

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299402307>

Promoting State-of-the-Art Methods in Public Management Research

Article in *International Public Management Journal* · March 2016

DOI: 10.1080/10967494.2016.1169066

CITATIONS

0

READS

154

3 authors:



[Stephan Grimmelikhuijsen](#)

Utrecht University

42 PUBLICATIONS 324 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Lars Tummers](#)

Utrecht University

89 PUBLICATIONS 458 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Sanjay K Pandey](#)

George Washington University

80 PUBLICATIONS 3,286 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Court transparency and legitimacy [View project](#)

Please cite as

Grimmelikhuijsen, S.G., Tummers, L.G. and S.K. Pandey. Introduction: promoting state-of-the-art methods in public management research. *International Public Management Journal*, advance access. DOI:10.1080/10967494.2016.1169066

Promoting State-of-the-art Methods in Public Management Research

Symposium editors:

Stephan Grimmelikhuijsen – Assistant professor at the Utrecht University School of Governance, the Netherlands

Lars Tummers – Associate professor at Erasmus University Rotterdam, the Netherlands, Research Fellow, Arizona State University, USA

Sanjay K. Pandey – Shapiro Professor of Public Policy and Public Administration, Trachtenberg School, George Washington University, USA.

Abstract

The field of public management is methodologically underdeveloped as compared to other disciplines. In order to derive the fullest benefits of methodological advancements, the field needs to invest in discussing and deliberating over the state-of-the-art in methodological advances. Using state-of-the-art methods helps to produce knowledge that is useful for scholars and practitioners. This symposium aims to add to and improve the methodological toolkit available to public management scholars. We distinguish three categories that could strengthen

the methodological toolkit: *underutilized methods* (such as ethnography), *innovations in current methods* (such as the synthetic control method for comparative case studies) and *novel methods* (such as natural language processing for content analysis). We hope that this symposium encourages scholars to seek for novel and improved methods to produce research that lives up to scientific scrutiny and is valuable for practitioners.

An urgent need -- Improving the methodological toolkit of public management scholars

A number of scholars have argued that the field of public management is methodologically underdeveloped as compared to other disciplines (Kettl 1995; [Gill and Meier 2000](#); Raadschelders and Lee 2011). Recently, editors from leading public administration journals have offered ideas for improvement. [Perry \(2012\)](#), for example, in an editorial for *Public Administration Review* argues that public administration scholars overuse surveys and case studies, and suggested that scholars use a more diversified approach, including increasing the use of experiments and meta-analyses. The editor-in-chief of this journal, Steve Kelman, has made the case that we need to focus on improving the way we employ currently used methods ([Kelman 2015](#)). More specifically, he highlights that scholars should be wary of the validity of research findings where both the dependent and independent variables are collected from the same source, typically a survey.

One explanation for the lack of attention to the state-of-the-art in methods is the size of the public management scholarly community. Sister social science disciplines, such as psychology and economics, are much larger and can afford to have in their ranks dedicated methodologists who can focus on developing new techniques to improve the state-of-the-art. Furthermore, public management as a field puts a premium on novelty in incremental theoretical development and it seems as if – or public management scholars believe that - scholarly journals in the field do not welcome methodological contributions with similar enthusiasm.

We believe that public management scholarship can benefit greatly from methodological innovations. In order to derive the fullest benefits of methodological advancements, the field needs to invest in discussing and deliberating over the state-of-the-art in methodological advances. But what is the state-of-the-art? We denote state-of-the-art as either state of

advancement with respect to current state of practice in public management, or state of advancement as compared with current state of practice in other relevant social science disciplines.

This symposium aims to add to and improve the methodological toolkit available for public management scholars. We, therefore, introduce underutilized and novel methods to public management research. We have two specific goals. The first is to broaden the awareness of public management scholars beyond the commonly employed methods. Whereas better alternatives to surveys, interviews or vignette experiments may be available, lack of awareness can limit the use of these alternatives. Second, we aim to improve the rigor of public management scholarship.

We distinguish three categories of methods that could strengthen the state-of-the-art of public management methods: *underutilized* methods (such as ethnography), *innovations in current methods* (such as the synthetic control method for comparative case studies) and *novel methods* (such as natural language processing for content analysis). This symposium consists of one example in each category. We encouraged symposium contributors to provide basic details that will be of use to a new researchers and to also discuss the applicability of the method to other public management contexts.

Promoting underutilized methods

First, we aim to draw attention to underutilized methods. Various methods have been underutilized. However, this does not presuppose that times cannot change. Public administration scholars are able to take up new methods. A clear example is the rise of experimental methods. Bozeman and Scott emphasized over 20 years ago that experiments could be very helpful in

analyzing public management questions ([Bozeman & Scott, 1992](#)). In the last few years, experiments are being used more and more often, as shown by overview articles ([Bouwman and Grimmelikhuijsen 2016](#); [Margetts 2011](#)) and symposia (for instance, [Blom-Hansen et al. 2015](#)).

