

{2,6-Bis[(dimethylamino- κ N)methyl]-4-[[2,5-dioxo-1-pyrrolidinyl]oxy]carbonyl}-phenyl- κ C¹}(diiodine)iodidoplatinum(II) dichloromethane hemisolvate

Lars S. von Chrzanowski,^{a*}
Martin Lutz,^a Anthony L. Spek,^a
Bart M. J. M. Suijkerbuijk^b and
Robertus J. M. Klein Gebbink^b

^aBijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands, and ^bOrganic Chemistry and Catalysis, Faculty of Science, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence e-mail:
l.vonchrzanowski@chem.uu.nl

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
T = 150 K
Mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å
Disorder in main residue
R factor = 0.024
wR factor = 0.054
Data-to-parameter ratio = 22.4


For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see <http://journals.iucr.org/e>.

In the title compound [Pt(C₁₇H₂₂N₃O₄)I(I₂)]·0.5CH₂Cl₂, the coordination environment of the Pt^{II} centre is distorted square-pyramidal and is defined by two neutral N atoms and an anionic C atom from the mono-anionic ligand, an iodide anion, and an additional η^1 -coordinated I₂ molecule. Intermolecular C–H···O contacts result in a dimeric structure. The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of two independent [PtI(C₁₇H₂₂N₃O₄)(I₂)] molecules and a CH₂Cl₂ solvent molecule


Received 16 March 2007
Accepted 21 March 2007

Comment


The study of the interaction of simple diatomic molecules with d^8 transition metals is crucial for a better understanding of the mechanism of oxidative addition and reductive elimination reactions. Therefore, we report here the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), as a product of the addition of I₂ to the pincer Pt^{II} complex 3,5-bis-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-4-[iodidoplatinum(II)]benzoic acid *N*-hydroxysuccinimide ester.



The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of two independent [PtI(C₁₇H₂₂N₃O₄)(I₂)] molecules and a CH₂Cl₂ solvent molecule (Fig. 1). One molecule (containing Pt2) shows disorder


Figure 1


The asymmetric unit of (I), with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Only one of the two disordered components is shown for molecule 2.


Figure 2

The disorder in the structure of the second molecule (Pt2). H atoms have been omitted for clarity. The minor disorder component is drawn with dashed bonds.

about the molecular axis over all atomic positions, except for the heavy atoms and the N atoms bonded to Pt2 (Fig. 2). In the following, we discuss only molecule 1, containing Pt1. Geometric parameters for the Pt2 coordination environment are very similar to those for Pt1 and are given in Table 1.

The coordination environment of the Pt^{II} centre is defined by the mono-anionic ligand 2,6-bis[(dimethylamino)methyl]-4-[(2,5-dioxo-1-pyrrolidinyl)oxy]carbonylphenyl (C₁₇H₂₂N₃O₄), an iodide anion and an I₂ molecule. The ligand is coordinated in a tridentate manner to the metal *via* the anionic C atom [Pt1–C11 = 1.942 (4) Å] and the two neutral amine N atoms [Pt1–N11 = 2.104 (4) Å and Pt1–N12 = 2.103 (4) Å]. The iodide anion is coordinated *trans* to atom C11 [C11–Pt1–I11 = 173.70 (12)°], with a Pt1–I11 distance of 2.72985 (19) Å. The I₂ molecule is end-on η¹-coordinated to the Pt^{II} centre [Pt1–I21 = 2.8260 (3) Å] and is part of a linear Pt1–I21–I23 arrangement [177.248 (14)°], with I21–I31 = 2.8379 (4) Å. These distances compare well with those observed in similar Pt^{II} complexes, such as [PtI(NCN)(η¹-I₂)] {NCN = 2,6-bis[(dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl, C₁₂H₁₉N₂} reported by Gossage *et al.* (1999).


Figure 3

Hydrogen-bond interactions in (I). The C–H...O contacts are shown as dashed lines. [Symmetry code: (i) 1 – x, –y, 1 – z.]

The small bite angles of the chelate ligand [C11–Pt1–N11 = 82.09 (15) and C11–Pt1–N21 = 82.15 (15)°] result in a distorted square-pyramidal geometry of the central Pt^{II} atom. This can be quantified as 91.8% on the pathway from trigonal bipyramid to square pyramid (Holmes, 1984). The sum of the *cis* angles is 360°, although they deviate by up to 8° (for N21–Pt1–I11) from the ideal value of 90°. The largest deviation for the *trans* angles is 19° (N21–Pt1–N11) from the ideal value of 180°. A conformational analysis of ring puckering results in coefficients of 98.3° for the sine form of the PtC₃N11 chelate ring, and of 87.6° for the sine form of the PtC₃N21 chelate ring (Evans & Boeyens, 1989). Therefore, the two five-membered PtC₃N chelate rings are best described as twist conformations and are puckered in the same direction, with the N atoms mutually *cis*. The torsion angles Pt1–N11–C71–C21 = 26.1 (4)° and Pt1–N21–C101–C61 = –28.6 (4)° result in a local non-crystallographic C_s symmetry.

The *N*-oxosuccinimide groups connect two molecules into a dimer structure *via* an intermolecular C–H...O contact, with H16A...O11ⁱ = 2.46 Å [symmetry code: (i) 1 – x, –y, 1 – z] (Table 2 and Fig. 3).

Experimental

The reaction of 3,5-bis-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-4-[iodidoplatin(II)]benzoic acid, prepared as reported by Suijkerbuijk *et al.* (2002), with I₂ in CH₂Cl₂–hexane (1:1) results in the title compound,

(I). Dark-red crystals suitable for X-ray data collection were obtained after recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂–hexane (1:1).

