number of antipsychotic agents used at discharge (%)		
One antipsychotic agent	69	79.3%
≥Two antipsychotic agents	18	20.7%
Type of antipsychotic medication (%)		
Second generation	65	74.7%
First generation	13	15.0%
Combination	9	10.3%
Department at discharge (%)		
Open unit	73	83.9%
Closed unit	14	16.1%
First admission (%)		
Yes	9	10.3%
No	78	89.7%
Residential situation (%)		
Alone	40	46.0%
Living with others	45	51.7%
Other/homeless	2	2.3
Mean GAF score (SD)	46.0	11.7
History of substance use		
No	64	73.6%
Yes	23	26.4%

SD, standard deviation; GAF, Global Assessment of Functioning scale.




Figure 1. This scatter plot shows the distribution of patient's scores of the BMQ (Beliefs about Medicine Questionnaire) specific statements in the four belief groups: accepting, ambivalent, skeptical, and indifferent. The x axis represents the scores of the necessity subscale and the y axis the scores of the concerns subscale.

rehospitalized patients was assessed for the three categories being 20.6% in the patients with a risk score of 0.0 to 10.0, 20.6% in the patients with a risk score of 10.1–12.3, and 58.6% in the patients with a risk score of 12.4–16.5 (Table 7). Patients in the upper tertile had an RR of 3.34 (95% CI: 1.31–8.49) for rehospitalization and in the middle tertile, an RR of 0.96 (95% CI: 0.31–2.96) compared with patients in the lower tertile (Table 7).

Rehospitalization in patients with and without schizophrenia

The prediction models were analyzed for both patients with and without schizophrenia (Table 5 and 6). Rehospitalization was best predicted for patients with schizophrenia by Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) score, age, and harm score (Table 5). The highest RR for rehospitalization was for age (RR = 1.07; 95% CI: 1.02–1.13). The prediction model had an AUC_{ROC} of 0.71.

Rehospitalization was best predicted for patients without schizophrenia by GAF score, residential situation, and rehospitalization prediction by both the physician and the nurse (Table 6). The highest RR was for patients for whom the nurse predicted a rehospitalization >50% (RR = 3.82; 95% CI: 1.02–14.39) and adherence to antipsychotic predicted by the physician (RR = 1.03; 95% CI: 1.00–1.06). The prediction model had an AUC_{ROC} of 0.73.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to identify patients with psychotic or bipolar I disorders treated with antipsychotics at risk for rehospitalization within 6 months from discharge. Rehospitalization was best predicted by a combination of variables from patient/disease and medical characteristics, patients' beliefs about medicines, and healthcare-professional-rated assessment, all variables that are relatively easily obtainable at discharge.

Compared with the literature

Skeptical patients had higher risk of rehospitalization compared with ambivalent patients. When the nurse predicted rehospitalization, it gave an RR of 1.04 (95% CI: 1.00–1.07). AUC_{ROC} for the multivariate prediction model was 0.82.

In our study, we found the strongest predictors to be having no one to remind patients to take medication, belief groups (indifferent or skeptical) about their antipsychotic medication, and patients having a moderate/bad relationship with their physician (according to the physician).

This is in line with Lang and colleagues, who found that hospitalization in patients with schizophrenia could be predicted with history of substance abuse, new starters of antipsychotic medication, adherence, and number of comedications, including anticholinergic use.¹⁹ Our

Table 2. Univariate analysis for the variables of patient/disease and medication characteristics, patients' attitude towards medicine use and healthcare-professional-rated assessment.

