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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a noetherian ring of dimension $d$ and let $n$ be an integer so that $n \leq d \leq 2 n-3$. Let $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)$ be a unimodular row so that the ideal $J=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ has height $n$. Jean Fasel has associated to this row an element $\left[\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)\right]$ in the Euler class group $E^{n}(R)$, with $\omega_{J}:(R / J)^{n} \rightarrow$ $J / J^{2}$ given by ( $\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{n-1}, \bar{a}_{n} \bar{a}_{n+1}$ ). If $R$ contains an infinite field $F$ then we show that the rule of Fasel defines a homomorphism from $W M S_{n+1}(R)=\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R) / E_{n+1}(R)$ to $E^{n}(R)$. The main problem is to get a well defined map on all of $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$. Similar results have been obtained by Das and Zinna [5], with a different proof. Our proof uses that every Zariski open subset of $S L_{n+1}(F)$ is path connected for walks made up of elementary matrices. © 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


## 1. Recollections

### 1.1. The group of orbits

Let $n \geq 3$. Let $R$ be a commutative noetherian ring of Krull dimension $d$, $d \leq 2 n-2$. As usual $E_{m}(R)$ denotes the subgroup of $S L_{m}(R)$ generated by elementary matrices $e_{i j}(r)$ and $\operatorname{Um}_{m}(R)$ denotes the set of unimodular rows of length $m$ over $R$. Then [7, Theorem 4.1] provides an abelian group structure on the orbit set $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R) / E_{n+1}(R)$. The abelian group that is obtained is called $W M S_{n+1}(R)$. As ex-

[^0]plained in $[8, \S 3]$ the group law may be characterized as follows. If $\alpha, \beta \in W M S_{n+1}(R)$, one may choose representatives $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in \alpha,\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}\right) \in \beta$ so that $a_{1}+b_{1}=1$ and $a_{i}=b_{i}$ for $i>1$. Then $\left(a_{1} b_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)$ is a representative of $\alpha+\beta$. This rule reflects the homotopic join of Borsuk [3].

### 1.2. The Euler class group

From now on let $3 \leq d \leq 2 n-3$. In [2] the authors introduce an Euler class group $E^{n}(R)$ generalizing the Euler class group of [1]. The latter corresponds with the case $n=d$. The Euler class group is an abelian group given by a presentation. Generators are pairs $\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)$ where $J$ is a height $n$ ideal in $R$ equipped with a surjective map $(R / J)^{n} \rightarrow J / J^{2}$. Think of a codimension $n$ subvariety with trivial conormal bundle together with a trivialization of said bundle. Relations are

## Disconnected sum

Let $\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)$ be a generator. If $J=K L$ with $K, L$ comaximal ideals of height $n$, then $R / J=R / K \times R / L$ and $J / J^{2}=K / K^{2} \times L / L^{2}$, so that $\omega_{J}=\omega_{k} \times \omega_{L}$. The relation is

$$
\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)=\left(K, \omega_{K}\right)+\left(L, \omega_{L}\right)
$$

## Complete intersection

Let $\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)$ be a generator such that $\omega_{J}$ lifts to a surjection $R^{n} \rightarrow J$. Then

$$
\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)=0
$$

## Elementary action

Let $\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)$ be a generator and let $g \in E_{n}(R / J)$. Then

$$
\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)=\left(J, \omega_{J} \circ g\right) .
$$

One may define $E^{n}(R)$ by taking the disconnected sum relations and the complete intersection relations as defining relations, cf. [5, Proposition 2.2]. We denote the class of $\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)$ in $E^{n}(R)$ by $\left[\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)\right]$. One shows with [2, Corollary 2.4, Proposition 3.1] that every element of $E^{n}(R)$ can be written in the form $\left[\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)\right]$. And one shows as in [5, Proposition 2.2] that the elementary action relations also hold. (Use [2, Corollary 2.4] and use that $E_{n}(R) \rightarrow E_{n}(R / K) \times E_{n}(R / L)$ is surjective in the disconnected sum setting.) Note that [2, Corollary 2.4] only needs $d \leq 2 n-1$.