In this symposium, we aim to highlight another ‘overlooked’ methodology, namely ethnographic research ([Groeneveld et al. 2015](#)). We believe that this method has been overlooked (although some notable scholars, like Rod Rhodes, have used it extensively, see for instance Rhodes 2011), given the high impact that ethnographic research has had in the past. For instance, Mintzberg’s *The Nature of Managerial Work* used structured observations to follow a handful of managers (only five) to show what ‘what managers do’. In contrast with taken-for-granted assumptions at that time he convincingly showed that managers are not busy with long term and strategic planning, but work consistently at a relentless pace, with continuous interruptions and focusing often on day-to-day problems. Another prime example of influential ethnography is the work by Festinger who infiltrated an ‘end-of-the-world’ cult to observe what happened to the belief systems of cult members when the end-of-the-world prophecy failed. This study was the basis for one of the most influential theories in social psychology: cognitive dissonance (Festinger, [Riecken and Schachter 1956](#)).

In this symposium Cappellaro dusts off the method of ethnography, by providing a systematic review of ethnographic literature in public management. Cappellaro shows that there has been an increase in the number of ethnographic publications in public management research, but that their number is still relatively low. Furthermore, the review shows that the time spent in the field for observations varies considerably, but the majority of studies spend between 6 months and 2 years in the field. This may be one of the reasons for its slow uptake: ethnographic research requires a substantial amount of time and dedication from the researcher. In a publish-

or-perish culture where quantity often trumps quality in the short term, this is a serious downside. However, we do hope that both junior and senior scholars are willing to invest time to do such research. As the abovementioned examples show, ethnographic research has the potential to make contributions that are likely to become influential and remain memorable in the long run.

Based on her findings, Cappellaro recommends various ways to improve and increase ethnographic scholarship in public management. One of the issues she identifies is that it is often argued that ethnographic research lacks internal and external validity. Cappellaro calls for more awareness amongst scholars about when ethnography is suitable and when it is not. Secondly, ethnographies can bring important added value in mixed-method studies, bringing depth and texture to other data. Thirdly, researchers are often very implicit about their research approach. Being transparent about the research design and quality criteria germane to interpretivist research (such as credibility, transferability and authenticity) can be useful.

Innovating current methods

Next to promotion of underutilized methods, scholars can also innovate by employing methods currently used in other sister social science disciplines. Birdsall offers an excellent example of how we can improve the rigor of comparative case study research by using the synthetic control method for comparative case studies (SCM) developed in the field of economics by Abadie and Gardeazabal (2003) and Abadie, Diamond, and Hainmueller (2010). Comparing cases – next to experimentation - is a prime strategy to assess causal effects in a natural public management setting. However, a major issue is that cases are often hard to compare because they differ in so many different aspects. SCM uses a data-driven procedure for constructing a synthetic ‘control

case' so that it more closely resembles the case of interest. This way, the effects of an intervention in the case of interest can be compared to a constructed control case that is in many ways comparable.

Birdsall applies SCM in a study on managerial discretion in performance management regimes. A performance regime with more managerial discretion has been introduced in several larger school districts, known as student-based budgeting. Student-based budgeting allocates dollars rather than staff positions to schools, providing principals considerable discretion over human resource and program spending decisions. Birdsall employs SCM to investigate whether implementing student-based budgeting in the Houston Independent School District improved student performance and goes on to highlight potential for application in various other domains of public management research, such as network governance, results-based reforms and international comparative public administration.

Introducing new methods

Next to the promoting underutilized methods and the innovation of current methods, scholars can also introduce new methods to analyze public management topics. Potential methods include neuro-imaging ([Filler 2009](#)), diary studies ([Xanthopoulou et al. 2009](#)), or implicit association tests (recently employed in public administration by [Marvel 2015](#)).

In this symposium, Pandey, Pandey and Miller introduce Natural Language Processing (NLP) as a new technique in automated content analysis. Automated content analysis is unfamiliar to our field, yet the increased computational capabilities of technology to store documents, extract and handle data, makes this an exciting new method for public management scholars. For instance, NLP can be used to analyze large numbers of administrative reports.

Scholars in strategic management already use automated content analysis and are developing more sophisticated ways of analyzing content. Natural language processing software applications allow researchers to extract noun phrases and verb phrases instead of single words. In addition, Pandey et al. also show that measures developed thus can meet rigorous tests of content validity, external validity, and predictive validity. The authors also make the case that this can be a promising technique for potential applications to other public management contexts, such as bureaucratic red tape and public sector leadership and provide a useful alternative and supplement to survey-based measures (Pandey and Marlowe 2015).

Public management: State-of-the-art methods for useful knowledge

Altogether the articles in this symposium provide a flavor of how we can be innovative in using new methods. And we should not do this just for the sake of being “state of the art”. The goal of advancing the state-of-the-art and innovating in methods should be to help produce knowledge that is useful for scholars *and* practitioners. For instance, SCM (Birdsall) may provide policymakers causal insights about policy interventions in cases where experiments are not possible or feasible. Reinvigorating and improving the use of ethnographic studies (Cappellaro) can provide an outsider’s perspective about the internal workings of an organization. That this can result in controversial and important insights was shown by Luyendijk, a Dutch investigative journalist, spend three years doing 200 interviews with bankers in the City of London. Luyendijk concludes that bankers operate at such a high level of intensity and complexity that no one oversees this, which makes their work almost ungovernable. In the United States, Michael Lewis (2010) investigated of the credit bubble on Wall Street in a similar vein.

