




EUROPEAN NETWORK OF LEGAL EXPERTS
IN THE FIELD OF GENDER EQUALITY

EU Gender Equality Law



Update 2013

EUROPEAN NETWORK OF LEGAL EXPERTS IN THE FIELD OF GENDER EQUALITY

EU Gender Equality Law

Update 2013

Susanne Burri and Sacha Prechal
Updated by Susanne Burri

European Commission
Directorate-General for Justice
Unit JUST/D/1
Theme
Equal Treatment Legislation

This report was financed by and prepared for the use of the European Commission. It does not necessarily represent the Commission's official position.

This publication was commissioned by the European Commission under the framework programme PROGRESS (Decision 1672/2006/EC of the European Parliament and the Council, OJ L 315/1 of 15.11.2006). For more information on PROGRESS see:
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=327&langId=en>

Manuscript completed in January 2014.

The information contained in this report reflects, as far as possible, the state of affairs on 20 November 2013.

© photos: www.morguefile.com

ISBN 978-92-79-34786-3
DOI 10.2838/84769

© European Union, 2014
Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.



European Commission

Table of Contents

EU Gender Equality Law, Update 2013

1. Introduction	1
2. A brief retrospective overview	2
3. EU gender equality legislation	5
3.1. The pivotal role of Article 157 TFEU	5
3.2. The Recast Directive	8
3.3. Equal pay for men and women	8
3.4. Equal treatment of men and women in employment	9
3.5. Equal treatment of men and women in statutory social security schemes	12
3.6. Equal treatment of men and women in occupational social security schemes	12
3.7. Equal treatment of men and women engaged in an activity in a self-employed capacity	13
3.8. The Pregnant Workers Directive	14
3.9. Parental leave	15
3.10. Equal treatment of men and women in the access to and the supply of goods and services	16
4. Central concepts of EU gender equality law	17
4.1. Direct discrimination	17
4.2. Indirect discrimination	18
4.3. Positive action	19
4.4. Harassment and sexual harassment	20
4.5. Instruction to discriminate	21
5. How can EU gender equality law be enforced?	21
5.1. Invoking gender equality law in national courts	21
5.2. Burden of proof	22
5.3. Defending rights	22
5.4. Sanctions, compensation and reparation	23
5.5. Victimisation	23
5.6. Equality bodies	23
5.7. Social dialogue	24
5.8. The role of the European Commission	24
6. Final observations	24
Annex I	
Directives	27
Annex II	
Selected Bibliography	29
Annex III	
Members of the European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality	40

EU Gender Equality Law, Update 2013

*Susanne Burri and Sacha Prechal**

1. Introduction

The purpose of the present publication is to provide a general overview of gender equality law at the EU level. The publication is aimed at a broad – but not necessarily legal – public and explains the most important issues of the EU gender equality *acquis*.¹

The term ‘EU gender equality *acquis*’ refers to all the relevant Treaty provisions, legislation and the case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) in relation to gender equality. Another often-used term, instead of gender equality, is ‘sex equality’. Both terms are used in the present publication, more or less interchangeably. However, it should be noted that while the term ‘sex’ refers primarily to the biological condition and therefore also the difference between women and men, the term ‘gender’ is broader in that it also comprises social differences between women and men, such as certain ideas about their respective roles within the family and in society.

Another brief explanation that merits attention is the difference between the EU and the EC. Before the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty on the 1st of December 2009, the European Community and therefore also EC law² was only one part of the European Union and of EU law. All gender equality law is law that originates in the EC Treaty, which is older than the EU Treaty. Therefore, while we may speak about EU gender equality law, the more precise references are to the EC Treaty before the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty. Since the Lisbon Treaty, the EC and the EU have merged into one single unit, the European Union, which succeeds and replaces the European Community. However, we continue to work with two treaties, the Treaty on European Union (TEU) that lays down the basic structures and provisions, and the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU),³ which is more detailed and elaborates the TEU.⁴ In addition, the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU entered into force in 2009 and has the same legal value as the two Treaties (the TEU and the TFEU).⁵ The TEU, the TFEU and the Charter all contain provisions that are relevant in the field of gender equality.

This publication provides a brief description of the historical development of EU gender equality law (Section 2), which is followed by an overview of the relevant TEU and TFEU articles and legislation (Section 3). Apart from Article 157 TFEU (former Article 119 EEC, former Article 141 EC),⁶ establishing the principle of equal pay for women and men, the overview covers the following directives: Directive 2006/54, on the implementation of the principle of equal opportunities and equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation. This so-called Recast

* Dr. Susanne Burri is senior lecturer at Utrecht University, School of Law (Gender and Law) and co-ordinator of the European Commission’s European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality. Prof. Dr. Sacha Prechal is currently a judge at the Court of Justice of the European Union, and co-authored the first edition published in 2008. Susanne Burri is responsible for the updated texts published in 2010 and 2013.

¹ This publication was published in print in 2008 by the Office for Official Publications of the European Union in English, French and German. The updated electronic version of 2010 can be downloaded at <http://bookshop.europa.eu> and http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/tools/legal-experts/index_en.htm, both accessed 20 November 2013.

² And previously, before 1992, the European Economic Community and EEC law.

³ Consolidated versions of the Treaty on the European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union have been published in OJ 2010, C 83.

⁴ See Article 1 TEU which provides ‘(...) The Union shall be founded on the present Treaty and on the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (hereinafter referred to as ‘the Treaties’). Those two Treaties shall have the same legal value. The Union shall replace and succeed the European Community.’

⁵ See Article 6(1) TEU.

⁶ In this text we refer mostly to Article 157 TFEU, even if case law explains either Article 119 EEC or Article 141 EC.

Directive has modernised and simplified existing provisions and, with effect from 15 August 2009 onwards, it has repealed the following older directives: the Directive on equal pay for men and women (75/117), the Directive on equal treatment of men and women in employment (76/207 as amended by Directive 2002/73), the Directive on equal treatment of men and women in occupational social security schemes (86/378, as amended by Directive 96/97) and the Directive on the burden of proof (97/80). The overview in Section 3 includes, in addition, the Directive on equal treatment of men and women in statutory schemes of social security (79/7), the Directive on the application of the principle of equal treatment between men and women engaged in an activity in a self-employed capacity (Directive 86/613, which is repealed by Directive 2010/41), the Pregnant Workers Directive (92/85), the Parental Leave Directive (Directive 96/34, which is repealed by Directive 2010/118) and the Directive on equal treatment of men and women in the access to and the supply of goods and services (2004/113).⁷ Next a number of central concepts of EU gender equality law are discussed (Section 4). The present publication concludes with a consideration of certain vital aspects relating to the enforcement of EU gender equality law and some brief general observations (Sections 5 and 6).⁸

2. A brief retrospective overview

In the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community (EEC) adopted in 1957, only one single provision (Article 119 EEC Treaty, after the entry into force of the Treaty of Amsterdam in 1999, Article 141 EC Treaty and now Article 157 TFEU) was included to combat gender discrimination, namely the principle of equal pay between men and women for equal work. The background to this provision was purely economic; the Member States, in particular France, wanted to eliminate distortions in competition between undertakings established in different Member States. France had adopted provisions on equal pay for men and women much earlier and it feared that cheap female labour in other Member States would put French undertakings and the economy at a disadvantage. However, in 1976 the Court of Justice ruled that Article 119 EEC (now 157 TFEU) not only had an economic, but also a social aim. As such, it contributed to social progress and the improvement of living and working conditions.⁹ Later on, the CJEU even ruled that the economic aim is secondary to the social aim. It also held that the principle of equal pay is an expression of a fundamental human right.¹⁰

As we will see throughout this publication, the Court of Justice of the European Union has played a very important role in the field of equal treatment between men and women, in ensuring that individuals can effectively invoke and enforce their right to gender equality. Similarly, it has delivered important judgments interpreting EU equality legislation and relevant Treaty Articles.

While in the late 1950s there was only this Article on equal pay, since then a whole plethora of directives, which prohibit discrimination on the grounds of sex in particular, have been adopted. A number of reasons can explain this ‘legislative activity’ by the then EEC up to the 1990s.

First, Article 119 EEC (now 157 TFEU) should have been implemented before 1 January 1962, but the Member States were unable or unwilling to implement this Article. Even after

⁷ The full – official – name of the respective directives and their publication are included in Annex I. Annex II contains a selected bibliography of EU gender equality law.

⁸ The report *Gender Equality Law in 33 European Countries: How are EU rules transposed into national law?*, gives a country-by-country overview of how each State has implemented EU gender equality law, in particular the relevant directives: European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, S. Burri and H. van Eijken, *Gender Equality Law in 33 European Countries: How are EU rules transposed into national law? Update 2013*, European Commission, forthcoming.

⁹ Case C-43/75 *Gabrielle Defrenne v Société Anonyme Belge de Navigation Aérienne Sabena* [1976] ECR 455 (*Defrenne II*), at paras 10-12. Cases in general and also cases which are not yet reported in European Courts Reports (ECR), can be found at: <http://curia.europa.eu/jurisp/cgi-bin/form.pl?lang=en>, accessed 5 December 2013.

¹⁰ Case C-50/96 *Deutsche Telekom AG, formerly Deutsche Bundespost Telekom v Lilli Schröder* [2000] ECR I-743 (*Schröder*), at para 57.

recommendations by the European Commission and the adoption of a new timetable, this Article was not transposed into national law. The implementation of the principle of equal pay became one of the priorities of the social programme agreed upon in 1974 and the Member States decided to adopt a new directive on equal pay between men and women.¹¹

Second, from 1975 onwards, there were cases brought to the CJEU in which the Court decided that individuals may rely on Article 119 EEC (now 157 TFEU) before the national courts in order to receive equal pay for equal work or work of equal value, without discrimination on grounds of sex. While this case law enabled individuals to bring cases before national courts,¹² it also made it clear that it is difficult to isolate pay from other aspects of working conditions, pension arrangements included.¹³ Together with the social programme from 1974, this provided an important impetus for legislation in the area of the equal treatment of men and women. Subsequently, these directives were again interpreted by the CJEU, in particular where national courts had requested this in the so-called preliminary procedure.¹⁴

With the entry into force of the Treaty of Amsterdam in 1999, the promotion of equality between men and women throughout the European Community became one of the essential tasks of the Community (the former Article 2 EC). Furthermore, the former Article 3(2) EC stipulated that the Community shall aim to eliminate inequalities, and to promote equality, between men and women in all the activities listed in Article 3 EC. This obligation of gender mainstreaming means that both the Community and the Member States shall actively take into account the objective of equality between men and women when formulating and implementing laws, regulations, administrative provisions, policies and activities (Article 29 of Directive 2006/54). The Lisbon Treaty emphasises even further the importance of the principles of non-discrimination and equality as fundamental principles of EU law.¹⁵ Article 2 TEU states that ‘the Union is founded on the values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities. These values are common to the Member States in a society in which pluralism, non-discrimination, tolerance, justice, solidarity and equality between women and men prevail’. According to Article 3(3) TEU, one of the aims of the EU is to ‘combat social exclusion and discrimination, and (...) promote social justice and protection, equality between women and men, solidarity between generations and protection of the rights of the child’. In addition, Article 8 TFEU stipulates that ‘in all its activities, the Union shall aim to eliminate inequalities, and to promote equality, between men and women’. Equality between men and women forms part of the fundamental principles on which the EU is based.

Furthermore, since 1999 the EU has had the competence to take appropriate action to combat discrimination based on sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, and age or sexual orientation (the former Article 13(1) EC, now Article 19 TFEU). Article 13(1) EC has provided a legal basis for two non-gender related anti-discrimination directives: the Directive on the principle of equal treatment between persons irrespective of racial or ethnic origin (2000/43),¹⁶ the Framework Directive on equal treatment in employment and occupation (2000/78)¹⁷ and, as far as gender is concerned, the Directive on the principle of equal treatment between men and women in access to and the supply of goods and services (2000/113).

¹¹ Council Resolution of 21 January 1974 concerning a social action programme, OJ 1974, C 13/1.

¹² See below, Section 5.1.

¹³ See below, Section 3.1.

¹⁴ See below, Section 5.1.

¹⁵ See E. Ellis ‘The Impact of the Lisbon Treaty on Gender Equality’, in: *European Gender Equality Law Review* No. 1/2010, pp. 7-13, European Commission 2010, available at http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/files/egelr_2010-1_en.pdf, accessed 17 December 2013.

¹⁶ Council Directive 2000/43/EC of 29 June 2000 implementing the principle of equal treatment between persons irrespective of racial or ethnic origin, OJ 2000, L 180/22.

¹⁷ Council Directive 2000/78/EC of 27 November 2000 establishing a legal framework for equal treatment in employment and occupation, OJ 2000, L 303/16.

Since the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, Article 10 TFEU specifies that ‘in defining and implementing its policies and activities, the Union shall aim to combat discrimination based on sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age or sexual orientation’. Article 19 TFEU replaces the former Article 13(1) and provides a legal basis to take appropriate action to combat discrimination based on sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age or sexual orientation. An additional legal basis to ‘adopt measures to ensure the application of the principle of equal opportunities and equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation...’ is provided in Article 157(3) TFEU. This is the legal basis of the pending proposal on a balanced gender representation in company boards.¹⁸

As will be discussed below, the Treaty of Amsterdam also amended the former Article 141 EC, now Article 157 TFEU. The wording of this article after the Treaty of Lisbon entered into force remains roughly the same (except for procedural aspects).

The next important moment in the development of EU gender equality law was the adoption of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.¹⁹ This Charter, *inter alia*, prohibits discrimination on any ground, including sex (Article 21);²⁰ it recognises the right to gender equality in all areas, thus not only in employment, and the possibility of positive action for its promotion (Article 23). Furthermore, it also defines rights related to family protection and gender equality. The reconciliation of family/private life with work is an important aspect of the Charter; the Charter guarantees, *inter alia*, the ‘right to paid maternity leave and to parental leave’ (Article 33).²¹ Since the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, the Charter has become a binding catalogue of EU fundamental rights (see Article 6(1) TEU, as amended by the Lisbon Treaty), addressed to the EU institutions, bodies, offices and agencies, and to the Member States when they are implementing Union law (Article 51(1) of the Charter). The CJEU adopted a broad interpretation of the scope of application of the Charter in *Fransson*, where it held that national law that aimed to achieve the goals of a directive is qualified as implementing EU law.²² Such national measures therefore fall within the scope of Union law and the Charter is applicable to such national legislation.

What is the current state of affairs and what does the Lisbon Treaty do to gender equality? In principle it confirms the position taken earlier by the EU in the EC Treaty. Articles 19 TFEU and 157 TFEU, for instance, are adopted without changes. It also affirms once again the importance of gender equality in the Union.

Equality between women and men is included in the common values on which the Union is founded (Article 2 TEU), which means, for instance, that it will be a yardstick for determining whether a European State can be a candidate for accession, in accordance with Article 49 TEU.

The promotion of equality between women and men is also listed among the tasks of the Union (Article 3(3) TEU), together with the obligation to eliminate inequalities and to promote equality between men and women in all the Union’s activities (Article 8 TFEU). Here, the Lisbon Treaty clearly reiterates the obligation of gender mainstreaming for the Union.

Both Treaties (the TEU and the TFEU) are important for the further development of EU gender equality law, because they serve as a basis for the adoption of future legislation and other EU gender

¹⁸ Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and the Council on improving the gender balance among non-executive directors of companies listed on stock exchanges and related measures, COM (2012) 614 final. See L. Senden & M. Visser ‘Balancing a Tightrope: The EU Directive on improving the Gender Balance among Non-Executive Directors of Boards of Listed Companies’, *European Gender Equality Law Review* 2013/1, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/document/index_en.htm#h2-9, accessed 21 November 2013.

