# Dalton Transactions

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2017, 46, 12284

# Reactivity of the geminal phosphinoborane *t*Bu<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>BPh<sub>2</sub> towards alkynes, nitriles, and nitrilium triflates<sup>†</sup>

Evi R. M. Habraken,<sup>a</sup> Lars C. Mens,<sup>a</sup> Martin Nieger,<sup>b</sup> Martin Lutz,<sup>c</sup> Andreas W. Ehlers<sup>a,d</sup> and J. Chris Slootweg<sup>\*</sup>

The reactivity of the geminal phosphinoborane  $tBu_2PCH_2BPh_2$  towards terminal alkynes, nitriles and nitrilium salts is investigated. Terminal alkynes react *via* C–H bond splitting (deprotonation) resulting in the formation of phosphonium borates. In contrast, both nitriles and nitrilium salts undergo addition reactions resulting in the formation of five-membered heterocycles. All compounds were characterized by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, and single-crystal X-ray structure determinations. Insight into the reaction mechanisms was gained by DFT calculations.

Received 14th July 2017, Accepted 26th August 2017 DOI: 10.1039/c7dt02570j

rsc.li/dalton

### Introduction

Due to the seminal work of Stephan, Erker and co-workers,<sup>1</sup> phosphorus/boron-based frustrated Lewis pairs (FLP) are popular main-group systems that can activate a plethora of small molecules.<sup>2</sup> A sub-class of these FLPs are the pre-organised, geminal phosphinoboranes in which the donor and acceptor sites are separated by a C1-linker and therefore ideally oriented for capturing substrates.<sup>3-9</sup> Recently, the geminal frustrated Lewis pair tBu2PCH2B(Fxyl)2 (Fxyl = 3,5- $(CF_3)_2C_6H_3$  was reported by Wagner *et al.* that reacts, amongst other substrates, with terminal alkynes via deprotonation to yield phosphonium alkynylborates A, and adds to the C=N bond of acetonitrile to form the five-membered heterocycle B (Fig. 1).<sup>10</sup> Erker and co-workers synthesised three geminal phosphinoboranes bearing electron-withdrawing C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> substituents at both phosphorus and boron atoms that upon reaction with terminal alkynes solely afford the addition products C-E (Fig. 1).<sup>11</sup> We developed the non-fluorinated phosphinoborane  $tBu_2PCH_2BPh_2$  1 (Scheme 1) that despite the modest

<sup>a</sup>Van 't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, PO Box 94157, 1090 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands.



Fig. 1 Products resulting from the reaction of geminal P/B-based FLPs with terminal alkynes and nitriles.

Lewis acidity of the boron moiety is still reactive and can heterolytically split  $H_2$ , and capture  $CO_2$  and isocyanates.<sup>12-14</sup> Herein we expand on these studies by investigating the reactivity of **1** towards terminal alkynes,<sup>15</sup> nitriles,<sup>16</sup> and nitrilium salts,<sup>17</sup> and analysing the reaction profiles by DFT calculations.

### **Results and discussion**

First, we investigated whether the geminal phosphinoborane  $tBu_2PCH_2BPh_2$  **1** reacts with terminal alkynes *via* C–H bond splitting (deprotonation) or *via* P/B addition to the C=C bond. Treatment of FLP **1** with 5 equiv. of phenyl- and *tert*-butylacety-lene for, respectively, 3 and 17 hours at room temperature in toluene afforded **2a,b** as sole products that were isolated as colourless solids in 57% yield after work-up (**2a**:  $\delta^{31}P = 53.5$  ppm,  $\delta^{11}B = -14.1$  ppm, **2b**:  $\delta^{31}P = 53.5$  ppm,  $\delta^{11}B = -14.5$  ppm; Scheme 1). The large  ${}^{1}J(P,H)$  coupling constants of **2a,b** 



View Article Online

E-mail: j.c.slootweg@uva.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 55, FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research,

Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park,

Johannesburg, 2006, South Africa

<sup>†</sup>Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Computational details. CCDC 1555966 (2a), 1555967 (2b), 1555968 (4), 1555969 (5a), 1557521 (5b), 1555970 (5c), 1555971 (7a), and 1555972 (7b). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7dt02570j



Scheme 1 Reaction of FLP 1 with phenyl- and tert-butylacetylene.

(451.3 and 454.5 Hz, respectively) are characteristic for a P-H bond, indicating that FLP 1 selectively deprotonates terminal alkynes. The molecular structures of 2a,b, obtained by singlecrystal X-ray structure determinations (Fig. 2, top), confirm the formation of phosphonium alkynylborates 2a,b that display typical B-C(22) and C=C bond lengths of 1.5924(17) (2a), 1.5971(18) (2b) and 1.2091(18) (2a), 1.2053(18) Å (2b), respectively. To gain more insight into the reaction of 1 with terminal alkynes, we resorted to DFT calculations at the  $\omega$ B97X-D/ 6-311G(d,p) level of theory.<sup>18</sup> This revealed in both cases the kinetic product (2) to be formed ( $\Delta E = -15.3$  (2a), -13.4 (2b) kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) instead of the more stable C=C addition product 3 ( $\Delta E$  (3a) = -45.2,  $\Delta E$  (3b) = -38.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>; Scheme 1). Heating 2a in refluxing p-xylene did not result in the formation of 3a, indicating that the thermodynamically favoured C=C adducts 3 are not accessible via this approach. The strongly Lewis basic phosphine moiety promotes facile deprotonation of the terminal alkyne and consequent addition of the remain-



Fig. 2 Molecular structures of 2a,b and 4 in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids are set at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms (except H1) are omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å], angles, and torsion angles [°] for 2a: P1-C1 1.7871(12), C1-B1 1.6942(17), B1-C22 1.5924(17), C22-C23 1.2091(18); P1-C1-B1 114.83(8); P1-C1-B1-C22 -46.06(11). 2b: P1-C1 1.7843(13), C1-B1 1.6948(19), B1-C22 1.5971(18), C22-C23 1.2053 (18); P1-C1-B1 117.62(9); P1-C1-B1-C22-33.87(14). 4: P1-C1 1.7804(16), C1-B1 1.697(2), B1-C10 1.639(2), B1-C16 1.643(2), B1-C22 1.645(2); P1-C1-B1 122.25(11); P1-C1-B1-C10 5.8(2).

ing acetylide to boron, which is in agreement with longer reaction times being required when using the less acidic *tert*-butylacetylene (17 h, RT) compared to phenylacetylene (3 h, RT).

The reactions of 1 with internal alkynes, akin to many other P/B-based FLPs,<sup>2,15b</sup> were less productive.<sup>19</sup> For example, treatment of 1 with 20 equiv. of diphenylacetylene in toluene for 16 hours at 60 °C resulted in decomposition of 1 into many unidentifiable products,<sup>20</sup> while in the absence of substrate FLP **1** is stable.<sup>21</sup> Interestingly, the reaction of **1** with 20 equiv. of 3-hexyne in toluene for 16 h at 60 °C gave a similar result, but in this case also some colourless crystals of compound 4  $(\delta^{31} P\{^{1}H\} = 50.3 \text{ ppm}, \delta^{11}B = -8.8 \text{ ppm})$  precipitated from the reaction mixture. A crystal structure determination established unequivocally the formation of a phosphonium triphenylborate, which is the formal C-H activation product of benzene (Fig. 2, bottom left). The molecular structure of zwitterion 4 displays a cationic P fragment akin to 2a,b and a borate moiety with three almost equal B-C(Ph) bond lengths (1.639(2), 1.643(2), 1.645(2) Å; Fig. 2, bottom). As P/B-based FLP 1 does not react with benzene (nor toluene) directly, the mechanism of formation of 4 is currently unresolved.

