

Assessment of occupational exposure to pesticides in a pooled analysis of agricultural cohorts within the AGRICOH consortium: authors' response

In his letter, Tomenson¹ provides his opinion on the development and use of crop-exposure matrices (CEMs) in a pooling project within the AGRICOH consortium.² Although overall his concerns repeat acknowledged limitations of the developed CEMs, discussed in detail in our paper, we disagree with his conclusion.

Tomenson concludes that "it is difficult to see how the pooling project can be worthwhile unless the exposure measures are greatly improved." Part of this conclusion is based on his interpretation of low agreement between self-reported pesticide use in the Agricultural Health Study (AHS) and exposure assigned to this population using two CEM approaches approximating methods developed for the two European cohorts. We refer readers to the original paper² for a detailed discussion of important differences between the AHS and the European cohorts, that will impact exposure prevalence and agreement between the different exposure assessment methods used. This comparison was limited to 1 year, and despite that we consider self-reported data in the AHS to be of high quality, it is not a gold standard and may underestimate exposure.³

As alternative exposure data were not available to compare CEM estimates with, Tomenson's related statement that "the CEM approaches also appear to greatly overestimate exposure when applied in their own cohorts," cannot be quantitatively validated. Indeed, the CEMs are highly sensitive. They assign all farmers cultivating a crop (CNAP), or performing pesticide treatment tasks on a crop (AGRICAN), exposure to individual pesticides registered for use on that crop and sold in the respective countries. This results in high positive correlations between pesticides registered for the same crop(s); this must be considered when interpreting epidemiological results based on exposure data from these CEMs. We do not agree, however, that high

sensitivity makes the CEMs unsuitable for application in epidemiological studies in agricultural occupational populations. Highly specific methods, which avoid misclassifying unexposed as exposed, are warranted for exposures with low prevalence. However, for highly prevalent exposures, the reverse is true.⁴ All studies included in our project are agricultural occupational cohorts, in which pesticide use is common (63% of the population across cohorts). For pesticides commonly used, sensitivity of the exposure assessment becomes more important, while for rarely used pesticides, highly sensitive CEMs may produce more substantial misclassification.

We agree that more work on improving the exposure assessment for pesticides is necessary, particularly for regions with diverse crop production. Several promising methods have been published using additional data sources, which provide a more comprehensive picture of pesticides used by agricultural workers: for example, farmer treatment calendars, input from industry and crop protection experts.^{5, 6} Within AGRICOH, we plan to extend the exposure assessment for specific pesticides, within time periods for which this is possible. This would be facilitated by more publicly accessible data on pesticide sales and use by farmers, from pesticide industry and regulatory agencies.

Despite acknowledged limitations, our work represents the first opportunity to investigate associations of specific pesticide exposures with rare cancer outcomes in agricultural occupational populations across multiple countries.

Maartje Brouwer,¹ Leah Schinasi,² Laura E Beane Freeman,³ Isabelle Baldi,^{4,5,6} Pierre Lebaillly,^{7,8,9} Gilles Ferro,² Karl-Christian Nordby,¹⁰ Joachim Schüz,² Maria E Leon,² Hans Kromhout¹

¹Division of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences (IRAS), Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

²Section of Environment and Radiation, International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Lyon, France

³Occupational and Environmental Epidemiology Branch, National Cancer Institute (NCI), Bethesda, USA

⁴Laboratoire Santé Travail Environnement, ISPED, Université Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

⁵Epidémiologie-Biostatistique, INSERM, Bordeaux, France

⁶Service de Médecine du Travail, CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

⁷Cancers et Préventions, INSERM, Caen, France

⁸Cancers et Préventions, Université Caen Basse-

Normandie, Caen, France

⁹Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer François Baclesse, Caen, France

¹⁰Department of Occupational Medicine and Epidemiology, National Institute of Occupational Health (STAMI), Oslo, Norway

Correspondence to Professor Hans Kromhout, Division of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Utrecht University; P.O. Box 80.178, Utrecht 3584 TD, The Netherlands; h.kromhout@uu.nl

Contributors All authors have been involved in drafting this response letter.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.



CrossMark

To cite Brouwer M, Schinasi L, Beane Freeman LE, et al. *Occup Environ Med* 2017;**74**:81.

Received 25 September 2016

Accepted 30 October 2016

Published Online First 16 November 2016



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2016-103995>

Occup Environ Med 2017;**74**:81.
doi:10.1136/oemed-2016-104110

REFERENCES

- Tomenson JA. Re: Assessment of occupational exposure to pesticides in a pooled analysis of agricultural cohorts within the AGRICOH consortium. *Occup Environ Med* 2017;**74**:80.
- Brouwer M, Schinasi L, Beane Freeman LE, et al. Assessment of occupational exposure to pesticides in a pooled analysis of agricultural cohorts within the AGRICOH consortium. *Occup Environ Med* 2016;**73**: 359–67.
- Hoppin JA, Yucel F, Dosemeci M, et al. Accuracy of self-reported pesticide use duration information from licensed pesticide applicators in the Agricultural Health Study. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 2002;**12**:313–8.
- Flegal KM, Brownie C, Haas JD. The effects of exposure misclassification on estimates of relative risk. *Am J Epidemiol* 1986;**123**:736–51.
- Baldi I, Carles C, Blanc-Lapierre A, et al., PESTIMAT Group. A French crop-exposure matrix for use in epidemiological studies on pesticides: PESTIMAT. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 2015. Published Online First 23 Dec 2015. doi:10.1038/jes.2015.72
- Brouwer M, Huss A, Vermeulen R, et al. Expert assessment of historical crop specific pesticide use in the Netherlands. *Occup Environ Med* 2014;**71**: 717–22.



Assessment of occupational exposure to pesticides in a pooled analysis of agricultural cohorts within the AGRICOH consortium: authors' response

Maartje Brouwer, Leah Schinasi, Laura E Beane Freeman, Isabelle Baldi, Pierre Lebailly, Gilles Ferro, Karl-Christian Nordby, Joachim Schüz, Maria E Leon and Hans Kromhout

Occup Environ Med 2017 74: 81 originally published online November 16, 2016

doi: 10.1136/oemed-2016-104110

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://oem.bmj.com/content/74/1/81>

References

These include:

This article cites 5 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:
<http://oem.bmj.com/content/74/1/81#ref-list-1>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>