¹⁹ OJ C 2010, C 83/02.

²⁰ The scope of the prohibition of sex discrimination is however limited by the explanations for the Charter, see OJ 2010, C 83-03/02.

²¹ See S. Koukoulis-Spiliotopoulos ‘The Lisbon Treaty and the Charter of Fundamental Rights: maintaining and developing the *acquis* in gender equality’, in: *European Gender Equality Law Review* No. 1/2008, pp. 15-24, European Commission 2008, available at http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/files/egelr2008-1_en.pdf, accessed 17 December 2013.

²² Case C-617/10, *Åklagaren v Hans Åkerberg Fransson* [2013] ECR nyr.

equality measures. Furthermore, it is also important what these Treaties say in terms of values, tasks and general obligations, since they often guide the Court of Justice of the EU in the interpretation of the existing Treaty provisions and EU legislation. A brief account of these will follow in the next section. We will start with a discussion of the principle of equal pay, enshrined in the Treaty. Following this, there will be an overview of the legislation adopted by the EU, i.e. the directives in the area of gender equality mentioned above. Directives are legislative instruments of the EU which have to be transposed into national law. Thus, for instance, the Member States have to take the necessary measures to ensure that provisions contrary to the principle of equal treatment in laws, regulations, administrative provisions, collective agreements or individual contracts are declared null and void, or are amended. Similarly, as we will see, the Member States have to ensure that victims of discrimination may bring a claim before the courts.

3. EU gender equality legislation

3.1. *The pivotal role of Article 157 TFEU*

Any discussion of EU gender equality legislation cannot avoid starting with a brief discussion of a crucial Treaty Article, namely Article 157 TFEU (former Article 119 EEC, 141 EC),²³ providing for equal pay between male and female workers.

The original text of the former Article 119 EEC Treaty, adopted in 1957, provided that:

1. Each Member State shall (...) ensure and subsequently maintain the application of the principle that men and women should receive equal pay for equal work.
2. For the purpose of this Article, "pay" means the ordinary basic or minimum wage or salary and any other consideration, whether in cash or in kind, which the worker receives directly or indirectly, in respect of his employment, from his employer.
Equal pay without discrimination based on sex means:
 - a) that pay for the same work at piece shall be calculated on the basis of the same unit of measurement;
 - b) that pay for work at time rates shall be the same for the same job.

The background of this provision was already touched upon above, in Section 2, as was the importance of the CJEU finding that the article is directly effective. Another important aspect to note is that all legislation adopted by the EU legislator must be in conformity with this Treaty Article, as there is a hierarchical relationship between the Treaty and secondary legislation. There are, however, also other important features to be highlighted.

Who is a 'worker' in the sense of Article 157 TFEU?

The concept of a 'worker' has a Community-law meaning and it cannot be interpreted more restrictively in national law. A worker is a person who, for a certain period of time, performs services for and under the direction of another person in return for which he or she receives remuneration.²⁴ The concept of a worker does not include independent providers of services who are not in a subordinate relationship with the person who receives the services. But once a person can be considered as a 'worker' in the sense of Article 157 TFEU, the nature of his or her legal relationship with the other party to the employment relationship is not relevant for the application of that article.

²³ See footnote 6.

²⁴ Case C-66/85 *Deborah Lawrie-Blum v Land Baden-Württemberg*, [1986] ECR 2121 (*Lawrie-Blum*), at para 17. This case concerned the free movement of workers. However, in Cases C-317/93 *Inge Nolte v Landesversicherungsanstalt Hannover* [1995] ECR I-04625 (*Nolte*) and C-444/93 *Ursula Megner and Hildegard Scheffel v Innungskrankenkasse Vorderpfalz, now Innungskrankenkasse Rheinhessen-Pfalz* [1995] ECR I-04741 (*Megner*) the CJEU made clear that the same definition applies in the areas of gender discrimination. See further: European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, N. Countouris & M. Freedland, *The Personal Scope of EU Sex Equality Directives*, European Commission 2012, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/document/index_en.htm#h2-9, accessed 21 November 2013.

This may imply, *inter alia*, that even when a person is considered as being self-employed under national law, Article 157 TFEU must nevertheless be applied.²⁵

What is 'pay'?

According to the – extensive and sometimes ground-breaking – case law of the CJEU on this issue, pay includes not only basic pay, but also, for example, overtime supplements,²⁶ special bonuses paid by the employer,²⁷ travel facilities,²⁸ compensation for attending training courses and training facilities,²⁹ termination payments in case of dismissal³⁰ and occupational pensions.³¹ In particular the extension of Article 157 TFEU to occupational pensions has been very important.

In *Defrenne I* the Court had to consider the relationship between the concept of pay in Article 157 and social security systems. The CJEU ruled that although consideration in the form of social security benefits is not alien to the concept of pay, this concept does not include those social security schemes or benefits, in particular retirement pensions, which are directly governed by legislation without any element of agreement within the undertaking or the occupational branch concerned, and which apply, on an obligatory basis, to general categories of workers. These schemes ensure certain benefits for workers which are not so much a matter of the employment relationship, but rather a matter of – general – social policy. As we will see below, this distinction between statutory social security schemes and occupational schemes of social security has induced the EU legislator to adopt two different directives, one in 1978 on statutory schemes, and another in 1986 on occupational schemes.³² The provisions on occupational social security schemes are now included in Title II, Chapter 2 of the Recast Directive (2006/54).

In the meantime, the CJEU was also confronted with cases on pensions. After a period of uncertainty about the question whether and how far occupational pensions are covered by the equal pay principle, the CJEU decided in the famous *Barber* judgment (building on what it had already stated in *Defrenne I*) that Article 157 TFEU does apply to schemes which are:

- i) the result of either an agreement between workers or employers or of a unilateral decision of the employer;
- ii) wholly financed by the employer or by both the employer or the workers; and
- iii) where affiliation to those schemes derives from the employment relationship with a given employer.

The *Barber* judgment and the following case law had the effect, *inter alia*, that certain aspects of the Occupational Schemes Directive were contrary to Article 157 TFEU and had to be amended.³³ This case law also had a considerable impact on equal treatment in occupational pension schemes in those Member States where it had been believed that Article 157 TFEU was not applicable and certain forms of discrimination were still allowed. The Court decided in *Beune* that a pension scheme for public servants falls under the concept of pay.³⁴

²⁵ Case C-256/01 *Debra Allonby v Accrington & Rossendale College, Education Lecturing Services, trading as Protocol Professional and Secretary of State for Education and Employment* [2004] ECR I-873 (*Allonby*), at paras 65-71.

²⁶ See, for example, Case C-300/06 *Ursula Voß v Land Berlin* [2007] ECR 2007, I-10573 (*Voß*).

²⁷ See for example Case C-333/97 *Susanne Lewen v Lothar Denda* [1999] ECR 7243 (*Lewen*).

²⁸ See for example Case C-12/81 *Eileen Garland v British Rail Engineering Limited* [1982] ECR 359 (*Garland*).

²⁹ See for example Case C-360/90 *Arbeiterwohlfahrt der Stadt Berlin e.V. v Monika Bötzel* [1992] ECR I-3589 (*Bötzel*).

³⁰ See for example Case C-33/89 *Maria Kowalska v Freie und Hansestadt Hamburg* [1990] ECR I-2591 (*Kowalska*).

³¹ See for example Cases C-170/84 *Bilka – Kaufhaus GmbH v Karin Weber von Hartz* [1986] ECR 1607 (*Bilka*) and C-262/88 *Douglas Harvey Barber v Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance Group* [1990] ECR I-1889 (*Barber*).

³² See below, Sections 3.5 and 3.6 respectively.

³³ See below, Section 3.5.

³⁴ Case C-7/93, *Bestuur van het Algemeen Burgerlijk Pensioenfonds v G. A. Beune*, ECR [1994] p. I-4471 (*Beune*).

What does Article 157 TFEU prohibit?

In the first place, Article 157 TFEU not only prohibits direct discrimination based on sex in the field of pay, but also indirect discrimination. Direct sex discrimination occurs when a person is treated less favourably on grounds of his or her sex. Indirect sex discrimination refers to discrimination which is the result of the application of a sex-neutral criterion, which disadvantages, in particular, persons belonging to one sex compared with persons of the other sex.³⁵

An important question in equal pay cases is always whether the work performed by a female worker is ‘equal’ to the work performed by a male worker. In this respect, the CJEU has decided that Article 157 TFEU also extends to ‘work of equal value’. As far as the comparison of the pay which the female and the male worker receive is concerned, the CJEU has stressed the need for genuine transparency, permitting an effective review. This is only achieved if the principle of equal pay is observed in respect of each of the elements of remuneration granted to men and women. Comprehensive or global comparisons of all the considerations granted to men and women are not allowed.³⁶ This implies that often a comparison should be made between the work performed and the salary received by male and female workers. However, such comparisons are not always necessary (see Sections 3.2 and 4.1).

Finally, the prohibition applies not only to sex discrimination arising out of individual contracts, but also collective agreements and legislation.³⁷

The Treaty of Amsterdam amendments

Article 157 TFEU – until the entry into force of the Treaty of Amsterdam Article 119 EEC– was renumbered (Article 141 EC) and amended with the entry into force of the Treaty of Amsterdam on 1st of May 1999. The first two paragraphs remained nearly the same; however, the provision in Article 141(1) EC explicitly stated that:

Each Member State shall ensure that the principle of equal pay for male and female workers for equal work or work of equal value is applied

As we have seen, the addition ‘work of equal value’ only confirms what had already become clear with the case law of the CJEU. The European Community legislator thus incorporated this case law in the Treaty provision. This wording remained unchanged in Article 157 TFEU.

Further, two new paragraphs have been added in 1999. According to Article 141(3) EC the Council can adopt measures to ensure the application of the principle of equal opportunities and the equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation, including the principle of equal pay for equal work or work of equal value. Article 157 TFEU offers in similar wording – however adapted to the new procedures in the TFEU – a legal basis for adopting measures in this field.

The new Article 141(4) also allowed positive action. It stipulated that:

With a view to ensuring full equality in practice between men and women in working life, the principle of equal treatment shall not prevent any Member State from maintaining or adopting measures providing for specific advantages in order to make it easier for the underrepresented sex to pursue a vocational activity or prevent or compensate for disadvantages in professional careers.

This section remained unchanged in Article 157 TFEU. We will return to the notion of positive action below, in Section 4.3.

³⁵ For a more detailed discussion see below, Sections 4.1 and 4.2.

³⁶ Case C-262/88 *Douglas Harvey Barber v Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance Group* [1990] ECR I-1889 (*Barber*), at paras 33-34.

³⁷ Case 43/75 *Gabrielle Defrenne v Société Anonyme Belge de Navigation Aérienne Sabena* [1976] ECR 455 (*Defrenne II*), at paras 21-22.

3.2. The Recast Directive

In 2006, a new directive (the Recast Directive 2006/54) was adopted in which the existing provisions of different sex equality directives are brought together and some case law of the Court of Justice of the EU is incorporated. The aim of this so-called ‘recasting’ is to clarify and bring together in a single text the main provisions regarding access to employment, including promotion, and to vocational training, as well as working conditions, including pay and occupational social security schemes. The Recast Directive had to be implemented by 15 August 2008 and the directives which are brought together in this Directive (Directives 75/117; 76/207, as amended by Directive 2002/73; 86/378, as amended by Directive 96/97 and 97/80) were repealed one year later (Article 34). The Member States must of course meet their obligations arising from all these directives before the end of the various implementation periods. Nearly all the articles in the Recast Directive correspond to existing articles in one or more of the above-mentioned directives.

The Recast Directive is divided into four titles. The first title on general provisions includes a description of the aim of the Directive and definitions of different concepts such as direct and indirect discrimination, harassment and sexual harassment. These definitions are similar to those contained in the 2000 directives (Directive 2000/43, the so-called Race Directive and Directive 2000/78, the so-called Framework Directive).³⁸ We will come back to these central concepts in Section 4. The second title includes provisions on equal pay (Chapter 1), equal treatment in occupational and social security schemes (Chapter 2) and on equal treatment as regards access to employment, vocational training and promotion and working conditions (Chapter 3). In the third title provisions are brought together regarding remedies and penalties, the burden of proof, victimisation, the promotion of equal treatment through equality bodies, social dialogue and dialogue with NGOs. The Member States are responsible for the compliance of national provisions with the Directive. According to Article 23:

Member States shall take all necessary measures to ensure that:

- (a) any laws, regulations and administrative provisions contrary to the principle of equal treatment are abolished;
- (b) provisions contrary to the principle of equal treatment in individual or collective contracts or agreements, internal rules of undertakings or rules governing the independent occupations and professions and workers' and employers' organisations or any other arrangements shall be, or may be, declared null and void or are amended;
- (c) occupational social security schemes containing such provisions may not be approved or extended by administrative measures.

Title III also includes general provisions on, for example, the prevention of discrimination, gender mainstreaming and the dissemination of information. Finally, in Title IV provisions on reporting, reviewing, implementation etc. are brought together. The enforcement aspects of EU gender equality law are discussed separately in Section 5 of this publication.

As mentioned before, in addition to Article 157 TFEU, the Recast Directive also applies to equal pay for men and women.

3.3. Equal pay for men and women

As was already observed above, Article 119 (141 EC, now 157 TFEU) should have been implemented before 1 January 1962, but this did not occur. An important measure to facilitate more effective implementation was the adoption of a directive. The Directive on the application of the principle of equal pay for men and women – the so-called First Equal Pay Directive – was adopted in 1975 (75/117). This Directive has now been repealed by the Recast Directive. Article 4 of this Directive is now the relevant provision which stipulates:

³⁸ See above Section 2.

For the same work or for work to which equal value is attributed, direct and indirect discrimination on grounds of sex with regard to all aspects and conditions of remuneration shall be eliminated.

In particular, where a job classification system is used for determining pay, it shall be based on the same criteria for both men and women and so drawn up as to exclude any discrimination on grounds of sex.

This Article clarifies that the principle of equal pay also applies to work of equal value. It does not alter the meaning of Article 157 TFEU which is in any event impossible since the Treaty is a higher source of law. The CJEU stated in *Worringham* that the Directive explains that the concept of same work in (the former) Article 119 EEC (now 157 TFEU) includes work to which equal value is attributed.³⁹

The principle of equal pay applies to equal work and work of equal value and also, *a fortiori*, to work of higher value. The CJEU adopted this view stating that otherwise the employer would easily be able to circumvent the principle of equal pay by assigning additional or more onerous duties to workers of a particular sex, who could then be paid a lower wage.⁴⁰ The prohibition on direct and indirect sex discrimination explicitly applies to pay in the private and public sectors, including public bodies (see Article 14(1)(c) of Directive 2006/54).

3.4. Equal treatment of men and women in employment

The Recast Directive also repeals the so-called Second Directive (76/207, as amended by Directive 2002/73) on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment between men and women in employment. The relevant provisions are now included in Title II, Chapter 3 of Directive 2006/54. The main article is Article 14, which prohibits both direct and indirect sex discrimination⁴¹ in the public and private sectors (including public bodies) in relation to:

- (a) conditions for access to employment, to self-employment or to occupation, including selection criteria and recruitment conditions, whatever the branch of activity and at all levels of the professional hierarchy, including promotion;
- (b) access to all types and to all levels of vocational guidance, vocational training, advanced vocational training and retraining, including practical work experience;
- (c) employment and working conditions, including dismissals, as well as pay as provided for in Article 141 of the Treaty;
- (d) membership of, and involvement in, an organisation of workers or employers, or any organisation whose members carry on a particular profession, including the benefits provided for by such organisations.