Variables	<i>n</i>	% rehospitalized	HR (95% CI)
Overall	87	33.3	
Patient and disease characteristics			
Duration of index hospitalization			0.99 (0.98–1.00)*
Diagnosis of psychotic disorder			
Schizophrenia	34	44.1	Reference
Schizoaffective disorder	13	23.1	0.49 (0.14–1.71)
Brief psychotic disorder	18	33.3	0.66 (0.26–1.70)
Bipolar disorder I	22	22.7	0.44 (0.16–1.20)*
Sex			
Male	52	34.6	Reference
Female	35	31.4	0.99 (0.47–2.01)
Age (years)			1.02 (0.99–1.05)*
Residential situation			
Alone	40	40.0	Reference
Living with others	45	26.7	0.62 (0.29–1.31)
Other/unknown	2	50.0	1.13 (0.15–8.57)
History of substance use			
No	64	34.4	Reference
Yes	23	30.4	0.82 (0.35–1.92)
First admission			
Yes	9	33.3	Reference
No	78	33.3	1.03 (0.31–3.40)
GAF score			1.01 (0.98–1.05)
Department at discharge			
Open unit	73	32.9	Reference
Closed unit	14	35.7	1.01 (0.38–2.64)
Medication characteristics			
Number of AP used at discharge+			
One antipsychotic agent	69	36.2	Reference
≥Two antipsychotic agents	18	22.2	0.56 (0.20–1.61)
Type of AP			
First generation	13	30.8	0.72 (0.25–2.06)
Second generation	65	38.5	Reference
Combination of both	9	0.0	NA

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

Variables	<i>n</i>	% rehospitalized	HR (95% CI)
Number of comedications at discharge			1.03 [0.99–1.15]
Patients themselves picked up medication at community pharmacy			
No	21	19.0	Reference
Yes	66	37.9	1.45 [0.87–2.39]*
Someone was always available to remind patients to take medication			
Yes	26	15.4	Reference
No	43	44.2	3.32 [1.13–9.78]**
Now and then	18	33.3	2.41 [0.68–8.54]*
Somebody else was giving patients their medication when they were not taking it			
Yes	18	22.2	Reference
No	68	36.8	1.68 [0.59–4.84]
Unknown	1	0.0	NA
Patients' attitude towards medication use			
Belief groups			
Ambivalent	33	18.2	Reference
Skeptical	18	50.0	3.38 [1.20–9.50]**
Indifferent	8	25.0	1.50 [0.30–7.44]
Accepting	28	42.9	2.62 [0.98–7.00]*
BMQ-general			
Harm score+			0.92 [0.81–1.04]*
Overuse score+			1.02 [0.90–1.15]
Healthcare-professional-rated assessment			
Physician asked whether patient was adherent to AP			
Yes	62	37.1	Reference
No	24	25.0	0.66 [0.27–1.61]
Do not know anymore	1	0.0	
Nurse asked whether patient was adherent to AP			
Yes	64	37.5	Reference
No	22	22.7	0.58 [0.22–1.52]
Do not know anymore	1	0.0	NA
Physician discussed AP adherence during admission			

Table 2. (Continued)

Variables	<i>n</i>	% rehospitalized	HR (95% CI)
Yes	71	32.4	Reference
No	15	33.3	1.06 (0.40–2.79)
Do not know anymore	1	100.0	NA
Nurse discussed AP adherence during admission			
Yes	65	38.5	Reference
No	21	19.0	0.45 (0.16–1.30)*
Do not know anymore	1	0.0	NA
Physician predicted patient would use AP after discharge			
Yes	72	37.5	Reference
No	7	0.0	NA
I do not know	8	25.0	0.63 (0.15–2.65)
Nurse predicted patient would use AP after discharge			
Yes	73	35.6	Reference
No	7	42.9	1.28 (0.39–4.22)
I do not know	7	0.0	NA
AP adherence prediction by physician (%)			1.04 (0.99–1.02)
AP adherence prediction by nurse (%)			1.00 (0.99–1.02)
Rehospitalization prediction by physician (%)			
Prediction ≤50%	60	35.0	Reference
Prediction >50%	27	29.6	0.77 (0.34–1.75)
Rehospitalization prediction by nurse (%)			
Prediction ≤50%	58	25.9	Reference
Prediction >50%	29	48.3	2.13 (1.03–4.42)**
Therapeutic relationship according to physician			
Good	60	36.7	Reference
Moderate/bad	27	25.9	0.71 (0.30–1.66)
Therapeutic relationship according to nurse			
Good	63	31.7	Reference
Moderate/bad	24	37.5	1.18 (0.54–2.59)
* <i>p</i> < 0.2; ** <i>p</i> < 0.05. +Continuous variable. AP, antipsychotics; BMQ, Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire; CI, confidence interval; GAF, Global Assessment of Functioning scale; HR, hazard ratio; NA, not applicable.			

Table 3. Results of the multivariate prediction models.