Next, we investigated if the moderately Lewis acidic 1 can, just like Wagner's geminal phosphinoborane  $tBu_2PCH_2B$  (Fxyl)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>10a</sup> react with nitriles. Treatment of FLP 1 with 2 equiv. of benzo-, *tert*-butyl- and acetonitrile for 10 minutes at room temperature in toluene afforded heterocycles **5a–c** as sole products which were isolated as colourless solids in 74, 41, and 70% yield, respectively, after work-up (Scheme 2). The molecular structures of **5a-c** reveal the formation of the desired nitrile P,B addition products displaying typical P–C(22) (1.8835(13), 1.9194(2), 1.8689(14) Å, respectively) and C=N bond lengths (1.2623(17), 1.243(3), 1.2579(19) Å, respectively; Fig. 3).<sup>10</sup> Heating **5c** in refluxing toluene did not result in tautomerisation to the corresponding enamine.<sup>22,23</sup>

The <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>11</sup>B NMR chemical shifts of phenyl-substituted **5a** ( $\delta^{31}P\{^{1}H\} = 85.2 \text{ ppm}$ ,  $\delta^{11}B = 5.2 \text{ ppm}$ ) and methyl-substituted **5c** ( $\delta^{31}P\{^{1}H\} = 76.7 \text{ ppm}$ ,  $\delta^{11}B = 5.2 \text{ ppm}$ ) resemble the corresponding values reported for **B** ( $\delta^{31}P = 81.1 \text{ ppm}$ ,  $\delta^{11}B = 4.3 \text{ ppm}$ ; Fig. 1).<sup>10</sup> In contrast, *tert*-butyl-substituted **5b** displays a distinct, broad signal at  $\delta^{31}P\{^{1}H\} = 43.3 \text{ ppm}$  at room temperature together with a signal at  $\delta^{11}B = 64.4 \text{ ppm}$  that is characteristic of a tricoordinated diarylalkylborane (*cf.* 1:  $\delta^{31}P = 39.4 \text{ ppm}$ ,  $\delta^{11}B = 72.3 \text{ ppm}$ ),<sup>12</sup> which indicates dynamic behaviour. Indeed, VT NMR spectroscopy at 50 °C showed sharp signals at  $\delta^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ : 39.1 and  $\delta^{11}B$ : 69.8 ppm, confirming the presence of free FLP **1** in solution, while at -60 °C only resonances corresponding to the five-membered ring **5b** were



Scheme 2 Reaction of FLP 1 with benzonitrile, *tert*-butylnitrile and acetonitrile.

Paper



Fig. 3 Molecular structures of **5a-c** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids are set at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å], angles, and torsion angles [°] for **5a**: P1-C1 1.7760(13), C1-B1 1.6856(19), B1-N1 1.5561(17), N1-C22 1.2623(17), C22-P1 1.8835(13); P1-C1-B1 106.31(8); B1-N1-C22-P1 -0.60(15). **5b**: P1-C1 1.7818(16), C1-B1 1.680(2), B1-N1 1.540(2), N1-C22 1.243(3), C22-P1 1.9194(18); P1-C1-B1 106.30(10); B1-N1-C22-P1 3.8(3). **5c**: P1-C1 1.7842(14), C1-B1 1.694(2), B1-N1 1.5586(18), N1-C22 1.2579(19), C22-P1 1.8689(14); P1-C1-B1 104.36(9); B1-N1-C22-P1 2.47(17).

observed ( $\delta^{31}$ P{<sup>1</sup>H} = 89.1 ppm,  $\delta^{11}$ B = 4.3 ppm). Line-shape analysis using the Eyring equation ( $T_c = 0$  °C) estimated a free enthalpy of activation of  $\Delta G = 10.6$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the addition of *tert*-butylnitrile to FLP **1**.

To provide insight into the mode of activation of the three nitriles, we resorted again to DFT calculations at  $\omega$ B97X-D/ 6-311G(d,p) level of theory.<sup>18</sup> Benzonitrile is delivered to the FLP cavity through van der Waals complex vdW-5a ( $\Delta E =$ -8.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), which converts into Lewis adduct Int-5a by N-coordination of PhCN to boron ( $\Delta \Delta E_a = 8.9$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta\Delta E = 3.5$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. 4). In the second step, the phosphine group of Int-5a attacks the electrophilic C atom of the coordinated nitrile<sup>24</sup> ( $\Delta \Delta E_a = 2.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta \Delta E =$ -13.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) affording **5a** with an overall exothermicity of -18.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Acetonitrile showed a similar reaction profile ( $\Delta E_{\text{overall}} = -16.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ; see Fig. 4), yet *tert*-butylnitrile displays reduced reaction barriers (vdW-5b:  $\Delta E =$ -6.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, Int-5b:  $\Delta\Delta E_a = 3.8$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta\Delta E =$ -0.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>; **5b**:  $\Delta\Delta E_a = 1.8$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta\Delta E =$ -7.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and a modest exothermicity of -14.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, which concurs with the experimentally observed dynamic behaviour of 5b.

We were interested to see if blocking the nitrile lone pair would hamper the reaction with the geminal FLP 1, for which we investigated the use of nitrilium salts as substrates. Treatment of FLP 1 with 0.95 equiv. of phenyl- and *tert*-butylsubstituted nitrilium triflate  $6a,b^{17}$  for 10 minutes at room temperature in DCM afforded the cationic heterocycles 7a,bthat were isolated as pale yellow solids in 95 and 92% yield,



**Fig. 4** Relative  $\omega$ B97X-D/6-311G(d,p) energies (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the conversion of **1** into heterocycles **5a**-c (only the phenyl-substituted species **a** are shown; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for **TS-Int-5a**: B1–N1 2.28753, N1–C22 1.14882; C1–B1–N1 101.729. **Int-5a**: B1–N1 1.59884, N1–C22 1.14378, P1–C22 3.42210; C1–B1–N1 104.935. **TS-5a**: B1–N1 1.59508, N1–C22 1.16268, P1–C22 2.69925; C1–B1–N1 102.594.

respectively (Scheme 3). The molecular structures of 7a,b represent the formal phenyl cation adducts to the N-lone pair of 5a,b (Fig. 5), which causes lengthening of the B1–N1 (1.667(5) (7a) vs. 1.5561(17) (5a), 1.663(2) (7b) vs. 1.540(2) Å (5b)) and



Scheme 3 Reaction of FLP 1 with nitrilium triflates 6a,b.



