# Untitled I challenges ahead

Herbert Van de Sompel Research Library Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA





# About?

- Original intent: talk about technical work at LANL
- But LANL is sooooo different:
  - Local storage of Terrabytes of content
  - Local creation of services over that content
  - ⇒ Whatever LANL does, doesn't apply to other libraries





# About?

- In this keynote, I will:
  - Show that many libraries will soon be in a quite similar situation
  - Explore the characteristics and consequences of that situation
  - · Focus on fundamental infrastructure
- Structure:
  - · Slides that make the major arguments
  - · Sidebars that illustrate (related) thoughts





# Sidebar







# A brief history of digital library collections

	Storage		Service	
	Local	Remote	Local	Remote
catalogue	X		X	
A&I	X	Х	X	Х
full content		Х		Х

- 2 considerations:
  - Minimal locally hosted collection
  - Storage and Service are tied together
- Both will change





the

# repository

model



"Pattern Recognition: The 2003 OCLC Environmental Scan" http://www.oclc.org/membership/escan/toc.htm







Different repository types:

- scholarly communication (prerpint, postprint),
- dataset repositories,
- cultural heritage collections,
- cultural event collections,
- learning object repositories,
- teaching object repositories,
- digitized book repositories,

....

Can be institution-based, discipline-based, ...





#### - 🗆 × 🥹 ARL 226: Institutional Repositories: Essential Infrastructure for Scholarship in the Digital Age - Mozilla Firefox File Edit View Go Bookmarks Tools Help http://www.arl.org/newsltr/226/ir.html 🗋 • » ARL Bimonthly Report 226 February 2003 Institutional Repositories: Essential Infrastructure for Scholarship in the Digital Age by Clifford A. Lynch, Executive Director, Coalition for Networked Information Introduction In the fall of 2002, something extraordinary occurred in the continuing networked information revolution, shifting the dynamic among individually driven innovation, institutional progress, and the evolution of disciplinary scholarly practices. The development of institutional repositories emerged as a new strategy that allows universities to apply serious, systematic leverage to accelerate changes taking place in scholarship and scholarly communication, both moving beyond their historic relatively passive role of supporting established publishers in modernizing scholarly publishing through the licensing of digital content, and also scaling up beyond ad-hoc alliances, partnerships, and support arrangements with a few select faculty pioneers exploring more transformative new uses of the digital medium. Many technology trends and development efforts came together to make this strategy possible. Online storage costs have dropped significantly; repositories are now affordable. Standards like the open archives metadata harvesting protocol are now in place; some progress has also been made on the standards for the underlying metadata itself. The thinking about digital preservation over the past five years has advanced to the point where the needs are widely recognized and well defined, the technical approaches at least superficially mapped out, and the need for action is now clear. The development of free, publicly accessible journal article collections in 🔀 Find: Jynch 💿 Find Next 🙆 Find Previous 📰 Highlight 🔲 Match case Done 📌 Start 📗

### http://www.arl.org/newsltr/226/ir.html







Before they know it, institutions will be swamped with digital information of all kinds

Libraries seem to be the natural parties to take care of this

- Vast growth of digital collection:
- Local repository (ies)
- Thousands of remote repositories







Explore (some of) the characteristics & consequences of this model:

- Value chains starting in repositories
- Local capacity
- Archiving
- Rights
- Interoperability
- Standards







Explore (some of) the characteristics & consequences of this model:

- Value chains starting in repositories
- Local capacity
- Archiving
- Rights
- Interoperability
- Standards







- New knowledge is really being created when allowing for nonanticipated use of stuff.
- These repositories are *not* about creating *services for local* users (only)
- These repositories are *not* about creating *a service* (user interface) *for all users*
- These repositories are about *facilitating* the *use* of materials *in many contexts*
- These repositories are the starting point of value chains













# Example: scholarly communication value chains



- Journal system is just one possible, vertically integrated value chain
- In a networked world, the functions it performs can/will be handled in a deconstructed/distributed manner:
  - registration in repository
  - validation by different nodes/parties
  - archiving by different nodes/parties
  - awareness by different nodes/parties





