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Phosphaalkynes ( $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ ) are valuable starting materials for a wide range of low-coordinate phosphorus compounds. ${ }^{[1]}$ Similar to alkynes, ${ }^{[2]}$ these reactive, triply-bonded molecules oligomerize in the coordination sphere of transition metals to give diphosphacyclobutadienes, triphosphabenzenes, or higher oligomers. ${ }^{[1,3]}$ The reactions of phosphaalkynes with "vaporized" metal atoms are particularly intriguing, as illustrated by the reaction of cobalt atoms with $t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$, which affords a mixture of three complexes ( $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{C}$ ) that are
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difficult to prepare by conventional synthetic methods. ${ }^{[4]}$ Although fascinating compounds can be obtained by metal vapor (MV) synthesis, ${ }^{[5,6]}$ its applicability is limited due to the low product yields and the need for a special experimental setup.

Ellis has shown that low-valent polyarene transition metalates are efficient alternative synthons for "naked"

[^0]transition metal anions. ${ }^{[7]}$ Thus, readily accessible and wellcharacterized metalates such as the anthracene complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}(\mathbf{D})$ undergo substitutions with $\pi$-acids such as carbon monoxide and olefins. ${ }^{[8]}$ We reasoned that the reaction of metalates with phosphaalkynes might therefore give access to novel anionic phosphaorganometallic sandwich complexes and thereby serve as an alternative to the MV method. Herein we present the synthesis, characterization, and three reactions of the first diphosphacyclobutadiene complex anion, $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}(\mathbf{1})$.

Orange, crystalline $\left[\mathrm{K}([18]\right.$ crown- 6$\left.)(\text { thf })_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ (K-1) was prepared in $48 \%$ yield by the addition of four equivalents of $t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}([18]\right.$ crown- 6$\left.)(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{K}-\mathbf{D})$. This compound exists as an ion pair containing the homoleptic complex anion $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$ (Figure 1) and the unremarkable $\left[\mathrm{K}\left([18] \text { crown-6) }(\text { thf })_{2}\right]^{+}\right.$ion


Figure 1. Solid-state structure of the anion 1 with displacement ellipsoids at the $30 \%$ probability level. H atoms and the cation have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths $[\AA \AA]$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: ColP1,P2,P3,P4 2.2537(6)-2.2598(6), Col-C1,C2,C3,C4 2.0649(19)2.0724(19), P1-C1 1.791 (2), P1-C2 $1.798(2), \mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 11.797(2), \mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ $1.794(2)$, P3-C3 $1.795(2)$, P3-C4 $1.799(2)$, P4-C3 1.801 (2), P4-C4 $1.793(2)$; P1-C1-P2 98.79(10), P1-C2-P2 98.66(10), C1-P1-C2 81.02(9), C1-P2-C2 80.95(9), P3-C3-P4 98.87(10), P3-C4-P4 99.02(10), C3-P3-C4 80.80(9), C3-P4-C4 80.79(9).
in the solid state. ${ }^{[8,9]}$ Anion 1 does not reside on a crystallographic symmetry element but displays near $D_{2 d}$ symmetry. The coordination geometry of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands in $\mathbf{1}$ is similar to that of the related isoelectronic, neutral complexes $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B},\left[\mathrm{CpCo}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]{ }^{[10]}$ and $[\mathrm{Ni}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$. ${ }^{[11]}$ In agreement with its symmetrical structure, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ shows a single $t \mathrm{Bu}$ resonance and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum shows only a singlet (at $\delta=+$ 2.4 ppm ), which is shielded by about 40 ppm relative to that of
$\left[\mathrm{CpCo}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$. The UV/Vis spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ in hexanes shows an intense charge-transfer band at 329 nm .

To assess its reactivity profile, we treated anion $\mathbf{1}$ with sources for three different electrophiles, namely a proton $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$, a methyl cation $\left(\mathrm{Me}^{+}\right)$, and a phosphenium cation $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}\right.$; see Scheme 1).


Scheme 1. Reactions of K-1 with electrophiles.
$\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](2)$ was obtained as an orange, crystalline solid on protonating $\mathrm{K}-\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$. Whereas the position of the hydrogen atom could not be ascertained by Xray crystallography due to disorder (see the Supporting Information), the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of a toluene solution of 2 shows a remarkably shielded quintet at $\delta=-4.5 \mathrm{ppm}\left(J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=\right.$ 16.0 Hz ). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ shows three signals at $\delta=-181.3,18.2$, and 118.3 ppm (1:2:1 ratio), which coalesce at temperatures above $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a very broad singlet at around $\delta=-5 \mathrm{ppm}$. No $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling was observed due to strong line broadening even at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solid-state ${ }^{31}$ P MAS NMR spectrum shows a single spinning sideband manifold at $\delta=-5 \mathrm{ppm}$ at room temperature and three different manifolds with isotropic chemical shifts of $\delta=$ $-181.5,18.6$, and 117.5 ppm at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These observations indicate that $\mathbf{2}$ exhibits dynamic behavior both in solution and in the solid state and that the hydrogen atom interacts with all four phosphorus atoms. The fact that no characteristic $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$, or $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{H}$ vibration could be assigned in the IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ is in agreement with this fluxional behavior. ${ }^{[12]}$

