



Framing as social uncertainty in building urban climate resilience

Arjan Wardekker

Background

Building urban resilience to climate change and other challenges will be essential for maintaining thriving cities into the future. However, people have different interpretations of what 'urban resilience' and 'adaptation' mean (cf. 2): what resilience-building contributes to, and what trade-offs, side-effects and other normative choices are acceptable. These different ways of 'framing' climate resilience are hidden in the positive, but sometimes fairly vague, language used to promote it.

Approach

Based on the current urban resilience literature, I described divergent ways of framing resilient urban climate adaptation and will explore their implications. They entail different visions on the urban future, leading to different potential realisations of climate change adaptation. The frames used by scientists, policymakers, and stakeholders reflect social uncertainties in climate adaptation, related to values, preferences, and goals.

Conclusions

Different framings of resilience can lead to very different approaches to climate adaptation, and different valuations of choices made (cf. 1,2,3). Urban decision-makers and stakeholders will need to investigate and develop a clear vision on what they – and other stakeholders – mean by 'urban resilience'. Explicit exploration of the current and potential frames will help to cultivate meaningful discussion on the choices and trade-offs to be made in developing climate-resilient urban futures.

Framing focus:

Short term

Long term

Urban system

Urban shock-proofing

Resilience planning

E.g.:
disaster engineering;
urban economic resilience

E.g.:
transformative, flexible planning & design; adaptive management

Community

Community disaster resilience

Community development

E.g.:
self-sufficiency; social aspects of disaster planning

E.g.:
human(itarian) development approaches; social innovations

Different framing leads to different views on appropriate adaptation actions. E.g.:

Framing: System resilience

Community resilience

What's the:

Problem

Threat to functioning of urban system

Threat to urban life & social cohesion

Causes

Disruption of resource flows and activities

Societal disruption, hampering daily life

Moral judgements

Prioritise subsystems & infrastructures according to city goals

Social equity, public participation, vulnerable groups

Remedies

Engineer ways to deal with this, enhance buffers

Improve social support networks, skills & education