Natural language processing (Pandey, Pandey and Miller) is completely new to public management research. First it introduces automated content analysis and second it shows that the latest developments in this area can be applied to important public management questions as well, in this case, measuring innovation. If important concepts like innovation can be measured in different ways it may also help policy makers to assess the usefulness of their interventions.

We hope that this symposium encourages scholars to look beyond the horizons and seek for novel and improved methods to produce strong research that lives up to both the scrutiny of high-level scholarly work and provide useful insights for public administration practitioners and society. In that sense, this symposium is by no means an end station, but is meant to offer a starting point for this journey.

References

- Abadie, A., and J. Gardeazabal. 2003. "The Economic Costs of Conflict: A Case Study of the Basque Country." *American Economic Review* 93 (1): 113–32.
- Abadie, A., A. Diamond, and J. Hainmueller. 2010. "Synthetic Control Methods for Comparative Case Studies: Estimating the Effect of California's Tobacco Control Program." *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 105 (490): 493–505.
- Birdsall, C. "The Synthetic Control Method for Comparative Case Studies. An Application Estimating the Effect of Managerial Discretion Under Performance Management." *International Public Management Journal* (in this symposium).
- Blom-Hansen, J., Morton, R. and S. Serritzlew. 2015. "Experiments in public management research". *International Public Management Journal* 18(2): 151-170.

- Bouwman, R. and S.G. Grimmelikhuijsen. 2016. "Experimental public administration from 1992 to 2014: a systematic literature review and ways forward". *International Journal of Public Sector Management* (forthcoming).
- Bozeman, B. and P. Scott, P. 1992. "Laboratory experiments in public policy and management." *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 2(3): 293-313.
- Cappellaro, G. Ethnography in public management research. A systematic review and future directions. *International Public Management Journal* (in this symposium)
- Festinger, L., Riecken, H.W. and S. Schachter. 1956. *When Prophecy Fails: A Social and Psychological Study of a Modern Group that Predicted the Destruction of the World*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Filler, Aaron (12 July 2009). "The History, Development and Impact of Computed Imaging in Neurological Diagnosis and Neurosurgery: CT, MRI, and DTI". *Nature Precedings*.
- Gill, J. and K.J. Meier, K. J. 2000. "Public administration research and practice: A methodological manifesto." *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 10(1): 157-199.
- Groeneveld, S., Tummers, L.G., Bronkhorst, B., Ashikali, T. and S. van Thiel. 2015. "Quantitative Methods in Public Administration: Their Use and Development Through Time." *International Public Management Journal* 18(1): 61-86.
- Kelman, S. 2015. "Letter from the editor." *International Public Management Journal* 18(1): 1-2.
- Kettl, D.F. 2000. "Public administration at the millennium: The state of the field." *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 10(1): 7-34.

- Lee, G., Benoit, B., J. and T.P. Johnson. 2012. "Survey research in public administration: Assessing mainstream journals with a total survey error framework." *Public Administration Review* 72(1): 87-97.
- Lewis, M. 2010. *The Big Short: Inside the Doomsday Machine*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Luyendijk, J. 2015. *Swimming with sharks. My journey into the world of bankers*. Guardian Faber.
- Margetts, H. 2011. "Experiments for Public Management Research". *Public Management Review* 13(2): 189-208.
- Marvel, J.D. 2015. "Unconscious bias in citizens' evaluations of public sector performance." *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. First published online: January 30, 2015
- Mintzberg, H. 1973. *The nature of managerial work*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Pandey, S.K. and J. Marlowe. 2015. "Assessing Survey-Based Measurement of Personnel Red Tape With Anchoring Vignettes." *Review of Public Personnel Administration*. 35(3): 215-237.
- Pandey, S., Pandey, S.K. and L. Miller. "Measuring Innovativeness of Public Organizations: Using Natural Language Processing Techniques in Computer-aided Textual Analysis". *International Public Management Journal* (in this symposium).
- Perry, J. L. 2012. "How Can We Improve Our Science to Generate More Usable Knowledge for Public Professionals?" *Public Administration Review* 72(4): 479-482.
- Raadschelders, J. C. 2013. *Public administration: The interdisciplinary study of government*. Oxford University Press.

Raadschelders, J. C. and K.H. Lee. 2011. "Trends in the study of public administration: Empirical and qualitative observations from Public Administration Review 2000–2009".

Public Administration Review 71(1): 19-33.

Rhodes, R.A.W. 2011. *Everyday life in British government*. Oxford University Press.

Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E. and W.B. Schaufeli. 2009. "Work engagement and financial returns: A diary study on the role of job and personal resources." *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology* 82(1): 183-200.