www.nature.com/lsa

# Multi-photon quantum cutting in Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup> to enhance the photo-response of solar cells

De-Chao Yu<sup>1,2</sup>, Rosa Martín-Rodríguez<sup>2</sup>, Qin-Yuan Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Andries Meijerink<sup>2</sup>, and Freddy T Rabouw<sup>2</sup>

Conventional photoluminescence (PL) yields at most one emitted photon for each absorption event. Downconversion (or quantum cutting) materials can yield more than one photon by virtue of energy transfer processes between luminescent centers. In this work, we introduce  $Gd_2O_2S:Tm^{3+}$  as a multi-photon quantum cutter. It can convert near-infrared, visible, or ultraviolet photons into two, three, or four infrared photons of ~1800 nm, respectively. The cross-relaxation steps between  $Tm^{3+}$  ions that lead to quantum cutting are identified from (time-resolved) PL as a function of the  $Tm^{3+}$  concentration in the crystal. A model is presented that reproduces the way in which the  $Tm^{3+}$  concentration affects both the relative intensities of the various emission lines and the excited state dynamics and providing insight in the quantum cutting efficiency. Finally, we discuss the potential application of  $Gd_2O_2S:Tm^{3+}$  for spectral conversion to improve the efficiency of next-generation photovoltaics.

Light: Science & Applications (2015) 4, e344; doi:10.1038/lsa.2015.117; published online 23 October 2015

Keywords: downconversion; infrared emission; quantum cutting; solar cells; spectral conversions

### INTRODUCTION

Over the last decade, advanced luminescent materials exhibiting downconversion, also known as quantum cutting or quantum splitting, have been developed<sup>1,2</sup>. In this process, a high-energy photon is converted into two or more lower-energy photons, with a quantum efficiency of potentially well over  $100\%^3$ . If the downconverted photons are in the visible range, this concept is of interest for color conversion layers in conventional lighting applications<sup>1,2,4–6</sup>. New exciting possibilities of downconversion to infrared (IR) photons lie in next-generation photovoltaics, aiming at minimizing the spectral mismatch losses in solar cells<sup>7–11</sup>.

Spectral mismatch is the result of the broad width of the spectrum emitted by the sun. Semiconductor absorber materials absorb only photons with an energy hv higher than the band gap  $E_g^{-7}$ . To absorb many photons and produce a large electrical current, absorber materials must therefore have a small bandgap. However, because excited charge carriers rapidly thermalize to the edges of a semiconductor's conduction and valence bands, a high voltage output requires an absorber with a large band gap. Hence, materials with low transmission losses (leading to a large current) have high thermalization losses (leading to a low voltage) and vice versa<sup>12,13</sup>. These spectral mismatch losses constitute the major factor defining the relatively low Shockley– Queisser limit<sup>14</sup>, the maximum light-to-electricity conversion efficiency of 33% in a single-junction solar cell, obtained for a band gap of approximately 1.1 eV (1100 nm)<sup>13</sup>.

Efficiencies higher than 33% can be reached with multi-junction solar cells, where a stack of multiple absorber materials are each optimized to efficiently convert different parts of the solar spectrum to electricity<sup>15,16</sup>. Although efficiencies of greater than 40% have been obtained with this concept<sup>16</sup>, the devices are expensive and have limited tunability because of the requirement of high-quality contacts between absorber materials with matching physical and chemical properties.

An alternative solution to beat the Shockley–Queisser limit is by optical conversion of the solar spectrum prior to entering the solar cell<sup>2,7–11</sup>. A large number of downconversion materials able to convert high-energy photons into multiple lower-energy photons have recently been developed<sup>17–32</sup>. The downconversion process occurs by energy transfer between luminescent centers, either lanthanide ions with a wide range of energy levels or organic molecules with low-energy triplet states<sup>31,32</sup>. If the IR photons generated are all absorbed by the solar cell material, the result can be a huge increase in the current output<sup>10–13,33</sup>. This concept does not impose requirements on the contact surface between the conversion layer and the solar cell, so it can relatively easily be integrated into existing solar cell technologies.

In this work, we introduce the quadruple-downconversion material  $Gd_2O_2S:Tm^{3+}$ . Depending on the excitation energy, it can yield two, three, or even four IR photons (mainly approximately 1800 nm) per absorption event. The high-energy photons are cut by a series of cross-relaxation steps, where the initially excited  $Tm^{3+}$  ion transfers part of its energy to a neighboring  $Tm^{3+}$ . By examining the emission spectra and dynamics as a function of  $Tm^{3+}$  concentration in the  $Gd_2O_2S$  host crystal, we determine the operative cross-relaxation processes leading to four-photon quantum cutting and quantify the rates and efficiencies. We find that at a moderate  $Tm^{3+}$  concentration of 10%, the excitation energy is very efficiently cut into IR quanta (in the  ${}^{3}H_5$  level emitting at 1215 nm and in the  ${}^{3}F_4$  level emitting at 1800 nm), with efficiencies of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Luminescence Materials and Devices and Institute of Optical Communication Materials, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China and <sup>2</sup>Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80000, 3508 TA Utrecht, the Netherlands Correspondence: QY Zhang, Email: gyzhang@scut.edu.cn; A Meijerink, Email: a.meijerink@uu.nl

Received 5 March 2015; revised 4 June 2015; accepted 18 June 2015; accepted article preview online 20 June 2015

199% for near-IR excitation at 800 nm, 298% for blue excitation at 470 nm, and 388% for ultraviolet (UV) excitation at 365 nm. We discuss the practical application of this multiple-downconversion material to increase the spectral response of solar cells (in particular Ge, of which the band gap is matched to emission from the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level). Efforts should be aimed at reducing losses due to concentration quenching of the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level and increasing the absorption by means of a sensitizer. In addition to applications in next-generation photovoltaics, because the excitation can be in the first 'tissue-transparent spectral window' of approximately 800 nm and the emission of 1800 nm lies in a spectral window with low tissue absorption and reduced light scattering<sup>34,35</sup>, the concept of efficient downconversion in Tm<sup>3+</sup> may be useful for bio-imaging applications.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup> powder samples

а

ntensity (a.u.)