### 1.3. The old homomorphism and the new one

If our $R$ is a regular ring containing an infinite field then Bhatwadekar and Sridharan define a homomorphism $W M S_{n+1}(R) \rightarrow E^{n}(R)$ with useful properties when $n$ is even
([2, Theorem 5.7]). But it vanishes when $n$ is odd. Jean Fasel noticed that in $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ homotopy one can do better. He proposed a formula that would also be useful when $n$ is odd. In fact the same formula was discussed by Bhatwadekar and Sridharan after [1, Theorem 7.3], in the case of even $n$. It is already known that the formula of Fasel works for $3 \leq n=d$ [5, Theorem 3.6]. That is, it defines a homomorphism $W M S_{n+1}(R) \rightarrow E^{n}(R)$. If $R$ is a domain it is also known to work [5, Remark 3.11] (always assuming $3 \leq d \leq 2 n-3$ ). Our purpose is to show that his formula works when $R$ contains an infinite field $F$. The main difference between this note and [5] is in the proof strategy. Rather than studying $E^{n}(R)$ more closely, as is done in [5], we concentrate on $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$. We use paths made up of elementary matrices in $S L_{n+1}(F)$ to walk back and forth between general unimodular rows and rows for which we already know what to do.

## 2. Elementary paths

The group $E_{n+1}(R)$ is generated by elementary matrices $e_{i j}(r)$. We call a sequence $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ of elements of $E_{n+1}(R)$ an elementary path if the $g_{i}^{-1} g_{i+1}$ are elementary for $i=1, \ldots, m-1$. We call it an $F$-path if moreover all $g_{i}$ are in $S L_{n+1}(F)$. Notice that if $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ is a path, then the reverse sequence $g_{m}, \ldots, g_{1}$ is also a path.

We provide $S L_{n+1}(F)$ with the topology induced by the Zariski topology on the algebraic group $S L_{n+1}$ defined over $F$. We say that a subset $U$ of $S L_{n+1}(F)$ is path connected if any two elements of $U$ can be joined by an $F$-path that stays within $U$.

Proposition 2.1. Any nonempty open subset of $S L_{n+1}(F)$ is path connected.
Proof. We give two proofs. Let $\Omega$ be the big cell of $S L_{n+1}(F)$. Then by [6, Proposition 2.6] every open subset of $\Omega$ is path connected. But then for any $g \in S L_{n+1}(F)$ every open subset of $g \Omega$ is path connected. So if $U$ is a nonempty open subset of $S L_{n+1}(F)$, then it is covered by mutually intersecting path connected subsets of type $U \cap g \Omega$.

For the second proof recall that an element $g \in S L_{n+1}(F)$ that is in general position may be reduced to the identity matrix with just $N=(n+1)^{2}-1$ elementary operations. It goes like this. Add a multiple of the last column to the first to achieve that $g_{11}$ becomes equal to one. Then clear the first row in $n$ steps. Add a multiple of the last column to the second to achieve that $g_{22}$ becomes equal to one. Then clear the second row in $n$ steps. Keep going until the second last row has been cleared. Notice that $g_{n+1, n+1}$ has become equal to one. Clear the last row in $n$ steps. Reversing this procedure one finds a sequence $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}$ of roots so that the map $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N}\right) \mapsto e_{\alpha_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots e_{\alpha_{N}}\left(t_{N}\right)$ defines a birational map from $F^{N}$ to $S L_{n+1}(F)$. Now if $p, q$ are elements of the open subset $U$, then the condition that the path $p, p e_{\alpha_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), p e_{\alpha_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) e_{\alpha_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right), \ldots$ stays inside $U$ defines an open condition on $F^{N}$. So there is a nonempty open subset of $U$ of elements that can be reached by an $F$-path within $U$ that starts at $p$. Similarly there is a nonempty open subset of $U$ that can be reached by an $F$-path starting at $q$. These two subsets intersect.