The Recast Directive allows some exceptions to the principle of equal treatment, which are similar to the exceptions which were included in Article 2 (2), (3) and (4) of Directive 76/207.

First, the application of a genuine and determining occupational requirement does not amount to discrimination if some conditions are fulfilled. This is the case, for example, when an actor in a play or film has to be a man. The Recast Directive now stipulates in Article 14(2):

Member States may provide, as regards access to employment including the training leading thereto, that a difference of treatment which is based on a characteristic related to sex shall not constitute discrimination where, by reason of the nature of the particular occupational activities concerned or of the context in which they are carried out, such a characteristic constitutes a genuine and determining occupational requirement, provided that its objective is legitimate and the requirement is proportionate.

³⁹ Case C-69/80 *Susan Jane Worringham and Margaret Humphreys v Lloyds Bank Limited* [1981] ECR 767 (*Worringham*), para 21.

⁴⁰ Case C-157/86 *Mary Murphy and others v An Bord Telecom Eireann* [1988] ECR 673 (*Murphy*), paras 9-10.

⁴¹ Article 2(1) of Directive 76/207 already defined the principle of equal treatment as follows: '(...) there shall be no discrimination whatsoever on grounds of sex either directly or indirectly by reference in particular to marital or family status.'

The CJEU held that the exception of occupational activities in Article 2(2) of Directive 76/207,⁴² being a derogation from an individual right laid down in the Directive, must be interpreted strictly.⁴³ According to the CJEU, the exclusion of women from some military units of the Royal Marines fell within the scope of this exception and therefore did not breach Directive 76/207.⁴⁴ On the other hand, Germany infringed Directive 76/207 by adopting the position that the composition of all armed units in the *Bundeswehr* must remain exclusively male. The Court found that the derogations provided in Article 2(2) can only apply to specific activities and that such a general exclusion was not justified by the specific nature of the posts in question or by the particular context in which the activities in question are carried out.⁴⁵ This case law is also relevant for the interpretation of Article 14(2) of the Recast Directive.

The second exception concerns the protection of women, particularly as regards pregnancy and maternity. It is now mentioned in Article 28 (1) of the Recast Directive on the relationship to EU and national provisions and reads:

1. This Directive shall be without prejudice to provisions concerning the protection of women, particularly as regards pregnancy and maternity.

This exception allows national provisions to guarantee to women specific rights on account of pregnancy and maternity, such as maternity leave. According to the CJEU, Article 2(3) of Directive 76/207 – which was exactly the same as Article 28(1) of the Recast Directive – recognises the legitimacy, in terms of the principle of equal treatment, first, of protecting a woman’s biological condition during and after pregnancy and, second, of protecting the special relationship between a woman and her child over the period which follows pregnancy and childbirth.⁴⁶ These rights are intended to ensure the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women regarding both access to employment, and working conditions. Therefore, the exercise of the rights conferred on women under Article 2(3) cannot be the subject of unfavourable treatment regarding their access to employment or their working conditions. In that light, the result pursued by the Directive is substantive – not formal – equality.⁴⁷ In 1992, the Member States adopted a specific directive regarding pregnant workers: Directive 92/85 (see Section 3.8.) The provisions of this so-called Pregnant Workers Directive prevail over those of the Recast Directive. Article 28(2) stipulates that the provisions of the Recast Directive are without prejudice to the provisions of the Directive (92/85).⁴⁸

The last exception relates to positive action (see also below, Section 4.3) in Article 3 of the Recast Directive, which reads:

- Member States may maintain or adopt measures within the meaning of Article 141(4) of the Treaty with a view to ensuring full equality in practice between men and women in working life.

⁴² Article 2(2) reads: ‘This Directive shall be without prejudice to the right of Member States to exclude from its field of application those occupational activities and, where appropriate, the training leading thereto, for which, by reason of their nature or the context in which they are carried out, the sex of the worker constitutes a determining factor.’

⁴³ Case C-222/84 *Marguerite Johnston v Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary* [1986] ECR 1651 (*Johnston*), at para. 36.

⁴⁴ Case C-273/97 *Angela Maria Sirdar v The Army Board and Secretary of State for Defence* [1999] ECR I-07403 (*Sirdar*).

⁴⁵ Case C-285/98 *Tanja Kreil v Bundesrepublik Deutschland* [2000] ECR I-69 (*Kreil*).

⁴⁶ Case C-184/83 *Ulrich Hofmann v Barmer Ersatzkasse* [1984] ECR 3047 (*Hofmann*), at para 25.

⁴⁷ Case C-136/95 *Caisse nationale d'assurance vieillesse des travailleurs salariés (CNAVTS) v Evelyne Thibault* [1998] ECR I-2011 (*Thibault*), at paras 24-26.

⁴⁸ The same is true for the Parental Leave Directive 94/36, which is repealed by Directive 2010/18.

Article 141(4) has not been amended and is now Article 157(4) TFEU. It reads:

With a view to ensuring full equality in practice between men and women in working life, the principle of equal treatment shall not prevent any Member State from maintaining or adopting measures providing for specific advantages in order to make it easier for the underrepresented sex to pursue a vocational activity or to prevent or compensate for disadvantages in professional careers.

Article 3 of the Recast Directive replaces Article 2(4) of Directive 76/207. In the first draft of Article 2 the idea of positive action was included in the definition of equal treatment, which was defined as:

The elimination of all discrimination based on sex or on marital or family status, including the adoption of appropriate measures to provide women with equal opportunity in employment, vocational training, promotion and working conditions.

During the negotiations on this draft Article, the reference to appropriate measures was deleted. Positive action has since then been framed in EU law as an exception to the principle of equal treatment, instead of as an integral part thereof.

The final text of Article 2(4) of Directive 76/207 on positive action stipulated that:

This Directive shall be without prejudice to measures to promote equal opportunity for men and women, in particular by removing existing inequalities which affect women's opportunities in the areas referred to in Article 1(1).

Whereas Article 2(4) referred to women's opportunities, Article 157(4) TFEU allows positive action for the underrepresented sex. However, Declaration 28 stipulated at the time of the adoption of the Treaty of Amsterdam that positive action measures should in the first instance aim at improving the situation of women in working life.

As far as all the exceptions are concerned, the Equal Treatment Directive had what is called 'a closed system of exceptions' as regards direct discrimination; derogations to the principle of equal treatment are limited to the three exceptions just described.⁴⁹ The Recast Directive follows the same approach. Therefore direct sex discrimination in employment is prohibited, unless one of these three exceptions applies. In the case of indirect discrimination, however, the justifications may be based on other – unwritten – grounds. The conditions are, then, that the aim pursued is legitimate and the measures to attain that aim are appropriate and necessary.⁵⁰

In 2002, Directive 76/207 was amended in order to modernise and harmonise its provisions. Elements of the case law of the CJEU have been incorporated in some of the new and amended provisions. One of the issues addressed in the amending Directive 2002/73 was making the definition of direct and indirect discrimination in the area of gender equality consistent with the definition employed in the Race Directive and the Framework Directive,⁵¹ introducing a mainstreaming obligation⁵² and strengthening the provisions on the enforcement of equal treatment rights. Also, the provisions on equal pay were included in the amended Directive. These provisions are now incorporated in the Recast Directive 2006/54.

⁴⁹ Case C-177/88 *Elisabeth Johanna Pacifica Dekker v Stichting Vormingscentrum voor Jong Volwassenen (VJV-Centrum) Plus* [1990] ECR I-03941 (*Dekker*), at paras 22-24.

⁵⁰ For a more detailed discussion see below, Section 4.2.

⁵¹ See above, notes 16 and 17.

⁵² See above, Section 2.

3.5. Equal treatment of men and women in statutory social security schemes

In 1976 the Member States could not reach an agreement on the equal treatment of men and women in social security. Therefore Directive 76/207 has postponed the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women in that area by stating that Member States would adopt provisions dealing with social security later on. In December 1978, Directive 79/7 was adopted. This so-called Third Directive on equal treatment between men and women covers the field of statutory social security.

The Directive prohibits both direct and indirect sex discrimination (Article 4(1)). The persons protected under the Directive are defined in Article 2, which reads:

The Directive shall apply to the working population – including self-employed persons, workers and self-employed persons whose activity is interrupted by illness, accident or involuntary unemployment and persons seeking employment – and to retired or invalided workers and self-employed persons.

The material scope is defined in Article 3. The Directive applies to statutory schemes that provide protection against the following risks: sickness, invalidity, old age, accidents at work and occupational diseases, and unemployment. It also applies to social assistance, but only in so far as it is intended to supplement or replace the statutory schemes covering the above-mentioned risks. Provisions concerning survivors' and family benefits are excluded, except in the case of family benefits granted by way of increases in benefits due in respect of the risks mentioned above.

This Third Directive contains an extensive list of exceptions. Article 4(2) contains an exception for provisions relating to the protection of women on the ground of maternity. Other exceptions are listed in Article 7. The two most important exceptions from this Article are:

- the determination of different pensionable ages for men and women in old-age pensions and retirement pensions;
- certain advantages related to the fact that the persons concerned had brought up children and may have interrupted employment for that purpose.

In the area of statutory schemes some – often rather complex – cases before the CJEU revolved around the question of whether a scheme is statutory or occupational and what the consequences may be if there is a close link between the statutory scheme and an occupational scheme. This is particularly important since certain exceptions are allowed under the Statutory Schemes Directive but not under the Occupational Schemes Directive.

3.6. Equal treatment of men and women in occupational social security schemes

Only in 1986 was the Directive on the principle of equal treatment in occupational social security schemes adopted (86/378). This Directive was amended by Directive 96/97, the so-called 'Barber Directive', which incorporated the case law of the CJEU.⁵³ Subsequently, Directive 86/378 became a part of the recast exercise (Directive 2006/54/EC, Title II, Chapter 2, see Section 3.2). Important case law has been incorporated in the provisions of this Chapter and some provisions also apply to self-employed persons.

Article 5 of the Recast Directive prohibits both direct and indirect sex discrimination in occupational social security in particular as regards:

- (a) the scope of such schemes and the conditions of access to them;
- (b) the obligation to contribute and the calculation of contributions;
- (c) the calculation of benefits, including supplementary benefits due in respect of a spouse or dependants, and the conditions governing the duration and retention of entitlement to benefits.

⁵³ Case C-262/88 *Douglas Harvey Barber v Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance Group* [1990] ECR I-1889 (*Barber*).

The categories of persons protected are listed in Article 6 and include:

members of the working population, including self-employed persons, persons whose activity is interrupted by illness, maternity, accident or involuntary unemployment and persons seeking employment and to retired and disabled workers, and to those claiming under them, in accordance with national law and/or practice.

The risks covered are the same as in Directive 79/7 (Article 7(1)). Social benefits, and in particular survivors' benefits and family allowances, are covered if such benefits are accorded to employed persons and thus constitute consideration paid by the employer to the worker by reason of the latter's employment. The Chapter applies, in addition, to pension schemes for a particular category of worker such as public civil servants if the benefits are paid by reason of the employment relationship with the public employer (Article 7(2)).

Directive 86/378 prohibited both direct and indirect discrimination and gave various examples of provisions that were prohibited (Article 6), but it also contains important exceptions: the non-discrimination obligation did not apply to survivors' pensions, differences in the pensionable age, and the use of different actuarial calculation factors. However, since the CJEU interpreted the concept of pay in Article 157 TFEU to include occupational social security schemes, the significance of these exceptions was consequently rather limited. Under the influence of the CJEU's case law discrimination in relation to survivors' benefits and the pensionable age was no longer allowed. Similarly, in relation to the use of gender-segregated actuarial factors the CJEU 'corrected' the Occupational Schemes Directive to a certain extent. The Recast Directive has now incorporated most of this case law and in Article 9 it provides examples of discrimination in this field. Determining different retirement ages in such schemes is prohibited in Article 9(1)(f). The same is true for suspending the acquisition of rights during periods of maternity leave or leave for family reasons which are granted by law or agreement and are paid by the employer (Article 9(1)(g)). The effect in time has been limited for certain provisions to periods of employment after 17 May 1990 (the date of the *Barber* judgement), except for workers who commenced legal proceedings before that date.

3.7. Equal treatment of men and women engaged in an activity in a self-employed capacity

Directive 86/613 on equal treatment was adopted in 1986, which included rather weak obligations for Member States in the field of self-employment. For instance, one of the key problems faced by spouses of self-employed persons, is that they lack any professional status. In this respect, the Member States were merely requested to examine under what conditions the recognition of the work of those spouses may be encouraged and, in the light of such examination, to consider any appropriate steps for encouraging such recognition. Overall, Directive 86/613 played only a minor role in practice.

More recently, Directive 2010/41 was adopted (repealing the Directive 86/613), to be implemented by 5 August 2012 (Article 16(1)).⁵⁴ Directive 2010/41 covers issues related to the application of the principle of equal treatment between men and women engaged in an activity in a self-employed capacity. It covers those issues which are not covered by Recast Directive 2006/54 and the Statutory Social Security Directive 79/7 (Article 1(1)). Under the conditions laid down by national law (Article 2(a)), the Directive applies to self-employed workers, meaning all persons pursuing a gainful activity for their own benefit, and to the spouses of self-employed workers. The Directive also applies to the life partners of self-employed workers, when and insofar as recognised by national law, where they habitually participate in the activities of the self-employed worker and perform the same tasks or ancillary tasks (Article 2(b)). It is stipulated that they must not be employees or business

⁵⁴ According to Article 16(2) this period might be extended with two years when justified by particular difficulties as regards the Articles 7 and 8 in so far female spouses and life partners are concerned.

partners. The extension of the personal scope of the equal treatment provisions in relation to self-employment to life partners is a novelty compared to Directive 86/613.

The principle of equal treatment on the grounds of sex applies to both the public and private sectors, for instance in relation to the establishment, equipment or extension of a business or the launching or extension of any other form of self-employed activity (Article 4(1)). The definitions of direct and indirect discrimination, harassment, sexual harassment, and positive action are similar to the definitions in the non-discrimination and gender equality directives adopted since 2000 (Articles 3, 4(2) and 5). Under Article 4(3), an instruction to discriminate amounts to discrimination.

The Directive does not extend the rights to the social protection of the self-employed, but requires that where a system for social protection for self-employed workers exists in a Member State, that Member State takes the necessary measures to ensure that spouses and life partners can benefit from social protection in accordance with national law (Article 7(1)). This is an improvement compared to Directive 86/613, but is still a rather weak provision, in particular due to the fact that the Member State may decide whether the social protection is implemented on a mandatory or voluntary basis (Article 7(2)).

The Directive also contains a provision on maternity benefits (Article 8). Member States have to take the necessary measures to ensure that female self-employed workers and female spouses and life partners may, in accordance with national law, be granted a sufficient maternity allowance enabling interruptions to their occupational activity owing to pregnancy or motherhood for at least 14 weeks (on a mandatory or voluntary basis). The allowance is deemed to be sufficient if it guarantees an income at least equivalent to the allowance which a person would receive in case of a break in her activities connected with her state of health. The same is true if it is equivalent to the average loss of income in relation to a comparable preceding period; this can be subject to any ceiling laid down under national law. The allowance is also deemed to be sufficient if it is equivalent to any other family related benefit.

Member States have also to take measures to ensure access to any existing temporary replacements or social services. The provisions on maternity benefits of self-employed and their spouses, and life partners are certainly an improvement compared to the repealed Directive 86/613.

Most so-called horizontal provisions are comparable to those in the equal treatment directives adopted since 2000. Worth mentioning is that equality bodies should provide, *inter alia*, independent assistance to victims of discrimination, and should conduct independent surveys (Article 11).