Variables	
Duration of index hospitalization	0.99 (0.97–0.998)**
Diagnosis	
Schizophrenia	Reference
Brief psychotic disorder	0.83 (0.19–3.57)
Schizoaffective disorder	0.89 (0.16–5.12)
Bipolar disorder I	0.12 (0.02–0.73)**
First admission	
Yes	Reference
No	0.70 (0.01–0.66)**
Department at discharge	
Open unit	Reference
Closed unit	0.30 (0.05–1.77)*
Type of AP	
First generation	0.15 (0.03–0.88)**
Second generation	Reference
Combination of both	NA
Number of comedications at discharge+	1.29 (1.09–1.52)**
Someone was always available to remind patients to take medication	
Yes	Reference
No	11.89 (1.30–108.93)**
Now and then	1.84 (0.19–18.21)
Somebody else was giving patients their medication when they were not taking it	
Yes	Reference
No	0.08 (0.10–0.64)**
Unknown	NA
Belief groups	
Ambivalent	Reference
Skeptical	6.30 (1.18–33.70)**
Indifferent	7.23 (0.64–82.19)*
Accepting	0.96 (0.18–5.20)
BMQ-general	
Harm score+	0.75 (0.58–0.98)**
Overuse score+	1.18 (0.98–1.42)*
Physician asked whether patient was adherent to AP	
Yes	Reference

Table 3. (Continued)

Variables	
No	0.06 (0.07–0.55)**
Do not know anymore	NA
Nurse asked whether patient was adherent to AP	
Yes	Reference
No	0.07 (0.11–0.43)**
Do not know anymore	NA
Therapeutic relationship according to physician	
Good	Reference
Moderate/bad	3.27 (0.77–13.89)*
Rehospitalization prediction by nurse (%)	
	1.04 (1.00–1.07)**
AUC_{ROC} (6 months)	0.82
* $p < 0.2$; ** $p < 0.05$. †Continuous variable. AP, antipsychotics; AUC _{ROC} , receiver operating characteristics for area under the curve; BMQ, Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire; NA, not applicable.	

results are also in line with Perkinson and colleagues who reported that caregivers' rated assessment of medication adherence was correlated with how patients refilled their medication. They also measured necessity of treatment and the ones that believed need for treatment was low were more likely to be rehospitalized also when other questionnaires were used. This is in line with our results that patients indifferent or skeptical towards their medication were at a greater risk of rehospitalization.^{20,31}

History of substance use did not remain in our prediction models, while it remained in the prediction model of Lang and colleagues. Our predictors may differ from the study of Lang and colleagues due to several differences in study design. We included variables related to patient/disease and medication characteristics, patients' beliefs about medicines, and healthcare-professional-rated assessment while Lang and colleagues only included patient/disease and medication characteristics. Furthermore, Lang and colleagues included only patents with at least two refills for antipsychotic drugs, included both in- and outpatients and had any hospitalization, general as well as psychiatric, as main outcome while we included patients that were discharged and had psychiatric rehospitalization as an outcome. Psychiatric patients have a higher

prevalence of somatic disease, thus both higher somatic as well as psychiatric hospitalization rates are expected.²⁷ Due to their inclusion criteria patients without any refill after discharge were missed and their results cannot be applied for these our patients. Besides this, their study population consisted of two different groups, namely inpatients and outpatients. The risk of (re)hospitalization for these patients could be different, because risk of hospitalization is highest within a month after discharge as seen in this study and also reported by Zilber and colleagues¹⁸

In other studies, physicians overestimated their patients' adherence to pharmacotherapy. However, in our study as well in the study of Perkins and colleagues, healthcare professionals were able to predict adherence, as well as risk of rehospitalization.^{20,31–36} Of the healthcare professionals, nurses were better able to predict rehospitalization in patients without schizophrenia than physicians in our study. This could be because nurses have a more intensive contact with patients during the hospitalization than physicians and patients are more likely to share their thoughts about their disease and treatment with the nurses.

In our study, we found that the multivariate prediction model had the strongest ability for predicting rehospitalization (AUC_{ROC} = 0.82). It

Table 4. Regression coefficient of the predictors obtained from model 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 with assigned score.