## Example: scholarly communication value chains









### http://dx.doi.org/10.1045/september2004-vandesompel







- Lesson learned:
- To allow value chains to emerge on the basis of materials in repositories, those repositories need a clear/clean machine interface that allows downstream applications to consume materials, aggregate them, build services, ...
- ⇒ Disconnection of repository content and service: allows for creation of both local and remote services
- $\Rightarrow$  On-Web: Protocol-oriented interface
- ⇒ These value chains are about *the real stuff* not (only) about metadata





esource Harvesting within the OAI-PMH Framework - Mozilla Firefox	
Edit View Go Bookmarks Iools Help	<u>_</u>
• 🖓 • 🚭 🛞 🕎	
🚔 🍈 🗋 http://www.dlib.org/dlib/december04/vandesompel/12vandesompel.html 🔄 🗭	Getting Started 🔂 Latest Headlines
Search   Back Issues   Author Index   Title Index   Contents	<u>^</u>
ARTICLES	-
D-Lib Magazine	
December 2004	
Volume 10 Number 12	
IS 5N 1082-9873	• LANL aDORe
Resource Harvesting within the OALPMH Framework	
Resource that vesting within the OAL-T WITT Framework	
<u>Herbert Van de Sompel</u> Los Alamos National Laboratory, Research Library	· APS/LANL
Set A lattices in validation of a contractively in the contractive of the contractive	
Michael L. Nelson	
Old Dominion University, Computer Science Department	• DSpace plugi
<min@cs.odu.edu></min@cs.odu.edu>	
<u>Carl Lagoze</u>	
Cornell University, Computing and Information Science <lagoze@cs.cornell.edu></lagoze@cs.cornell.edu>	• mod ogi
Simeon Warner	inou_oui
Cornell University, Computing and Information Science	
<simeon@cs.comell.edu></simeon@cs.comell.edu>	
A la seture et	
Abstract	<b>•</b>
rt 🛛 🚱 🧶 🖂 🔯 🎽 Resource Harvesting 📴 Microsoft PowerPoint - [k	s 😓 😔 🖾 🏈 🕵 🛄 🚺 10:12 AM
$b \pm \pm 1$ / $b + a = 1$ and $(10, 10, 45)$ / $b = a = 200.4$	
nttp://ax.doi.org/10.1045/december2004-	vandesompei
	- MRC
Herbert Van de Sompel	
RESEARCH Olybris 2005, Monday April 18 <sup>th</sup> , Kos, Greece	Los Alamos
	NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos
 NATIONAL LABORATORY



Explore (some of) the characteristics & consequences of this model:

- Value chains starting in repositories
- Local capacity
- Archiving
- Rights
- Interoperability
- Standards







# Local capacity

- Need basic infrastructure to be able to deal with digital materials of all kinds
- Infrastructure has the real stuff, not metadata at its core
- DSpace, eprints.org, Fedora, ...
  - · Doctypes?
  - · Vertical application vs basic plumbing?
  - · Service-orientation?
  - · On-Web?
  - Multiple repositories?
  - Scale?







Olybris 2005, Monday April 18th, Kos, Greece

NATIONAL LABORATORY

LIBRARY



Herbert Van de Sompel Olybris 2005, Monday April 18<sup>th</sup>, Kos, Greece

# - **Alamos**

LOS AIAMO



Explore (some of) the characteristics & consequences of this model:

- Value chains starting in repositories
- Local capacity
- Archiving
- Rights
- Interoperability
- Standards







# Archiving

- Very early days
- Current strategies:
  - Deal with materials in a way that *supports* their preservation:
    - Be certain of what you store / Record datastreamrelated metadata
    - Risk detecting tools
  - · Mirroring









### http://hul.harvard.edu/jhove/





### http://metadata.net/panic/







![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

### http://arXiv.org/abs/cs.DL/0503016

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

- APS/LANL mirroring:
  - Mirrors objects, not applications, not filesystems
  - Complex object format for XML-based object representation
  - OAI-PMH ~ syncing
  - XML Signatures ~ accuracy of data transfer

Paper in June 2005 D-Lib

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

Explore (some of) the characteristics & consequences of this model:

- Value chains starting in repositories
- Local capacity
- Archiving
- Rights
- Interoperability
- Standards