Remark 2.2. Of course there is no such result for finite fields.

### 2.3. Prime avoidance

We will tacitly use variations on the proof of the following classical lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m+1}\right)$ be a unimodular row over $R$. There are $\lambda_{i} \in R$ so that the ideal $\left(a_{1}+\lambda_{1} a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_{m}+\lambda_{m} a_{m+1}\right)$ has height $m$ or equals $R$.

Proof. We argue by induction on $m$. For $m=1$ it is a prime avoidance exercise [4, Exercise 3.19]. Let $m>1$. The set of ideals of the form $\left(a_{1}+\lambda_{1} a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_{m}+\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{m} a_{m+1}\right)$ does not change when we add multiples of $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m+1}$ to $a_{1}$. So by the same exercise we may assume $a_{1}$ avoids all minimal primes. Apply the inductive hypothesis to the unimodular row $\left(\bar{a}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{m+1}\right)$ over $R /\left(a_{1}\right)$.

### 2.5. Generic unimodular rows

We define $\operatorname{Um}_{\operatorname{gen}}(R)$ to be the set of unimodular rows $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)$ over $R$ for which the ideal $\left(a_{n} a_{n+1}\right)$ has height one. We call such rows generic. So in a generic row the last two entries avoid every minimal prime ideal of $R$.

If $\mathbf{a} \in \operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$ and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ is an elementary path in $E_{n+1}(R)$, then we call the sequence $\mathbf{a} g_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a} g_{m}$ a path in $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$. If moreover $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ is an $F$-path, then we also call $\mathbf{a} g_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a} g_{m}$ an $F$-path.

Proposition 2.6. Generic rows detect orbits:

1. Every $S L_{n+1}(F)$-orbit in $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$ intersects $\operatorname{Um}_{g e n}(R)$ in a nonempty path connected subset.
2. Every $E_{n+1}(R)$-orbit in $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$ intersects $\operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$ in a nonempty path connected subset.

Proof. For $\mathbf{a} \in \operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$ consider the set of $g \in S L_{n+1}(F)$ for which $\mathbf{a} g \in \operatorname{Um}_{\operatorname{gen}}(R)$. It is a nonempty open subset of $S L_{n+1}(F)$. (The complement is closed because minimal primes are linear subspaces.) Therefore part 1 follows from Proposition 2.1.

To prove the second part, fix a path component $P$ of $\operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$ and let $X$ be the set of $\mathbf{a} \in \operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$ for which there is an $F$-path starting at a and ending in $P$. Clearly $X$ is invariant under the action by $S L_{n+1}(F)$. Notice that by part 1 , if $\mathbf{a} \in X$, then every $F$-path from a to $\operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$ lands in $P$. If we show that $X$ is also invariant under the action by $e_{21}(r)$ for $r \in R$, then it will follow that $X$ is an $E_{n+1}(R)$-orbit with $X \cap \operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)=P$. So let $\mathbf{a} \in X$. We need to show that $\mathbf{a} e_{21}(r) \in X$. We may replace a with $\mathbf{a} g$ for any $g \in S L_{n+1}(F)$ that commutes with $e_{21}(r)$. Therefore we may assume $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)$ is such that there are $\lambda, \mu \in F$ with $\mathbf{a} e_{1, n}(\lambda) e_{1, n+1}(\mu) \in$
$\operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$ and $\mathbf{a} e_{21}(r) e_{1, n}(\lambda) e_{1, n+1}(\mu) \in \operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$. Note that $\mathbf{a} e_{1, n}(\lambda) e_{1, n+1}(\mu) \in P$. We can walk inside $\operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$ from $\mathbf{a} e_{21}(r) e_{1 n}(\lambda) e_{n+1}(\mu)$ to $\mathbf{a} e_{1 n}(\lambda) e_{n+1}(\mu)$ by way of $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n}+\lambda\left(a_{1}+r a_{2}\right), a_{n+1}+\mu\left(a_{1}+r a_{2}\right)\right)$ and $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n}+\right.$ $\left.\lambda a_{1}, a_{n+1}+\mu\left(a_{1}+r a_{2}\right)\right)$.