3.8. The Pregnant Workers Directive

Directive 92/85, regarding pregnant workers and workers who have recently given birth or are breastfeeding, was adopted in 1992. Its main aim is to implement measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health at work of pregnant workers and workers who have recently given birth or who are breastfeeding (Article 1). Some provisions of this Directive are closely linked to the principle of equal treatment between men and women in employment. Article 8 of this Directive stipulates, for example, that Member States have to ensure that women enjoy a period of at least 14 weeks' maternity leave. During this period their employment rights must be ensured; in particular, they have the right to return to the same or an equivalent job, with no less favourable working conditions, and to benefit from any improvement in working conditions to which they would be entitled during their absence (see also Article 15 of the Recast Directive).⁵⁵ They are also entitled to the payment of and/or the entitlement to an adequate allowance being maintained (Article 11(2)(b)).

Furthermore, pregnant women enjoy health and safety protection; they cannot be obliged to carry out night work, and they are protected against dismissal from the beginning of their pregnancy until

⁵⁵ Case C-136/95 *Caisse nationale d'assurance vieillesse des travailleurs salariés v Thibault* [1998] ECR I-2011 (*Thibault*).

the end of the maternity leave (Article 10). The latter protection also covers dismissal because of absences due to incapacity to work caused by an illness resulting from pregnancy.⁵⁶ The provisions of this Directive have often been interpreted jointly with the provisions of Directive 76/207 on equal treatment between men and women in employment. According to the CJEU, discrimination on grounds of pregnancy amounts to direct discrimination (see below, Section 4.1).⁵⁷

Under a recent proposal, amending the Pregnant Workers Directive, the minimum maternity leave should be 18 weeks.⁵⁸ The European Parliament is in favour of maternity leave of at least 20 weeks, fully paid.⁵⁹ The Council of the EU has not yet reached a decision on these proposals.⁶⁰

3.9. Parental leave

The reconciliation of family/private life with work is, according to the CJEU, ‘a natural corollary to gender equality’ and a means for achieving gender equality not only in law but also in the reality of everyday life.⁶¹ Therefore, although not adopted as a specific gender equality directive, the Parental Leave Directive plays an important role in the gender equality discourse.

The Parental Leave Directive 2010/18 repealed Directive 96/34 on 8 March 2012, and implements the revised Framework Agreement on parental leave agreed by the European social partners in June 2009.⁶² The Framework Agreement (annexed to the Directive) lays down minimum requirements designed to facilitate the reconciliation of parental and professional responsibilities for working parents (Clause 1(1)). Member States are free to adopt more favourable measures (Clause 8(1)).

The Framework Agreement applies to all workers, men and women, who have an employment contract or employment relationship as defined by the law, collective agreements and/or practice in force in each Member State. The Agreement therefore applies to part-time workers, fixed-term contract workers, and temporary agency workers (Clauses 1(1) and 1(2)). They are entitled to an individual right to parental leave on the grounds of the birth or adoption⁶³ of a child, so as to take care of that child until a given age (up to a maximum of eight years). The age should be defined by the Member States and/or the social partners. Parental leave shall be granted for at least a period of four months (previously three months in Directive 96/34) and should, in principle, be provided on a non-transferable basis. To encourage both parents to take leave on a more equal basis, at least one of the four months has to be provided on a non-transferable basis (Clause 2). Parental leave may be granted on a full-time or part-time basis, a qualification period may be imposed, and the circumstances under

⁵⁶ Case C-394/96 *Mary Brown v Rentokil Ltd.* [1998] ECR I-4185 (*Brown*), at para 18.

⁵⁷ For a more detailed overview see European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality and S. Burri *Legal Approaches to Some Aspects of the Reconciliation of Work, Private and Family Life in Thirty European Countries*, European Commission 2008 and European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, A. Masselot, E. Caracciolo di Torella & S. Burri, *Fighting Discrimination on the Grounds of Pregnancy, Maternity and Parenthood*, European Commission 2012; both reports are available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/documentindex_en.htm#h2-9, accessed 9 October 2013.

⁵⁸ Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Council Directive 92/85/EEC on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health at work of pregnant workers and workers who have recently given birth or are breastfeeding, COM(2008) 637, available at <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?langId=en&catId=89&newsId=402>, last accessed on 19 June 2009.

⁵⁹ T7-0373/2010.

⁶⁰ The last debate in the Council dates from December 2011 and fully paid maternity leave (even shorter than 20 weeks) was not supported. See: [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/ficheprocedure.do?reference=2008/0193\(COD\)&l=en](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/ficheprocedure.do?reference=2008/0193(COD)&l=en), accessed 21 November 2013.

⁶¹ Cases C-243/95 *Hill and Stapleton v the Revenue Commissioners and the Department of Finance* [1998] ECR I-3739 (*Hill*); C-1/95 *Gerster v Freistaat Bayern* [1997] ECR I-5253 (*Gerster*).

⁶² Council Directive 2010/18/EU of 8 March 2010 implementing the revised Framework Agreement on parental leave concluded by BUSINESSEUROPE, UEAPME, CEEP and ETUC and repealing Directive 96/34/EC, OJ 2010, L 68/13. Directives may in fact ‘hide’ framework agreements between the social partners adopted under Article 139(1) EC, now Article 155 TFEU. The latter may be ‘implemented’ by the Council in accordance with the procedure provided in Article 155(2) TFEU. Put in simple terms, in this way, the agreement is transformed into legislation.

⁶³ Additional measures can be taken to address the specific needs of adoptive parents (clause 4).

which an employer is allowed to postpone the parental leave can be defined by law and/or collective agreements in the Member States. However, the minimum requirements of the Directive have to be respected (Clause 3). Workers who take parental leave have the right to return to the same or equivalent job at the end of the parental leave (Clause 5(1)), and they must be protected against less favourable treatment and dismissal (Clause 5(4)). Matters regarding social security are for consideration and determination by Member States and/or social partners. The same is true regarding income in relation to parental leave (Clause 5(5)). In practice, parental leave is still taken much more often by mothers than by fathers. However, Member States are not obliged to introduce (partially) paid parental leave, which would provide a strong incentive for both parents to take such leave.

According to Clause 6(1), parents returning from parental leave may request changes to their working hours and/or working patterns for a set period of time. The employer has to consider and respond to such requests, taking into account both the employer's and the worker's needs. Even if this is a rather weak provision, it might offer possibilities in practice to adjust working time and working hours while remaining employed (see also Article 21(2) of the Recast Directive 2006/54).

Member States must also take the necessary measures to entitle workers to time off from work for urgent family reasons in case of sickness or accident making the immediate presence of the worker indispensable (Clause 8).

The CJEU has dealt with the issue of parental leave under Directive 96/34/EC in a few cases. For example, in *Commission v Luxembourg*,⁶⁴ it held that the minimum three-month period of parental leave, as provided for under the Directive, may not be reduced when it is interrupted by another period of leave, such as maternity leave, which has a different purpose from parental leave.

3.10. Equal treatment of men and women in the access to and the supply of goods and services

In 2004 the scope of application of the principle of equal treatment of men and women was broadened with the adoption of Directive 2004/113, implementing the principle of equal treatment between men and women in access to and the supply of goods and services. This is the first directive addressing gender equality issues outside the field of employment. The preamble to this Directive recognises that discrimination based on sex, including harassment and sexual harassment, also takes place in areas outside the labour market and can be equally damaging, acting as a barrier to the full and successful integration of men and women into economic and social life.

Directive 2004/113 applies to all persons who provide goods and services which are available to the public both in the public and private sectors, including public bodies, and which are offered outside the area of private and family life and the transactions carried out in this context (Article 3(1)). The Directive does not apply to the content of media and advertising and education (Article 3(3)).

The principle of equal treatment means that there shall be no direct or indirect discrimination based on sex, including less favourable treatment of women for reasons of pregnancy and maternity. More favourable provisions concerning the protection of women as regards pregnancy and maternity are not contrary to the principle of equal treatment. The Directive further prohibits harassment and sexual harassment and an instruction to discriminate (Article 4). Positive action is allowed under Article 6 of the Directive.

However, the Directive allows various exceptions to the principle of equal treatment, even in cases of direct sex discrimination. Article 4(5) stipulates that the Directive shall not preclude differences in treatment if the provision of the goods and services exclusively or primarily to members of one sex is justified by a legitimate aim and the means chosen to achieve that aim are appropriate and necessary. The Directive thus has no closed system of exceptions in case of direct discrimination as the other sex equality directives do and it therefore offers less protection against direct sex discrimination.

⁶⁴ Case C-519/03 *Commission v Luxembourg* [2005] ECR I-3067.

The Directive also contains specific provisions regarding actuarial factors in insurance contracts. Insurance contracts are often offered on different terms to men and women, both as regards the premiums and the benefits, in particular in private pension schemes. These differences are based on the fact that, on average, women live longer than men and that the insurance companies therefore run a higher financial risk in ensuring women than in ensuring men. Article 5(1) therefore stipulates:

Member States shall ensure that in all new contracts (...) the use of sex as a factor in the calculation of premiums and benefits shall not result in differences in individuals' premiums and benefits.

Member States have the possibility to derogate from this provision (Article 5(2)). However, in all new contracts concluded after 21 December 2007, the use of sex as a factor in the calculation of premiums and benefits may not result in differences in individual premiums and benefits (Article 5(1)). In the *Test-Achats* case, the CJEU considered the derogation to this rule as provided in Article 5(2) invalid with effect from 21 December 2012.⁶⁵ In any event, costs related to pregnancy and maternity may not result in differences in individual premiums and benefits (Article 5(3)).

4. Central concepts of EU gender equality law

In almost all EU gender equality law there are certain concepts, as interpreted by the CJEU, which are common to the different directives and the relevant Treaty provisions.⁶⁶ Moreover, these concepts also play a central role even beyond gender equality law.⁶⁷ The concepts of direct and indirect discrimination have notably been developed by the CJEU. The anti-discrimination directives which have been adopted since 2000 contain similar definitions of direct and indirect discrimination and build upon the case law of the CJEU. Harassment, sexual harassment and an instruction to discriminate are also prohibited and defined in the recent directives.

In the following sections, the definitions of these concepts in the Recast Directive (2006/54, see Section 3.2), the most recent directive, will be the starting point, unless indicated otherwise.

4.1. Direct discrimination

Direct discrimination is defined in Article 2(1)(a) of Directive 2006/54 and occurs

(...) where one person is treated less favourably on grounds of sex than another is, has been or would be treated in a comparable situation.

This definition suggests that a person who is treated less favourably should be compared to another person who is in a comparable situation. However, in the majority of cases up until now, the CJEU has found that there is discrimination for the very simple reasons that a person has been put at a disadvantage for reasons of being female or male, without engaging in comparisons of the situations. One of the reasons for this is that the issue of comparisons is not always raised by the national court. However, in cases where the national court puts this issue to the CJEU, the latter will deal with comparisons.⁶⁸

⁶⁵ Case C-236/09 *Association Belge des Consommateurs Test-Achats ASBL and Others v Conseil des ministres* [2011] ECR I-773 (*Test-Achats*). See on this issue the Communication from the Commission *Guidelines on the application of Council Directive 2004/113/EC to insurance, in the light of the judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union in Case C-236/09 (Test-Achats)*, COM (2011) 9497.

⁶⁶ See further the European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, C. McCrudden & S. Prechal, *The Concepts of Equality and Non-Discrimination in Europe: A practical approach*, European Commission 2009, available to download via: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/document/index_en.htm, accessed 6 January 2013.

⁶⁷ See, for example, the Race Directive and the Framework Directive, Section 2, notes 16 and 17.

⁶⁸ See for instance in the area of equal pay: Case C-132/92 *Birds Eye Walls* [1993] ECR I-5579. On how the national courts may handle issues of EU law, see below, Section 5.1.

Cases of discrimination for reasons of pregnancy belong to a special category. In such cases, contrary to what national courts have sometimes done, a comparison is not required, according to the CJEU. The Court held that the refusal to appoint a woman because she is pregnant amounts to direct sex discrimination, which is prohibited. The fact that there are no male candidates is not relevant if the reason for not appointing the woman is linked to her pregnancy.⁶⁹ The CJEU also decided that although pregnancy is not in any way comparable to a pathological condition, the fact remains that pregnancy is a period during which disorders and complications may arise compelling a woman to undergo strict medical supervision and, in some cases, to complete rest for all or part of her pregnancy. Those disorders and complications, which may cause incapacity for work, form part of the risks inherent in pregnancy and less favourable treatment on that ground, or perhaps even dismissal, amounts to direct discrimination as well.⁷⁰

In the Recast Directive the EU legislator has made it clear that the less favourable treatment of a woman related to pregnancy or maternity leave is included in the prohibition of discrimination (Article 2(2)(c)).

Finally, in relation to the distinction between direct and indirect discrimination, which will be discussed next, it must be stressed once again that direct discrimination is generally prohibited, unless a specific written exception applies. This is different in the case of indirect discrimination.

4.2. Indirect discrimination

The concept of indirect discrimination has been developed by the CJEU in a series of cases, particularly a set of cases regarding indirect sex discrimination in relation to part-time work. The landmark case is *Bilka*, which concerned access to an occupational pension scheme.⁷¹ According to this scheme, part-time employees may obtain pensions under the scheme if they have worked for at least 15 years full time over a total period of 20 years. The CJEU found that if a much lower proportion of women work full time than men, the exclusion of part-time workers would be contrary to Article 119 EEC (now Article 157 TFEU), where, taking into account the difficulties encountered by women workers working full time, that measure could not be explained by factors that exclude any discrimination on grounds of sex. The measures could, however, be objectively justified if they correspond to a real need on the part of the undertaking, and are appropriate and necessary to attain that aim. The same objective justification test has been applied in many different CJEU judgments and is now included in the definition of indirect discrimination in the most recent directives.

Indirect discrimination is defined in Article 2(1)(b) of Directive 2006/54 as follows:

(...) where an apparently neutral provision, criterion or practice would put persons of one sex at a particular disadvantage compared with persons of the other sex, unless that provision, criterion or practice is objectively justified by a legitimate aim, and the means of achieving that aim are appropriate and necessary.

The indirect discrimination test, therefore, comprises the following elements. The first major question to be answered is whether a measure disadvantages significantly more persons of one sex than the other. It is for the applicant to prove that a measure or a practice amounts to indirect discrimination.⁷² In *Seymour* the Court provided more guidance on how to establish such a presumption or *prima facie* case of indirect discrimination.⁷³ When there is a *prima facie* case of indirect discrimination, a second

⁶⁹ Case C-177/88 *Elisabeth Johanna Pacifica Dekker v Stichting Vormingscentrum voor Jong Volwassenen (VJV-Centrum) Plus* [1990] ECR I-03941 (*Dekker*), at paras 15-18.

⁷⁰ Case C-394/96 *Mary Brown v Rentokil Ltd.* [1998] ECR I-4185 (*Brown*), at para 22.

⁷¹ Case C-170/84 *Bilka-Kaufhaus GmbH v Karin Weber von Hartz* [1986] ECR 1607 (*Bilka*).

⁷² Case C-109/88 *Handels- og Kontorfunktionærernes Forbund I Danmark v Dansk Arbejdsgiverforening, acting on behalf of Danfoss* [1989] ECR 3199 (*Danfoss*), at paras 10-16 and Case C-381/99 *Susanna Brunnhofer v Bank der österreichischen Postsparkasse AG* [2001] ECR I-04961 (*Brunnhofer*), at paras. 51-62.