Predictor	Regression coefficient = score
Duration of index hospitalization	-0.014
Diagnosis	
Schizophrenia	Reference
Brief psychotic disorder	-0.113
Schizoaffective disorder	-0.190
Bipolar disorder I	-2.115
First admission	
Yes	Reference
No	-2.66
Department at discharge	
Open unit	Reference
Closed unit	-1.21
Type of AP	
First generation	-1.88
Second generation	Reference
Combination of both	NA
Number of comedications at discharge	0.25
Someone was always available to remind patients to take medication	
Yes	Reference
No	2.48
Now and then	0.61
Somebody else was giving patients their medication when they were not taking it	
Yes	Reference
No	-2.53
Unknown	NA
Belief groups	
Ambivalent	Reference
Skeptical	1.84
Indifferent	1.98

Table 4. (Continued)

Predictor	Regression coefficient = score
Accepting	-0.043
BMQ-general	
Harm score	-0.29
Overuse score	0.16
Physician asked whether patient was adherent to AP	
Yes	Reference
No	-2.81
Do not know anymore	NA
Nurse asked whether patient was adherent to AP	
Yes	Reference
No	-2.70
Do not know anymore	NA
Therapeutic relationship according to physician	
Good	Reference
Moderate/bad	1.19
Rehospitalization prediction by nurse (%)	
Prediction ≤50%	Reference
Prediction >50%	0.034

*The score is obtained by rounding each regression coefficient to nearest integer, summing them and adding 8 to the summed risk score.
 AP, antipsychotic medication; BMQ, Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire; NA, not applicable.

will give an overall reflection of patients' disease and characteristics, and treatment, including antipsychotic medication, number of comedications, and patient–healthcare-professional-rated assessment. Furthermore, the patients' attitude towards medicine use and the healthcare professionals' questionnaires are short; thus, it takes little effort to complete these. The beliefs groups that remained in the prediction models were based on the BMQ-specific. Completing the questionnaires can be implemented in the patients' discharge procedure to identify patients

at a higher risk of rehospitalization at discharge. Future research is needed to assess whether stratification is needed for patients with and without schizophrenia.

Strengths

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study where predictors of rehospitalization are identified combining patient/disease and medication characteristics, patients' beliefs about medicines, and healthcare-professional-rated assessment. The questionnaires were completely filled in by everyone involved in this study. Patients filled in the questionnaire by themselves. If patients did not understand a question/statement, one of the researchers (KE) explained and assisted patients. For the first refill after discharge, stockpiling (antipsychotic refill before hospitalization) was taken into account. Another strength of this study is that psychiatric rehospitalizations in the whole region were included and not only rehospitalization in the five included hospitals. Both the physician and the nurse who were involved in patients' treatment before discharge were involved in this

study. Medication characteristics included information on number of comedications, antipsychotic initiation after discharge, whether patients refilled their medication themselves, if somebody else was giving patients their medication when they were not taking it. Thus, medication characteristics and medication use during and after hospitalization were taken into account. Even though other studies made prediction models, they did not calculate a risk score based on all the variables.

Limitations

Although this was a prospective study without any intervention in patients' treatment, healthcare

Table 5. Results of the multivariate prediction models for patients with schizophrenia.

Variables	
GAF score	1.06 (1.00–1.12)**
Age	1.07 (1.02–1.13)**
Harm score	0.79 (0.62–1.02)*
AUC _{ROC} (6 months)	0.71
* $p < 0.2$; ** $p < 0.05$. AUC _{ROC} , receiver operating characteristics for area under the curve; GAF, Global Assessment of Functioning scale.	

Table 6. Results of the multivariate prediction models for patients without schizophrenia.

Variables	
GAF score	0.96 (0.92–1.01)*
Residential situation	
Alone	Reference
Living with others	0.26 (0.075–0.91)**
Other/unknown	0.78 (0.085–7.24)
Rehospitalization prediction by nurse (%)	
Prediction $\leq 50\%$	Reference
Prediction $> 50\%$	3.82 (1.02–14.39)**
AP adherence prediction by physician (%)	
	1.03 (1.00–1.06)**
AUC_{ROC} (6 months)	
	0.73
* $p < 0.2$; ** $p < 0.05$. AP, antipsychotics; AUC _{ROC} , receiver operating characteristics for area under the curve; GAF, Global Assessment of Functioning scale.	