Fig. 5 Molecular structures of 7a (only one conformation of the disordered phenyl group on boron is shown) and 7b in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids are set at 50% probability;  $[CF_3SO_3]^-$  counter ion, disordered solvent molecules, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å], angles, and torsion angles [°] for 7a: P1-C1 1.780(3), C1-B1 1.662(5), B1-N1 1.667(5), N1-C22 1.293(4), C22-P1 1.847(3); P1-C1-B1 109.1(2). 7b: P1-C1 1.781(2), C1-B1 1.642(3), B1-N1 1.663(2), N1-C22 1.295(3), C22-P1 1.898(2); P1-C1-B1 109.78(13).



Fig. 6 Relative  $\omega$ B97X-D/6-311G(d,p) energies (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the conversion of **1** into heterocycles **7a,b** (only the phenyl-substituted species **a** are shown; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity;  $[CF_3SO_3]^-$  counter ion omitted in the calculations). Selected bond lengths [Å] angles [°] for **TS-Int-7a**: P1-C22 2.79126, N1-C22 1.16887; C1-P1-C22 113.874, C1-P1-C22-N1 111.510. **TS-7a**: P1-C22 1.87349, N1-C22 1.26411, B1-N1 3.65952; C1-P1-C22 107.823, C1-P1-C22-N1 67.143.

C=N bonds (1.293(4) (7a) vs. 1.2623(17) (5a), 1.295(3) (7b) vs. 1.243(3) Å (5b)) and shortening of the P1–C22 bond (1.847(3) (7a) vs. 1.8835(13) Å (5a)), (1.898(2) (7b) vs. 1.9194(18) Å (5b)). Interestingly, the P1–C22 bond on 7b (1.898(2) Å) is longer than the one of 7a (1.847(3) Å), which is likely due to the difference in steric bulk of the substituent, and is also reflected in a different <sup>31</sup>P NMR chemical shift (7a:  $\delta^{31}P\{^{1}H\} = 81.3$  ppm,  $\delta^{11}B = 9.0$  ppm, 7b:  $\delta^{31}P\{^{1}H\} = 103.5$  ppm,  $\delta^{11}B = 9.3$  ppm). Charge analysis revealed a decrease of electron density on nitrogen from 5a to 7a (-0.15 and 0.01 e, respectively), whereas only minor changes were observed for the other atoms in the ring.<sup>25</sup>

We resorted to DFT calculations to unravel the mode of activation (Fig. 6).<sup>18</sup> Again the substrate is delivered to the FLP cavity through a pre-complex (**pc-7a**:  $\Delta E = -21.8$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, **pc-7b**:  $\Delta E = -12.7$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), but in this case the reaction starts with nucleophilic attack of the phosphine at the nitrilium ion<sup>24</sup> forming imine-functionalised phosphonium salt **Int-7** as intermediate (see Fig. 6). Subsequent ring closure by N-coordination of the imine moiety to boron *via* an almost barrier-free transition affords the cationic heterocycles 7 (**7a**:  $\Delta \Delta E_a = 0.0$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta \Delta E = -16.0$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, **7b**:  $\Delta \Delta E_a = 1.0$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta \Delta E = -13.7$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. 6) with an overall exothermicity of -60.0 (**7a**) and -43.0 (**7b**) kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

#### Conclusions

We have shown that the reaction of terminal alkynes with the geminal P/B-based FLP **1** resulted in the formation of the kinetic phosphonium alkynylborate product *via* C–H bond

splitting, and that the thermodynamic  $C \equiv C$  addition product did not form. Addition of both nitriles and nitrilium ions to FLP 1 led instead to the five-membered heterocycles formed *via* a stepwise mechanism, as was supported by DFT calculations. We demonstrated that the geminal P/B-based FLP 1, despite having a weakly Lewis acidic boron site is suitable for small molecule activation.

## **Experimental section**

#### General procedures

All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen, using standard Schlenk and drybox techniques. Solvents were purified, dried and degassed according to standard procedures.

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 and internally referenced to the residual solvent resonances (CDCl<sub>3</sub>: <sup>1</sup>H  $\delta$  7.26 ppm, <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  77.16 ppm; CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: <sup>1</sup>H  $\delta$  5.32 ppm, <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  53.84 ppm). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}, <sup>31</sup>P, <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 and externally referenced (85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>). <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 250 and externally referenced (CFCl<sub>3</sub>). Melting points were measured on samples in sealed capillaries and are uncorrected. High resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker MicroTOF with ESI nebulizer. IR spectra were recorded in air on a Shimadzu FTIR-8400S.

FLP **1**,<sup>12</sup> (*N*-phenyl)(phenyl)carbonitrilium triflate and (*N*-phenyl)(*tert*-butyl)carbonitrilium triflate<sup>17</sup> were synthesized according to previous reported literature procedures. All other reagents were purchased from commercial resources and used without further purification.

Synthesis of 2a from 1 and phenylacetylene. Phenylacetylene (81.6 µL, 0.743 mmol, 5 equiv.) was added to a solution of 1 (48 mg, 0.149 mmol, 1 equiv.) in toluene (1 mL) at room temperature. After stirring for 3 hours, n-pentane (2 mL) was added, which resulted in the precipitation of white solids from the reaction mixture. Next, the solids were collected by filtration, washed with n-pentane (2 mL) and evaporated to dryness to afford 2a as a white powder in 57% (36 mg, 0.084 mmol). X-ray quality crystals were obtained by cooling a saturated toluene solution of 2a to -20 °C. Mp. (nitrogen, sealed capillary): 141-147 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  7.66 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.1 Hz, 4H; *o*-BPh*H*), 7.45 (br. d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.0 Hz, 2H; o-CCPhH), 7.26 (br. t,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.4 Hz, 2H; m-CCPhH), 7.19 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.5 Hz, 5H; *p*-CCPhH and *m*-BPhH), 7.04 (br. t,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.3 Hz, 2H; *p*-BPh*H*), 5.37 (dt,  ${}^{1}J_{H,P}$  = 451.3 Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 4.8 Hz, 1H; PH), 1.34 (dd,  ${}^{2}J_{H,P}$  = 12.7 Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 4.8 Hz, 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.20 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,P}$  = 15.2 Hz, 18H; C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  -14.1 (s). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 292 K): δ 156.9 (br. s; *ipso*-BPhC), 133.6 (s; *o*-BPhC), 131.4 (s; o-CCPhC), 128.1 (s; m-CCPhC), 127.4 (s; BCCPh), 127.1 (s; *m*-BPhC), 126.1 (s; *p*-CCPhC), 124.3 (s; *p*-BPhC), 100.1 (br. s; *ipso*-CCPhC), 31.7 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{C,P} = 37.2$  Hz;  $C(CH_{3})_{3}$ ), 28.0 (s;  $C(CH_3)_3$ , 7.4 (br. s;  $CH_2$ ), the signal for BCCPh was unresolved. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (162.0 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  53.5 (m, <sup>1</sup> $J_{P,H}$  = 451.3 Hz,

 ${}^{3}J_{P,H} = 15.1$  Hz). HR-MS (ESI): 425.2594  $[2a - H]^{-}$ . Calcd for  $C_{29}H_{35}BP^{-}$  425.2575. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3057 (w), 2992 (m), 2967 (m), 2913 (w), 2357 (m), 1813 (w), 1596 (m), 1474 (s), 1429 (m), 1269 (s), 1227 (m), 1182 (m), 1152 (m), 1096 (m), 1069 (m), 1026 (m), 961 (w), 943 (s), 922 (m), 903 (m), 851 (m), 835 (s), 814 (m), 787 (m), 736 (s), 704 (s).