800

1200

1600

Emission wavelength (nm)

Microcrystalline samples of  $Gd_2O_2S:Tm^{3+}$  (*x*%) with x = 0.1, 1.0, 5.0, and 10.0 were prepared for this research by Tailorlux GmbH (Münster, Germany). The crystal structure was confirmed using X-ray powder diffractometry (see Supplementary Fig. S1).  $Gd_2O_2S$  has a  $P\overline{3}ml$  space group, where  $Tm^{3+}$  dopant ions substitute for  $Gd^{3+}$  at a site with point symmetry  $C_{3v}$  surrounded by four oxygen and three sulfur atoms<sup>36,37</sup>.

### **Experimental details**

Emission spectra and photoluminescence (PL) decay curves were recorded using an Edinburgh Instruments FLS920 spectrofluorometer equipped with a 450 W xenon lamp. Visible emission was detected by a Hamamatsu R928 photomultiplier tube (400-850 nm). Emission spectra in the IR were recorded on a liquid-nitrogen cooled Hamamatsu R5509-72 photomultiplier tube (1100-1600 nm) and a thermoelectrically cooled G5852 InGaAs PIN photodiode (1500-2100 nm). For PL emission measurements, the samples were excited with the xenon lamp. For PL decay measurements, an optical parametric oscillator (OPO) system (Opotek HE 355 II) pumped by the third harmonic of a Nd:YAG laser (pulse width 10 ns; repetition rate 20 Hz) was used for excitation in the <sup>3</sup>F<sub>2,3</sub> (Figure 1c) or <sup>1</sup>G<sub>4</sub> (Figure 2c) levels. PL decay of the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level (Figure 3c) was excited with an Ekspla NR342B-10-SH/DUV OPO system (pulse width 5 ns; repetition rate 10 Hz). All experiments were performed at room temperature.

### Modeling the photon yield from downconversion

Ш

Low Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration

To model the efficiencies of the downconversion processes in  $Gd_2O_2S:Tm^{3+}$ , we use the overview of possible relaxation pathways (see Figures 1b, 2b, and 3b). For the cascade emissions, which dominate the downconversion efficiency at low  $Tm^{3+}$  concentration, we use the branching ratios as determined by Yi *et al.*<sup>38</sup> for a crystal structure

Ш

IV

High Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration



b

10%

5%

1%

0.1%

2000

<sup>1</sup>D,

¹G,

<sup>3</sup>Η,

<sup>3</sup>Η,

<sup>3</sup>H



**Figure 2** One-to-three downconversion from the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level. (a) Emission spectra of  $Gd_{2}O_{2}S$ :  $Tm^{3+}(x\%)$  with x = 0.1 (black), 1 (red), 5 (yellow), and 10 (blue) excited in the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level at 470 nm. They are recorded on three different detectors, as indicated by the vertical dashed lines, and are normalized for each detector individually. (b) Possible decay pathways of the  $Tm^{3+}I_{6}G_{4}$  excited state include cascade processes (pathways I, II, and III). At higher  $Tm^{3+}$  concentrations,  $({}^{1}G_{4}, {}^{3}H_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}F_{2,3}, {}^{3}F_{4})$  cross-relaxation becomes the dominant decay pathway (IV and V), followed by further cross-relaxation from the  ${}^{3}F_{3,2}$  and  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  levels (see Figure 1). (c) The decay dynamics of the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level in  $Gd_{2}O_{2}S$ :  $Tm^{3+}(x\%)$  with x = 0.1 (black), 1 (red), 5 (yellow), and 10 (blue), excited at 465 nm and detected for the  ${}^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$  emission at 653 nm. Solid lines are fits to Equation (2), yielding an intrinsic lifetime of  $\tau_{0} = 105 \, \mu$ s and a critical radius for cross-relaxation of  $R_{0} = 10.4 \, \text{Å}$ . (d) The intensity of emission from the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  (red circles) and  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  (solid green triangle for  ${}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$ , open green triangles for  ${}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$ ) levels relative to the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  envels. Solid lines are the ratios as expected from our model (see Supplementary Information). The dashed green line would be the expected ratio of  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  emission to  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  emission if only the first step in the sequence of cross-relaxation soccurred, i.e.,  ${}^{1}G_{4}, {}^{3}H_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}F_{2,3}, {}^{3}F_{4})$ . The agreement with the experiment is very poor (compare to the green triangles), proving the occurrence of multiple cross-relaxation steps. (e) The total downconversion efficiency from the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level (black line) and separated by emission from the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  (blue),  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  (green), and  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  (red) levels.

(Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) similar to ours (Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S). For the cross-relaxation processes, which lead to efficient downconversion at high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations (>1%), we fit the excited state decay dynamics (see Figures 1c, 2c, and 3c) of the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub>, <sup>1</sup>G<sub>4</sub>, and <sup>1</sup>D<sub>2</sub> levels to a model of first-order energy transfer<sup>17,22,39,40</sup> (Equations (1) and (2)) and obtain values for the cross-relaxation strengths  $C_{\rm xr}$ . From these, the cross-relaxation efficiency  $\eta_{\rm xr}$  is calculated. For more details, see the Supplementary Information.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## One-to-two downconversion following excitation in the near-infrared

We start by examining the downconversion from the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  and  ${}^{3}F_{2,3}$ levels of Tm<sup>3+</sup>. Figure 1a shows the emission spectra of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup> (*x*%) upon excitation in the  ${}^{3}F_{2,3}$  level at 697 nm, from bottom to top for increasing Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration of x = 0.1 (black), 1 (red), 5 (yellow), and 10 (blue). The spectra are recorded on three separate detectors (boundaries indicated with vertical dashed lines) and are normalized for each detector separately. Consequently, the relative intensities of emission lines can be compared between different Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations but not between different detectors. The emission lines are ascribed to the  ${}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$  transition at 800 nm, the  ${}^{3}H_{5} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$  transition at 1215 nm, the  ${}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$  transition at 1450 nm, and the  ${}^{3}F_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$  transition at 1800 nm<sup>21,29,41</sup>. At low  $Tm^{3+}$  concentrations (0.1%, 1%), the emission is mainly from the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  level (lines indicated with a green triangle), whereas at higher concentrations (5%, 10%), emissions from  ${}^{3}H_{5}$  (yellow square) and  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  (red circle) dominate.

