



Brief Report

Profiling of volatile organic compounds produced by clinical *Aspergillus* isolates using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry

M.G. Gerritsen^{1,2,*}, P. Brinkman², N. Escobar³, L.D. Bos⁴, K. de Heer¹, M. Meijer⁵, H.-G. Janssen⁶, H. de Cock³, H.A.B. Wösten³, C.E. Visser⁷, M.H.J. van Oers¹ and P.J. Sterk²

¹Department of Hematology, Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ³Microbiology, Department of Biology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands, ⁴Department of Intensive Care, Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ⁵Applied and Industrial Mycology, Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, the Netherlands, ⁶Analytical Chemistry Group, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands and ⁷Department of Medical Microbiology, Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands and ⁷Department of Medical Microbiology, Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands and ⁷Department of Medical Microbiology, Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands and ⁷Department of Medical Microbiology, Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands and ⁷Department of Medical Microbiology, Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands (Microbiology), Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. M.G. Gerritsen, MD, Department of Hematology, Academic Medical Center – University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Tel: +31 20 5661660; Fax: +31 20 5669001; E-mail: m.g.gerritsen@amc.nl

Received 29 November 2016; Revised 22 February 2017; Accepted 3 April 2017; Editorial Decision 7 March 2017

Abstract

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in exhaled breath may identify the presence of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. We aimed to detect VOC profiles emitted by *in vitro* cultured, clinical *Aspergillus* isolates using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Three clinical *Aspergillus* isolates and a reference strain were cultured while conidiation was prevented. Headspace samples were analyzed using a standardized method. Breath samples of patients from which the cultures were obtained were checked for the presence of the VOCs found *in vitro*. Each *Aspergillus* isolate produced a distinct VOC profile. These profiles could not be confirmed in exhaled breath *in vivo*.

Key words: gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, *Aspergillus*, headspace analysis, exhaled breath analysis, invasive pulmonary aspergillosis.

Clinical Trial registry no: NCT02106117

Exhaled breath analysis has potential as a noninvasive diagnostic tool for detection of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis (IPA). Our group showed that patients with IPA and neutropenic controls could be discriminated using an electronic nose.¹ Analytical chemistry techniques, such

as gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS), are required to determine which volatile organic compounds (VOCs) drive this signal.²

Various studies described VOCs detected in headspaces of *Aspergillus* isolates *in vitro* and in breath from patients with IPA.^{3–8} Both 2-pentylfuran and a combination

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The International Society for Human and Animal Mycology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com



Figure 1. Overview of the set-up used for the *in vitro* experiments. A: Inlet Tenax tube (filter); B: valve; C: Aspergillus sandwich culture; D: headspace; E: outlet Tenax tube; F: peristaltic pump.

of four different sesquiterpenes were suggested as exhaled IPA biomarkers.^{6,7} These studies show large variations between volatiles found *in vitro* and *in vivo*; however, no direct comparisons have been made. We hypothesized that GC-MS analysis allows identification of VOCs in headspace samples of *Aspergillus* isolates obtained from neutropenic patients with IPA or *Aspergillus* colonization. Next, we explored whether VOCs found *in vitro* could be detected in breath samples taken from these same patients.

We obtained two Aspergillus fumigatus (A. fumigatus 1, A. fumigatus 2) isolates from BAL fluid of patients with probable IPA, and an Aspergillus niger (A. niger) isolate from a patient with sputum colonization.⁹ Af293 was used as reference strain. For species identification, the ITS region and parts of the β -tubulin and calmodulin genes were amplified and sequenced (Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, Netherlands).¹⁰

Aspergillus spp. usually invade the lung tissue without conidiation. Therefore, sandwich cultures were performed.¹¹ A perforated polycarbonate membrane (5–10 μ m thickness, 6 × 10⁸ pores cm⁻¹, pore size 0.1 μ m, Profiltra, Netherlands) was placed on 15 ml minimal medium (6 g l⁻¹ NaNO₃, 1.5 g l⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 0.5 g l⁻¹ KCl, 0.5 g l⁻¹ MgSO₄.7H₂O, 0.2 ml l⁻¹ Vishniac; pH 6.0, 1.5% agar), containing 25 mM xylose, in a glass Petri dish. The plate was heated to 60°C and 2 ml 1.25% agarose was added. After cooling down, 2 μ l conidia suspension (concentration 10 × e⁸) was inoculated and topped with a second membrane. Cultures were incubated under aerated conditions at 37°C.

After 92 h each sandwich culture was placed in a glass cuvette (290 ml) and sealed airtight with a Viton-lined glass lid containing an inlet and outlet equipped with valves (Fig. 1).¹² Filtered air was flushed through the inlet for 2 min after which the valves were closed. The cuvettes were incubated at 37°C for 5 h. For headspace sampling, two adsorption tubes (TenaxTM GR 60/80, Interscience, Breda, Netherlands) were placed on the inlet and outlet, the latter trapping VOCs using a peristaltic pump (500 ml, flow 250 ml min⁻¹). Each experiment consisted of an isolate cultured in quintuple, and a negative control: an uninoculated sandwich culture. Experiments were replicated within 2 weeks.