An insight into the structure of $\mathbf{2}$ was obtained from density functional calculations at the PBE level of theory ${ }^{[13]}$ by exploring all possible isomers with the hydrogen atom bound to either cobalt, phosphorus, or carbon. The $C_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{-}$ symmetrical structure $\mathbf{2 a}$ (Figure 2), which has the hydrogen atom bridging the metal center and one phosphorus atom, is the global minimum and is likely to be the predominant isomer at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{[14]}$ Isomer 2b, which has the hydrogen connected to the metal center and to a carbon atom, is $2.2 \mathrm{kcalmol}^{-1}$ less stable; exo isomers with the hydrogen atom bound on the outer face of a $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring are far less stable ( $>11.5 \mathrm{kcalmol}^{-1}$ ) than 2a. ${ }^{[13]}$ Two pathways for hydrogen exchange between the ligands were found (Figure 2). The conversion of isomer 2a into $\mathbf{2 b}$, that is, H -shuttling from a P atom of one ring to a C atom of the other, requires a modest $4.5 \mathrm{kcalmol}^{-1}$ (TS2a-b; $C_{\mathrm{s}}$ symmetry), whereas the degenerate process for $2 \mathbf{a}$, that is, H -shuttling between two P atoms, which relates to the observed coalescence of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum at low temperature, has a barrier of $8.0 \mathrm{kcalmol}^{-1}$


Figure 2. Relative PBE/6-311G(d,p) (SDD for Co) energies (in kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for the interconversion of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ via the transition structures TS2a and TS2a-b. Selected bond lengths $[\AA ̊]$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 2a ( $C_{\mathrm{s}}$ ): Co-H 1.671, P-H 1.590; Co-H-P 90.4; $\mathbf{2 b}\left(C_{\mathrm{s}}\right): \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{H}$
1.656, C-H 1.232; Co-H-C 91.5; TS2a ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ): Co-H 1.466, P-H 2.287, C-H 2.193; Co-H-P 71.0, Co-H-C 65.5; TS2a-b (C $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ): Co-H 1.533, P-H 2.062, C-H 1.589; Co-H-P 76.9, Co-H-C 81.9.
(TS2a; $C_{2}$ symmetry). The interaction of the hydrogen atom with the cobalt center of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ concurs with the highly shielded ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonance $(\delta=-4.5 \mathrm{ppm}){ }^{[14]}$ and with the very small $J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}$ coupling constant, even considering the averaging over four phosphorus nuclei ( 16.0 vs .200 Hz for typical ${ }^{1} J_{H, \mathrm{P}}$ values).

An entirely different result was obtained for the reaction of K-1 with methyl iodide, which gives $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (3) (Scheme 1). Multinuclear NMR spectroscopic studies and a single-crystal X-ray structure ${ }^{[9]}$ (Figure 3) revealed $\mathbf{3}$ to be the first transition metal complex of a 1,3-diphosphacyclobuten-4-yl ligand ( $\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$. Interestingly, a free 1,3-diphosphacyclobuten-4-yl radical has been isolated only recently. ${ }^{[15]}$ The formation of $\mathbf{3}$ is reminiscent of the welldocumented ambiphilic behavior of diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands. ${ }^{[3]]}$ The methyl group in $\mathbf{3}$ is bound to one of the phosphorus atoms of a slightly pyramidalized $\eta^{4}$-coordinated $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}$ ring (sum of angles around $\mathrm{P} 1: 346.41^{\circ}$ ). The $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{P}$ distance and the intra-ring $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ distances of the methylated phosphorus atom P 1 are shorter than those of the remaining P atoms $\quad(\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{P} 1=2.1089(8), \quad \mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 4=2.2519(8)-$ $2.2647(8), \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2=1.747(3)$ and 1.746(3), P2-C1,C2 = $1.793(3)$ and $1.798(3) \AA$ ) and those of the precursor K-1.

A product similar to $\mathbf{3}$ might be expected from the reaction of $\mathrm{K}-1$ with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$, but this is not the case (Scheme 1). Density functional calculations on model complexes indicated that $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ insertion into a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of a diphosphabutadiene ring is favored over substitution (cf. 3) by 24 kcal mol ${ }^{-1} .{ }^{[13]}$ An X-ray crystal structure analysis ${ }^{[9]}$ of the reaction product $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (4) confirmed the presence of an unusual triphosphacyclopentadienylium ligand $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\right)^{+}$that coordinates to cobalt through two phosphorus and two carbon atoms (Figure 3). Similar cationic ligands have been reported in $\left[\mathrm{CpMoCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left\{\eta^{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{OR})\right\}\right] \quad(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Me})^{[16]} \quad$ and $\quad\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} n \mathrm{BuMe}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{5}\right)\right](\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}),{ }^{[17]}$ which were prepared by addition of water or methanol to $\left[\mathrm{CpMoCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\eta^{4}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ and by lithiation/alkylation of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right.$ -