Table 7. Distribution of patients rehospitalized within risk score category.

Risk score category ¹	Total <i>n</i> of patients	Patients rehospitalized (%) ²	Median time to rehospitalization (range)	HR (95% CI)
0.0–10.0	29	20.6	24 (15–60)	Reference
10.1–12.3	29	20.6	32 (21–181)	0.96 (0.31–2.96)
12.4–16.5	29	58.6	42 (12–165)	3.34 (1.31–8.49)*
Overall	87	33.3	32 (12–181)	
* $p < 0.05$. ¹ The calculated of the total risk score was rounded to nearest integer. ² Proportion of patients rehospitalized within each risk score category. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.				

professionals might have spent more attention to antipsychotic adherence after discharge. This could have resulted in a better monitoring of adherence resulting in less rehospitalizations. Nonetheless, 33.3% of the patients were rehospitalized in the 6 months following discharge, which is comparable with what previous studies have reported.^{37–39} Patients were told at inclusion that there were no right or wrong answers, the results would not be discussed with their psychiatrist or anyone else, and the results would be processed anonymously in this study. Despite these facts, patients may have filled in socially desirable answers. Although the power for the prediction model in all the patients was 0.80, power decreased when patients were stratified by having diagnosis of schizophrenia or other diagnosis.

Conclusion

Rehospitalization was best predicted by a combination of variables from the patient/disease and medication characteristics, patients' attitude towards medicine use, and healthcare-professional-rated assessment. These variables are relatively easily obtainable to predict rehospitalization within 6 months after discharge. Risk scores can be assessed at discharge to identify patients with a higher risk for rehospitalization.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the patients, Altrecht Mental Health Care, and the community pharmacies for their contribution in this study. Also, we thank Miss D Yazir for helping with the collection of the medication history, and Dr Md Jamal Uddin for helping with the statistical analyses.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Morken G, Widen JH and Grawe RW. Non-adherence to antipsychotic medication, relapse and rehospitalisation in recent-onset schizophrenia. *BMC Psychiatry* 2008; 8: 32.
2. Weiden PJ, Kozma C, Grogg A, *et al.* Partial compliance and risk of rehospitalization among California Medicaid patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Serv* 2004; 55: 886–891.
3. Law MR, Soumerai SB, Ross-Degnan D, *et al.* A longitudinal study of medication nonadherence and hospitalization risk in schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2008; 69: 47–53.
4. dosReis S, Johnson E, Steinwachs D, *et al.* Antipsychotic treatment patterns and hospitalizations among adults with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2008; 101: 304–311.
5. Fenton WS and Kane JM. Clinical challenges in the psychopharmacology of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 1997; 23: 563–565.
6. Sun SX, Liu GG, Christensen DB, *et al.* Review and analysis of hospitalization costs associated with antipsychotic nonadherence in the treatment of schizophrenia in the United States. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2007; 23: 2305–2312.
7. Marcus SC and Olfson M. Outpatient antipsychotic treatment and inpatient costs of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 2008; 34: 173–180.
8. Tiihonen J, Haukka J, Taylor M, *et al.* A nationwide cohort study of oral and depot antipsychotics after first hospitalization for schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2011; 168: 603–609.
9. Jung SH, Kim WH, Choi HJ, *et al.* Factors affecting treatment discontinuation and treatment outcome in patients with schizophrenia in Korea: 10-year follow-up study. *Psychiatry Invest* 2011; 8: 22–29.
10. Robinson DG, Woerner MG, Alvir JM, *et al.* Predictors of medication discontinuation by patients with first-episode schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. *Schizophr Res* 2002; 57: 209–219.
11. Lacro JP, Dunn LB, Dolder CR, *et al.* Prevalence of and risk factors for medication nonadherence in patients with schizophrenia: a comprehensive review of recent literature. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2002; 63: 892–909.
12. Laan W, Does Y, Sezgi B, *et al.* Low treatment adherence with antipsychotics is associated with relapse in psychotic disorders within six months after discharge. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 2010; 43: 221–224.
13. Jonsdottir H, Friis S, Horne R, *et al.* Beliefs about medications: measurement and relationship to adherence in patients with severe mental disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2009; 119: 78–84.
14. Boden R, Brandt L, Kieler H, *et al.* Early non-adherence to medication and other risk factors