Synthesis of 2b from 1 and tert-butylacetylene. tert-Butylacetylene (100.6 µL, 0.817 mmol, 5 equiv.) was added to a solution of 1 (53 mg, 0.163 mmol, 1 equiv.) in toluene (1 mL) at room temperature. After stirring for 17 hours, n-pentane (2 mL) was added, which resulted in the precipitation of white solids from the reaction mixture. Next, the solids were collected by filtration, washed with n-pentane (2 mL) and evaporated to dryness to give 2b as a white powder in 57% (38 mg, 0.092 mmol). X-ray quality crystals were obtained by cooling a solution of 2b in toluene and pentane (1:1 ratio) to -20 °C. Mp. (nitrogen, sealed capillary): 111-125 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  7.66 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>H,H</sub> = 7.1 Hz, 4H; *o*-BPh*H*), 7.17 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.4 Hz, 4H; *m*-BPh*H*), 7.02 (br. t,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H; *p*-BPh*H*), 5.45 (dt, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>H,P</sub> = 454.5 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>H,H</sub> = 4.8 Hz, 1H; PH), 1.35 (s, 9H; CCC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.24 (br. d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,P}$  = 15.1 Hz, 20H; PC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  -14.5 (s). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  158.1 (br. s; *ipso*-PhC), 133.5 (s; *o*-BPhC), 126.9 (s; *m*-BPhC), 123.9 (s; *p*-BPh*C*), 109.0 (s; BCC*C*(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 32.5 (s; BCCC(*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 31.4 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{C,P}$  = 37.3 Hz; PC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 28.4 (s; BCCC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 28.0 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{C,P}$  = 1.0 Hz;  $PC(CH_3)_3$ , 7.2 (br. s;  $CH_2$ ), the signal for  $BCCC(CH_3)_3$ was unresolved. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (162.0 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  53.5 (m,  ${}^{1}J_{P,H} = 454.5$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{P,H} = 14.7$  Hz). HR-MS (ESI):405.2886  $[2b - H]^{-}$ . Calcd for  $C_{27}H_{39}BP^{-}$  405.2888. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3055 (w), 2965 (m), 2866 (w), 1738 (w), 1464 (m), 1429 (m), 1371 (m), 1356 (m), 1260 (m), 1204 (m), 1186 (m), 1134 (m), 1094 (m), 1065 (m), 1032 (m), 991 (m), 916 (m), 878 (m), 835 (s), 814 (m), 779 (m), 731 (s), 702 (s).

Heating 1 in the presence of diphenylacetylene. Heating 1 (27 mg, 0.08 mmol, 1 equiv.) and diphenylacetylene (100 mg, 1.67 mmol, 20 equiv.) in toluene (0.6 mL) to 60 °C for 16 h resulted in the precipitation of crystals and oil. Analysis of the solution by  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ ,  ${}^{11}B$  and  ${}^{1}H$  spectroscopy showed that FLP 1 was still present and many unidentifiable products were observed. Analysis of the oil and crystals, after washing with *n*-pentane and removing all volatiles *in vacuo*, by  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ ,  ${}^{11}B$  and  ${}^{1}H$  spectroscopy showed great similarities to the NMR spectra of the reaction of 1 with 3-hexyne.

Heating 1 in the presence of 3-hexyne. Protocol 1: Heating 1 (50 mg, 0.154 mmol) in 3-hexyne (1 mL) to 60 °C for 16 h resulted in the precipitation of some crystals and oil. Analysis of the solution by  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  and  ${}^{11}B$  spectroscopy showed many unidentifiable products. Analysis of the oil and crystals, after washing with *n*-pentane and removing all volatiles, by  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ ,  ${}^{11}B$  and  ${}^{11}H$  spectroscopy in CDCl<sub>3</sub> showed fewer signals, yet too many to allow full characterisation. *Protocol 2*: Heating 1 (72 mg, 0.222 mmol) in toluene (1.1 mL) and 3-hexyne (0.5 mL, 20 equiv.) for 16 h resulted in the precipitation of crystals and oil. Analysis of the solution by  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  and  ${}^{11}B$  spectroscopy showed that FLP 1 was still present in solution,

together with many unidentifiable products. Analysis of the oil and crystals, after washing with *n*-pentane and removing all volatiles, by <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}, <sup>11</sup>B and <sup>1</sup>H spectroscopy showed the signals given below. Analysis of the crystals by X-ray crystallography revealed a product containing an extra phenyl group on boron and an additional hydrogen at the phosphorus atom (see Fig. 2, bottom), as if C-H activation of benzene had occurred. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  7.46 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H,H</sub> = 7.1 Hz, 4H; *o*-PhH), 7.14 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H,H</sub> = 7.4 Hz, 4H; *m*-PhH), 7.00 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H,H</sub> = 7.3 Hz, 2H; *p*-PhH), 4.48 (dt, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>H,P</sub> = 445.7 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H,H</sub> = 5.4 Hz, 1H; PH), 1.68 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>H,P</sub> = 14.6 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H,H</sub> = 5.4 Hz, 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.11 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H,P</sub> = 15.2 Hz, 18H; C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  -8.8 (s). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  50.3 (s).

**Thermal stability of 1. 1** (20 mg) was added to a J Young NMR tube, dissolved in toluene- $d_8$  (0.5 mL) and heated to 110 °C for 96 h, which resulted in the precipitation of some white solids. The solvent was removed and the residue was dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, which indicated that **1** is thermally stable under these conditions. At various times, <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>11</sup>B{<sup>1</sup>H} and <sup>31</sup>P {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR were measured, for further details see the ESI.†