## 3. The map

Before defining a map $\phi: \operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R) \rightarrow E^{n}(R)$ we will define one on generic rows. But first we define $\phi_{0}(\mathbf{a})$ when $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)$ is such that the ideal $J=\left(a, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ has height at least $n$. If $J=R$ we put $\phi_{0}(\mathbf{a})=0$. Remains the case that $J$ has height $n$. Then we follow Fasel and put

$$
\phi_{0}(\mathbf{a})=\left[\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)\right]
$$

with $\omega_{J}:(R / J)^{n} \rightarrow J / J^{2}$ given by $\left(\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{n-1}, \bar{a}_{n} \bar{a}_{n+1}\right)$.
Now let $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in \operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$ be generic. Choose $\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}$ in $R$ so that $J_{1}=$ $\left(a_{1}+\lambda_{1} a_{n}, \ldots, a_{n-1}+\lambda_{n-1} a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right)$ and $J_{2}=\left(a_{1}+\mu_{1} a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}+\mu_{n-1} a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right)$ have height at least $n$.

Lemma 3.1. The sum of

$$
\left[\left(J_{1}, \omega_{J_{1}}\right)\right]:=\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}+\lambda_{1} a_{n}, \ldots, a_{n-1}+\lambda_{n-1} a_{n}, a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\left[\left(J_{2}, \omega_{J_{2}}\right)\right]:=\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}+\mu_{1} a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}+\mu_{n-1} a_{n+1}, a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right)\right)
$$

vanishes.
Therefore $\left[\left(J_{1}, \omega_{J_{1}}\right)\right]$ does not depend on the choice of the $\lambda_{i}$ and $\left[\left(J_{2}, \omega_{J_{2}}\right)\right]$ does not depend on the choice of the $\mu_{i}$.

Proof. (Compare [5, Proposition 3.4].) As $\bar{a}_{n}$ is invertible in $R / J_{1}$ we get that $\left[\left(J_{1}, \omega_{J_{1}}\right)\right]$ equals

$$
\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}+\lambda_{1} a_{n}+\mu_{1} a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}+\lambda_{n-1} a_{n}+\mu_{n-1} a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Similarly $\left[\left(J_{2}, \omega_{J_{2}}\right)\right]$ equals

$$
\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}+\lambda_{1} a_{n}+\mu_{1} a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}+\lambda_{n-1} a_{n}+\mu_{n-1} a_{n+1}, a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right)\right)
$$

As $J_{1}, J_{2}$ are comaximal, $\left[\left(J_{1}, \omega_{J_{1}}\right)\right]+\left[\left(J_{2}, \omega_{J_{2}}\right)\right]$ equals

$$
\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}+\lambda_{1} a_{n}+\mu_{1} a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}+\lambda_{n-1} a_{n}+\mu_{n-1} a_{n+1}, a_{n+1} a_{n}, 1\right)\right)
$$

### 3.2. The map on generic rows

For $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in \operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$ we choose the $\mu_{i}$ as above and put

$$
\phi(\mathbf{a})=\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}+\mu_{1} a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}+\mu_{n-1} a_{n+1}, a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right)\right) .
$$

Note that $\phi\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)\right)=-\phi\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right)\right)$. Note also that, if $i \neq j$, $j<n$, then $\phi(\mathbf{a})$ does not change if we add a multiple of $a_{i}$ to $a_{j}$.

