

# Algebraic Cycles, Branes and De Rham-Witt

Jan Stienstra

Nagoya, 23 November 2010

talk at workshop

*Witt vectors, Foliations and absolute De Rham cohomology*

**Algebraic Cycles:** web of intersecting subvarieties of a variety.

**Branes:** boundary conditions for open strings in a manifold.

$X$  smooth, projective algebraic variety over alg. closed field  $k$ .

$Z^d(X)$  := free abelian group on the set of irreducible subvarieties of codimension  $d$  in  $X$ .

$B^d(X)$  := subgroup of  $Z^d(X)$  generated by cycles  $\text{div}(f)$ , the divisor of zeros and poles of a rational function  $f$  on a codimension  $d - 1$  subvariety of  $X$ .

**codimension  $d$  algebraic cycle** on  $X$  is an element of  $Z^d(X)$ .

**rational equivalence:**

$$\alpha, \beta \in Z^d(X) : \quad \alpha \sim \beta \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha - \beta \in B^d(X)$$

**$d$ -th Chow group** of  $X$

$$\text{CH}^d(X) := Z^d(X) / B^d(X)$$

**Chow ring** of  $X$

$$\mathrm{CH}^\bullet(X) = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \mathrm{CH}^d(X)$$

Product on  $\mathrm{CH}^\bullet(X)$  given by intersecting algebraic cycles.

Do not use set theoretic unions and intersections!

**Multiplicities are subtle!**

$K_0(X)$  := **Grothendieck group** of the category of  
**locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules of finite type.**

$K_0(X)$  is a  **$\lambda$ -ring**, with  $\lambda^i$ -operation coming from the  
 $i^{\text{th}}$  exterior power operation on locally free sheaves.

$Gr_{\bullet}K_0(X)$  := graded ring associated with the  
 **$\gamma$ -filtration** on  $K_0(X)$ .

---

$K_0(\text{coh}(X))$  := **Grothendieck group** of the category of  
**coherent sheaves on  $X$ .**

$Gr_{\bullet}K_0(\text{coh}(X))$  := graded group associated with filtration on  
 $K_0(\text{coh}(X))$  **by codimension of support**  
of coherent sheaf on  $X$ .

For smooth  $X$  the natural map is an isomorphism:

$$K_0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(\text{coh}(X))$$

**Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch:**

For  $X$  smooth projective over a field there are natural homomorphisms of graded rings, which are isomorphisms modulo torsion

$$Gr_{\bullet}K_0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} Gr_{\bullet}K_0(\text{coh}(X)) \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{CH}^{\bullet}(X)$$

**Grothendieck's Chern character** gives an isomorphism for every  $d$

$$Gr_d K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xleftarrow{\cong} \{\xi \in K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mid \psi_n(\xi) = n^d \xi, \forall n\}$$

here  $\psi_n$  is the  $n$ -th **Adams operation**.

**Remark:** Quillen has defined higher algebraic K-groups  $K_i(X)$  ( $i \geq 0$ ) of the category of locally free sheaves of finite type on  $X$ . These also carry an action by Adams operations. The corresponding eigenspaces are the **motivic cohomology groups** of  $X$ . Bloch has defined the **higher Chow groups** of  $X$ . Upon tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}$  the higher Chow groups coincide with the motivic cohomology groups.

Quillen's **higher algebraic K-theory** of exact categories.

For commutative ring  $R$  with unit  $K_d(R)$  is the  $d^{\text{th}}$  algebraic K-group of the category of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.

For scheme  $X$  let  $\mathcal{K}_{d,X}$  denote the Zariski sheaf associated with the pre-sheaf

$$\text{affine open } U = \text{Spec}(R) \quad \mapsto \quad K_d(R)$$

<b>Theorem:</b> $\text{CH}^d(X) \simeq H^d(X, \mathcal{K}_{d,X})$
---

For  $d = 1$  this is in fact the classical formula

$$\text{Pic}(X) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$$

For  $d = 2$  this result is due to Bloch.

For general  $d$  it was conjectured by Gersten and proven by Quillen.

**Question** (Spencer Bloch, 1970's):

Determine, for smooth projective  $X$ , the structure of the functor

$$\{ \text{augmented Artinian local } k\text{-algebras} \} \longrightarrow \{ \text{Abelian groups} \}$$
$$A \quad \mapsto \quad H^m(X, \mathcal{K}_{n, X \times A/X})$$

Here  $\mathcal{K}_{n, X \times A/X}$  is the Zariski sheaf associated with the pre-sheaf

$$\text{affine open } U = \text{Spec}(R) \quad \mapsto \quad \ker(K_n(R \otimes_k A) \rightarrow K_n(R))$$

---

Classical theory tells that for  $m = n = 1$  this functor is pro-representable by the formal group of the Picard variety of  $X$ .