Synthesis of 5a from 1 and benzonitrile. Benzonitrile (32 µL, 0.310 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added to a solution of 1 (50.3 mg, 0.155 mmol, 1 equiv.) in toluene (1 mL) at room temperature. After stirring for 10 minutes, n-pentane (2 mL) was added, which resulted in the precipitation of white solids from the reaction mixture. The solids were collected by filtration, subsequently washed with n-pentane (2 mL) and evaporated to dryness to give 5a as a white powder in 74% (49 mg, 0.114 mmol). X-ray quality crystals were obtained by cooling a saturated toluene solution of 5a to -20 °C. Mp. (nitrogen, sealed capillary): 145-177 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  7.83 (br. d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 6.2 Hz, 2H; *o*-NCPhH), 7.58 (br. d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H,H</sub> = 7.4 Hz, 4H; *o*-BPh*H*), 7.52–7.44 (m, 3H; *m*-NCPh*H* and *p*-NCPh*H*), 7.20 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>H,H</sub> = 7.4 Hz, 4H; *m*-BPh*H*), 7.05 (br. t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>H,H</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 2H; *p*-BPh*H*), 1.50 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{H,P}$  = 9.6 Hz, 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.27 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,P}$  $_{\rm P}$  = 14.1 Hz, 18H; C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  5.2 (s). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 291 K):  $\delta$  157.9 (br. s; *ipso*-BPhC), 150.4 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{C,P}$  = 5.5 Hz; NCPh), 140.1 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{C,P}$  = 49.4 Hz; ipso-NCPhC), 132.0 (s; o-BPhC), 129.6 (s; Ar-NCPhC), 128.8 (s; Ar-NCPhC), 128.6 (s; o-NCPhC), 127.1 (s; m-BPhC), 124.0 (s; *p*-BPh*C*), 34.8 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{C,P}$  = 20.7 Hz; *C*(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 28.7 (s; C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 12.9 (br. s;  $CH_2$ ). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (162.0 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ , 291 K):  $\delta$  85.2 (s). HR-MS (ESI): 325.2237 [5a + H – benzonitrile]<sup>+</sup>. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>31</sub>BP<sup>+</sup> 325.2251. Only protonated 1 is observed, not adduct 5a. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3059 (m), 2996 (m), 2974 (m), 2928 (m), 1736 (w), 1593 (m), 1576 (w), 1470 (m), 1443 (m), 1429 (m), 1397 (m), 1370 (m), 1300 (m), 1265 (w), 1215 (m), 1177 (m), 1161 (m), 1140 (m), 1080 (m), 1057 (m), 1001 (m), 930 (s), 903 (m), 870 (s), 810 (m), 768 (s), 741 (s).

Synthesis of 5b from 1 and *tert*-butylnitrile. *tert*-Butylnitrile (69.5  $\mu$ L, 0.629 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added to a solution of 1 (102 mg, 0.315 mmol, 1 equiv.) in toluene (2 mL) at room temperature. After stirring for 10 minutes, *n*-pentane (4 mL) was added and the mixture was cooled to below -20 °C, which resulted in the precipitation of white solids from the reaction mixture. The solids were collected by filtration, subsequently

washed with n-pentane (2 mL) and evaporated to dryness to give 5b as a white powder in 41% (53 mg, 0.129 mmol). X-ray quality crystals were grown by cooling a solution of toluene and pentane (1:1 ratio) to 4 °C. Mp. (nitrogen, sealed capillary): 94-115 °C (decomp.). The NMR spectra were recorded with a concentration of 0.04 g ml<sup>-1</sup> as the shifts are temperature, concentration and solvent dependent. Analysis of 5b at room temperature in  $CDCl_3$ , toluene- $d_8$  and benzene- $d_6$ resulted in a shift of the peak in <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} and <sup>11</sup>B NMR. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K): δ 7.83-7.75 (m, 4H; *o*-BPhH), 7.47–7.37 (m, 6H; *m*-BPh*H* and *p*-BPh*H*), 2.06 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{H,P}$  = 5.8 Hz; 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.39 (s, 9H; NCC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.15 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,P}$  = 11.1 Hz, 18H; PC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  64.4 (s).  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (101.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 294 K):  $\delta$  144.8 (br. s; *ipso*-PhC), 136.1 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{C,P}$  = 2.6 Hz; NCC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 130.4 (s; *o*-BPh*C*), 127.6 (s; *m*-BPh*C*), 125.4 (s; *p*-BPh*C*), 32.5 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C,P</sub> = 21.6 Hz; PC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 29.8 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{C,P}$  = 12.6 Hz; PC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 28.9 (s; NCC( $CH_3$ )<sub>3</sub>), 129.2 (s; NC $C(CH_3)_3$ ), 19.2 (br. s;  $CH_2$ ). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K): δ 43.3 (br. s). HR-MS: (ESI): 325.2237 [5b + H - tert-butylnitrile]<sup>+</sup>. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>31</sub>BP<sup>+</sup> 325.2251. Only protonated 1 is observed, not adduct 5b. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3059 (w), 2967 (m), 1618 (w), 1462 (m), 1429 (m), 1371 (m), 1261 (m), 1175 (m), 1142 (m), 1084 (m), 1030 (m), 928 (s), 868 (s), 812 (m), 752 (m), 739 (s).

Synthesis of 5c from 1 and acetonitrile. Acetonitrile (24.2 µL, 0.464 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added to a solution of 1 (75 mg, 0.231 mmol, 1 equiv.) in toluene (1.5 mL) at room temperature. After stirring for 10 minutes, n-pentane (3 mL) was added, which resulted in the precipitation of white solids from the reaction mixture. The solids were collected by filtration, subsequently washed with n-pentane (2 mL) and evaporated to drvness to give 5c as a white powder in 70% (59 mg, 0.163 mmol). X-ray quality crystals were obtained by cooling a saturated toluene solution of 5c to -20 °C. Mp. (nitrogen, sealed capillary): 120-148 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  7.46 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.1 Hz, 4H; *o*-PhH), 7.14 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.4 Hz, 4H; *m*-Ph*H*), 7.00 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.3 Hz, 2H; *p*-Ph*H*), 2.57 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H}$ , <sub>P</sub> = 4.9 Hz, 3H; NCCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.26 (br. d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,P}$  = 13.8 Hz, 20H; PC  $(CH_3)_3$  and  $CH_2$ ). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  5.2 (s). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  157.8 (br. s; *ipso*-Ph*C*), 147.5 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C,P</sub> = 10.1 Hz; N*C*CH<sub>3</sub>), 131.9 (s; *o*-Ph*C*), 127.0 (s; *m*-Ph*C*), 123.9 (s; *p*-Ph*C*), 33.6 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{C,P}$  = 23.0 Hz; P*C*(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 28.4 (s; PC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 27.0 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{C,P}$  = 47.4 Hz; NCCH<sub>3</sub>), 10.8 (br. s; *C*H<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  76.7 (s). HR-MS (ESI, in DCM/MeCN):  $366.2522 [5c + H]^+$ . Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>33</sub>BNP<sup>+</sup> 366.2516. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3061 (w), 2965 (m), 1634 (m), 1468 (m), 1431 (m), 1375 (m), 1275 (m), 1180 (m), 1144 (m), 1119 (m), 1076 (m), 1051 (m), 1026 (m), 893 (m), 870 (s), 851 (m), 816 (m), 797 (m), 750 (s), 737 (s), 704 (s).