The observations are consistent with the relaxation pathways illustrated in Figure 1b. Pathways I and II dominate at low Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations. Pathways III and IV, which involve cross-relaxation between pairs of ions and result in downconversion, become more likely at higher Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations. Colored downward arrows represent photon emission, with symbols matching those marking the emission lines in Figure 1a. Fast non-radiative  ${}^{3}F_{2,3} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  multiphonon relaxation (dashed downward arrow) is evidenced by the absence of emission from the <sup>3</sup>F<sub>2,3</sub> level. The occurrence of crossrelaxation processes (black solid arrows in pathways III and IV) is evidenced by the supralinear increase of emission intensity from the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>5</sub> and <sup>3</sup>F<sub>4</sub> levels with increasing Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration. Whereas radiative decay from the <sup>3</sup>F<sub>2,3</sub> level cannot compete with multi-phonon relaxation, cross-relaxation at high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations (pathway IV) can, as evidenced by the observation of <sup>3</sup>H<sub>5</sub> emission at 1215 nm. This indicates that very fast rates are possible for cross-relaxation processes in Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup>. Indeed, Supplementary Fig. S2 shows that no <sup>3</sup>H<sub>5</sub> emission is observed under excitation directly in the  ${}^{3}\text{H}_{4}$  level (rather than in the  ${}^{3}\text{F}_{2,3}$  level) because pathway IV is not

Multi-photon quantum cutting in Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup> DC Yu *et al* 



**Figure 3** One-to-four downconversion from the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level. (a) Emission spectra of  $Gd_{2}O_{2}S:Tm^{3+}$  (x%) with x = 0.1 (black), 1 (red), 5 (yellow), and 10 (blue) excited in the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level at 365 nm. (b) Decay pathways from the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level. Pathways I, II, and III involve cascade emission of photons (colored downward arrows marked with symbols corresponding to those in a) and are operative at low  $Tm^{3+}$  concentration. At high  $Tm^{3+}$  concentrations, cross-relaxation (solid black arrows in pathways IV and V) becomes more efficient, effectively cutting the excitation energy in four and leading to emission in the IR (yellow square and red circle in a). (c) PL decay curves of the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level recorded at 465 nm ( ${}^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$ ). Solid lines are fits to Equation (2), yielding an intrinsic lifetime of  $\tau_{0} = 4.0 \,\mu$ s for the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level and a critical radius for cross-relaxation of  $R_{0} = 7.1$  Å. (d) The emission intensity from  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  (red circles) and  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  (green filled triangles:  ${}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$ ; green open triangles:  ${}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$ ) relative to  ${}^{1}D_{2}$ . Solid lines are the trends expected based on our model (see Supplementary Information). If we include only the first cross-relaxation step in our model (i.e.,  $({}^{1}D_{2}, {}^{3}H_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}H_{4}, {}^{3}F_{2,3})$ ), the predicted intensity ratio between  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  and  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  (dashed green line) deviates strongly from the experimental data points. (e) The total downconversion quantum yield (black line) following  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  excitation. Emission comes mainly from the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level at low  $Tm^{3+}$  concentrations and from  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  at high  $Tm^{3+}$  concentrations. Consistent with the experiment (a), emission from the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  level is brightest at intermediate concentrations of approximately 1%.

possible. This also proves that multi-phonon relaxation from  ${}^{3}\text{H}_{4}$  to  ${}^{3}\text{H}_{5}$  is negligible.

To quantify the rates and efficiencies of downconversion by cross-relaxation from the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  state, we recorded PL decay curves excited in the  ${}^{3}F_{2,3}$  level (697 nm) and recorded at 800 nm at the  ${}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$  transition. Figure 1c shows that for increasing Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration, the decay of the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  level rapidly becomes faster, consistent with cross-relaxation (pathway III in Figure 1b). We fit the decay curve for 0.1% Tm<sup>3+</sup> to a single exponential, assuming no cross-relaxation, and obtain an intrinsic lifetime of the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  level of  $\tau_{0} = 255 \ \mu$ s. Next we assume that at higher Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations, cross-relaxation can take place *via* dipole–dipole coupling in pairs of Tm<sup>3+</sup> ions, yielding a cross-relaxation rate  $\Gamma_{\rm xr}$  for a single pair proportional to the inverse sixth power of the separation *r* between the ions<sup>17,22,39,40</sup>:

$$\Gamma_{\rm xr} = C_{\rm xr} r^{-6}.\tag{1}$$

The prefactor  $C_{\rm xr}$  (which represents the strength of cross-relaxation) can alternatively be converted to a critical radius  $R_0 = \sqrt[6]{\tau_0 C_{\rm xr}}$ , defined as the pair separation at which cross-relaxation has a 50% efficiency. We fit the decay curve for 1% Tm<sup>3+</sup> to an analytical model that assumes a random substitution of Tm<sup>3+</sup> for Gd<sup>3+</sup> in the Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S crystal<sup>39,40</sup>:

$$I(t) = I(0)e^{-t/\tau_0} \prod_{i}^{\text{shells}} (1 - x + xe^{-C_{xt}t/\tau_i^6})^{n_i},$$
(2)

where x is the Tm<sup>3+</sup> acceptor concentration, and ( $r_b n_i$ ) represents the 'neighbor list' given by the crystal structure of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S. The best fit is obtained for  $C_{xr} = 2.5 \text{ nm}^6 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , corresponding to a critical radius of  $R_0 = 9.3 \text{ Å}$ . This translates into a cross-relaxation rate in a nearestneighbor pair (at a separation of 3.5 Å; one Tm<sup>3+</sup> in the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub> level and one in the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>6</sub> ground state) of 1/0.75 µs, 341× faster than radiative decay from the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub> level. In Figure 1c, the solid lines through the data for 5% (yellow) and 10% (blue) Tm<sup>3+</sup> are drawn following Equation (2) without additional fit parameters. They show a good match with the experimental PL decay curves. Deviations at high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations may be due to energy migration over the Tm<sup>3+</sup> sublattice, reabsorption of emitted light, or upconversion processes (i.e., the inverse of cross-relaxation; see Supplementary Information for further discussion).