Crystal data

[Pt(C ₁₇ H ₂₂ N ₃ O ₄)I(I ₂)]·0.5CH ₂ Cl ₂	$\gamma = 103.7589 (13)^\circ$
$M_r = 950.63$	$V = 2509.90 (7) \text{ \AA}^3$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$Z = 4$
$a = 8.7012 (1) \text{ \AA}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$b = 15.1862 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 9.41 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 19.8391 (4) \text{ \AA}$	$T = 150 (2) \text{ K}$
$\alpha = 99.2137 (14)^\circ$	$0.42 \times 0.09 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 90.7250 (15)^\circ$	

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer	35716 measured reflections
Absorption correction: analytical (de Meulenaer & Tompa, 1965)	11455 independent reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.14$, $T_{\max} = 0.63$	8859 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.036$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$	162 restraints
$wR(F^2) = 0.054$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 0.94$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 3.03 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
11455 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -3.35 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
511 parameters	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$).

Pt1–C11	1.942 (4)	Pt2–C12	1.966 (8)
Pt1–N21	2.103 (4)	Pt2–N22	2.098 (4)
Pt1–N11	2.104 (4)	Pt2–N12	2.103 (4)
Pt1–I11	2.6862 (3)	Pt2–I12	2.6827 (4)
Pt1–I21	2.8260 (3)	Pt2–I22	2.8198 (3)
I21–I31	2.8379 (4)	I22–I32	2.8472 (4)
Pt2–C13	1.908 (9)		
C11–Pt1–N21	82.15 (15)	C13–Pt2–N12	85.7 (3)
C11–Pt1–N11	82.09 (15)	C12–Pt2–N12	79.3 (3)
N21–Pt1–N11	161.06 (14)	N22–Pt2–N12	160.14 (16)
C11–Pt1–I11	173.70 (12)	C13–Pt2–I12	173.3 (4)
N21–Pt1–I11	98.26 (10)	C12–Pt2–I12	172.6 (4)
N11–Pt1–I11	96.26 (10)	N22–Pt2–I12	97.20 (12)
C11–Pt1–I21	84.54 (12)	N12–Pt2–I12	97.75 (11)
N21–Pt1–I21	94.22 (10)	C13–Pt2–I22	83.7 (5)
N11–Pt1–I21	94.68 (10)	C12–Pt2–I22	85.4 (4)
I11–Pt1–I21	101.675 (11)	N22–Pt2–I22	94.64 (11)
Pt1–I21–I31	177.248 (14)	N12–Pt2–I22	95.10 (10)
C13–Pt2–C12	6.5 (4)	I12–Pt2–I22	101.569 (11)
C13–Pt2–N22	78.2 (3)	Pt2–I22–I32	177.533 (15)
C12–Pt2–N22	84.3 (3)		
Pt1–N11–C71–C21	26.1 (4)	Pt2–N22–C102–C62	–28.4 (7)
Pt1–N21–C101–C61	–28.6 (4)	Pt2–N12–C73–C23	20.5 (11)
Pt2–N12–C72–C22	25.9 (9)	Pt2–N22–C103–C63	–35.1 (9)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C161–H16A \cdots O11 ⁱ	0.99	2.46	3.296 (7)	142

Symmetry code: (i) $1 - x, -y, 1 - z$.

All H atoms were introduced in geometrically idealized positions, with C–H = 0.95–0.99 \AA and refined using a riding model, with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ for CH and CH₂ H atoms, or $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ for methyl H atoms. Disordered C, N and O atoms were refined isotropically. The highest peak and deepest hole in the residual electron density are both 0.8 \AA from atom I12. All C and O atoms and atom N32 in the second molecule (Pt2) are disordered about the long axis of the molecule. The occupancy factors for these positions were refined to 0.566 (6) and 0.434 (6). Atoms C41/C131/O11/O21/N31, C42/C132/O12/O22/N32 and C42/C133/O13/O23/N33 were restrained to lie on respective common planes.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1999); cell refinement: *DIRAX* (Duisenberg, 1992); data reduction: *EVALCCD* (Duisenberg *et al.*, 2003) and *SORTAV* (Blessing, 1987); program(s) used to solve structure: *DIRDIF97* (Beurskens *et al.*, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: manual editing of the *SHELXL97* output.

This work was supported by the Council for Chemical Sciences of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (CW-NWO).

References

- Beurskens, P. T., Admiraal, G., Beurskens, G., Bosman, W. P., García-Granda, S., Gould, R. O., Smits, J. M. M. & Smykalla, C. (1997). *The DIRDIF97 Program System*. Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Blessing, R. H. (1987). *Crystallogr. Rev.* **1**, 3–58.
- Duisenberg, A. J. M. (1992). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **25**, 92–96.
- Duisenberg, A. J. M., Kroon-Batenburg, L. M. J. & Schreurs, A. M. M. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 220–229.
- Evans, D. G. & Boeyens, J. C. A. (1989). *Acta Cryst.* **B45**, 581–590.
- Gossage, R. A., Ryabov, A. D., Spek, A. L., Stufkens, D. J., van Beek, J. A. M., van Eldik, R. & van Koten, G. (1999). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **121**, 2488–2497.
- Holmes, R. R. (1984). *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **32**, 119–235.
- Meulenaer, J. de & Tompa, H. (1965). *Acta Cryst.* **19**, 1014–1018.
- Nonius (1999). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.
- Suijkerbuijk, B. M. J. M., Slagt, M. Q., Klein Gebbink, R. J. M., Lutz, M., Spek, A. L. & van Koten, G. (2002). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **43**, 6565–6568.