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Rights

- When facilitating the (re)use of materials (not just metadata) IP concerns increase significantly:
  - · Data authenticity
  - · Data integrity
  - Usage rights
- Need machine readable rights expressions:
  - · Robots are the next generation readers
  - · Even when materials are "free"
  - · Object-level expressions
  - The world of CC, MPEG-21 REL. ODRL, XRML
  - NISO meeting to explore needs of scholarly community in this realm

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Rights

- Urgent need for an environment in which scholarly assets behave in a manner that matches the "gift exchange" spirit of scholarship.
- James Boyle: Think about what we loose by sticking with the current paradigm!
  - enormous constraints on ability to use scholarly assets: process to extract knowledge, attach knowledge, mine, evolve, build upon: robots are the next generation readers

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

# © creative commons

You're probably familiar with the phrase, "All rights reserved," and the little (c) that goes along with it. Creative Commons wants to help copyright holders send a different message: "Some rights reserved."

For example, if you don't mind people copying and distributing your online image so long as they give you credit, we'll have a license that helps you say so. If you want people to copy your band's MP3 but don't want them to profit off it without your permission, use one of our licenses to express that preference. Our licensing tools will even help you mix and match such preferences from a menu of options:

Attribution. Permit others to copy, distribute, display, and perform the work and derivative works based upon it only if they give you credit.

Some of the set of the

**E** No Derivative Works. Permit others to copy, distribute, display and perform only verbatim copies of the work, not derivative works based upon it.

**Ocopyleft.** Permit others to distribute derivative works only under a license identical to the license that governs your work.

### http://creativecommons.org

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### 😻 Science Commons | Creative Commons - Mozilla Firefox

<u>File Edit View Go Bookmarks Tools Help</u>

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

🔟 🚔 🁕 🞯 http://science.creativecommons.org/

#### © science commons

#### Welcome to Science Commons

Science Commons is a new project of <u>Creative Commons</u> and will launch early 2005.

The mission of Science Commons is to encourage scientific innovation by making it easier for scientists, universities, and industries to use literature, data, and other scientific intellectual property and to share their knowledge with others. Science Commons works within current copyright and patent law to promote legal and technical mechanisms that remove barriers to sharing.

#### Background

The sciences depend on access to and use of factual data. Powered by developments in electronic storage and computational capability, scientific inquiry is becoming more data-intensive in almost every discipline. Whether the field is meteorology, genomics, medicine, or high-energy physics, research depends on the availability of multiple databases, from multiple public and private sources, and their openness to easy recombination, search and processing.

#### The American Traditions

In the United States, this process has traditionally been supported by a series of policies, laws, and practices that were largely invisible even to those who worked in the sciences themselves.

First, American intellectual property law (and, until recently, the law of most developed countries) did not allow for intellectual property protection of "raw facts." One could patent the mousetrap, not the data on the behavior of mice, or the tensile strength of steel. A scientific article could be copyrighted. The data on which it rested could not be. Commercial proprietary

#### Done

🍠 Start 🛛 🞯 🕘 🥥 🖸 🔞 Science Comm... 🖪 3 Microsoft Po... 🗸

#### Publish

license your book, essays, and papers

Creative Commons helps you publish your text online while letting others know exactly what they can and can't do with your work.

-

🐢 Getting Started 🔂 Latest Headlines

Do you have your own web site?

You can choose a Creative Commons License to apply to your text works:

![](_page_34_Picture_20.jpeg)

License your text under your terms. Our set of standard licenses will let you share text with viewers while protecting your writing from limits you put in place.

 <u>Guide to adding Creative Commons to</u> your existing website

뢋 🥏 🍓 🚔 🧶 🏷 😓 🗠 🖄 💭 🚺 1:08 PM

Find

Search for science-related materials

http://science.creativecommons.org

![](_page_34_Picture_26.jpeg)

Herbert Van de Sompel Olybris 2005, Monday April 18<sup>th</sup>, Kos, Greece

C EAB-2005

😪 Fireworks - resc...