After transportation to an automated thermal desorption unit (Markes TD100, Cincinnati, OH, USA), tubes were analyzed on a quadrupole mass spectrometer (GC-MS-QP2010, Shimadzu, Den Bosch, Netherlands) using a standardized method.¹³

No.	Rt (s)	Formula	Trivial name	Median relative abundance VOCs per isolate				
				A. fumigatus 1	A. fumigatus 2	A. niger	Af293	NC
1	148	C5H8	1,3-pentadiene	14 708*	70	0.4	695*	112
2	155	C4H10O	2-methyl-2-propanol	497	366	0	3158*	64
3	259	C5H6O	2-methylfuran	158*	34*	0.7	25	11
4	272	C4H10O	2-methyl-1-propanol	0	0	386*	0	0
5	302	C5H12O	2-methyl-2-butanol	111	28	25	906*	25
6	383	C6H12O	UIC	117*	21	7	126*	41
7	440	C5H10O	UIC	1	0.5	34*	0.5	2
8	916	C8H18O	2-ethyl-1-hexanol	0	1498*	422*	0	0
9	998	C12H26O	UIC	0.4	192*	4	131	3
10	1125	C11H20O	2-methylisoborneol	16	12	144*	27	12

Table 1. Median abundance of the identified volatile organic compounds produced by the four *Aspergillus* isolates and the negative controls.

The median relative abundance $(\times 10^3)$ after de-noising, baseline correction and retention time correction is displayed.

*VOCs with significant higher abundance in Aspergillus isolates then in the negative controls (NC), in both the initial and the replicate experiment.

Rt (s), retention time in seconds; UIC, unidentified component; VOCs, volatile organic compounds.

R (V3.2.1) was used for statistical analysis. Peak detection, de-noising, and retention time correction were performed using the XCMS-package, resulting in an ionfragment peak table.^{13,14} Compounds were reconstructed from fragments by performing a principal component analysis on fragments within a retention time frame of 5 s. The added intensities of the fragments in principal component 1 with an absolute loading above 0.1 formed the compound abundancy.

Per isolate, the resulting components of the initial experiment were compared to the pooled negative controls. This was repeated for the replicate experiment. The compounds with significantly higher abundance in the *Aspergillus* cultures in both the initial and replicate experiment were selected for *in vitro Aspergillus* identification. Differences were determined with a Mann–Whitney U test (P < .05), median abundancies were reported. Resulting compounds were manually checked in the chromatograms. Identification was performed as described previously.¹³

Presence of *in vitro* identified components was checked in breath samples of the three patients from which the isolates were obtained, using chemical standards. Four neutropenic patients without IPA, based on a negative BAL (galactomannan, culture), and serum galactomannan, were selected as controls. All patients participated in a prospective trial performed in the Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam and the University Medical Center, Utrecht (clinicaltrials.gov NCT02106117) and gave written informed consent before inclusion. The study was approved by the local institutional review board.

Patients inhaled maximally through a three-way nonrebreathing valve connected to an inspiratory VOC filter (A2, Honeywell, France) and exhaled a full expiratory vital capacity into a Tedlar bag (SKC Inc, Eighty Four, PA, USA). Within 30 min 500 ml of breath was sampled from the bag into a Tenax tube (flow 250 ml min⁻¹). VOCs were considered possible biomarkers if detected in breath from the patient of which the isolate was obtained but not in neutropenic controls.

The *in vitro* compound list consisted of 249 components, mostly originating from the medium. Ten compounds had significantly higher abundance in the *Aspergillus* headspace then in negative controls in both replicates (Table 1). These 10 VOCs were compared with the VOCs in exhaled breath of the selected patients *in vivo*. All breath samples, including those of the neutropenic controls, contained 1,3-pentadiene and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. 2-methyl-1-propanol was found in all but one. None of the other components were detected in breath.

This is the first study to perform a direct comparison of VOCs found in breath samples of patients with IPA, with those found in headspaces of the same isolates *in vitro*. Our methods for headspace sampling, GC-MS analysis, and compound identification were carefully standardized.

We confirmed presence of several metabolites produced by *Aspergillus* spp. that were previously detected in other studies, such as 1,3-pentadiene, 2-methyl-1propanol (*A. niger*) and 2-methylisoborneol (*A. versicolor*).^{3,4} The three fungal components identified in breath samples were already found in breath from healthy subjects.¹⁵

The absence of 2-pentylfuran in breath samples and cultures is in accordance with published data.⁵ It was argued that 2-pentylfuran might originate from hemoglobin (blood agar *in vitro*, pulmonary hemorrhage *in vivo*), rather than from the fungus itself.⁸ We did not detect sesquiterpenes in *Aspergillus* cultures or breath samples. This might be explained by differences in GC-MS methodologies,

sampling techniques, growth media and/or isolates: for example, it was shown that sesquiterpenes could only be detected when adding elastin to culture medium.⁵ These difficulties reproducing VOC profiles between studies is a common phenomenon also observed with bacteria.¹⁶