Figure 3. Solid-state structures of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ with displacement ellipsoids at the $30 \%$ probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 3: Co1-P1 2.1089(8), Co1-P2 2.2647(8), Co1-P3 $2.2519(8)$, Col-P4 2.2528(8), Col-Cl $2.152(3)$, Col-C2 2.155(3), Col-C3 2.059(3), Col-C4 2.079(3), P1-C1 1.747(3), P1-C1P $1.805(3), \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 21.746(3)$, P2-C1 $1.798(3)$, P2-C2 $1.793(3)$, P3-C3, P3-C4, P4-C3, P4-C4 1.789(3)1.796(3); C1P-P1-C2 130.40(15), C1P-P1-C1 128.95(15); 4: Co1-P1,P2,P3,P4 2.2646(4)-2.3089(4), Col-P5 2.8704(4), Col-C1,C2,C3 2.0791(13)-2.1166(13), Col-C4 2.1907(13), P3-P5 2.1364(5), P3-C3 1.7894(14), P4-C4 1.7986(14), P3-C4 $2.955(2)$, P4-C3, $1.7654(14)$, P5-C4 1.7759(14), P5-C51 1.8449(14), P5-C61 1.8213(14), P1-C1, P1-C2, P2-C1, P2-C2 1.7853(14)-1.8039(15); P3-C3-P4 120.58(8), P3-P5-C4 97.66(5), P4-C4-P5 110.85(7), P5-P3-C3 93.03(5).
action with the metal center is observed with the smallest of these $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$, while the bulkier electrophiles $\mathrm{Me}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}$attack the diphosphacyclobutadiene ring. This study demonstrates that anions such as $\mathbf{1}$ are versatile building blocks for new phosphaorganometallic complexes with potential as metalloligands in catalysis or supramolecular chemistry.

## Experimental Section

$\mathrm{K}-1: t \mathrm{BuC}=\mathrm{P}(1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol} ; 2 \mathrm{~m}$ solution in hexanes $)$ was added dropwise to a deep red-brown solution of K-D $(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ in about 8 mL THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over several hours, whereupon the color changed to green and finally to dark orange. The solution was filtered, concentrated to about 8 mL , and about 30 mL of toluene was added. $\mathrm{K}-\mathbf{1}$ was isolated as an orange crystalline solid after storage at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, washed thoroughly with toluene $(3 \times$ 10 mL ), and dried in vacuo. Yield $0.23 \mathrm{~g}(48 \%)$; slow decomp. $>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ ): $\delta=$ 1.04 (br s, $36 \mathrm{H} ; t \mathrm{Bu}$ ), 1.71 (br s, 8 H ; THF), 3.5 (br, [18]crown-6), 3.59 ppm (br s, 8 H ; THF); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (101.23 MHz, $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ ): $\delta=2.4 \mathrm{ppm}$.

2: A solution of $\mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol} ; 1 \mathrm{~m}$ solution in $\mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ ) was added to a deep-orange solution of $\mathrm{K} \mathbf{- 1}(0.45 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. The solvent was then evaporated to dryness and the dark-orange residue extracted with $n$-pentane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Concentrating the orange extract to about 2 mL and storage at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for several days gave orange crystals of 2. Yield: $0.095 \mathrm{~g}(41 \%)$; m.p.: $185-186^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dark oil) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ ): $\delta=-4.50$ (quintet, $J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $1.12 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 36 \mathrm{H} ; t \mathrm{Bu}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101.23 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$, $+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta=-5.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ (br); $\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101.23 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$, $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta=-181.3$ (br s, 1P), 18.2 (br s, 2P), 118.3 ppm (br s, 1 P ).

3: Complex $\mathbf{3}$ was prepared by a similar procedure to $\mathbf{2}$ by adding MeI $(16 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.036 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ to a THF solution of K-1 $(0.24 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.25 mmol ). Yield: 0.021 g ( $18 \%$ ); m.p.: 196- $197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dark oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (250.13 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta=1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H} ; t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$; $t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H} ; t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.53 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Me}\right)$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(101.25 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta=-50.5\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}} \approx 10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right)$, 25.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{P}$ ), $41.7 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{P})$.

4: Complex 4 was prepared by a similar procedure to 2 by adding $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, excess) to a THF solution of $\mathrm{K}-\mathbf{1}$ $(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield: $0.059 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%)$; decomp. $>150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta=1.24$ (br s, $9 \mathrm{H} ; t \mathrm{Bu}$ ), 1.26 (s, 9 H ; $t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H} ; t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H} ; t \mathrm{Bu}), 6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; p, m-\mathrm{Ph})$, $7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; p, m-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; o-\mathrm{Ph}), 8.65 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; o-\mathrm{Ph})$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101.23 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, \mathrm{ABCDX}$ spin system) : $\delta_{\mathrm{A}}=+68.8$, $\delta_{\mathrm{B}}=+43.6, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}=+39.1, \delta_{\mathrm{D}}=+28.0, \delta_{\mathrm{X}}=-121.5\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{X}}= \pm 310.0(1)\right.$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}}= \pm 24.8(1),{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{X}}= \pm 25.6(1),{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{X}}=5.0(4) \mathrm{Hz}\right)$.
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