Based on the possible decay pathways of the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  level (Figure 1b) and with the assumption that no non-radiative loss occurs, we can estimate (see Supplementary Information for details) the expected light yield following excitation at 700–800 nm in the  ${}^{3}F_{2,3}$  or  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  level. Using the fitted value for the cross-relaxation strength  $C_{xr}$  (Figure 1c), we can estimate how the expected intensity ratio between  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  and  ${}^{3}H_{4}$ emission varies with Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration. In Figure 1d we plot the result (solid red line) and compare it to the experimental data (red circles). Efficient cross-relaxation causes the relative intensity in the IR at 1800 nm from the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level to increase by more than a factor 100 as the Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration increases from 0.1% to 10%. Hence, our model of  $({}^{3}\text{H}_{4}, {}^{3}\text{H}_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}\text{F}_{4}, {}^{3}\text{F}_{4})$  cross-relaxation (Figure 1b) *via* dipole–dipole interaction is consistent with both the excited state dynamics (Figure 1c) and the resulting emission intensities (Figure 1d). The deviation between experiment and model that occurs in Figure 1d at 10% Tm<sup>3+</sup> can be understood in terms of concentration quenching of the  ${}^{3}\text{F}_{4}$  level (see the section on "Discussion").

In Figure 1e, we plot the one-to-two downconversion quantum yield  $\eta_2$  (see Supplementary Information) following excitation into the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub> level as a function of Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration. We distinguish between photons emitted from the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub> level and those emitted from the <sup>3</sup>F<sub>4</sub> level based on our model and the fitted cross-relaxation strength  $C_{\rm xr}$ . Whereas at low Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations the emission comes primarily from the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub> level (green line), at higher concentrations, <sup>3</sup>F<sub>4</sub> emission (red line) is dominant. We see that Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup> can efficiently downconvert photons of 800 nm. Because of cascade emission (pathway II in Figure 1b),  $\eta_2$  is already over 100% (119%) at 0.1% Tm<sup>3+ 21,41,42</sup>. It increases to 199% at 10% Tm<sup>3+</sup> because cross-relaxation becomes much more efficient. Experimentally, the absolute downconversion quantum yield is difficult to determine quantitatively because emissions occur over such a large spectral range, including very close to the excitation wavelength and beyond the detector range. We can see in Figure 1a that the absolute emission intensity from the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level decreases when the Tm<sup>3+</sup> increases from 5% to 10%. This indicates that in our samples, concentration quenching effects (see the section on "Discussion") are present at high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations, which diminish the actual quantum yields to below those estimated in Figure 1e.

#### One-to-three downconversion following blue excitation

Next, we investigate the efficiency of downconversion from the <sup>1</sup>G<sub>4</sub> level of Tm<sup>3+ 43</sup>. We perform a similar analysis as presented above in Figure 1 but for higher-energy excitation, to build a complete picture of the chain of cross-relaxation processes that can take place in Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup>. The <sup>1</sup>G<sub>4</sub> level is excited with blue photons of 470 nm, which each provide enough energy to generate three IR photons of 1800 nm ( ${}^{3}F_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$ ). Figure 2a shows emission spectra of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup> following excitation in the <sup>1</sup>G<sub>4</sub> level at 470 nm. In addition to the emission lines observed in Figure 1a from <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub> (green triangles), <sup>3</sup>H<sub>5</sub> (yellow square), and <sup>3</sup>F<sub>4</sub> (red circle), we now see emission from the <sup>1</sup>G<sub>4</sub> level (blue crosses). The line at 650 nm is from the  ${}^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$  transition, whereas the emission at approximately 1200 nm, observed at low Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations (0.1%, 1%), originates from the  ${}^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  transition. At high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations (5%, 10%), the spectrum at approximately 1215 nm is of a different origin, namely the  ${}^{3}H_{5} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$  transition, as evident from a comparison to Figure 1a. Overall, we see that at the lowest Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration (0.1%), the emission comes mainly from the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level (blue crosses), at intermediate concentration (1%),  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  emission is relatively strong (green triangles), whereas at high concentrations (5%, 10%) the spectrum is dominated by <sup>3</sup>H<sub>5</sub> (yellow square) and <sup>3</sup>F<sub>4</sub> (red circle) emissions.

Based on these observations, we propose relaxation pathways for the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level as depicted in Figure 2b. At low  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$  concentrations, pathways I, II, and III result in cascade emissions from the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level (there can also be direct radiative decay to the ground state, not depicted). At high  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$  concentrations,  $({}^{1}G_{4}, {}^{3}H_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}F_{2,3}, {}^{3}F_{4})$ cross-relaxation occurs<sup>29,44</sup>, after which the  ${}^{3}F_{2,3}$  and  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  excitations are further downconverted as already discussed in Figure 1. The result at high  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$  concentrations (5%, 10%) can be three IR emissions for each blue excitation, consistent with the dominant contribution from the  ${}^{3}H_{5}$  (yellow square) and  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  (red circle) emissions in the spectra of Figure 2a. There may also be  $({}^{1}G_{4}, {}^{3}H_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}H_{4}, {}^{3}H_{5})$  and  $({}^{1}G_{4}, {}^{3}H_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}H_{5}, {}^{3}H_{4})$  cross-relaxation processes<sup>29,44</sup>, which would lead to similar downconversion schemes, except that the  ${}^{3}H_{5}$  level is directly populated in the first step of the sequence of cross-relaxation steps. Because we experimentally observe  ${}^{3}H_{5}$  emission only at the highest concentrations, the dominant first cross-relaxation process is probably  $({}^{1}G_{4}, {}^{3}H_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}F_{2,3}, {}^{3}F_{4})$ .

We investigate the downconversion dynamics of the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level, as shown in Figure 2c. The solid lines are fits of the experimental data (symbols) to the model of cross-relaxation by dipole–dipole coupling (Equation (2)). We obtain for the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level an intrinsic lifetime of  $\tau_{0} =$ 105 µs and a cross-relaxation strength of  $C_{xr} = 11.8$  nm<sup>6</sup> ms<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to a critical radius of  $R_{0} = 10.4$  Å. This means that the cross-relaxation rate of the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level in a nearest-neighbor pair is 1/0.16 µs, 660× faster than radiative decay. Such fast cross-relaxation rates explain why in upconversion experiments only low Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations of no higher than 1% yield bright blue upconversion emission<sup>44-46</sup>.