Synthesis of 7a from 1 and (*N*-phenyl)(phenyl)carbonitrilium triflate. DCM (4 mL) was added to a mixture of 1 (148 mg, 0.456 mmol, 1 equiv.) and (*N*-phenyl)(phenyl)carbonitrilium triflate (144.0 mg, 0.437 mmol, 0.95 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at room temperature. *n*-Pentane (10 mL) was added, which resulted in the precipitation of white solids that were collected by filtration, washed with n-pentane (2 mL) and evaporated to dryness to afford 7a as a yellow solid in 95% (254 mg, 0.401 mmol). X-ray quality crystals were obtained by layering a DCM solution of 7a with n-pentane at room temperature. Mp. (nitrogen, sealed capillary): 110-130 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 294 K): δ 7.48-7.40 (m, 3H; p-CPhH, o-CPhH or *m*-CPhH), 7.34–7.26 (m, 2H; *o*-CPhH or *m*-CPhH), 7.21–7.16 (m, 6H *p*-BPhH, *o*-BPhH or *m*-BPhH), 7.13 (t, I = 7.5 Hz, 1H; p-NPhH), 7.09-7.04 (m, 4H; o-CPhH or m-CPhH), 7.04-6.95 (m, 2H; *m*-NPhH), 6.54 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H; *o*-NPhH), 2.18 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{H,P} =$ 11.1 Hz, 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.49 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,P}$  = 16.8 Hz, 18H; C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>).  ${}^{11}B$ NMR (128.4 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ , 293 K):  $\delta$  9.0 (s). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101.6 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ , 293 K):  $\delta$  179.8 (d,  ${}^1J_{C,P}$  = 53.0 Hz; PhNCPh), 148.2 (br. s; *ipso*-BPhC), 143.7 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C.P</sub> = 9.9 Hz; *ipso*-NPhC), 133.9 (s; ArC), 133.6 (s; ArC), 132.1 (d, J = 12.1 Hz; ArC), 130.9 (s; ArC), 130.6 (s; *p*-NPhC), 129.5 (s; *m*-NPhC), 128.4-128.2 (m; ArC), 127.6 (s; ArC), 126.3 (s; o-NPhC), 121.4 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$  = 321.2 Hz; *C*F<sub>3</sub>), 39.0 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{C,P}$  = 25.4 Hz; *C*(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 28.6 (s; C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 7.7 (s; CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (235.4 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 292 K):  $\delta$  -78.8 (s). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  81.3 (s). HR-MS (ESI): 504.3000 [7a]. Calcd for  $C_{34}H_{40}BNP^+$ 504.2986. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3013 (w), 1738 (w), 1485 (m), 1470 (m), 1429 (w), 1368 (w), 1263 (m), 1227 (m), 1167 (m), 1142 (s), 1080 (m), 1030 (s), 1005 (m), 916 (m), 874 (m), 826 (m), 775 (m), 741 (m), 704 (m).

Synthesis of 7b from 1 and (N-phenyl)(tert-butyl)carbonitrilium triflate. DCM (4 mL) was added to a mixture of 1 (149 mg, 0.460 mmol, 1 equiv.) and (N-phenyl)(tert-butyl)carbonitrilium triflate (135.0 mg, 0.436 mmol, 0.95 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at room temperature. Upon addition of n-pentane (10 mL), white solids precipitated, which were collected by filtration, washed with n-pentane (2 mL) and evaporated to dryness to give 7b as a yellow solid in 92% (254 mg, 0.401 mmol). X-ray quality crystals were obtained by layering a DCM solution of 7b with n-pentane at room temperature. Mp. (nitrogen, sealed capillary): 102–106 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  7.19 (br. t,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.5 Hz, 1H; *p*-NPh*H*), 7.14–7.01 (m, 8H; m-BPhH, p-BPhH and m-NPhH), 6.96-6.86 (m, 4H; *o*-BPh*H*), 6.66 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  = 7.8 Hz, 2H; *o*-NPh*H*), 1.96 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{H,P}$  = 11.6 Hz, 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.63 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H,P}$  = 17.0 Hz, 18H; PC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.41 (s, 9H; NCC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128.4 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  9.3 (s). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  193.3 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{C,P}$  = 30.0 Hz; PhNCC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 147.8 (br. s; *ipso*-BPhC), 142.6 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{C,P}$  = 12.1 Hz; *ipso*-NPhC), 134.1 (s; *o*-BPhC), 129.9 (s; p-NPhC), 128.5 (s; m-NPhC), 127.7 (s; m-BPhC or p-BPhC), 127.3 (s; m-BPhC or p-BPhC), 125.2 (s; o-NPhC), 121.4 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$  = 321.2 Hz; CF<sub>3</sub>), 43.2 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{C,P}$  = 12.2 Hz; quat-NCC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 42.5 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{C,P}$  = 19.7 Hz; quat-PC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 32.4 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{C,P}$  = 1.9 Hz; NCC(*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 30.0 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{C,P}$  = 1.1 Hz; PC(*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 8.6 (br. s; CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (235.4 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 292 K): δ -78.9 (s).  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR (162.0 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  103.5 (s). HR-MS (ESI): 484.3299 [7b]. Calcd for  $C_{32}H_{44}BNP^+$  484.3299. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2972 (w), 1738 (w), 1485 (m), 1373 (w), 1263 (s), 1223 (m), 1140 (s), 1032 (s), 995 (m), 939 (m), 876 (m), 816 (m), 768 (m), 741 (s), 702 (s).

#### X-ray crystal structure determination

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies were carried out on a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer with Photon100 detector at 123(2) K using Cu-Kα radiation (2a, 4, 5a, 5c,  $\lambda$  = 1.54178 Å) or Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation (2b, 7a, 7b,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Direct Methods (SHELXS-97)<sup>26</sup> were used for structure solution and refinement was carried out using SHELXL-2014 (full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$ ).<sup>27</sup> Hydrogen atoms were localized by difference electron density determination and refined using a riding model (H(P)). Semi-empirical absorption corrections were applied. For 2b, 5a and 7b an extinction correction was applied. The absolute structure of 7a and 7b were determined by refinement of Parsons' *x*-parameter.<sup>28</sup> In **7a** the solvent CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the triflate anion and one phenyl group were disordered (for details see cif-file). For 5b 71 268 reflections were measured on a Bruker Kappa ApexII diffractometer with sealed tube and Triumph monochromator ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at a temperature of 150(2) K up to a resolution of  $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} = 0.81 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . Intensities were integrated with the EVAL15 software.<sup>29</sup> Multi-scan absorption correction and scaling was performed with SADABS<sup>30</sup> (correction range 0.71–0.75). 10 849 reflections were unique ( $R_{int}$  = 0.020), of which 9694 were observed  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ . The structure was solved with Patterson superposition methods using SHELXT.<sup>31</sup> Least-squares refinement was performed with SHELXL-2014<sup>27</sup> against F<sup>2</sup> of all reflections. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined freely with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms at C1 were located in difference Fourier maps and refined freely with isotropic displacement parameters. All other hydrogen atoms were introduced in calculated positions and refined with a riding model. Geometry calculations and checking for higher symmetry were performed with the PLATON program.32

**2a.** Colourless crystals,  $C_{29}H_{36}BP$ ,  $M_r = 426.36$ , crystal size  $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.06$  mm, orthorhombic, space group *Pbca* (no. 61), a = 16.9924(6) Å, b = 16.5973(5) Å, c = 17.5163(6) Å, V = 4940.1(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 8,  $\rho = 1.147$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Cu-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) = 1.061 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 1840,  $2\theta_{max} = 144.4^{\circ}$ , 39 211 reflections, of which 4859 were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.032$ ), 284 parameters,  $R_1 = 0.034$  (for 4380  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ),  $wR_2 = 0.095$  (all data), S = 1.03, largest diff. peak/hole = 0.326/-0.334 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