---

Artin and Mazur have considered the case  $n = 1$  and arbitrary  $m$ . They gave conditions for when this functor is pro-representable by a formal group.

e.g.  $n = 1, m = 2$  gives the *formal Brauer group* of  $X$ .

In 1976 Bloch proposed the case  $m = n = 2$  to me as a research problem for my PhD thesis.

One PhD thesis and three post-doc jobs later I formulated the answer as follows (published in Crelle 355 (1985)):

**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over a perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

Then there is a functorial homomorphism for every  $m$  and  $n$ :

$$\left( H^m(X, W\Omega_X^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \widehat{W}\Omega_A^{n-1-\bullet} \right) \longrightarrow H^m(X, \mathcal{K}_{n, X \times A/X}^s)$$

of which the kernel and the cokernel are both essentially 0.

Main ingredients in the proof of the theorem are

- detailed information about the De Rham-Witt complex given in the works of Bloch, Deligne, Illusie and Raynaud
- an alternative construction of the De Rham-Witt complex based on the K-theory of categories of finitely generated projective modules equipped with an endomorphism.



**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over a perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

Then there is a functorial homomorphism for every  $m$  and  $n$ :

$$\left( H^m(X, W\Omega_X^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \widehat{W}\Omega_A^{n-1-\bullet} \right) \longrightarrow H^m(X, \mathcal{K}_{n, X \times A/X}^s)$$

of which the kernel and the cokernel are both essentially 0.

- $\mathcal{K}_{n, X \times A/X}^s$  is the **symbol part** of  $\mathcal{K}_{n, X \times A/X}$ , i.e. the image under multiplication in K-theory of

$$(1 + \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{m}_A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{X \times A}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{X \times A}^* \quad ;$$

$\mathfrak{m}_A$  is the maximal ideal of  $A$ .

- $\mathcal{R} = W(k)[F, V, d]$  is the **Raynaud ring**;  
 $W(k)$  the  $p$ -typical **Witt vectors** of  $k$ ;  
 $F$  is **Frobenius**,  $V$  **Verschiebung**,  
 $d$  the derivation in the **De Rham-Witt complex** of  $X$ :

$$W\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} W\Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} W\Omega_X^i \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

- $H^m(X, W\Omega_X^\bullet) :=$

$$H^m(X, W\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{d} H^m(X, W\Omega_X^1) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} H^m(X, W\Omega_X^i) \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

viewed as an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module.

- $\widehat{W}\Omega_A^\bullet$  is the **formal De Rham-Witt complex** of  $A$ .
- We call a functor  $G : \{\text{augm. Art. loc. } k\text{-algs}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Ab. grps}\}$  **essentially zero** if for every  $A$  there is a surjection  $A' \twoheadrightarrow A$  inducing the zero map  $G(A') \rightarrow G(A)$ .

The alternative construction of the De Rham-Witt complex **works for any commutative unital ring  $R$ , without assumptions about the characteristic or about regularity.**

$\text{End}(R)$  denotes the exact category whose

- objects: pairs  $(M, \alpha)$  consisting of a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module  $M$  and an  $R$ -linear endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $M$
- morphisms:  $(M, \alpha) \rightarrow (M', \alpha')$  is an  $R$ -linear map  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  s.t.  $f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f$ .
- short exact sequences: underlying sequence of  $R$ -modules is exact

$K_i(\text{End}(R))$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , denote Quillen's K-groups of the exact category  $\text{End}(R)$ .

$$K_*(\text{End}(R)) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K_i(\text{End}(R))$$

is a **graded commutative ring** with product induced by tensor product

$$(M, \alpha) \otimes (M', \alpha') = (M \otimes M', \alpha \otimes \alpha')$$

There is a **Frobenius** operator  $F_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$  induced by

$$(M, \alpha) \mapsto (M, \alpha^n)$$

There is a **Verschiebung** operator  $V_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$  induced by

$$(M, \alpha) \mapsto \left( M^{\oplus n}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

There is also a map

$$d : K_i(\text{End}(R)) \rightarrow K_{i+1}(\text{End}(R)),$$

constructed as follows.

Consider the polynomial ring in one variable  $\mathbb{Z}[u]$  and the bi-exact functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(R) \times \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}[u]) &\longrightarrow \text{End}(R) \\ (M, \alpha), (N, \beta) &\mapsto (M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[u]} N, 1 \otimes \beta) \end{aligned}$$

here  $M$  is considered as a  $\mathbb{Z}[u]$ -module:  $um = \alpha m, \forall m \in M$ .