⁷³ Case C-167/97 *Regina v Secretary of State for Employment, ex parte Nicole Seymour-Smith and Laura Perez* [1999] ECR I-00623 (*Seymour*), at paras 58-65.

major issue arises: the defendant has to provide an objective justification for the indirect discriminatory criterion or practice. Indirect discrimination can be justified if the aim is legitimate and the measures to attain that aim are appropriate and necessary. The arguments put forward have to be specific, and supported by evidence. For example, in *Seymour* the Court considered that mere generalisations concerning the capacity of a specific measure to encourage recruitment are not enough to show that the aim of the disputed rule is unrelated to any discrimination based on sex; in addition, it was necessary to provide evidence on the basis of which it could reasonably be considered that the means chosen were suitable for achieving that aim. The Court also considered that ‘although budgetary considerations may influence a Member State’s choice of social policy and affect the nature or scope of the social protection measures it wishes to adopt, they cannot themselves constitute the aim pursued by that policy and cannot, therefore, justify discrimination against one of the sexes. Moreover to concede that budgetary considerations may justify a difference in treatment as between men and women which would otherwise constitute indirect discrimination on grounds of sex, [...] would be to accept that the application and scope of as fundamental a rule of Community law as that of equal treatment between men and women might vary in time and place according to the state of the public finances of the Member States’.⁷⁴

The development of the concept of indirect discrimination has meant that a step has been taken towards a more substantive approach to equality, because it focuses on the effect of a rule or a practice and takes into account everyday social realities. Substantive equality requires that further steps are taken in order to realise true, genuine equality in social conditions. Arguably, this may sometimes require positive action, the topic of the next Section. However, as we will see, the issue of positive action is rather controversial in some Member States and therefore positive action is only allowed for, but it is not laid down (yet) as an EU-law obligation.

4.3. Positive action

As we have seen above, Article 157 TFEU (see also the former Article 141(4) EC, see Section 3.1 and 3.4) and some of the gender equality directives allow for positive action. This concept is defined in Directive 2006/54 (Article 3) as follows:

Member States may maintain or adopt measures within the meaning of Article 141(4) of the Treaty with a view to ensuring full equality in practice between men and women in working life.

These types of measures, in the absence of such *permissive* provisions, would be considered to infringe the principle of equal treatment if they led to less favourable treatment of members of one sex, for instance an employer-established training course which is only open to female employees.

The measures permitted under the positive action provisions quoted above are those designed to eliminate or counteract the prejudicial effects on women in employment or seeking employment which arise from existing attitudes, behaviour and structures based on the idea of a traditional division of roles in society between men and women. The measures should, in particular, encourage the participation of women in various occupations in those sectors of working life where they are currently under-represented.⁷⁵

One of the means to achieve this end is to set targets or even quotas in recruitment and promotion, which, however, must be proportionate to the aim pursued. According to the CJEU a

⁷⁴ Case C- 343/92 *M. A. De Weerd, née Roks, and others v Bestuur van de Bedrijfsvereniging voor de Gezondheid, Geestelijke en Maatschappelijke Belangen and others* [1994] ECR I-571, at paras 35-36 and case C-226/98 *Birgitte Jørgensen v Foreningen af Speciallæger and Sygesikringens Forhandlingsudvalg* [2000] ECR I-2447, at paras. 37-42.

⁷⁵ See further: European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality & S. Fredman, *Making Equality Effective: The role of proactive measures*, European Commission 2009, and European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality & G. Selanec & L. Senden, *Positive Action Measures to Ensure Full Equality in Practice between Men and Women, including on Company Boards*, European Commission 2011 available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/document/index_en.htm#h2-9, accessed 21 November 2013.

measure that would give automatic and unconditional preference to one sex is not justified in this respect. In the case of recruitment and promotion, targets and/or quotas can only be accepted if each and every candidature is the subject of an objective assessment that takes the specific personal situations of all candidates into account. This case law of the CJEU started with the rather severe judgment in *Kalanke*.⁷⁶ In the meantime, the CJEU has softened its position in favour of positive action.⁷⁷

In *Lommers*,⁷⁸ for instance, the Court found that measures that gave preference to female employees in the allocation of nursery places, but did not amount to a total exclusion of male candidates, were justified. Preferential allocation of nursery places to women employees was likely to improve equal opportunities for women since it was established that they were more likely than men to give up their careers in order to raise a child. Although, on the one hand, the case was decided in favour of positive measures, on the other hand, it also illustrated the potential dangers of positive action, in the sense that it continues to stereotype women as caregivers.

As mentioned in Section 2, a proposal is pending on gender balance on company boards. The proposal sets a minimum objective of a 40% quota for the under-represented sex among companies' non-executive directors. It would require companies with a lower representation to introduce pre-established, clear, neutrally formulated and unambiguous criteria in selection procedures for those positions, in order to attain that objective. A deadline would be set for 2018 (public sector) and 2020 (private sector). The directive would expire by the end of 2028. Non-listed companies and SMEs would be excluded, and Member States would also be able to exclude companies employing less than 10 % of the under-represented sex.⁷⁹

4.4. Harassment and sexual harassment

In the gender equality directives adopted since 2000, harassment and sexual harassment are explicitly prohibited. Harassment occurs, in terms of Article 2(1)(c) of the Recast Directive:

(...) where unwanted conduct related to the sex of a person occurs with the purpose or effect of violating the dignity of a person, and of creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment.

Note that the conduct has to have the purpose or the effect of violating the 'dignity' of a person *and* creating an intimidating etc. environment. These are cumulative requirements.

Sexual harassment occurs:

(...) where any form of unwanted verbal, non-verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature occurs, with the purpose or effect of violating the dignity of a person, in particular when creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment.

Sex discrimination includes harassment and sexual harassment, as well as any less favourable treatment based on a person's rejection of or submission to such conduct (Article 2(2)a of the Recast Directive).

Harassment and sexual harassment cannot be objectively justified.⁸⁰

⁷⁶ Case C-450/93 *Eckhard Kalanke v Freie Hansestadt Bremen* [1995] ECR I-03051 (*Kalanke*).

⁷⁷ Case C-476/99 *H. Lommers v Minister van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer en Visserij* [2002] ECR I-02891 (*Lommers*). See further also, for instance, Case C-158/97 *Georg Badeck and Others, interveners: Hessische Ministerpräsident and Landesanwalt beim Staatsgerichtshof des Landes Hessen* [2000] ECR 2000 I-01875 (*Badeck*) and Case C-319/03, *Serge Briheche v Ministre de l'Intérieur, Ministre de l'Éducation nationale and Ministre de la Justice* [2004] ECR I-08807 (*Briheche*).

⁷⁸ Case C-476/99 *H. Lommers v Minister van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer en Visserij* [2002] ECR I-02891 (*Lommers*).

⁷⁹ See for more information: <http://libraryeuroparl.wordpress.com/2013/11/14/gender-balance-on-company-boards/>, accessed 21 November 2013.

⁸⁰ See further the report of the European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, A. Numhauser-Henning & S. Laulom, *Harassment related to Sex and Sexual Harassment Law in 33 European Countries*.

4.5. Instruction to discriminate

The prohibition on discrimination includes an instruction to discriminate against persons on one of the discrimination grounds covered by the anti-discrimination directives. Such prohibition in relation to sex discrimination is included in Article 2(2)(b) of the Recast Directive. This could, for example, be the case if an employer required that an agency supplying temporary workers only recruits persons of a certain sex for a specific job. In that case, both the employer and the agency would be liable and would have to justify such sex discrimination.⁸¹

5. How can EU gender equality law be enforced?

5.1. Invoking gender equality law in national courts

As was already observed (Section 3), most of the directives have various provisions in common that relate to the enforcement of gender equality rights. However, before turning to the discussion of these provisions it will be useful to point to some general aspects of EU gender equality law as far as they affect the courts of the Member States.

As we have seen above, complying with Article 157 TFEU on equal pay was apparently not easy for the Member States in the early stages. Similarly, the transposition of directives is sometimes too late or is otherwise not in accordance with EU law. However, due to the supremacy of EU law, equal pay provisions of the Treaties (TEU and TFEU) and directives prevail in the case of a conflict between national and EU law. Furthermore, quite a few provisions have direct effect, which means that they can be relied upon in litigation before national courts and applied by these national courts in any proceedings. Furthermore, the CJEU has also decided that the national courts have the duty to interpret their national law in conformity with the directive at issue, i.e. doing everything possible to achieve, through the interpretation of national law, the result which the directive aims at.⁸² Under certain conditions, a Member State may also be held liable for damage suffered by individuals due to the fact that a directive has not been transposed in time or has been done so incorrectly into national law.⁸³

Whenever EU gender equality law is relied upon in the national courts, they are able (and the courts of last instance are *obliged*) to request preliminary rulings from the CJEU (Article 267 TFEU). In the field of equal treatment, the CJEU has since 1971 delivered more than two hundred binding judgments, sometimes providing far-reaching interpretations of relevant provisions. One of the landmark judgments in this field was the already mentioned judgment in *Defrenne II*, where the CJEU decided that Article 157 TFEU (then Article 119 EEC) has horizontal direct effect, i.e. that it can be relied upon by individuals before national courts not only against (organs of) the state, but also against individuals, such as private employers. But also in other respects, the CJEU has played a very important role in improving the ability of women and men to enforce their equality rights. This case law will be discussed below, together with the relevant provisions, in Sections 5.2 through 5.6.

Discrimination versus Dignity, European Commission, 2011, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/document/index_en.htm#h2-9 and EU Bookshop <https://bookshop.europa.eu/en/home/>, accessed 1 October 2013.

⁸¹ See I. Asscher-Vonk, 'Instruction to discriminate' *European Gender Equality Law Review* No. 1/2012, pp. 4-12, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/document/index_en.htm#h2-9, accessed 21 November 2013.

⁸² The judgment of the CJEU in an equal treatment case has been ground-breaking in this respect. See Case C-14/83 *Sabine von Colson and Elisabeth Kamann v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen* [1984] ECR 1891 (*Von Colson*), at para 26.

⁸³ Joined cases C-6/90 and C-9/90 *Andrea Francovich and Danila Bonifaci and others v Italian Republic* [1991] ECR I-05357 (*Francovich*) and Joined cases C-46/93 and C-48/93 *Brasserie du Pêcheur SA v Bundesrepublik Deutschland and The Queen v Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte: Factortame Ltd and others* [1996] ECR I-01029 (*Brasserie du Pêcheur*).

5.2. Burden of proof

In the directives adopted since 2000, the case law of the CJEU has been implemented in diverse provisions and the method of enforcing anti-discrimination law has been strengthened. For example, rules on the burden of proof have been developed in the case law of the CJEU, for instance when the CJEU stated that in the case of indirect discrimination it is the defendant who has to provide an objective justification (see also 4.2). Similarly the CJEU has held that where an undertaking applies a system of pay which is totally lacking in transparency, if a female worker establishes, in relation to a relatively large number of employees, that the average pay for women is less than that for men, it is then for the employer to prove that his practice in the matter of wages is not discriminatory.⁸⁴ With the Burden of Proof Directive (Directive 97/80), this case law was integrated in legislation as far as sex discrimination was concerned in the field of pay and employment.⁸⁵ Now, all the directives adopted since 2000 contain similar provisions.

Article 19(1) of the Recast Directive stipulates that:

Member States shall take such measures as are necessary, in accordance with their national judicial systems, to ensure that, when persons who consider themselves wronged because the principle of equal treatment has not been applied to them establish, before a court or other competent authority, facts from which it may be presumed that there has been direct or indirect discrimination, it shall be for the respondent to prove that there has been no breach of the principle of equal treatment.⁸⁶

These rules do not apply to criminal proceedings, unless otherwise provided by the Member States. Member States may also introduce more favourable rules for plaintiffs.

Another ground-breaking case in which evidentiary rules were at stake was *Johnston*.⁸⁷ The case concerned, *inter alia*, an evidentiary rule in the Northern Ireland sex discrimination legislation that deprived the national court of the power to decide an issue arising in relation to the Equal Treatment Directive. The CJEU found that this rule was incompatible with the requirement of effective judicial control, stipulated in Article 6 of the Equal Treatment Directive (76/206). This article reflects, according to the CJEU, a general principle of law which underlies the constitutional traditions common to the Member States and which is also laid down in the European Convention on Human Rights. This principle of effective judicial protection did not remain limited to the areas of evidence. It plays a pivotal role in many other respects, such as requiring that access to the judicial process must be guaranteed.

5.3. Defending rights

Member States have the obligation to ensure that judicial procedures are available to all persons who consider themselves wronged by failure to apply the principle of equal treatment to them, even after the relationship in which the discrimination is alleged to have occurred has ended.⁸⁸ Organisations and associations which have, in accordance with the criteria laid down in national law, a legitimate interest in whether the provisions of the equal treatment directives are complied with, have *locus standi*. Such organisations, for example associations for women's rights of trade unions, may engage, either on behalf or in support of the complainant, with his/her approval, in any judicial or administrative procedure provided for the enforcement of the obligations under the equal treatment directives.

⁸⁴ Case C-109/88 *Handels- og Kontorfunktionærernes Forbund I Danmark v Dansk Arbejdsgiverforening, acting on behalf of Danfoss* [1989] ECR 03199 (*Danfoss*).

⁸⁵ Directive 97/80 has been repealed by the Recast Directive since 15 August 2009.

⁸⁶ These rules also apply to situations covered by Article 141 and insofar as discrimination based on sex is concerned, to the Pregnant Workers Directive and the Parental Leave Directive.

⁸⁷ Case C-222/84 *Marguerite Johnston v Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary* [1986] ECR 01651 (*Johnston*).

⁸⁸ E.g. Article 17 of the Recast Directive.

The directives do not lay down any procedural rules for proceedings designed to ensure respect for the principles of equal pay and equal treatment of women and men. For instance, in relation to the time limits for bringing an action the applicable national law applies. However, as a matter of general EU law, the CJEU does require that the procedural rules (and remedies) must be equivalent to those applicable to infringements of domestic law of a similar nature and importance (the so-called principle of equivalence). Similarly, procedural rules or other conditions governing the exercise of an equal treatment claim must not make that exercise excessively difficult (the principle of effectiveness).⁸⁹ In any case, national courts must evolve national rules in order to provide sex equality claims with full and effective legal protection.⁹⁰

5.4. Sanctions, compensation and reparation

The directives adopted since 2000 require that sanctions, which might comprise the payment of compensation to the victim, must be effective, proportionate and dissuasive.⁹¹ The CJEU developed these requirements in the *Von Colson* case, which are now integrated in legislation.⁹² As regards compensation or reparation when there has been a breach of the principle of equal treatment between men and women in (access to) employment and access to and the supply of goods and services, the directives further stipulate that the Member States have to introduce such measures as are necessary to ensure real and effective compensation or reparation. Compensation or reparation has to be dissuasive and proportionate to the damage suffered. The fixing of a prior upper limit may, in principle, not restrict such compensation or reparation.⁹³ According to the CJEU, in particular in the case *Marshall II*, which is partly incorporated in the provisions of the Recast Directive, national law may not exclude an award of interest either.⁹⁴

5.5. Victimisation

Protection against dismissal or adverse treatment in reaction to a complaint is provided for in most of the directives. Article 24 of the Recast Directive reads:

Member States shall introduce into their national legal systems such measures as are necessary to protect employees, including those who are employees' representatives provided for by national laws and/or practices, against dismissal or other adverse treatment by the employer as a reaction to a complaint within the undertaking or to any legal proceedings aimed at enforcing compliance with the principle of equal treatment.