As we did in Figure 1d for  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  excitation, with the fit results on the decay dynamics (Figure 2c), we can calculate the theoretical photon yields upon  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  excitation (see Supplementary Information). Figure 2d shows the intensity ratio of  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  (red) or  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  (green) emission to  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  emission. The experimental data (data points) show a good match to the theoretical predictions (solid lines). This confirms the occurrence of the sequence of cross-relaxation processes depicted in Figure 2b, which lead to the generation of three IR photons for each blue excitation. As a check, the dashed green line in Figure 2d shows the expected  ${}^{3}H_{4}$ -to- ${}^{1}G_{4}$  intensity ratio in the hypothetical case that only the first cross-relaxation process occurred (i.e.,  $({}^{1}G_{4}, {}^{3}H_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}F_{2,3}, {}^{3}F_{4})$ ) rather than a sequence of two cross-relaxation steps. This scenario is not consistent with the experimental emission spectra.

In Figure 2e, we plot the absolute photon yields following  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  excitation, as calculated with our model (see Supplementary Information). At low Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations, the emission comes mainly from the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level (blue line), although through cascade processes (pathways I, II, III in Figure 2b), the other levels emit as well. Consistent with the experimental spectra (Figure 2a), emission comes primarily from the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level (red line) at high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations. The total downconversion efficiency increases from 165% at 0.1% Tm<sup>3+</sup> (by virtue of cascade emissions) to 298% at 10% (determined by cross-relaxation). In practice the absolute photon yield is limited by concentration quenching of the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  emission at high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations.

### One-to-four downconversion following UV excitation

Finally, we increase the excitation energy further to be resonant with the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level of Tm<sup>3+</sup>. Excitation photons in the UV (of 365 nm) have sufficient energy to yield as many as four IR photons from the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level. Figure 3a shows the emission spectra of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup> excited in the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level at 365 nm. We observe emission from the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level (purple diamonds) and, at higher Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations, from  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  (green triangles),  ${}^{3}H_{5}$  (yellow square), and  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  (red circle) but not from the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level (compare Figure 2a). This indicates that cross-relaxation processes occur but not to the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level. Moreover, we can conclude that multi-phonon relaxation from  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  to  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  is negligible.

In Figure 3b, we depict the decay pathways from the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level dominant in Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup>. At low Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations there are possibilities for cascade emission (pathways I, II, and III)<sup>29,46–48</sup>. At high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations, because we see in the emission spectrum

that cross-relaxation to the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level is not efficient, the dominant process must be  $({}^{1}D_{2}, {}^{3}H_{6}) \rightarrow ({}^{3}H_{4}, {}^{3}F_{2,3})$ , as depicted in pathways IV and V<sup>29,44</sup>. After reaching the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  and  ${}^{3}F_{2,3}$  levels, the Tm<sup>3+</sup> ions decay further, by cross-relaxation, as discussed above in Figure 1. The result can be as many as four emitted IR photons (red circles and yellow squares) for each UV excitation.

Figure 3c shows the decay dynamics of the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level recorded for the  ${}^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$  emission at 465 nm. We again apply our model of cross-relaxation by dipole–dipole interaction (Equation (2)) to fit the dynamics. The best fit is obtained for an intrinsic lifetime of  $\tau_{0} = 4.0 \ \mu s$  and a cross-relaxation strength of  $C_{xr} = 32.8 \ nm^{6} \ ms^{-1}$  (corresponding to a critical radius of  $R_{0} = 7.1 \ \text{Å}$ , and a nearest-neighbor cross-relaxation rate of  $1/0.06 \ \mu s$ ,  $69 \times$  faster than the intrinsic decay). Hence, this cross-relaxation process from the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level is less efficient than those from the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  (Figure 1c) and  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  levels (Figure 2c) but still competes strongly with radiative decay.

To confirm the occurrence of one-to-four downconversion in  $Gd_2O_2S:Tm^{3+}$  via the scheme of three cross-relaxation steps (Figure 3b), we compare the relative emission intensities in the spectra to the predictions of our model (see Supplementary Information). Figure 3d shows a good correspondence between the experiment (data points) and the model (solid lines). Downconversion occurs at low  $Tm^{3+}$  concentrations because of several possible cascade processes (pathways I, II and III in Figure 3b). Nevertheless, the relative intensity of  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  emission increases by a factor 100 as the  $Tm^{3+}$  increases from 0.1% to 10%. As a check, we can see that if we do not include the second and third steps of the sequence of cross-relaxations, the agreement between model and experiment is very poor (dashed green line).

Figure 3e presents the theoretical photon yield for excitation in the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level. At the low Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration of 0.1%, the downconversion quantum yield is as high as 186% by virtue of the many possible cascade processes (pathways I, II, and III in Figure 3b), through which the UV excitation can relax<sup>42</sup>. The downconversion quantum yield increases to 388% at 10% Tm<sup>3+</sup> because the cross-relaxation becomes more efficient. By comparing the absolute emission intensities (Figure 3a) from the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level for 5% and 10% Tm<sup>3+</sup>, it becomes apparent that concentration quenching effects are at play at the highest

 $\text{Tm}^{3+}$  concentrations, which reduce the effective downconversion yield (see the section on "Discussion").

### Discussion

Our results highlight  $Gd_2O_2S:Tm^{3+}$  as a promising material to efficiently downconvert the near-IR, visible, and UV part of the spectrum to IR photons. Two challenges have to be solved for this material to significantly enhance the photo-response of next-generation solar cells: (i) the relatively weak absorption of  $Tm^{3+}$  and (ii) concentration quenching of the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level.

The absorption spectrum of Tm<sup>3+</sup>, as for most other trivalent lanthanide ions, contains a few narrow lines originating from the intraconfigurational transitions from the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>6</sub> ground state to the many possible excited states. In the inset of Figure 4a, we show the excitation spectra of  ${\rm Tm}^{3+}$  for the  ${}^{3}\!{\rm H}_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}\!{\rm F}_{4}$  emission. The absorptions are relatively weak and narrow. A more detailed investigation of the different line intensities in the full excitation spectrum, as a function of Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration, is presented in Supplementary Fig. S3. To increase the effective absorption strength of Tm<sup>3+</sup> and make it broadband, sensitizers are needed<sup>20,47–50</sup>. For example, the material could be co-doped with strongly absorbing centers, such as  $Ce^{3+}$ , for sensitization<sup>20,39,49,50</sup>. Such schemes allow for the absorption spectrum of the material to be tuned independently of the downconversion process. This opens up interesting but challenging possibilities, such as materials that convert UV and near-IR to IR photons but are transparent to visible light for use as luminescent solar concentrators in windows.