- for rehospitalization in schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. *Schizophr Res* 2011; 133: 36–41.
15. Ohmori T, Ito K, Abekawa T, *et al.* Psychotic relapse and maintenance therapy in paranoid schizophrenia: a 15 year follow up. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1999; 249: 73–78.
 16. Vrijens B, De Geest S, Hughes DA, *et al.* A new taxonomy for describing and defining adherence to medications. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2012; 73: 691–705.
 17. Valenstein M, Copeland LA, Blow FC, *et al.* Pharmacy data identify poorly adherent patients with schizophrenia at increased risk for admission. *Med Care* 2002; 40: 630–639.
 18. Zilber N, Hornik-Lurie T and Lerner Y. Predictors of early psychiatric rehospitalization: a national case register study. *Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci* 2011; 48: 49–53.
 19. Lang K, Meyers JL, Korn JR, *et al.* Medication adherence and hospitalization among patients with schizophrenia treated with antipsychotics. *Psychiatr Serv* 2010; 61: 1239–1247.
 20. Perkins DO, Gu H, Weiden PJ, *et al.* Predictors of treatment discontinuation and medication nonadherence in patients recovering from a first episode of schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, or schizoaffective disorder: a randomized, double-blind, flexible-dose, multicenter study. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2008; 69: 106–113.
 21. Verhoef TI, Redekop WK, Bouvy ML, *et al.* Beliefs about medicines in Dutch acenocoumarol and phenprocoumon users. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2014; 78: 422–429.
 22. Altrecht MHC. Annual Report 2013 of Altrecht/Jaarverslag. 2013: 19–94, www.altrecht.nl (2013, accessed 7 October 2017).
 23. Reutfors J, Brandt L, Stephansson O, *et al.* Antipsychotic prescription filling in patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2013; 33: 759–765.
 24. Olfson M, Mechanic D, Hansell S, *et al.* Predicting medication noncompliance after hospital discharge among patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Serv* 2000; 51: 216–222.
 25. Gorwood P. Factors associated with hospitalisation of patients with schizophrenia in four European countries. *Eur Psychiatry* 2011; 26: 224–230.
 26. Hugenholtz GW, Stolker JJ, Heerdink ER, *et al.* Short-acting parenteral antipsychotics drive choice for classical versus atypical agents. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2003; 58: 757–760.
 27. Abdullah-Koolmees H, Gardarsdottir H, Stoker LJ, *et al.* Prevalence of medication use for somatic disease in institutionalized psychiatric patients. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 2013; 46: 274–280.
 28. Aikens JE, Nease DE Jr, Nau DP, *et al.* Adherence to maintenance-phase antidepressant medication as a function of patient beliefs about medication. *Ann Fam Med* 2005; 3: 23–30.
 29. Heagerty PJ and Zheng Y. Survival model predictive accuracy and ROC curves. *Biometrics* 2005; 61: 92–105.
 30. Bouvy ML, Heerdink ER, Leufkens HG, *et al.* Predicting mortality in patients with heart failure: a pragmatic approach. *Heart* 2003; 89: 605–609.
 31. Perkins DO, Johnson JL, Hamer RM, *et al.* Predictors of antipsychotic medication adherence in patients recovering from a first psychotic episode. *Schizophr Res* 2006; 83: 53–63.
 32. Byerly M, Fisher R, Whatley K, *et al.* A comparison of electronic monitoring versus clinician rating of antipsychotic adherence in outpatients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res* 2005; 133: 129–133.
 33. Byerly MJ, Thompson A, Carmody T, *et al.* Validity of electronically monitored medication adherence and conventional adherence measures in schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Serv* 2007; 58: 844–847.
 34. Remington G, Kwon J, Collins A, *et al.* The use of electronic monitoring (MEMS) to evaluate antipsychotic compliance in outpatients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2007; 90: 229–237.
 35. Zeller A, Taegtmeier A, Martina B, *et al.* Physicians' ability to predict patients' adherence to antihypertensive medication in primary care. *Hypertens Res* 2008; 31: 1765–1771.
 36. Miller LG, Liu H, Hays RD, *et al.* How well do clinicians estimate patients' adherence to combination antiretroviral therapy? *J Gen Intern Med* 2002; 17: 1–11.
 37. McGorry PD, Killackey E and Yung A. Early intervention in psychosis: concepts, evidence and future directions. *World Psychiatry* 2008; 7: 148–156.
 38. Gellad WF, Grenard JL and Marcum ZA. A systematic review of barriers to medication adherence in the elderly: looking beyond cost and regimen complexity. *Am J Geriatr Pharmacother* 2011; 9: 11–23.
 39. Stuffken R, van Hulten RP, Heerdink ER, *et al.* The impact of hospitalisation on the initiation and long-term use of benzodiazepines. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2005; 61(4): 291–5.