This type of provision may, for instance, enable employees to bring legal proceedings against former employers who have refused to provide references to them, where that refusal constitutes retaliation for legal proceedings brought by the employee against the employer with a view to enforcing compliance with the requirement of equal treatment for men and women.⁹⁵

5.6. Equality bodies

The directives on equal treatment between men and women adopted since 2002 oblige the Member States to designate equality bodies.⁹⁶ The tasks of these bodies are the promotion, analysis, monitoring and support of equal treatment. They may form part of agencies with responsibilities at the national level for defending human rights or safeguarding individual rights. These bodies have the

⁸⁹ E.g. Case C-326/96, *B.S. Levez v T.H. Jennings (Harlow Pools) Ltd*, ECR 1998, I-07835 (*Levez*).

⁹⁰ *Johnston* see above, note 87.

⁹¹ E.g. Article 25 of the Recast Directive.

⁹² Case C-14/83, *Sabine von Colson and Elisabeth Kamann v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen*, ECR 1984, 1891 (*Von Colson*), at para 28. Cf. also *Draehmpaehl* (Case C-180/95).

⁹³ E.g. Article 18 of the Recast Directive.

⁹⁴ Case C-271/91, *M. Helen Marshall v Southampton and South-West Hampshire Area Health Authority*, ECR 1993, I-04367 (*Marshall II*).

⁹⁵ Case C-185/97 *Belinda Jane Coote v Granada Hospitality Ltd* [1998] ECR I-05199 (*Coote*).

⁹⁶ E.g. Article 20 of the Recast Directive.

competence to provide independent assistance to victims of discrimination, to conduct independent surveys concerning discrimination and to publish independent reports and make recommendations.

5.7. Social dialogue

Member States also have the obligation to promote social dialogue between the social partners and dialogue with non-governmental organisations or dialogue with stakeholders with a view to fostering equal treatment.⁹⁷ The promotion of social dialogue might include the monitoring of practices at the workplace, in access to employment, vocational training and promotion, as well as the monitoring of collective agreements, codes of conduct, research or exchange of experience and good practice. The Recast Directive further stipulates in Article 21(2):

Where consistent with national traditions and practice, Member States shall encourage the social partners, without prejudice to their autonomy, to promote equality between men and women, and flexible working arrangements, with the aim of facilitating the reconciliation of work and private life, (...) and to conclude, at the appropriate level, agreements laying down anti-discrimination rules (...).

Some directives also require Member States to encourage employers to promote equal treatment in a planned and systematic way and to provide, at appropriate regular intervals, employees and/or their representatives with appropriate information on equal treatment.⁹⁸ Such information may include an overview of the proportion of men and women at different levels of the organisation, their pay and pay differentials, and possible measures to improve the situation in cooperation with employees' representatives.⁹⁹ We now turn to the role of the European Commission.

5.8. The role of the European Commission

The European Commission has an important task in the enforcement of EU gender equality law. The Commission monitors and analyses whether the Member States generally fulfil their obligations regarding the implementation of Treaty provisions and directives. More specific inquiries are also initiated by the Commission into the activities of a particular Member State. Sometimes, these inquiries derive from complaints by individuals or organisations to the Commission. These complaints can be submitted to the services of the Commission rather easily.

According to Article 258 TFEU the European Commission can start an infringement procedure if it considers that a Member State has failed to fulfil a certain obligation. The Commission first sends a reasoned opinion on the matter after giving the State in question the opportunity to submit its observations. If the Member State does not comply with the opinion within the period laid down by the Commission, the Commission may bring the matter before the CJEU. If the CJEU considers that the Member State has failed to fulfil an obligation and the Member State does not take the necessary measures to comply with the judgment of the CJEU in good time, it might even be subjected to penalties (see Article 260 TFEU).

6. Final observations

The brief overview presented above illustrates that a great deal of progress has been made in the area of EU gender equality law since 1957. Both the EU legislator and the Court of Justice of the EU have greatly contributed, often in a delicate interplay, to this process. Tribute should also be paid to individuals who have brought cases before their national courts, cases that ended up in the Court of Justice of the EU in Luxembourg. This has enabled the CJEU to deliver its judgments. As we have

⁹⁷ E.g. Articles 21 and 22 of the Recast Directive.

⁹⁸ E.g. Article 21((3) and (4) of the Recast Directive.

⁹⁹ See on social dialogue in the European Union: http://ec.europa.eu/employment_social/social_dialogue/index_en.htm, accessed 21 November 2013.

seen, the case law of the CJEU has, from time to time, been the driving force for EU gender equality standards, in particular in the area of introducing new concepts or other revolutionary novelties, especially in the enforcement of EU equality law. It has played a crucial role in the effective enforcement of gender equality standards in the Member States.

The progressive realisation of the equal treatment of women and men is certainly not only an achievement of the EU. Other international instruments, for instance, have no doubt also contributed to the adoption of equality legislation at the national level and the eradication of gender discrimination. It is, however, the mandatory character of EU law and the mechanism of judicial protection in the EU that have provided a crucial impetus to gender discrimination law in the Member States and the EEA countries. It is generally believed that Article 157 TFEU and the gender equality directives, as interpreted by the CJEU, have been vital to the effective application of gender equality in the Member States. It is often because of the compelling obligation of EU law that the national legislator has introduced a number of national measures aimed at the effective implementation of the principle of gender equality. This implementation is the subject of another publication by the European Commission's European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality.¹⁰⁰

¹⁰⁰ European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, S. Burri and H. van Eijken, *Gender Equality Law in 33 European Countries: How are EU rules transposed into national law? Update 2013*, European Commission, forthcoming. Regular up-to-date information about the developments on gender equality both at EU level and in the Member States is available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/tools/legal-experts/index_en.htm, accessed 21 November 2013.

Annex I

Directives

- Council Directive 75/117/EEC of 10 February 1975 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the application of the principle of equal pay for men and women *OJ L 45, 19.2.1975, pp. 19–20.*
- Council Directive 76/207/EEC of 9 February 1976 on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women as regards access to employment, vocational training and promotion, and working conditions *OJ L 39, 14.2.1976, pp. 40–42.*
- Council Directive 79/7/EEC of 19 December 1978 on the progressive implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women in matters of social security *OJ L 6, 10.1.1979, pp. 24–25.*
- Council Directive 86/378/EEC of 24 July 1986 on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women in occupational social security schemes, *OJ L 225, 12.8.1986, pp. 40–42.*
- Council Directive 86/613/EEC of 11 December 1986 on the application of the principle of equal treatment between men and women engaged in an activity, including agriculture, in a self-employed capacity, and on the protection of self-employed women during pregnancy and motherhood *OJ L 359, 19.12.1986, pp. 56–58.*
- Council Directive 92/85/EEC of 19 October 1992 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health at work of pregnant workers and workers who have recently given birth or are breastfeeding (tenth individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16 (1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) *OJ L 348, 28.11.1992, pp. 1–8.*
- Council Directive 96/34/EC of 3 June 1996 on the framework agreement on parental leave concluded by UNICE, CEEP and the ETUC *OJ L 145, 19.6.1996, pp. 4–9.*
- Council Directive 96/97/EC of 20 December 1996 amending Directive 86/378/EEC on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women in occupational social security schemes *OJ L 46, 17.2.1997, pp. 20–24.*
- Directive 2002/73/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 September 2002 amending Council Directive 76/207/EEC on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women as regards access to employment, vocational training and promotion, and working conditions *OJ L 269, 5.10.2002, pp. 15–20.*
- Council Directive 2004/113/EC of 13 December 2004 implementing the principle of equal treatment between men and women in the access to and supply of goods and services *OJ L 373, 21.12.2004, pp. 37–43.*
- Directive 2006/54/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2006 on the implementation of the principle of equal opportunities and equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation (recast) *OJ L 204, 26.7.2006, pp. 23–36.*
- Council Directive 2010/18/EU of 8 March 2010 implementing the revised Framework Agreement on parental leave concluded by BUSINESSEUROPE, UEAPME, CEEP and ETUC and repealing Directive 96/34/EC *OJ L 68, 18.3.2010, pp. 13–20.*

- Directive 2010/41/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2010 on the application of the principle of equal treatment between men and women engaged in an activity in a self-employed capacity and repealing Council Directive 86/613/EEC *OJ L 180, 15.7.2010, pp. 1–6.*

Annex II

Selected Bibliography

Books and articles

- S. Anspal & T. Rõõm *Gender Pay Gap in Estonia: Empirical Analysis 2011*.
http://www.sm.ee/fileadmin/meedia/Dokumendid/V21jaanded/Publikatsioonid/2011/Gender_pay_gap_Estonia_analysis.pdf accessed 4 July 2013.
- O. Anttila 'Raskaussyrjinnän kieltö' (Prohibition of Pregnancy Discrimination) in: J. Kantola, Johanna et al., (eds.) *Tasa-arvo toisin nähtynä* (Equality seen from another perspective), pp. 226-245, Gaudeamus 2012.
- O. Anttila *Kohti tosiasiallista tasa-arvoa? Sukupuolisyryjinnän kiellot oikeudellisen pluralismin aikana* (Towards de facto equality? Prohibitions on gender discrimination in time of legal pluralism), Suomalainen lakimiesyhdistys 2013.
- H. Aune 'Deltidsarbeid – stereotype kjønnsroller i arbeidsliv og privatliv: Videreutvikling av vernet mot diskriminering på strukturelt grunnlag – neste trinn i diskrimineringsjussens utvikling?' in E. Svensson (ed.) *På vei. Kjønn og rett i Norden*, pp. 51-71, Makadam Publishing House 2011. The article is published in English: 'Part-time work – stereotypical gender roles in work and private life: Further development of the protection against discrimination on a structural basis – the next step in the development of anti-discrimination law?' See S. Cusack (ed.), *Stereotyping update* May 2012, available on <http://stereotypingandlaw.files.wordpress.com/2012/05/stereotyping-update-may-2012-1-5-12.pdf> and <http://www.jus.uio.no/ior/personer/vit/haune/index.html> both accessed 16 July 2013.
- H. Aune *Deltidsarbeid. Vern mot diskriminering på strukturelt og individuelt grunnlag*, Cappelen Damm Akademisk Forlag, 2013.
- P. Auvergnon (ed.) *Genre et droit social*, Bordeaux: Presses Universitaires de Bordeaux, 2008.
- O. Avdeveva 'Enlarging the Club: When do Candidate States Enforce Gender Equality laws?', *Comparative European Politics* (2009) 7, 158-177.
- AWJJ/EWLA *L'égalité entre femmes et hommes et la vie professionnelle. Le point sur les développements actuels in Europe*, Paris: Dalloz, 2003.
- A. Baranowska-Rataj & M. Rynko 'Dostosowanie sposobu organizacji czasu pracy w do obowiązków rodzinnych w Polsce' (Adoption of working time organisation to family obligations in Poland) *Instytut Statystyki i Demografii, Szkoła Główna Handlowa* No. 29/2013.
- C. Barnard and B. Hepple 'Substantive Equality', *Cambridge Law Journal* (2000) 59, 562-585.
- P. Bellan & A. Olšovská *Flexible forms of work within EU – possibilities and risks of their application in SR*, Inštitút pre výskum práce a rodiny, Bratislava 2012, <http://www.ivpr.gov.sk> accessed 25 July 2013.
- F. Beveridge 'Building against the past: the impact of Mainstreaming on EU gender law and policy', *European Law Review* (2007) 32, 193-212.
- K. Bogatko and M. Wieczorek (eds.) *Prawo antydyskryminacyjne w praktyce polskich sądów powszechnych. Raport monitoringu* (Antidiscrimination law in the practice of Polish courts. Report from monitoring) Warsaw: Polskie Towarzystwo Prawa Antydyskryminacyjnego, 2013.
- M. Bolger et al. *Employment Equality Law* Dublin: Round Hall Thomson Reuters 2012.
- G. Borenfreund and I. Vacarie (sous la direction de) *Le droit social, l'égalité et les discriminations* Dalloz, 2013.
- J. Bué et al. *Etude sur l'impact de la négociation de branche sur l'évolution des pratiques de recours au travail à temps partiel* June 2011, Paris: Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire pour la Sociologie Economique, UMR CNRS 3320.
- S. Burri 'Un regard critique sur l'approche de genre en droit du travail communautaire', in: P. Auvergnon *Genre et droit social*, Bordeaux: Presses Universitaires de Bordeaux 2008, 53-71.

- S. Burri ‘*Le point sur la conciliation entre la vie professionnelle et la vie privée dans le droit communautaire*’, *ERA-Forum* (2010) 11, 111-127.
- S. Burri ‘*La conciliation de la vie professionnelle, privée et familiale. L’approche juridique de l’Union européenne*’, *Revue de l’OFCE. Observations et diagnostics économiques*, No. 114 (July 2010), 213-236.
- S. Burri, H. Opitz and A. Veldman ‘Work-family policies on working time put into practice. A comparison of Dutch and German Case Law on Working Time Adjustment’, *International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations* (2003) 3, 321-346.
- N. Burrows and M. Robinson ‘An Assessment of the Recast of Community Equality Laws’, *European Law Journal* (2007) Vol. 13/2, 186-203.
- H. Cadenau *Inequality in Equality. Lacunae in the European Equal Treatment Protection of Self-Employed Women*, Utrecht: Science Shop Faculty of Law, Economics and Governance 2008.
- E. Caracciolo di Torella ‘The goods and services directive: limitations and opportunities’, *Feminist Legal Studies* (2005) 13:337-347.
- E. Caracciolo di Torella ‘The Principle of Gender Equality, the Goods and Services Directive and Insurance: A Conceptual Analysis’, *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law*, (2006) 13 MJ 3, 339-350.
- E. Caracciolo di Torella and A. Masselot *Reconciling Work and Family Life in EU Law and Policy*, Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.
- M. Carneiro ‘Work-life balance: is there a genuine choice? A case of indirect sex discrimination’ in: S. Troels Poulsen & S. Jørgensen (eds.) *Frit valg – Velfærd i Den Europæiske Union* (Free choice – Welfare in the European Union), pp. 145-159, Jurist og Økonomforbundets Forlag 2011.
- P. Ceronja & I. Grgurev ‘Opoziv imenovanja trudne članice uprave društva kapitala – spolna diskriminacija?’ (Removal from post of a pregnant member of a capital company’s board of directors – discrimination based on sex?) *Zbornik Pravnog fakulteta u Zagrebu* 61 No. 6 (2011) pp. 1881-1919.
- M. Connolly *Discrimination Law* Sweet & Maxwell, 2nd ed. 2011.
- C. Costello and G. Davies ‘The case law of the Court of Justice in the field of sex equality since 2000’, *Common Market Law Review* (2006) 43, 1567-1616.
- I. Crnić et al. *Primjena antidiskriminacijskog prava u praksi* (Implementation of Anti-Discrimination Law in Practice) Zagreb: Centar za mirovne studije 2011.
- T. Davulis ‘*Seksualinio priekabiavimo sąvokos baudžiamojoje ir darbo teisėje*’ (The Concepts of Sexual Harassment in Criminal Law and Labour Law) *Nepriklausomos Lietuvos teisė: praeitis, dabartis ir ateitis* Vilnius, Vilniaus universitetas, pp. 419-429, 2012.
- J. Debrecéniová ‘State Social Security Benefits for the “Support of Families”’ in: *Gender Studies, o.p.s., Comparative analysis of the work/life balance situation in the Czech Republic, Poland, Germany and Slovakia* 2011, available in English at: www.genderstudies.cz/download/AnalysisEN.pdf, accessed 31 July 2013.
- K. Dupate ‘*Eiropas Savienības tiesas prakse darba tiesībās*’ (The case law of the CJEU in labour law) *Confederation of the Free Trade Unions of Latvia* (2011) p.132.
- K. Dupate ‘*Par kļūdainu Senāta spriedumu dzimumu diskriminācijas sakarā*’ (On incorrect judgment of the Senate in relation to sex discrimination) *Jurista Vārds*, No. 13, (2011).
- K. Dupate ‘The Quality of Implementation of the EU Non-Discrimination and Gender Equality Law in Latvia’, University of Latvia, International Scientific Conference *The Quality of Legal Acts and its Importance in Contemporary Legal Space*, (2012) pp. 174-189.
- L. C. Egeland *Commentary to the WEA section 14-9*, Arbeidsrett.no, Cappelen Damm Publishing House, 3rd edition, 2012.
- E. Ellis *EC Sex Equality Law*, 2nd ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- E. Ellis *EU Anti-discrimination law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005.