**2b.** Colourless crystals,  $C_{27}H_{40}BP$ ,  $M_r = 406.37$ , crystal size  $0.24 \times 0.12 \times 0.06$  mm, triclinic, space group  $P\bar{1}$  (no. 2), a = 10.0726(4) Å, b = 11.0362(4) Å, c = 12.2129(4) Å,  $\alpha = 88.259(1)^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 69.614(1)^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 78.174(1)^{\circ}$ , V = 1244.34(8) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $\rho = 1.085$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) = 0.121 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 444,  $2\theta_{max} = 55.0^{\circ}$ , 21 399 reflections, of which 5620 were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.037$ ), 266 parameters,  $R_1 = 0.041$  (for 4651  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ), w $R_2 = 0.096$  (all data), S = 1.06, largest diff. peak/hole = 0.324/-0.342 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

4. Colourless crystals,  $C_{27}H_{36}BP$ ,  $M_r = 402.34$ , crystal size  $0.24 \times 0.08 \times 0.06$  mm, orthorhombic, space group *Pbca* (no. 61), a = 17.3762(6) Å, b = 15.4228(5) Å, c = 17.5727(6) Å, V = 4709.3(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 8,  $\rho = 1.135$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Cu-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) = 1.083 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 1744,  $2\theta_{max} = 144.4^{\circ}$ , 53 352 reflections, of which 4637 were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.040$ ), 266 parameters,  $R_1 = 0.040$ 

(for 4137  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ), w $R_2 = 0.108$  (all data), S = 1.02, largest diff. peak/hole = 0.492/-0.291 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

**5a.** Colourless crystals,  $C_{28}H_{35}BNP$ ,  $M_r = 427.35$ , crystal size  $0.18 \times 0.14 \times 0.06$  mm, monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$  (no. 14), a = 8.1447(2) Å, b = 16.6623(4) Å, c = 17.5476(4) Å,  $\beta = 91.644(1)^\circ$ , V = 2380.4(1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $\rho = 1.192$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Cu-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) = 1.115 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 920,  $2\theta_{max} = 144.2^\circ$ , 27 593 reflections, of which 4666 were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.032$ ), 281 parameters,  $R_1 = 0.035$  (for 4261  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ),  $wR_2 = 0.090$  (all data), S = 1.04, largest diff. peak/hole = 0.313/-0.319 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

**5b.** Colourless block,  $C_{26}H_{39}BNP$ ,  $M_r = 407.36$ , crystal size  $0.38 \times 0.33 \times 0.32 \text{ mm}^3$ , monoclinic, *Cc* (no. 9), *a* = 11.4364(4), *b* = 14.5007(5), *c* = 14.8879(6) Å,  $\beta$  = 93.698(2) °, *V* = 2463.80(16) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 4,  $D_x$  = 1.098 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$  = 0.12 mm<sup>-1</sup>. 279 parameters were refined with 11 restraints (distances, angles and displacement parameters of the *tert*-butyl groups). *R*1/w*R*<sub>2</sub> [*I* > 2 $\sigma$ (*I*)]: 0.0440/0.1205. *R*1/w*R*<sub>2</sub> [all refl.]: 0.0501/0.1258. *S* = 1.032. Flack parameter<sup>28</sup> *x* = 0.015(9). Residual electron density between -0.29 and 0.48 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

**5c.** Colourless crystals,  $C_{23}H_{33}$ BNP,  $M_r = 365.28$ , crystal size  $0.16 \times 0.08 \times 0.04$  mm, monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$  (no. 14), a = 8.4981(5) Å, b = 18.4460(11) Å, c = 13.8292(8) Å,  $\beta = 96.972(2)^\circ$ , V = 2151.8(2) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $\rho = 1.128$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Cu-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) = 1.150 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 792,  $2\theta_{max} = 144.2^\circ$ , 17 000 reflections, of which 4219 were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.031$ ), 236 parameters,  $R_1 = 0.041$  (for 3682  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ), w $R_2 = 0.111$  (all data), S = 1.02, largest diff. peak/hole = 0.343/-0.252 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

**7a.** Colourless crystals, C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>40</sub>BNP<sup>+</sup>·CF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sup>−</sup>·CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, *M*<sub>r</sub> = 738.44, crystal size 0.34 × 0.30 × 0.20 mm, orthorhombic, space group *P*2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub> (no. 19), *a* = 11.0882(5) Å, *b* = 17.6065(7) Å, *c* = 18.5479(9) Å, *V* = 3621.0(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 4, *ρ* = 1.355 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, μ(Mo-K<sub>α</sub>) = 0.333 mm<sup>-1</sup>, *F*(000) = 1544, 2θ<sub>max</sub> = 55.2°, 157 311 reflections, of which 8349 were independent (*R*<sub>int</sub> = 0.044), 414 parameters, 151 restraints, *R*<sub>1</sub> = 0.054 (for 7827 *I* > 2*σ*(*I*)), *wR*<sub>2</sub> = 0.135 (all data), *S* = 1.02, largest diff. peak/hole = 0.947/−0.705 e Å<sup>-3</sup>, *x* = −0.003(9).

**7b.** Colourless crystals, C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>44</sub>BNP<sup>+</sup>·CF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sup>−</sup>, *M*<sub>r</sub> = 633.53, crystal size 0.36 × 0.22 × 0.18 mm, monoclinic, space group *Cc* (no. 9), *a* = 13.6317(8) Å, *b* = 11.9518(8) Å, *c* = 19.7278(13) Å, *β* = 101.210(2)°, *V* = 3152.8(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 4, *ρ* = 1.335 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, μ(Mo-K<sub>α</sub>) = 0.206 mm<sup>-1</sup>, *F*(000) = 1344, 2θ<sub>max</sub> = 55.2°, 63 370 reflections, of which 7264 were independent (*R*<sub>int</sub> = 0.028), 389 parameters, 2 restraints, *R*<sub>1</sub> = 0.027 (for 7090 *I* > 2*σ*(*I*)), *wR*<sub>2</sub> = 0.068 (all data), *S* = 1.06, largest diff. peak/hole = 0.313/−0.187 e Å<sup>-3</sup>, *x* = −0.015(11).

#### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Council for Chemical Sciences of The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO/CW) by a VIDI grant (J.C.S.) and benefitted from interactions within the COST Action CM1205 CARISMA (Catalytic Routines for Small Molecule Activation).