Figure 4a shows the fraction of the solar spectrum made available through downconversion, if we assume broadband effective absorption of Tm<sup>3+</sup> by means of sensitization. We consider a solar cell with a bandgap of 0.65 eV (1900 nm; e.g., Ge)<sup>11</sup> such that all  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  emission can be absorbed. The red area in Figure 4a is directly usable by this solar cell. In the ideal sensitized downconverter (based on the excitation spectrum of Tm<sup>3+</sup>; Supplementary Fig. S3 and the inset of Figure 4a), all photons with energy higher than hv > 3.36 eV are funneled to the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level, photons of 2.59 eV < hv < 3.36 eV to the  ${}^{1}G_{4}$  level, and photons of 1.50 eV < hv < 2.59 eV to the  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  level. The colored areas in Figure 4a indicate the gain enabled by one-to-two (green),



**Figure 4** Reducing spectral mismatch losses with  $Gd_2O_2S$ :Tm<sup>3+</sup>. (a) A simple solar cell with a band gap of 0.65 eV (1900 nm; for example, Ge) can effectively use only the part of the solar spectrum shaded red. Spectral conversion by a sensitized downconverter layer of  $Gd_2O_2S$ :Tm<sup>3+</sup> would make additional parts available: the green part by one-to-two downconversion from the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub> level, the blue part by one-to-three downconversion from the <sup>1</sup>G<sub>4</sub> level, and the purple part by one-to-four downconversion from the <sup>1</sup>D<sub>2</sub> level. The inset shows the positions of the various absorption lines in  $Gd_2O_2S$ :Tm<sup>3+</sup> in the excitation spectrum of the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub>  $\rightarrow$  <sup>3</sup>F<sub>4</sub> emission at 1450 nm. (b) The calculated spectral losses as a function of the Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration in  $Gd_2O_2S$  and the quantum efficiency  $\eta_{em}$  of the IR emission from the <sup>3</sup>F<sub>4</sub> level. The solid black line shows how  $\eta_{em}$  varies with the Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration according to Equation (3), and assuming a critical concentration of  $x_0 = 10\%$ . For the actual crystal, where the concentration quenching is directly linked to the Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration, as described by Equation (3), the spectral losses as a function of the solid line. They are lowest (42%) at 2–3% Tm<sup>3+</sup>. The dashed line is the contour corresponding to the spectral losses of 50% for a crystalline Si solar cell (band gap = 1.1 eV). We see that a  $Gd_2O_2S$ :Tm<sup>3+</sup> with a Ge solar cell can outperform crystalline Si.

one-to-three (blue), and one-to-four (purple) downconversion. The spectral mismatch losses are reduced from 59% in the bare solar cell to 37% in combination with the downconverter (compared to 50% for a Si solar cell).

The essential last step of the downconversion is the emission of photons from the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level. As apparent from the relatively low absolute emission intensities at 10% Tm<sup>3+</sup> (Figures 1a, 2a, and 3a), the  ${}^{3}F_{4}$  level is partially quenched at this concentration. This can be ascribed to concentration quenching, i.e., migration of the excitation energy over the Tm<sup>3+</sup> sublattice until it reaches a quenching site. The effect has been studied in detail by Auzel *et al.*<sup>51</sup> for the lowest excited state of Yb<sup>3+</sup>, Er<sup>3+</sup>, and Ho<sup>3+</sup> in the crystalline host material Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. They used a model where the PL quantum efficiency of emission depends on the concentration of luminescent ions *x* as

$$\eta_{\rm em}(x) = \left[1 + \frac{9}{2\pi} \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^2\right]^{-1},$$
(3)

where  $x_0$  is the critical concentration. Auzel *et al.* found critical concentrations of approximately  $x_0 \approx 10\%$  for the three ions investigated<sup>51</sup>. The effect of concentration quenching in a crystal doped with luminescent ions depends on the material quality<sup>52</sup>. With our equipment, we are unable to measure  $\eta_{em}$  for the IR emission from the lowest excited state of Tm<sup>3+</sup> in our samples, but the values could be expected to follow the same trend as those found by Auzel *et al.* for Yb<sup>3+</sup>, Er<sup>3+</sup>, and Ho<sup>3+</sup> in Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>50</sup>.

In Figure 4b, we plot how spectral losses of the situation depicted Figure 4a (solar cell of band gap 0.65 eV plus Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup> down-converter) would depend on the Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration and  $\eta_{\rm em}$  of the <sup>3</sup>F<sub>4</sub> level. The lowest spectral losses require a high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration (for efficient cross-relaxation) and a high  $\eta_{\rm em}$ . Because, as described by Equation (3), high Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations come with a lower  $\eta_{\rm em}$ , there is some optimum concentration. The solid black line in Figure 4b depicts how  $\eta_{\rm em}$  would vary with Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration according to Equation (3) with  $x_0 = 10\%$ . The lowest spectral losses are 42% at a Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentration of 2–3%, significantly lower than the 59% for the bare solar cell with a band gap of 0.65 eV and even lower than Si (50%; dashed line).

### CONCLUSION

To summarize, we have demonstrated that Tm<sup>3+</sup> in Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S can effectively downconvert high-energy photons from the near-IR, visible and UV to IR photons of a wavelength of 1800 nm. By virtue of a variety of cross-relaxation processes, an excitation in the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub> level (800 nm) can be converted to two IR photons, from the  ${}^{1}\text{G}_{4}$  level (470 nm) to three and from the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level (365 nm) to four. We have quantified the cross-relaxation rates and efficiencies for different Tm<sup>3+</sup> concentrations by fitting the decay dynamics of the levels involved. For the three downconversion processes, the efficiencies are near 200%, 300%, and 400% for 10%  $\mathrm{Tm}^{3+}.$  These results are consistent with the relative intensities of the emission lines as found experimentally. We have discussed the potential application of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Tm<sup>3+</sup> as a spectral converter for photovoltaics. In particular, this material could greatly improve the efficiency of Ge solar cells, to such extent that they could even outperform crystalline Si in terms of spectral mismatch losses.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support from the National Science Foundation of China (51125005 and 51472088) is gratefully acknowledged. Dechao Yu thanks the China Scholarship Council (CSC, File No. 201206150022) for a scholarship. This work is part of the research program of the 'Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM)', which is financially supported by the 'Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO)'.