- E. Ellis and P. Watson *EU Anti-Discrimination Law 2nd ed.*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2012.
- K. Espenberg et al. *Kollektiivlepingute roll Eesti töösuhetes* (The Role of the collective agreements in Estonian employment relations), Sotsiaalministeeriumi toimetised, poliitikaanalüüs, 2012-1, pp. 1-27.
- E. Fanny & M. Roland *Le temps de travail, l'emploi, la formation et l'égalité des genres dans les conventions collectives de travail en 2005 et 2006*, CEPS-Instead, May 2012.
- M. Filčík (ed.) *Právne aspekty rovnakého zaobchádzania v slovenskej realite* Bratislava, 2012, available at <http://genderdatabaza.wordpress.com/2012/12/15/pravne-aspekty-rovnakeho-zaobchadzania-v-slovenskej-realite/>, accessed 31 July 2013.
- L. Foljanty & U. Lembke (eds.) *Feministische Rechtswissenschaft. Ein Studienbuch*, 2nd ed., Baden-Baden, Nomos-Verlag 2012.
- S. Fredman 'European Community Discrimination Law: a Critique', *Industrial Law Journal* (1992) Vol. 21, 119-134.
- S. Fredman 'Equality: A New Generation?', *Industrial Law Journal* (2001) Vol. 30, 145-168.
- S. Fredman 'Changing the Norm: Positive Duties in Equal Treatment Legislation', *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law*, (2005) 12 MJ 4, 369-397.
- S. Fredman 'Reforming Equal Pay Law', *Industrial Law Journal* (2008) Vol. 37, No. 3, 193-218.
- S. Fredman *Discrimination Law 2nd ed.*, New York: Oxford University Press 2011.
- B. Galić & Ž. Kamenov *Rodna ravnopravnost i diskriminacija u Hrvatskoj* (Gender Equality and Discrimination in Croatia) Zagreb: Ured za ravnopravnost spolova Vlade Republike Hrvatske 2011.
- N. Gavalas 'Κηρύσσονται ανίσχυρες διατάξεις του δευτερογενούς δικαίου της Ένωσης ως αντίθετες προς τον Χάρτη Θεμελιωδών Δικαιωμάτων της ΕΕ (Σχόλια στις αποφάσεις του ΔΕΕ επί των υποθέσεων C-236/09 και C-92-93/09)' (Provisions of secondary EU law are declared invalid because they are contrary to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU (Comments on CJEU judgments on Cases C-236/09 and C-92-93/09), *Επιθεώρησης Εργατικού Δικαίου* (Labour Law Review) (2012) p. 848.
- N. Gavalas 'Η προστασία της μητρότητας ως ανθρώπινο δικαίωμα. Δύο αποφάσεις του ΔΕΕ μετά τη θέση σε ισχύ του Χάρτη Θεμελιωδών Δικαιωμάτων της ΕΕ (Σχόλια στις C-232/09 και C-149/10)' (Maternity protection as a human right. Two CJEU judgments after the coming into effect of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU (Comments on C-232/09 and C-149/10)', *Επιθεώρησης Εργατικού Δικαίου* (Labour Law Review) (2013) p. 209.
- D. Gumbrevičiūtė-Kuzminskienė *Lygių galimybių principo įgyvendinimas Europos Sąjungos ir Lietuvos darbo teisėje* (Implementation of the Principle of Equal Opportunities in EU and Lithuanian law) Vilnius, Mykolo Romerio universitetas 2011.
- Á. Hárs 'Atipikus foglalkoztatási formák Magyarországon a kilencvenes és a kétezres években' (Atypical employment in the 1990s and 2000s in Hungary) Budapest Working Papers on the Labour Market, Budapest Munkagazdaságtani Füzetek BWP, 2012/7, Institute of Economics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences Budapest, <http://www.econ.core.hu/file/download/bwp/bwp1207.pdf>, accessed 4 June 2013.
- R. Hernu, *Principe d'égalité et principe de non-discrimination dans la jurisprudence de la Cour de justice des Communautés européennes* Paris: L.G.D.J., 2003.
- T. Hervey and J. Shaw 'Women, Work and Care: Women's Dual Role and Double Burden in EC Sex Equality Law', *Journal of European Social Policy* (1998) Vol. 8, 43-63.
- C. Hohmann-Dennhardt et al. (eds.) *Geschlechtergerechtigkeit. Festschrift für Heide Pfarr*, Baden-Baden, Nomos-Verlag 2012.
- C. Hoskins *Integrating Gender*, London-New York: Verso, 1996.

- J. Jacqmain *Protection de la maternité* (Maternity Protection), Kluwer, *Etudes pratiques de droit social*, Waterloo, 2012.
- L. Kanter *Addressing gender inequality and discrimination to prevent violence against women and girls*, Paper presented at the 57th Session of the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women, 4-15 March 2013, New York, available at <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/csw/csw57/panels/panel1-paper-liina-kanter.pdf>, accessed 3 May 2013.
- M. Karamessini & J. Rubery (eds.) *Women and Austerity. The Economic Crisis and the Future for Gender Equality*, Routledge (forthcoming).
- M. Kılıçoğlu and N. Süral 'Prohibiting Sexual Harassment in the Workplace in Turkey' *Middle Eastern Studies* 47, No. 4 (2011) pp. 655-662.
- C. Klenner & T. Schmidt 'Minijobs – eine riskante Beschäftigungsform beim normativen Übergang zum Adult-Worker-Model' WSI-Mitteilungen 01/2012 Boeckler Foundation, available on http://www.boeckler.de/wsi_38633.htm, accessed 15 April 2013.
- A. Kotvanová (ed.) *Dáta o rovnosti v slovenskej realite*, Bratislava, 2012, available at http://genderdatabaza.files.wordpress.com/2012/12/data_o_rovnosti_text.pdf, accessed 31 July 2013.
- S. Koukoulis-Spiliotopoulos *From Formal to Substantive Gender Equality: the Proposed Amendments to Directive 76/207, Comments and Suggestions* Marangopoulos Foundation for Human Rights, A. N. Sakkoulas/Bruylant, 2001.
- S. Koukoulis-Spiliotopoulos 'The Amended Equal Treatment Directive (2002/73): An Expression of Constitutional Principles/Fundamental Rights', *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law* (2005) 12 MJ 4, 327-368.
- S. Koukoulis-Spiliotopoulos 'Gender equality and social rights: essential conditions for democracy', in *World Forum for Democracy*, Strasbourg 2012, *Round table: Building inclusive democracy through women* 7 October 2012, <http://www.leaguewomenrights.gr/index.php?menu=65> accessed 5 June 2013.
- S. Koukoulis-Spiliotopoulos 'Ο Ν. 3896/2010 και τα επαγγελματικά συστήματα κοινωνικής ασφάλισης. Η σύγχυση επιτείνεται' (Act 3896/2010 and the occupational social security schemes. The confusion is heightened), *Επιθεώρησης Δικαίου Κοινωνικής Ασφάλισης* (Review of Social Security Law) (2012) p. 27.
- Y. Kravaritou (ed.) *The regulation of working time in the European Union; Gender approach*, Brussels: Peter Lang, 1999.
- G. Krell et al. (eds.) *Chancengleichheit durch Personalpolitik. Gleichstellung von Frauen und Männern in Unternehmen und Verwaltungen. Rechtliche Regelungen – Problemanalysen – Lösungen*, 6th ed., Wiesbaden, Gabler 2011.
- E. Lombardo and P. Meier 'Framing Gender Equality in the European Union Political Discourse', *Social Politics* (2008) Vol. 15 No. 1, 101-129.
- E. Lopez Terrada *Las modalidades de contratación en la reforma laboral de 2012* Valencia, Ed. Tirant lo Blanch 2012.
- G. Löschnigg *Arbeitsrecht* Vienna, ÖGB-Verlag 2011.
- C. MacKinnon *Feminism Unmodified. Discourses on Life and Law*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1988.
- L. Markey (with J. Jacqmain) 'L'égalité entre les femmes et les hommes: rétrospectives et perspectives' (Equal treatment of women and men: in retrospect and in prospect) in E. Plasschaert and O. Rijckaert (eds) *Le droit social en chantier(s)* (Social law in construction), p. 311, Brussels: Larcier 2012.

- J. Marshall ‘Women’s Right to Autonomy and Identity in European Human Rights Law: Manifesting One’s Religion’, *Res Publica* (2008) Vol. 14 No. 3, 177-192.
- D. Martin *Égalité et non-discrimination dans la jurisprudence communautaire* Brussels: Bruylant, 2006.
- A. Masselot ‘The New Equal Treatment Directive: Plus Ça Change ...: Comment on Directive 2002/73/EC of 23 September 2002 Amending Council Directive 76/207/EEC on the Implementation of the Principle of Equal Treatment for Men and Women as Regards Access to Employment, Vocational Training and Promotion, and Working Conditions’, *Feminist Legal Studies* (2004) 12:93-104.
- C. McGlynn ‘Ideologies of Motherhood in European Community Sex Equality Law’, *European Law Journal* (2000) Vol. 6, 29-44.
- F. Meenan *Employment Law* Dublin, Round Hall Thomson Reuters 2013.
- H. Meenan *Equality Law in an Enlarged European Union: Understanding the Article 13 Directives* Cambridge, Cambridge University Press 2010.
- A. Merens et al. *Emancipatiemonitor* (Emancipation Monitor) The Hague, CBS 2012.
- E. Mišćenić ‘Autonomy and Antidiscrimination in Private Law’ in: C. Jessel-Holst et al. (ed.), *Civil Law Forum for South East Europe - Collection of studies and analyses*, 2013, p. 28, 3rd Regional Conference of the Civil Law Forum for South East Europe, 2013 (forthcoming).
- R. Nielsen ‘The Impact of EU Law on Scandinavian Law in Matters of Gender Equality’ in:
R. Nielsen and C. D. Tvarnø (eds.) *Scandinavian Women's Law in the 21st Century*, Copenhagen, 2012.
- K. Nousiainen ‘Suomen syrjinnänvastainen lainsäädäntö’ (Anti-Discrimination Law in Finland), in:
J. Kantola et al., (eds.) *Tasa-arvo toisin nähtynä* (Equality seen from another perspective), pp. 143-174, Gaudeamus 2012.
- V. Pace ‘Discrimination between full-time and part-time workers: a reality or a myth?’ LL.D dissertation, 2012, University of Malta.
- A. Petroglou ‘Η Κοινωνικοασφαλιστική Μεταρρύθμιση του Ν. 3996/2011. Η αναδρομικότητα των ρυθμίσεων και το έλλειμμα δικαιοσύνης και νομιμοποίησης’ (The social security reform of Act 3996/2011. The retroactivity of the provisions and the deficit in justice and legitimisation) *Επιθεώρησης Δικαίου Κοινωνικής Ασφάλισης* (Review of Social Security Law) (2011) p. 672.
- H. Pettersson Frihet från Underordning ‘*En kritisk rättsvetenskaplig studie av diskriminering av deltidsarbetande och visstidsanställa*’ (Freedom from Subordination, A critical legal study of discrimination of part-time and fixed-term workers) Lund: Bokbox, 2012.
- O. Pietruchová and Z. Magurová Institute of Gender Equality, *Metodická štúdiá sledovania legislatívnych úprav rodovej rovnosti vo vybraných krajinách EÚ a aplikácia v právnom poriadku SR*, Bratislava, August 2011, available at <http://www.instituttr.sk/download/s2011-pietruchova.pdf>, accessed 13 July 2013.
- J. Plantenga ‘Towards a European Union Gender Equality Index’, *Journal of European Social Policy* (2009) Vol. 19 No. 1, 19-33.
- S. Prechal ‘Equality of Treatment, Non-Discrimination and Social Policy: Achievements in Three Themes’, *Common Market Law Review* (2004) 41, 533-551.
- S. Prechal and N. Burrows *Gender Discrimination Law of the European Community*, Aldershot-Vermont: Dartmouth, 1990.
- S. Rizzo ‘The Capacities of the Maltese Labour Market to Absorb Irregular Immigrants’, and Chapter 7 in P.G. Xuereb (ed.) *Migration and Asylum in Malta and the European Union: Rights and Realities 2002-2011* Malta: Malta University Press, 2012.
- T. Roosalu ‘Taking Care of Children and Work in Estonian Society: Running out of Post-Socialist Time?’ (*Kuidas hoitakse lapsi ja tööd Eesti ühiskonnas: ikka veel hädas postsotsialistliku ajaga?*), Doctoral Dissertation, Tallinn University 2012.

- W. L. Roozendaal *Werk en privé, de strijd om tijd in het arbeidsovereenkomstenrecht* (Labour and Private Life, the Battle for Time in Labour Contract Law) Deventer: Kluwer 2011.
- M. do Rosário Palma Ramalho *Tratado de Direito do Trabalho* (Treaty on Labour Law), I (3rd edition), II (4th edition), and III (1st edition), Lisbon (Almedina) 2012.
- D. Schiek ‘A New Framework on Equal Treatment of Persons in EC Law?’ *European Law Journal* (2002) Vol. 8, 290-314.
- D. Schiek ‘Broadening the Scope and the Norms of EU Gender Equality Law: Towards a Multidimensional Conception of Equality Law’, *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law* (2005) 12 MJ 4, 427-466.
- D. Schiek, L. Waddington and M. Bell eds. *Cases, materials and texts on national, supra-national and international non-discrimination law*, Oxford: Hart Publishing 2007.
- D. Schiek and V. Chege (eds.) *European Union Non-Discrimination Law. Comparative perspectives on Multidimensional Equality Law*, London and New York: Routledge Cavendish, 2009.
- O. Smith ‘How Far from a “Right to Care”? Reconciling Care Work and Labour Market Work in Ireland’ *The Irish Jurist* 2012-1 pp. 143-167.
- M. Stigelund Kiel ‘Kvinder og pension i et kønsperspektiv’ (Women and Pension in a Gender Perspective) *Forskningscenteret WELMA, Det Juridiske Fakultet, Københavns Universitet*. This article is a revised version of a presentation by M. Stigelund Kiels oplæg at the Conference ‘Køn & Ret’ (Gender and Law) 12-14 September 2012 in Copenhagen. The article was accepted for publication in 2013.
- A. Stergiou ‘H αργή ανάδυση της πατρότητας μέσα από την εναρμόνιση επαγγελματικής και οικογενειακής ζωής’ (The slow emergence of paternity from the harmonisation of professional and family life), *Επιθεώρησις Εργατικού Δικαίου* (Labour Law Review) (2013) p. 369.
- R. Suban ‘Irregular Immigrants in the Maltese Labour Market: Current Situation and Problems’ Chapter 8 in P.G. Xuereb (ed.) *Migration and Asylum in Malta and the European Union: Rights and Realities 2002-2011* Malta: Malta University Press, 2012.
- C. Tobler *Indirect Discrimination. A Case Study into the Development of the Legal Concept of Indirect Discrimination under EC Law*, Social Europe Series 10, Antwerp-Oxford: Intersentia, 2005.
- E. Traversa ‘The Protection of Part-Time Workers in the Case Law of the Court of Justice of the European Communities’, *International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations* (2003) Vol. 19/2, 219-241.
- S. Vasiljević *Slično i različito. Diskriminacija u Europskoj uniji i Republici Hrvatskoj* (Similar and Dissimilar. Discrimination in the European Union and Croatia) Zagreb: Tim Press 2011.
- M. Vinković ‘Spolna segregacija i tržište rada - hrvatski diskurs europskih trendova’ (Gender segregation and labour market – Croatian discourse of European trends) in: I. Radačić & J. Vince Pallua (ed.) *Ljudska prava žena*, pp. 201-216 Zagreb: Institut Ivo Pilar, Ured za ravnopravnost spolova RH 2011.
- D. Voss & C. Weinkopf ‘Niedriglohnfalle Minijob’ *WSI-Mitteilungen* 01/2012 Boeckler Foundation, available on http://www.boeckler.de/wsi_38633.htm, accessed 15 April 2013.
- J. J. Votinius ‘Troublesome Transformation. EU Law on Pregnancy and Maternity Turned into Swedish Law on Parental Leave’ in: M. Rönmar (ed.) *Fundamental Rights and Social Europe* Oxford: Hart Publishing 2011.
- J. J. Votinius ‘Parenthood Meets Market Functionalism – Parental Rights in the Labour Market and the Importance of the Gender Dimension’ in: A. Numhauser-Henning and M. Rönmar (eds.) *Normative Patterns and Legal Developments in the Social Dimension of the EU* Oxford: Hart Publishing 2013.