# Notes and references

- (a) G. C. Welch, R. R. San Juan, J. D. Masuda and D. W. Stephan, *Science*, 2006, **314**, 1124–1126;
   (b) G. C. Welch and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 1880–1881;
   (c) P. Spies, G. Erker, G. Kehr, K. Bergander, R. Fröhlich, S. Grimme and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 5072–5074.
- 2 (a) D. W. Stephan, Science, 2016, 354, 1248;
  (b) D. W. Stephan, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 306-316;
  (c) D. W. Stephan and G. Erker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 6400-6441.
- 3 For the synthesis of geminal P/Al-based systems, see:
  (a) J. Boudreau, M. A. Courtemanche and F. G. Fontaine, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 11131-11133; (b) F. Bertini, F. Hoffmann, C. Appelt, W. Uhl, A. W. Ehlers, J. C. Slootweg and K. Lammertsma, *Organometallics*, 2013, 32, 6764-6769; (c) S. Roters, C. Appelt, H. Westenberg, A. Hepp, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma and W. Uhl, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 9033-9045; (d) C. Appelt, H. Westenberg, F. Bertini, A. W. Ehlers, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma and W. Uhl, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 3925-3928; (e) L. Keweloh, H. Klöcker, E.-U. Würthwein and W. Uhl, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 3212-3215.
- 4 For a geminal P/Si-based FLP, see: B. Waerder, M. Pieper,
  L. A. Körte, T. A. Kinder, A. Mix, B. Neumann,
  H.-G. Stammler and N. W. Mitzel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 13416–13419.
- 5 For geminal P/Mg, P/Ga, and P/In-based FLPs, see: J. Backs, M. Lange, J. Possart, A. Wollschläger, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld and W. Uhl, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 3094–3097.
- 6 For an analogous P/Sn-based system, see: S. Freitag, J. Henning, H. Schubert and L. Wesemann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 5640–5643.
- 7 For a geminal P/Pb-based FLP, see: J. Schneider,
  K. M. Krebs, S. Freitag, K. Eichele, H. Schubert and
  L. Wesemann, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, 22, 9812–9826.
- 8 (a) É. Dorkó, E. Varga, T. Gáti, T. Holczbauer, I. Pápai, H. Mehdi and T. Soós, Synlett, 2014, 1525–1528. For a computational analysis of geminal N/B-based FLPs, see:
  (b) D. Yepes, P. Jaque and I. Fernández, Chem. – Eur. J., 2016, 22, 18801–18809. For (boryl)iminomethanes, see:
  (c) B. R. Barnett, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold and J. S. Figueroa, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 541–544.
- 9 For geminal S/B-based systems, see. C. A. Tanur and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 3652–3657.
- 10 (a) K. Samigullin, I. Georg, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner and M. Wagner, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, 22, 3478–3484. Note that the related tBu<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is unstable, see: (b) X. Zhao, T. M. Gilbert and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2010, 16,

10304–10308; (*c*) A. Schnurr, H. Vitze, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner and M. Wagner, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 6012–6019.

- 11 (a) C. Rosorius, C. G. Daniliuc, R. Fröhlich, G. Kehr and G. Erker, J. Organomet. Chem., 2013, 744, 149–155;
  (b) A. Stute, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich and G. Erker, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 4288–4290; (c) C. Rosorius, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich, S. Grimme and G. Erker, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 4211–4219; (d) G. Erker, Z. Jian, G. Kehr, C. G. Daniliuc and B. Wibbeling, Dalton Trans., 2017, DOI: 10.1039/C7DT02315D.
- 12 F. Bertini, V. Lyaskovskyy, B. J. J. Timmer, F. J. J. de Kanter, M. Lutz, A. W. Ehlers, J. C. Slootweg and K. Lammertsma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 201–204.
- 13 For use of FLP 1 in the polymerisation of acrylic monomers, see: T. Xu and E. Y.-X. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1774–1777.
- 14 For reactivity models of dihydrogen activation by FLP 1, see: T. A. Rokob, I. Bakó, A. Stirling, A. Hamza and I. Pápai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 4425–4437.
- 15 (a) M. A. Dureen and D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 8396-8397; (b) M. A. Dureen, C. C. Brown and D. W. Stephan, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 6594-6607; (c) M. A. Dureen, C. C. Brown and D. W. Stephan, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 6422-6432; (d) C. Jiang, O. Blacque and H. Berke, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 125-133; (e) C. Chen, F. Eweiner, B. Wibbeling, R. Fröhlich, S. Senda, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi, S. Grimme, G. Kehr and G. Erker, Chem. Asian J., 2010, 5, 2199-2208; (f) C. Chen, R. Fröhlich, G. Kehr and G. Erker, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 3580-3582.
- 16 (a) C. M. Mömming, G. Kehr, B. Wibbeling, R. Fröhlich and G. Erker, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 7556–7564;
  (b) M. A. Dureen and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13559–13568; (c) E. Theuergarten, J. Schlösser, D. Schlüns, M. Freytag, C. G. Daniliuc, P. G. Jones and M. Tamm, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 9101–9110.
- 17 T. van Dijk, S. Burck, M. K. Rong, A. J. Rosenthal, M. Nieger, J. C. Slootweg and K. Lammertsma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 9068–9071, and references therein.
- 18 J.-D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 6615–6620. DFT calculations were carried out with Gaussian09 (Revision D.01); see the ESI<sup>†</sup> for further details.
- 19 Note that the geminal  $PhSCH_2B(C_6F_5)_2$  and  $(C_6F_5)_2PC$ (=CHPh)B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> does react with internal alkynes, see: ref. 9 and 11*a*, respectively.
- 20 Very few crystals and some oil precipitated in this case too, beside the formation of many soluble, unidentifiable products. The NMR spectra of these crystals and oil showed great similarities to the ones obtained using 3-hexyne, see the Experimental section for further details.
- 21 Heating 1 in toluene- $d_8$  for 96 h to 110 °C indicated good thermal stability. For further details, see the experimental section and ESI.<sup>†</sup>

- 22 (a) J. Kroner, H. Nöth, K. Polborn, H. Stolpmann, M. Tacke and M. Thomann, *Chem. Ber.*, 1993, **126**, 1995–2002;
  (b) B. R. Barnett, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold and J. S. Figueroa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 541–544;
  (c) S. Roters, A. Hepp, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma and W. Uhl, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 9616–9618.
- 23 Refluxing a mixture of MeCN (9.7  $\mu$ L, 0.185 mmol) and 1 (60 mg, 0.185 mmol) in toluene (1 mL) led to decomposition of the major species 5c and the formation of many unidentifiable products.
- 24 For the reactions of phosphines with nitrilium ions, see: ref. 17; (*a*) T. van Dijk, S. Burck, A. J. Rosenthal, M. Nieger, A. W. Ehlers, J. C. Slootweg and K. Lammertsma, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, 21, 9328–9331; (*b*) T. van Dijk, M. S. Bakker, F. Holtrop, M. Nieger, J. C. Slootweg and K. Lammertsma, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, 17, 1461–1464; (*c*) T. van Dijk, M. K. Rong, J. E. Borger, M. Nieger, J. C. Slootweg and K. Lammertsma, *Organometallics*, 2016, 35, 827–835.
- 25 Charge analysis (Voronoi Deformation Density) was performed at BP86/TZ2P using ADF2016.102, for further details see the ESI;† J. A. Rombouts, A. W. Ehlers and K. Lammertsma, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2017, **38**, 1811–1818.
- 26 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr., 2008, A64, 112–122.
- 27 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 2015, C71, 3-8.
- 28 S. Parsons, H. D. Flack and T. Wagner, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., 2013, B69, 249–259.
- 29 A. M. M. Schreurs, X. Xian and L. M. J. Kroon-Batenburg, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2010, **43**, 70–82.
- 30 G. M. Sheldrick, *SADABS*, Universität Göttingen, Germany, 2008.
- 31 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr., 2015, A71, 3–8.
- 32 A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr., 2009, D65, 148–155.