A direct comparison of isolates *in vitro* and *in vivo* is important and might allow identification of a possible IPA breath marker. However, several issues complicate this comparison. Despite efforts to mimic conditions in the human lung by preventing conidiation, circumstances *in vitro* might still be quite different. This could lead to an altered fungal metabolism resulting in a changed VOC profile.^{5,17} Furthermore, the host response might be responsible for differences in VOCs observed *in vivo*.¹⁸ VOC production might also be dependent on growth phase or availability of nutrients in culture media. Finally, it cannot be excluded that the VOCs identified *in vitro* are present in breath of IPA patients but remain under the detection limit, or that sampling techniques (glass cuvettes vs Tedlar bags) affected VOC profiles.

These data suggest that VOCs allow identification of *Aspergillus* isolates in culture. These VOC profiles could not be confirmed *in vivo*, possibly due to differences in growth conditions and sampling methods. These results underline the difficulties of translating *in vitro* experiments to *in vivo* conditions.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to Iris Kappers for helping with the set-up for headspace sampling, Tom Aalbers for the technical assistance, and the microbiology departments of the University Medical Center Utrecht and the Academic Medical Center Amsterdam for handing us the different clinical *Aspergillus* isolates.

Funding information

This work was supported by a research grant from Pfizer Inc. and a research grant from the Egbers Foundation. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publications.

Declaration of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and the writing of the paper.

References

- de Heer K, van der Schee MP, Zwinderman K et al. Electronic nose technology for detection of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in prolonged chemotherapy-induced neutropenia: a proof-of-principle study. J Clin Microbiol 2013; 51: 1490–1495.
- Boots AW, Bos LD, van der Schee MP et al. Exhaled molecular fingerprinting in diagnosis and monitoring: validating volatile promises. *Trends Mol Med* 2015; 21: 633–644.
- Polizzi V, Adams A, De Saeger S et al. Influence of various growth parameters on fungal growth and volatile metabolite production by indoor molds. *Sci Total Environ* 2012; 414: 277–286.
- Gao P, Korley F, Martin J et al. Determination of unique microbial volatile organic compounds produced by five *Aspergillus* species commonly found in problem buildings. *AIHA J* 2002; 63: 135–140.
- Bazemore RA, Feng J, Cseke L et al. Biomedically important pathogenic fungi detection with volatile biomarkers. J Breath Res 2012; 6: 016002.
- Chambers ST, Syhre M, Murdoch DR et al. Detection of 2-pentylfuran in the breath of patients with Aspergillus fumigatus. *Med Mycol* 2009; 47: 468–476.
- Koo S, Thomas HR, Daniels SD et al. A breath fungal secondary metabolite signature to diagnose invasive aspergillosis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; 59: 1733–1740.
- Heddergott C, Calvo AM, Latgé JP. The volatome of Aspergillus fumigatus. Eukaryot Cell 2014; 13: 1014–1025.
- De Pauw B, Walsh TJ, Donnelly JP et al. Revised definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group (EORTC/MSG) Consensus Group. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 46: 1813–1821.
- Varga J, Kocsube S, Toth B et al. Aspergillus brasiliensis sp. nov., a biseriate black Aspergillus species with world-wide distribution. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2007; 57 (Pt 8): 1925–1932.
- Wosten HA, Moukha SM, Sietsma JH et al. Localization of growth and secretion of proteins in *Aspergillus niger.J Gen Microbiol* 1991; 137: 2017– 2023.
- Kappers IF, Verstappen FW, Luckerhoff LL et al. Genetic variation in jasmonic acid- and spider mite-induced plant volatile emission of cucumber accessions and attraction of the predator Phytoseiulus persimilis. J Chem Ecol 2010; 36: 500–512.
- Bos LD, van Walree IC, Kolk AHJ et al. Alterations in exhaled breath metabolite-mixtures in two rat models of lipopolysaccharide-induced lung injury. J Appl Physiol 2013; 115: 1487–1495.
- Smith CA, Want EJ, O'Maille G et al. XCMS: processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. *Anal Chem* 2006; 78: 779–787.
- de Lacy Costello B, Amann A, Al-Kateb H et al. A review of the volatiles from the healthy human body. J Breath Res 2014; 8: 014001.
- Bos LD, Sterk PJ, Schultz MJ. Volatile metabolites of pathogens: a systematic review. *PLoS pathog* 2013; 9: e1003311.
- Willger SD, Grahl N, Cramer RA, Jr. Aspergillus fumigatus metabolism: clues to mechanisms of in vivo fungal growth and virulence. *Med Mycol* 2009; 47 (Suppl 1): S72–79.
- Bean HD, Jiménez-Díaz J, Zhu J et al. Breathprints of model murine bacterial lung infections are linked with immune response. *Eur Respir J* 2015; 45: 181–190.