M. Verwilghen (ed.) *L'égalité juridique entre femmes et hommes dans la Communauté européenne, vol. 1, Rapport généraux* Louvain-La-Neuve: Presses Universitaires de Louvain, 1986.

L. Waddington and M. Bell 'More equal than others: Distinguishing European Union equality directives', *Common Market Law Review* (2001) Vol. 3, 587-611.

M. Wilfried '*Lohn(un)gleichheit in der Liechtensteinischen Landesverwaltung: Untersuchung im Auftrag der Arbeitsgruppe zur Förderung der Gleichstellung von Frau und Mann in der Landesverwaltung und der Stabsstelle für Chancengleichheit*', *Arbeitspapiere Liechtenstein*, 36 (2012).

K. Walczak '*Zakaz dyskryminacji w stosunku do osób wykonujących pracę na podstawie atypowych form zatrudnienia*' (The prohibition of discrimination in relation to persons working on the basis of atypical forms of employment), *Monitor Prawa Pracy* (2012) No. 3.

Reports

Association des Femmes de l'Europe Méridionale (AFEM) *L'égalité entre les femmes et les hommes, un droit fondamentale, et la Charte des droits fondamentaux de l'UE*, A.N. Sakkoulas/Bruylant, 2001.

Association des Femmes de l'Europe Méridionale (AFEM) *Concilier famille et travail pour les hommes et les femmes: droit et pratiques*, A. N. Sakkoulas/Bruylant 2005.

Dares Analyses *Le temps partiel en 2011* No. 005, January 2013, available in French at <http://travail-emploi.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/2013-005.pdf>, accessed 15 April 2013.

European Commission *Report on the application of Directive 2006/54/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2006 on the implementation of the principle of equal opportunities and equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation (recast)*, 6 December 2013, COM(2013) 861, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/gender-pay-gap/131209_directive_en.pdf, accessed 6 January 2014.

European Network of legal experts in the fields of employment, social affairs and equality between men and women *General Report on Developments in EU Gender Equality Law, June 2006-May 2007*, May 2007, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/2, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/keyDocuments.jsp?type=0&policyArea=418&subCategory=641&country=0&year=0&advSearchKey=noelr&mode=advancedSubmit&langId=en>

European Network of legal experts in the fields of employment, social affairs and equality between men and women *Report on Pregnancy, Maternity, Parental and Paternity Rights*, March 2007, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/2, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/keyDocuments.jsp?type=3&policyArea=418&subCategory=641&country=0&year=0&advSearchKey=noerep&mode=advancedSubmit&langId=en>

European Network of legal experts in the fields of employment, social affairs and equality between men and women *Legal Aspects of the Gender Pay Gap*, February 2007, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/2, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/keyDocuments.jsp?type=3&policyArea=418&subCategory=641&country=0&year=0&advSearchKey=noerep&mode=advancedSubmit&langId=en>

European Network of Legal Experts in the field of Gender Equality *Legal Approaches to Aspects of the Reconciliation of Work, Family Life in Thirty European Countries*, August 2008, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit

- G/2, available at:
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/keyDocuments.jsp?type=3&policyArea=418&subCategory=641&country=0&year=0&advSearchKey=noerep&mode=advancedSubmit&langId=en>
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality *Sex Segregated Services*, December 2008, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/2, available at:
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/BlobServlet?docId=1773&langId=en>
- European Network of Legal Experts in the field of Gender Equality *Transposition of Recast Directive 2006/54/EC*, February 2009 European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit G/2, available at:
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/keyDocuments.jsp?type=3&policyArea=418&subCategory=641&country=0&year=0&advSearchKey=noerep&mode=advancedSubmit&langId=en>
- European Network of Legal Experts in the field of Gender Equality *The Concepts of Equality and Non-Discrimination in Europe: A practical approach*, November 2009, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit G/2, available at:
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/keyDocuments.jsp?type=3&policyArea=418&subCategory=641&country=0&year=0&advSearchKey=noerep&mode=advancedSubmit&langId=en>
- European Network of Legal Experts in the field of Gender Equality *Making Equality Effective: The role of proactive measures*, December 2009, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit G/2, available at:
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/keyDocuments.jsp?type=3&policyArea=418&subCategory=641&country=0&year=0&advSearchKey=noerep&mode=advancedSubmit&langId=en>
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality *European Gender Equality Law Review*, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit JUST/D1, bi-annual editions 2005-2013 all available to download via:
http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/document/index_en.htm#h2-9, accessed 6 January 2014.
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, S. Burri and H. van Eijken, *The Transposition of Recast Directive 2006/54/EC: Update 2011*, August 2011, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit JUST/D1, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/files/recast_update2011_final_en.pdf, accessed 2 December 2013.
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, N. Countouris and M. Freedland, *The Personal Scope of the EU Sex Equality Directives*, September 2012, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit JUST/D1, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/files/your_rights/personal_scope_eu_sex_equality_directive_final_en.pdf, accessed 2 December 2013.
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, P. Foubert, *The Gender Pay gap in Europe from a Legal Perspective*, May 2010, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit G/2, available at:
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=420&langId=en&pubId=578&type=2&furtherPubs=yes>, accessed 6 January 2014.
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, P. Foubert, S. Burri and A. Numhauser-Henning, *The Gender Pay Gap in Europe from a Legal Perspective (including 33 country reports)*, October 2010, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit G/2, available at:
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=641&langId=en>, accessed 6 January 2014.
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, A. Masselot, E. Caracciolo Di Torella, and S. Burri, *Fighting Discrimination on the Grounds of Pregnancy, Maternity and Parenthood: The application of EU and national law in practice in 33 European countries*, November 2012, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit JUST/D1, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/files/your_rights/discrimination_pregnancy_maternity_parenthood_final_en.pdf, accessed 2 December 2013.

- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, A. Numhauser-Henning and S. Laulom, *Harassment related to Sex and Sexual Harassment Law in 33 European Countries: Discrimination versus Dignity*, November 2011, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit JUST/D1, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/files/your_rights/final_harassement_en.pdf, accessed 2 December 2013.
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, S. Renga, D. Molnar-Hidassy, and G. Tisheva, *Direct and Indirect Gender Discrimination in Old-Age Pensions in 33 European Countries*, December 2010, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit JUST/D/2, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/files/conference_sept_2011/dgjustice_oldagepensionspublication3march2011_en.pdf, accessed 2 December 2013.
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality, G. Selanec and L. Senden, *Positive Action Measures to Ensure Full Equality in Practice between Men and Women, including on Company Boards*, September 2011, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit JUST/D1, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/files/gender_balance_decision_making/report_gender-balance_2012_en.pdf, accessed 2 December 2013.
- B. Grésy, Ph. Dole *Rapport sur l'égal accès des femmes et des hommes aux responsabilités professionnelles et familiales dans le monde du travail* Inspection générale des affaires sociales, la Documentation française, June 2011, <http://www.ladocumentationfrancaise.fr/rapports-publics/114000300/index.shtml>, accessed 3 June 2013.
- R. Holtmaat *Catalysts for change? Equality bodies according to Directive 2000/43/EC*, European Commission, Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Union 2007, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/employment_social/fundamental_rights/pdf/legnet/06catalyst_en.pdf
- International Labour Organisation Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations (CEACR) *Observations adopted 2012*, published 102nd ILC Session (2013), Conventions 100 and 111 (equal pay, discrimination), available at <http://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=1000:11003:0::NO>, accessed 5 September 2013.
- Kvindens pension stort set upåvirket af barsel, orlov og deltid*, ATP-report, *faktum om pension, investering og samfund* No. 113, February 2013, available in Danish at http://www.atp.dk/wps/wcm/connect/43bfe4804eb4f62993a4d3b6ad9abbd9/faktum_113.pdf?MOD=AJPERES, accessed 28 June 2013.
- T. Makkonen *Measuring discrimination: data collection and EU equality law*, (European Commission, Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Union, 2006), available at: <http://www.non-discrimination.net/en/publications?jsEnabled=1>, accessed 6 January 2014.
- Ü. Marksoo (ed.) *Employment and Working Life in Estonia 2010–2011*, Trends, Labour Policy Information and Analysis Department, Ministry of Social Affairs of the Republic of Estonia, Tallinn, 2012, pp.1–62. http://www.sm.ee/fileadmin/meedia/Dokumendid/V21jaanded/Toimetised/2012/series_20122eng.pdf, accessed 4 July 2012.

Ministry for the Family, Senior Citizens, Women and Youth (ed.) *Frauen im Minijob. Motive und (Fehl-)Anreize für die Aufnahme geringfügiger Beschäftigung im Lebenslauf*, October 2012, available in German at <http://www.bmfsfj.de/RedaktionBMFSFJ/Broschuerenstelle/Pdf-Anlagen/Frauen-im-Minijob.property=pdf,bereich=bmfsfj,sprache=de,rwb=true.pdf>, accessed 15 April 2013.

Network of legal experts on the application of Community law on equal treatment between women and men *Report on Gender Equality Bodies*, October 2004, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/1, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=641&langId=en&moreDocuments=yes>, accessed 6 January 2014.

Network of legal experts on the application of Community law on equal treatment between women and men *'Putting rights into practice' - remedies provisions in Directives 75/117/EEC and 76/207/EEC*, March 2005, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/1, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=641&langId=en&moreDocuments=yes>, accessed 6 January 2014.

Network of legal experts on the application of Community law on equal treatment between women and men *Report on positive action measures*, April 2005, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/1, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=641&langId=en&moreDocuments=yes>, accessed 6 January 2014.

Network of legal experts on the application of Community law on equal treatment between women and men *Report on exclusion and exceptions in Directive 76/207/EEC*, June 2005, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/1, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=641&langId=en&moreDocuments=yes>, accessed 6 January 2014.

Network of legal experts on the application of Community law on equal treatment between women and men *Report on transposition of Directive 2002/73/EC*, October 2005, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/1, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=641&langId=en&moreDocuments=yes>, accessed 6 January 2014.

Network of legal experts on the application of Community law on equal treatment between women and men *Report on Directive 86/613/EEC*, 2006, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/1, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=641&langId=en&moreDocuments=yes>, accessed 6 January 2014.

Network of legal experts on the application of Community law on equal treatment between women and men *Report on collective bargaining*, June 2006, European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, Unit EMPL/G/1, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=641&langId=en&moreDocuments=yes>, accessed 6 January 2014.

M. Sepper *Soolise võrdõiguslikkuse ja võrdse kohtlemise voliniku 2011. aasta tegevuse ülevaade* (Annual Report of the Gender Equality and Equal Treatment Commissioner 2011), Tallinn 2012.

C. Tobler *Limits and potential of the concept of indirect discrimination*, (European Commission, Luxembourg: Office of Official Publications of the European Union, 2008) available at: <http://www.non-discrimination.net/en/publications?jsEnabled=1>, accessed 6 January 2014.

M. de Vos *Beyond formal equality: positive action under Directives 2000/43/EC and 2000/78/EC*, (European Commission, Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Union, 2007) available at: <http://www.non-discrimination.net/en/publications?jsEnabled=1>, accessed 6 January 2014.

Annex III

Members of the European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality

Co-ordinator:

Susanne Burri, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Assistants

Alyssa Bos, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Alice Welland, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Executive Committee:

Hélène Masse-Dessen, Barrister at the Conseil d'Etat and Cour de Cassation, France

Christopher McCrudden, Queen's University Belfast, the United Kingdom

Linda Senden, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Susanne Burri, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

National experts:

Neda Bei (Austria), Legal expert in the Vienna Chamber of Labour, Management of Social Affairs

Jean Jacquemain (Belgium), Free University of Brussels, Faculty of Law

Genoveva Tisheva (Bulgaria), Bulgarian Gender Research Foundation

Nada Bodiroga-Vukobrat (Croatia), Professor, Head of Jean Monnet Department of European Public Law /
University of Rijeka, Faculty of Law

Evangelia Lia Efstratiou-Georgiades (Cyprus), Advocate

Kristina Koldinská (Czech Republic), Charles University, Faculty of Law

Ruth Nielsen (Denmark), Copenhagen Business School, Law Department

Anu Laas (Estonia), Institute of Sociology and Social Policy, University of Tartu

Kevät Nousiainen (Finland) Helsinki University, Faculty of Law

Sylvaine Laulom (France), University Jean Monnet, Saint-Etienne, Faculty of Law

Mirjana Najcevska (FYR of Macedonia), Professor of Human Rights, American College – Skopje and
University 'Ss. Cyril and Methodius', Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research

Ulrike Lembke (Germany), University of Hamburg, Faculty of Law

Sophia Koukoulis-Spiliotopoulos (Greece), Attorney at Law

Beáta Nacsa (Hungary), Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Labour and Social Law

Herdís Thorgeirsdóttir (Iceland), Bifrost University, Faculty of Law

Frances Meenan (Ireland), Barrister, Four Courts, Law Library, Dublin

Simonetta Renga (Italy), Ferrara University, Faculty of Economics

Kristīne Dupate (Latvia), University of Latvia, Faculty of Law

Nicole Mathé (Liechtenstein), University of Vienna, Faculty of Law

Tomas Davulis (Lithuania), Vilnius University, Faculty of Law

Anik Raskin (Luxembourg), National Women's Council of Luxembourg

Peter G. Xuereb (Malta), University of Malta, Faculty of Law

Rikki Holtmaat (the Netherlands), Independent Legal Consultant Expert

Helga Aune (Norway), University of Oslo, Faculty of Law

Eleonora Zielińska (Poland), University of Warsaw, Faculty of Law and Administration

Maria do Rosário Palma Ramalho (Portugal), University of Lisbon, Faculty of Law

Iustina Ionescu (Romania), Independent Legal Advisor

Zuzana Magurová (Slovakia), Slovak Academy of Sciences, Institute of State and Law

Tanja Koderman Sever (Slovenia), Independent Legal Advisor

María Amparo Ballester Pastor (Spain), University of Valencia, Faculty of Law

Ann Numhauser-Henning (Sweden), Lund University, Faculty of Law

Nurhan Süral (Turkey), Middle East Technical University, Faculty of Economics and Administrative
Sciences

Aileen McColgan (the United Kingdom), King's College London

DOI 10.2838/84769

ISBN 978-92-79-34786-3



9 789279 347863



Publications Office