- Wegh RT, Donker H, Oskam KD, Meijerink A. Visible quantum cutting in LiGdF<sub>4</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup> through downconversion. *Science* 1999; 283: 663–666.
- 2 Zhang QY, Huang XY. Recent progress in quantum cutting phosphors. Prog Mater Sci 2010; 55: 353–427.
- 3 Dexter DL. Possibility of luminescent quantum yield greater than unity. *Phys Rev* 1957; 108: 630–633.
- 4 Jüstel T, Nikol H. Optimization of luminescent materials for plasma display panels. Adv Mater 2000; 12: 527–530.
- 5 Feldmann C, Jüstel T, Ronda CR, Schmidt PJ. Inorganic luminescent materials: 100 years of research and application. Adv Funct Mater 2003; 13: 511–516.
- Lee TJ, Luo LY, Diau EWG, Chen TM, Cheng BM et al. Visible quantum cutting through downconversion in green-emitting K<sub>2</sub>GdF<sub>5</sub>:Tb<sup>3+</sup> phosphors. Appl Phys Lett 2006; 89: 131121.
- 7 Trupke T, Green MA, Würfel P. Improving solar cell efficiencies by down-conversion of high-energy photons. J Appl Phys 2002; 92: 1668–1674.
- 8 Richards BS. Luminescent layers for enhanced silicon solar cell performance: downconversion. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2006; 90: 1189–1270.
- 9 van der Ende BM, Aarts L, Meijerink A. Lanthanide ions as spectral converters for solar cells. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2009: **11**: 11081–11095.
- 10 Abrams ZR, Niv A, Zhang X. Solar energy enhancement using down-converting particles: a rigorous approach. J Appl Phys 2011: 109: 114905.
- 11 ten Kate OM, de Jong M, Hintzen HT, van der Kolk E. Efficiency enhancement calculations of state-of-the-art solar cells by luminescent layers with spectral shifting, quantum cutting, and quantum tripling function. J Appl Phys 2013; 114: 084502.
- 12 Luque A. Will we exceed 50% efficiency in photovoltaics? J Appl Phys 2011; 110: 031301.
- 13 Shockley W, Queisser HJ. Detailed balance limit of efficiency of p-n junction solar cells. J Appl Phys 1961; 32: 510–519.
- 14 Polman A, Atwater HA. Photonic design principles for ultrahigh-efficiency photovoltaics. *Nat Mater* 2012; 11: 174–177.
- 15 Martí A, Araújo GL. Limiting efficiencies for photovoltaic energy conversion in multigap systems. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 1996; 43: 203–222.
- 16 Green MA, Emery K, Hishikawa Y, Warta W. Solar cell efficiency tables (version 33). Prog Photovolt: Res Appl 2009; 17: 85–94.
- 17 Vergeer P, Vlugt TJH, Kox MHF, den Hertog MI, van der Eerden JPJM et al. Quantum cutting by cooperative energy transfer in Yb<sub>x</sub>Y<sub>1-x</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>:Tb<sup>3+</sup>. Phys Rev B 2005; 71: 014119.
- 18 Zhang QY, Yang GF, Jiang ZH. Cooperative downconversion in  $GdAl_3(BO_3)_4:RE^{3+},Yb^{3+}$  (RE= Pr, Tb, and Tm). Appl Phys Lett 2007; **91**: 051903.
- 19 Ye S, Zhu B, Luo J, Chen JX, Lakshminarayana G et al. Enhanced cooperative quantum cutting in Tm<sup>3+</sup>-Yb<sup>3+</sup> codoped glass ceramic containing LaF<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals. Opt Express 2008; 16: 8989–8994.
- 20 van der Ende BM, Aarts L, Meijerink A. Near-infrared quantum cutting for photovoltaics. Adv Mater 2009; 21: 3073–3077.
- 21 Yu DC, Zhang JP, Chen QJ, Zhang WJ, Yang ZM et al. Three-photon near-infrared quantum cutting in Tm<sup>3+</sup>-doped transparent oxyfluoride glass ceramics. Appl Phys Lett 2012; 101: 171108.
- 22 van Wijngaarden JT, Scheidelaar S, Vlugt TJH, Reid MF, Meijerink A. Energy transfer mechanism for downconversion in the (Pr<sup>3+</sup>,Yb<sup>3+</sup>) couple. *Phys Rev B* 2010; **81**: 155112.
- 23 Zheng W, Zhu HM, Li RF, Tu DT, Liu YS *et al*. Visible-to-infrared quantum cutting by phonon-assisted energy transfer in YPO<sub>4</sub>:Tm<sup>3+</sup>, Yb<sup>3+</sup> phosphors. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2012; **14**: 6974–6980.
- 24 Eilers JJ, Biner D, van Wijngaarden JT, Krämer K, Güdel HU *et al.* Efficient visible to infrared quantum cutting through downconversion with the Er<sup>3+</sup>-Yb<sup>3+</sup> couple in Cs<sub>3</sub>Y<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>9</sub>. *Appl Phys Lett* 2010; **96**: 151106.
- 25 Miritello M, Savio RL, Cardile P, Priolo F. Enhanced down conversion of photons emitted by photoexcited  $Er_xY_{2-x}Si_2O_7$  films grown on silicon. *Phys Rev B* 2010; **81**: 041411(R).
- 26 Yu DC, Huang XY, Ye S, Peng MY, Zhang QY et al. Three-photon near-infrared quantum splitting in  $\beta$ -NaYF<sub>4</sub>:Ho<sup>3+</sup>. Appl Phys Lett 2011; **99**: 161904.
- 27 Chen DQ, Wang YS, Hong MC. Lanthanide nanomaterials with photon management characteristics for photovoltaic application. *Nano Energy* 2012; 1: 73–90.
- 28 Yu DC, Ye S, Huang XY, Zhang QY. Enhanced three-photon near-infrared quantum splitting in  $\beta$ -NaYF<sub>4</sub>:Ho<sup>3+</sup> by codoping Yb<sup>3+</sup>. *AIP Adv* 2012; **2**: 022124.
- 29 Chen XB, Salamo GJ, Yang GJ, Li YL, Ding XL *et al.* Multiphoton near-infrared quantum cutting luminescence phenomena of Tm<sup>3+</sup> ion in (Y<sub>1-x</sub>Tm<sub>x</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> powder phosphor. Opt Express 2013; **21**: A829–A840.
- 30 Timmerman D, Valenta J, Dohnalová K, de Boer WDAM, Gregorkiewicz T. Step-like enhancement of luminescence quantum yield of silicon nanocrystals. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2011; 6: 710–713.
- 31 Tabachnyk M, Ehrler B, Gélineas S, Böhm ML, Walker BJ et al. Resonant energy transfer of triplet excitons from pentacene to PbSe nanocrystals. Nat Mater 2014; 13: 1033–1038.