Appendix 1. Basic questionnaire for the patients and patients' attitudes towards medicine use (BMQ).

- (1) How do you receive your medication?
 - (a) I pick it up at the pharmacy (patients themselves)
 - (b) The pharmacy delivers my medication to my home
 - (c) My friends/family pick it up at the pharmacy for me
 - (d) Professionals/people from my assisted living facility pick it up from the pharmacy for me
 - (e) I get depot/Semap/Acemap from the nurses at Altrecht
 - (f) I get my oral medication from the nurses at Altrecht
- (2) What is your living situation?
 - (a) I live alone and am independent
 - (b) I live alone with housing counseling
 - (c) I live with my family/partner
 - (d) I live with other people and get assistance
- (3) Do people remind you to take your medication?
 - (a) Yes
 - (b) No
 - (c) Now and then
- (4) When you do not take your medication, do you receive your medication from someone else?
 - (a) Yes
 - (b) No

BMQ-specific [scored on a 5-point Likert scale; 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (uncertain), 4 (agree) or 5 (strongly agree)].

- (5) My health, at present, depends on my medicines
- (6) Having to take medicines worries me
- (7) My life would be impossible without medication
- (8) I sometimes worry about the long-term effects of my medicines
- (9) Without my medicines, I would be very ill
- (10) My medicines are a mystery to me

- (11) My health in the future will depend on my medicines
- (12) My medicines disrupt my life
- (13) I sometimes worry about becoming too dependent on my medicines
- (14) My medicines protect me from becoming worse
- (15) These medicines have unpleasant side effects

BMQ-general [scored on a 5-point Likert scale 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (uncertain), 4 (agree) or 5 (strongly agree)].


- (16) Physicians prescribe too many medicines
- (17) People who take medicines should stop their treatment for a while, now and again
- (18) Most medicines are addictive
- (19) Natural remedies are safer than medicines
- (20) Medicines do more harm than good
- (21) All medicines are poisons
- (22) Physicians place too much trust in medicines
- (23) If physicians had more time with patients, they would prescribe fewer medicines

Appendix 2. Questionnaire for the healthcare professionals.

- (1) How are you involved in the treatment of the patient (physician)?
 - (a) Clinical psychiatrist (during the last admission)
 - (b) Ambulatory psychiatrist
 - (c) Physician (not in training to become a specialist)
 - (d) Psychiatrist trainee
 - (e) Other:
 -
- (2) How do you estimate the patient's antipsychotic adherence after discharge? (0% bad; 100% very good)%
- (3) Have you discussed adherence to antipsychotics with this patient during the admission?
 - (a) Yes
 - (b) No
 - (c) I do not know
- (4) Have you asked the patient during the admission whether he/she is adherent?
 - (a) Yes

- (b) No
(c) I do not know
- (5) How do you assess your professional relationship with this patient?
(a) Good
(b) Moderate
(c) Bad
- (6) Predict: is this patient *going to* use his/her antipsychotic medication following discharge?
(a) Yes
(b) No
(c) I do not know
- (7) Predict: do you think that this patient will continue his/her antipsychotic medication during the 6 months after discharge?
(a) Yes
(b) No
(c) I do not know
- (8) How long do you expect this patient to continue his/her antipsychotic medication after discharge? months
- (9) Do you think that this patient will be rehospitalized in the next 6 months? (0% no rehospitalization; 100% rehospitalization)%

Visit SAGE journals online
[journals.sagepub.com/
home/tpp](http://journals.sagepub.com/home/tpp)

 SAGE journals