![](_page_34_Picture_28.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

### http://www.openarchives.org/OAI/2.0/guidelines-rights.htm

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

Explore (some of) the characteristics & consequences of this model:

- Value chains starting in repositories
- Local capacity
- Archiving
- Rights
- Interoperability
- Standards

![](_page_36_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Interoperability

- Use and re-use of materials in global context
  - Clean/clear machine interface is not enough.
  - Need cross-repository content-level interoperability
  - Interoperable, global federation of repositories

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Interoperability

- Architectural issues include:
  - Object representation (MPEG-21 DIDL, IMS/CP, METS, .)
  - Object identification
  - Object harvesting
  - Object disseminations
  - Object relationships
  - Discovery of object repositories

o ...

![](_page_38_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_12.jpeg)

🔒 🎌 💽 http	p://cordra.lsal.cmu.edu/					
CORDRA: Content Ob	ject Repository Disco 🖸 CORDRA: Content Object Repository					
	GORDRA Content Object Repository Discovery and Registration/Resolution Architecture					
	Search					
00004	CORDRA™					
INTRODUCTION	CORDRA (Content Object Repository Discovery and Registration/Resolution Architecture):					
formation publications presentations	An open, standards-based model for how to design and implement software systems for the purposes of discovery, sharing and reuse of learning content through the establishment of interoperable federations of learning content repositories.					
links documents	CORDRA is designed to be an enabling model to bridge the worlds of learning content management and delivery, and content repositories and digital libraries. CORDRA aims to identify and specify (not develop) appropriate technologies and existing interportability standards that can be combined into					
registries repositories	a reference model used to enable a learning content infrastructure.					
vork	CORDRA IS:					
projects tools	A formal model that can be used to design federations of repositories (the CORDRA reference model).					
vents events	<ul> <li>A collection of operational systems built from the CORDRA model, including:         <ul> <li>a prototype implementation of a repository federation; and</li> <li>an operational federations used to combined different CORDRA federations</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
bout site info	<ul> <li>The activities and projects surrounding the definition of the CORDRA model and creation of the operational systems.</li> </ul>					
policies site map contact info	The CORDRA activities are being coordinated by the Advanced Distributed Learning Initiative, (ADL) the Corporation for National Research Initiatives, (CNRI) and the Learning Systems Architecture Lab (LSAL).					
e						
tart 🛛 🐼 🎒 🚳	🖂 🖸 👋 🕼 CORDRA: Cont 🛛 🖪 3 Microsoft Po., 👽 📀 Fireworks - paip., 🛛 🗁 FAR-2005 👘 🕼 🔕 🖉 🚳 🖾 🖉 🔕 🕞 🚳 🖉 🔕 💭 🌾 1:30 Pf					

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

Olybris 2005, Monday April 18th, Kos, Greece

NATIONAL LABORATORY

LIBRARY

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

Explore (some of) the characteristics & consequences of this model:

- Value chains starting in repositories
- Local capacity
- Archiving
- Rights
- Interoperability
- Standards

![](_page_41_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Standards

- Standards are the glue that holds the networked information environment together.
- Standards are crucial to facilitate the emergence of improved and integrated services across repositories.
- As the information environment becomes more complex, and as we move towards new levels of services, we will need more, not less standards.

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Standards

- Standardization efforts/bodies in our community are seriously challenged:
  - Many standards defined outside our community.
  - Lack of impact on major standardization bodies of the networked world (W3C, IETF, IANA, ...)

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Standards/Interoperability context

- Standardization efforts/bodies in our community are seriously challenged:
  - Many standards defined outside our community.
  - Lack of impact on major standardization bodies of the networked world (W3C, IETF, IANA, ...)
  - Problems to interconnect within and amongst related efforts in our community: digital library, grid computing, e-learning, library automation, ...
  - Operational models/processes not adequately adapted to the realities of the networked world (cf. patent challenges OpenURL, MetaSearch)
  - Funding for standardization efforts and related infrastructure is very hard to find (cf. OAI, CIMI, info URI Registry, OpenURL Registry, ...)

