



Corrigendum to Strategies for achieving environmental policy integration at the landscape level. A framework illustrated with an analysis of landscape governance in Rwanda Environmental Science & Policy Volume 83, May 2018, Pages 63-70



Cora van Oosten^a, Assumpta Uzamukunda^b, Hens Runhaar^{c,d}

^a Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen Centre for Development Innovation P.O. Box 88, 6700 AB Wageningen, The Netherlands

^b Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations P.O. Box 1502, Kigali, Rwanda

^c Forest and Nature Conservation Policy Group, Wageningen University and Research, P.O. Box 47, 6700 AA, Wageningen, The Netherlands

^d Environmental Governance Group, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80, 115, 3508TC, Utrecht, The Netherlands

The authors regret the mistakes that occurred in the table on page 67. The published version does not show the relation between the strategies employed and the actors involved. While in reality, with regard to productive bricolage (category 1) diversification of land use is mainly employed by farmers and companies; while those allowing for diversification/intensification of land use are the district staff. With regard to institutional bricolage (category 2), the actors informally

changing the rules are the companies, while the actors providing institutional space for dialogue are the district staff and authorities. And with regard to institutional entrepreneurship (category 3) the actors formalising dialogue are the district authorities while those institutionalising partnerships are the national authorities.

The correct table would look as follows:

Table 1
Strategies to overcome policy conflicts

Strategies employed	Actor	Implementation logic	Type of policy conflict
<i>1 Productive bricolage</i>			
.Diversification of land use	.Farmers/companies	Fit & conform	Substantive
.Allowing for diversification/intensification of land use	.District staff		
<i>2 Institutional bricolage</i>			
.Informally changing the rules by combining sectoral rules and regulations	.Companies	Stretch & transform	Procedural
.providing institutional space for dialogue	.District staff/authorities		
<i>3 Institutional entrepreneurship</i>			
.Formalising dialogue and partnerships (internal or transboundary)	.District authorities	Stretch & transform	Substantial & procedural
.Institutionalising partnerships through joint policies and performance contracts	.National authorities		

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.
DOI of original article: 10.1016/j.envsci.2018.02.002

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.02.002>

E-mail address: cora.vanoosten@wur.nl (C. van Oosten).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.03.027>