Proposition 3.3. The map $\phi$ is constant on path components of $\operatorname{Um}_{\operatorname{gen}}(R)$.
Proof. We must show that $\phi(\mathbf{a})=\phi\left(\mathbf{a} e_{i j}(r)\right)$ if $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in \operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$ and $e_{i j}(r)$ is an elementary matrix so that $\mathbf{a} e_{i j}(r) \in \operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$. We already know it when $j<n$. Remain cases with $j=n$ or $j=n+1$.

For instance, let $i=n, j=n+1$. We may add multiples of $a_{n}, a_{n+1}$ to $a_{1}$ through $a_{n-1}$ to reduce to the case that $\phi_{0}(\mathbf{a})$ is defined. But then $\phi_{0}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\phi_{0}\left(\mathbf{a} e_{i j}(r)\right)$ are computed as the class of the same $\left(J, \omega_{J}\right)$.

Next try $i=n-1, j=n+1$. Choose $\lambda \in R$ so that $\left(a_{n-1}+\lambda a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(a_{n+1}+\right.$ $\left.r\left(a_{n-1}+\lambda a_{n}\right)\right)$ both have height one. Then $\phi(\mathbf{a})=\phi\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}+\lambda a_{n}, a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right)\right)$ and $\phi\left(\mathbf{a} e_{n-1, n+1}(r)\right)=\phi\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}+\lambda a_{n}, a_{n}, a_{n+1}+r\left(a_{n-1}+\lambda a_{n}\right)\right)\right)$ by the cases that have already been treated. So replacing $a_{n-1}$ with $a_{n-1}+\lambda a_{n}$ we may further assume that $\left(a_{n-1}\right)$ has height one. Adding multiples of $a_{n-1}, a_{n}, a_{n+1}$ to $a_{1}$ through $a_{n-2}$ we may further arrange that $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ has height $n-1$. And adding a multiple of $a_{n}$ to $a_{n+1}$ we may further assume that $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}+r a_{n-1}\right)$ have height $n$. Choose $\mu$ so that $\left(a_{n}+\mu a_{n+1}\right)$ has height one and so that $\phi\left(\mathbf{a} e_{n-1, n+1}(r)\right)=\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n}+\mu\left(a_{n+1}+r a_{n-1}\right), a_{n+1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.r a_{n-1}\right)\right)$. Then $\phi\left(\mathbf{a} e_{n-1, n+1}(r)\right)=\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n}+\mu\left(a_{n+1}+r a_{n-1}\right), a_{n+1}\right)\right)$, which equals $-\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n}+\mu\left(a_{n+1}+r a_{n-1}\right)\right)\right)$. Therefore $\phi\left(\mathbf{a} e_{n-1, n+1}(r)\right)=$ $-\phi_{0}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n}+\mu a_{n+1}\right)\right)=\phi(\mathbf{a})$.

Other cases are analogous or easier.
It is now clear how to extend $\phi$ to all of $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$. For $\mathbf{a} \in \operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$ take a path to $\operatorname{Um}_{\text {gen }}(R)$ and define $\phi(\mathbf{a})$ to be the value of $\phi$ at the end of the path. Note that $\phi$ now also extends the map $\phi_{0}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $3 \leq d \leq 2 n-3$ and let $R$ be a commutative noetherian ring of Krull dimension d. If $R$ contains an infinite field then $\phi_{0}$ induces a homomorphism $W M S_{n+1}(R) \rightarrow E^{n}(R)$.

Proof. To see that $\phi$ defines a homomorphism $W M S_{n+1}(R) \rightarrow E^{n}(R)$ consider $\mathbf{a}=$ $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right), \mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}\right)$ so that $a_{1}+b_{1}=1$ and $a_{i}=b_{i}$ for $i>1$. We may add multiples of $a_{n+1}$ to the other entries to arrange that moreover $\phi_{0}(\mathbf{a}), \phi_{0}(\mathbf{b})$ are defined. Now use that the ideals $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ are comaximal.
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