- 32 Thompson NJ, Wilson MWB, Congreve DN, Brown PR, Scherer JM et al. Energy harvesting of non-emissive triplet excitons in tetracene by emissive PbS nanocrystals. Nat Mater 2014; 13: 1039–1043.
- 33 Abrams ZR, Charghi M, Niv A, Gladden C, Zhang X. Theoretical efficiency of 3rd generation solar cells: comparison between carrier multiplication and downconversion. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2012; 99: 308–315.
- 34 Naczynski DJ, Tan MC, Zevon M, Wall B, Kohl J et al. Rare-earth-doped biological composites as in vivo shortwave infrared reporters. Nat Commun 2013; 4: 2199.
- 35 Bashkatov AN, Genina EA, Kochubey VI, Tuchin W. Optical properties of human skin, subcutaneous and mucous tissues in the wavelength range from 400 to 2000 nm. *J Phys D: Appl Phys* 2005; **38**: 2543–2555.
- 36 Eick HA. The preparation, lattice parameters and some chemical properties of the rare earth mono-thio oxides. J Am Chem Soc 1958; 80: 43–44.
- 37 Martín-Rodríguez R, Fischer S, Ivaturi A, Froehlich B, Krämer KW et al. Highly efficient IR to NIR upconversion in Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S:Er<sup>3+</sup> for photovoltaic applications. *Chem Mater* 2013; 25: 1912–1921.
- 38 Yi Q, Tsuboi T, Zhou S, Nakai Y, Lin H et al. Investigation of emission properties of Tm<sup>3+</sup>:Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> transparent ceramic. Chin Opt Lett 2012; **10**: 091602.
- 39 Rabouw FT, den Hartog SA, Senden T, Meijerink A. Photonic effects on the Förster resonance energy transfer efficiency. *Nat Commun* 2014; 5: 3610.
- 40 Yu DC, Rabouw FT, Boon WQ, Kieboom T, Ye S *et al.* Insights into the energy transfer mechanism in Ce<sup>3+</sup>-Yb<sup>3+</sup> codoped YAG phosphors. *Phys Rev B* 2014; **90**: 165126.
- 41 Yu DC, Ye S, Peng MY, Zhang QY, Wondraczek L. Sequential three-step three-photon near-infrared quantum splitting in β-NaYF<sub>4</sub>:Tm<sup>3+</sup>. *Appl Phys Lett* 2012; 100: 191911.
- 42 O'Connor R, Mahiou R, Martinant D, Fournier MT. Luminescence and dynamics in Tm<sup>3+</sup>:NaYF<sub>4</sub>. J Alloys Compd 1995; 225: 107–110.
- 43 ten Kate OM, van der Kolk E. Quantum tripling in Tm<sup>3+</sup> doped La<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> phosphors for efficiency enhancement of small bandgap solar cells. *J Lumin* 2014; **156**: 262–265.
- 44 Thrash RJ, Johnson LF. Upconversion laser emission from Yb<sup>3+</sup>-sensitized Tm<sup>3+</sup> in BaY<sub>2</sub>F<sub>8</sub>. J Opt Soc Am B 1994; 11: 881–885.

- 45 Zhao JB, Jin DY, Schartner EP, Lu YQ, Liu YJ *et al.* Single-nanocrystal sensitivity achieved by enhanced upconversion luminescence. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2013; 8: 729–734.
- 46 Wang F, Deng RR, Wang J, Wang QX, Han Y et al. Tuning upconversion through energy migration in core-shell nanoparticles. Nat Mater 2011; 10: 968–973.
- 47 Wang YZ, Yu DC, Lin HH, Ye S, Peng MY *et al.* Broadband three-photon near-infrared quantum cutting in Tm<sup>3+</sup> singly doped YVO<sub>4</sub>. J Appl Phys 2013; **114**: 203510.
- 48 Chen XB, Li S, Salamo GJ, Li YL, He LZ *et al.* Sensitized intense near-infrared downconversion quantum cutting three-photon luminescence phenomena of the Tm<sup>3+</sup> ion activator in Tm<sup>3+</sup>Bi<sup>3+</sup>:YNb0<sub>4</sub> powder phosphor. *Opt Express* 2015; **23**: A51–A61.
- 49 Dong SL, Lin HH, Yu T, Zhang QY. Near-infrared quantum-cutting luminescence and energy transfer properties of Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>:Tm<sup>3+</sup>,Ce<sup>3+</sup> phosphors. *J Appl Phys* 2014; 116: 023517.
- 50 Zhang GG, Liu CM, Wang J, Kuang XJ, Su Q. A dual-mode solar spectral converter CaLaGa<sub>3</sub>S<sub>6</sub>O:Ce<sup>3+</sup>, Pr<sup>3+</sup>: UV-Vis-NIR luminescence properties and solar spectral converting mechanism. *J Mater Chem* 2012; **22**: 2226–2232.
- 51 Auzel F, Baldacchini G, Laversenne L, Boulon G. Radiation trapping and self-quenching analysis in Yb<sup>3+</sup>, Er<sup>3+</sup>, and Ho<sup>3+</sup> doped Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Opt Mater 2003; 24: 103–109.
- 52 Martín-Rodríguez R, Rabouw FT, Trevisani M, Bettinelli M, Meijerink A. Upconversion dynamics in Er<sup>3+</sup>-doped Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: influence of excitation power, Er<sup>3+</sup> concentration, and defects. *Adv Optical Mater* 2015; 3: 558–567.

COSC This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-

NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 Unported License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/

Supplementary information for this article can be found on the Light: Science & Applications' website (http://www.nature.com/lsa/).