REFERENCES

- Verhoeven JE, van Oppen P, Révész D, et al: Depressive and anxiety disorders showing robust, but non-dynamic, 6-year longitudinal association with short leukocyte telomere length. Am J Psychiatry 2016; 173:617–624
- Chen W, Kimura M, Kim S, et al: Longitudinal versus cross-sectional evaluations of leukocyte telomere length dynamics: age-dependent telomere shortening is the rule. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2011; 66: 312–319
- 3. Svenson U, Nordfjäll K, Baird D, et al: Blood cell telomere length is a dynamic feature. PLoS One 2011; 6:e21485
- Son NH, Murray S, Yanovski J, et al: Lineage-specific telomere shortening and unaltered capacity for telomerase expression in human T and B lymphocytes with age. J Immunol 2000; 165: 1191–1196
- Benetos A, Kark JD, Susser E, et al: Tracking and fixed ranking of leukocyte telomere length across the adult life course. Aging Cell 2013; 12:615–621
- Verhulst S, Aviv A, Benetos A, et al: Do leukocyte telomere length dynamics depend on baseline telomere length? An analysis that corrects for 'regression to the mean'. Eur J Epidemiol 2013; 28: 859–866
- Liu Y, Kha H, Ungrin M, et al: Preferential maintenance of critically short telomeres in mammalian cells heterozygous for mTert. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002; 99:3597–3602

Josine E. Verhoeven, Ph.D. Jue Lin, Ph.D. Dóra Révész, Ph.D. Owen M. Wolkowitz, M.D. Brenda W.J.H. Penninx, Ph.D.

From the Department of Psychiatry and EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; and the Departments of Biochemistry and Biophysics and of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco.

Dr. Lin is a cofounder and consultant to Telomere Diagnostics (formerly Telomere Health) and owns stock in the company. The other authors' disclosures accompany the original article.

This reply was accepted for publication in August 2016.

Am J Psychiatry 2016; 173:1147-1149; doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.16060747r

Performance of Complicated Grief Criteria

TO THE EDITOR: We read with interest the article by Cozza et al. on the accuracy of DSM-5 persistent complex bereavement disorder criteria (1). The study suggests that complicated grief criteria are more sensitive in detecting cases with griefrelated symptoms than persistent complex bereavement disorder or prolonged grief disorder criteria, without compromising specificity. However, we feel that the article's findings fail to prove superiority of the complicated grief criteria set. The study defined a "putative clinical sample" of bereaved military family members based on questionnaire cutoff scores. Specifically, putative cases needed to score 30 or higher on the 19-item Inventory of Complicated Grief (2). Criteria sets were evaluated using the 26-item Complicated Grief Questionnaire (3). Three criteria sets were compared: persistent complex bereavement disorder criteria that require endorsement of seven out of 16 criteria, prolonged grief disorder criteria that require endorsement of six out of 10 criteria, and complicated grief criteria that require endorsement of three out of 12 criteria. Given that the vast majority of the items in the Inventory of Complicated Grief and in the Complicated Grief Questionnaire are highly comparable, belonging to the putative clinical sample in the Cozza et al. study automatically implied a high likelihood of endorsing items on the Complicated Grief Questionnaire. Moreover, it was much easier for putative clinical cases to fulfill complicated grief criteria than to fulfill persistent complex bereavement disorder or prolonged grief disorder criteria for two reasons. First, for complicated grief as well as persistent complex bereavement disorder caseness, 17 Complicated Grief Questionnaire items were relevant, increasing chances of fulfilling these criteria, whereas for prolonged grief disorder caseness, only 12 Complicated Grief Questionnaire items were relevant. Second, for complicated grief caseness, a high score on only three (18%) of the 17 relevant Complicated Grief Questionnaire items was sufficient, whereas for persistent complex bereavement disorder or prolonged grief disorder caseness, high scores on seven out of 17 (41%) or six out of 12 (50%) relevant Complicated Grief Questionnaire items, respectively, were required. Thus, the apparent superior sensitivity of complicated grief criteria should come as no surprise. That the apparent high sensitivity came at no cost (i.e., that the apparent specificity was not compromised) could be because the nonclinical cases needed to score <20 on the Inventory of Complicated Grief, such that borderline cases were excluded from the study. The real challenge in distinguishing between normal and pathological cases is in parsing the borderline cases that the Cozza et al. analysis discarded. Furthermore, Cozza et al. did not demonstrate or compare predictive validity of the criteria sets by examining associations with independently assessed outcomes. Clinicians attempting to diagnose grief-related psychopathology need a gold standard for distinguishing between normal grief and psychopathology. Because of the shortcomings outlined above, the Cozza et al. study fails to provide a significant contribution to this aim.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cozza SJ, Fisher JE, Mauro C, et al: Performance of DSM-5 persistent complex bereavement disorder criteria in a community sample of bereaved military family members. Am J Psychiatry 2016; 173:919–929
- Prigerson HG, Maciejewski PK, Reynolds CF III, et al: Inventory of Complicated Grief: a scale to measure maladaptive symptoms of loss. Psychiatry Res 1995; 59:65–79
- 3. Bui E, Mauro C, Robinaugh DJ, et al: The structured clinical interview for complicated grief: reliability, validity, and exploratory factor analysis. Depress Anxiety 2015; 32:485–492

Geert E. Smid, M.D., Ph.D. Paul A. Boelen, Ph.D.

From Foundation Centrum '45 and Arq Psychotrauma Expert Group, Diemen, the Netherlands; and the Department of Clinical and Health Psychology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

The authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests.

This letter was accepted for publication in August 2016.

Am J Psychiatry 2016; 173:1149; doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.16060704