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

### http://www.loc.gov/rr/program/lectures/moen.html

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

### http://www.sis.pitt.edu/~dlwkshop/paper\_sompel.html

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

http://opensearch.a9.com/spec/opensearchquerysyntax/1.0/

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_6.jpeg)

🛛 🖨 🁕 🙋 http://opens	earch.a9.com/spec/opensearchdescription/1.0/
OpenSearch™ Docs	
OpenSearch Home	Home > OpenSearch > OpenSearch Description Document 1.0
OpenSearch RSS Spec	Overview
OpenSearch Description Document Spec	Search engines that export OpenSearch RSS should identify their content and search syntax via an OpenSearch
OpenSearch Query Spec	Description document.
FAQs	An example OpenSearch™ Description document:
How To's	mml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?
See Submitted Columns	<0penSearchDescription xmlns="http://a9.com/-/spec/opensearchdescription/1.0/">
Submit Your Search	<url>http://www.unto.net/aws?q=(searchTerms)&amp;searchindex=Electronics</url>
	Lamp;flavor=osrssLamp;itempage=(startPage)
	<pormat>http://a9.com/~/spec/opensearchrss/1.0/</pormat>
	<shortname>Electronics</shortname>
	<longname>Amason Electronics</longname>
	<description>Search for electronics on Amason.com.</description>
	<tags>amason electronics</tags>
	<image/> http://www.unto.net/search/amason_electronics.gif
	<samplesearch>ipod</samplesearch>
	<developer>DeWitt Clinton</developer>
	<contact>dewitt@unto.net</contact>
	<a href="http://www.automatical.com">http://www.automatical.com</a> <a href="http://www.automatical.com">http://www.automatical.com</a> <a href="http://www.automatical.com">http://www.automatical.com</a> <a href="http://www.automatical.com">http://www.automatical.com</a> <a href="http://www.automatical.com">http://www.automatical.com</a>
	All Rights Reserved
	<3yndicationRight>open 3yndicationRight
	<adultcontent>false</adultcontent>
	Note: This document should be made available via HTTP GET at a publicly accessible URL.
	Note: OpenSearch Description documents can not contain HTML.
	Note: The Url and Attribution tags above are shown with line breaks for formatting purposes only.
ne	
Start 🛛 🚱 🥹 🥌 🖸	- »   🕲 A9.co 💿 Micro   💽 Calen   🕥 3 N 🔹 🗀 VALA   🛅 2 Mi 🖌 🍖 Firew   🏂 🚳 🗞 🕾 😓 😁 🎊 💭 🏈 🕸 11:59 AM

 there is another page: more complex than you thought!

http://opensearch.a9.com/spec/opensearchdescription/1.0/

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

Explore (some of) the characteristics & consequences of this model:

- Value chains starting in repositories
- Local capacity
- Archiving
- Rights
- Interoperability
- Standards

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

# can we conclude

# The future of digital library collections?

	Storage		Service	
	Local	Remote	Local	Remote
catalogue	X		X	
A&I	X	Х	X	Х
full content		Х		Х
repositories	Х	Х	Х	Х

- Important locally hosted collection
- Storage and Service disconnected
- Important challenges

![](_page_52_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

# A content-node & service-node ecology?

- Content nodes:
  - Libraries become content-nodes, capturing the intellectual output of their parent institutions and "exposing" it.
  - Vision: A network of federated repositories that makes available the collective intellectual output of faculty and researchers of the world's research institutions
  - Ongoing with the Institutional Repository movement
  - Libraries must act in this realm

![](_page_53_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_8.jpeg)

# A content-node & service-node ecology?

- Service nodes:
  - Need services (value chains) to emerge on top of tat content
  - "If the content is on-Web, the services will bloom"
  - Can not solely rely on ... euh .. Google Scholar
  - Service node tasks include:
    - indexing, searching, recommendation, linking, datamining, visualization, ... nodes
    - annotation, certification, metric-collecting, rewarding,
       ... nodes
    - archiving, normalization/transformation, ... nodes
  - Vision: A federation of networked services in which Libraries take on specific service tasks - that turns into a global scholarly value chain

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_12.jpeg)

Physical libraries:

- Local storage of content originating with 3<sup>rd</sup> parties
- Facilitate use of that content by local user base

Current libraries:

- Remote storage of content originating with 3<sup>rd</sup> parties
- Facilitate use of that content by local user base

Repository model libraries:

- Local storage of content that originates in-house
- Facilitating its use by remote and local users by facilitating the emergence of services

Emergence of a quite fundamental new library model

![](_page_55_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_13.jpeg)

# but really, dude,

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

let's call upon

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)