This induces maps

$$K_i(\text{End}(R)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_j(\text{End}(\mathbb{Z}[u])) \longrightarrow K_{i+j}(\text{End}(R)).$$

The map

$$d : K_i(\text{End}(R)) \rightarrow K_{i+1}(\text{End}(R)),$$

comes from a particular element in  $K_1(\text{End}(\mathbb{Z}[u]))$ .

**Remark.** The above construction of the map  $d$  is a special case of a general construction of functorial operations on  $K_*(\text{End}(R))$ .

## Relations:

$$V_1 = F_1 = 1$$

$$F_n F_m = F_{nm}, \quad V_n V_m = V_{nm} \quad (\forall n, m)$$

$$F_n V_n = n1 \quad (\forall n)$$

$$V_p F_p = p1 \quad \text{if } p \text{ prime and } pR = 0$$

$$F_n V_m = V_m F_n \quad \text{if } (n, m) = 1$$

$$V_n d = n d V_n, \quad d F_n = n F_n d \quad (\forall n)$$

$$F_n d V_n = d \quad \text{if } n \text{ odd}, \quad F_2 d V_2 = d \quad \text{if } 2R = 0$$

$$2d^2 = 0; \quad d^2 = 0 \quad \text{if } 2R = 0$$

$$F_n(\mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}) = (F_n \mathbf{a})(F_n \mathbf{b}) \quad (\forall n)$$

$$V_n(\mathbf{a} F_n \mathbf{b}) = (V_n \mathbf{a}) \mathbf{b} \quad (\forall n)$$

$$d(\mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}) = (d\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{b} + (-1)^i \mathbf{a} (d\mathbf{b})$$

for all  $\mathbf{a} \in K_i(\text{End}(R))$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in K_j(\text{End}(R))$

Exact functors

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(R) &\longrightarrow P(R), & (M, \alpha) &\mapsto M \\ P(R) &\longrightarrow \text{End}(R), & M &\mapsto (M, 0) \end{aligned}$$

where  $P(R)$  = category of fin. gen. projective  $R$ -modules.

These induce direct sum decomposition:

$$K_i(\text{End}(R)) = K_i(R) \oplus \tilde{K}_i(\text{End}(R))$$

with

$$\tilde{K}_i(\text{End}(R)) := \ker(K_i(\text{End}(R)) \rightarrow K_i(R))$$

**Theorem**(Almkvist, Grayson)

Let  $\mathbb{W}(R)$  denote the ring of **big Witt vectors** of  $R$ .

Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_0(\text{End}(R)) &\longrightarrow (1 + tR[[t]])^\times = \mathbb{W}(R) \\ [M, \alpha] - [M, 0] &\mapsto \det(1 - t\alpha)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

is an injective homomorphism of rings, which commutes with the Frobenius and Verschiebung operators.

Moreover the **Teichmüller lifting** is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R &\rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(\text{End}(R)) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}(R) \\ x &\mapsto [R, x] - [R, 0] \mapsto (1 - xt)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The inverse map from the image of  $\tilde{K}_0(\text{End}(R))$  in  $\mathbb{W}(R)$  back to  $\tilde{K}_0(\text{End}(R))$  is given by

$$\left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n r_j t^j\right)^{-1} \mapsto \left[ R^{\oplus n}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & r_{n-2} \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & r_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] - [R^{\oplus n}, 0]$$


---

We will describe a decreasing filtration by homogeneous ideals

$$\text{Fil}_n \tilde{K}_*(\text{End}(R)), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

such that the Frobenius maps  $F_m$ , Verschiebung maps  $V_m$  and the derivation  $d$  extend to the completion

$$\tilde{K}_*(\text{End}(R))^\wedge := \lim_{\leftarrow n} \tilde{K}_*(\text{End}(R)) / \text{Fil}_n \tilde{K}_*(\text{End}(R))$$

and such that

$$\tilde{K}_0(\text{End}(R))^\wedge = \mathbb{W}(R).$$

For a commutative unital ring  $A$  let  $\text{Nil}(A)$  denote the full exact subcategory of  $\text{End}(A)$  with objects those  $(M, \alpha)$  for which  $\alpha$  is **nilpotent**.

The **fundamental theorem of K-theory** gives an isomorphism for every  $i \geq 0$ :

$$\text{NK}_{i+1}(A) \simeq \tilde{K}_i(\text{Nil}(A))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NK}_{i+1}(A) &:= \text{coker}(K_{i+1}(A) \rightarrow K_{i+1}(A[u])) \\ \tilde{K}_i(\text{Nil}(A)) &:= \text{ker}(K_i(\text{Nil}(A)) \rightarrow K_i(A)) \end{aligned}$$

for the maps induced by the inclusion  $A \hookrightarrow A[u]$  and the forgetful functor  $\text{Nil}(A) \rightarrow P(A)$ , respectively.

Let  $s_0, s_1 : K_{i+1}(A[u]) \rightarrow K_{i+1}(A)$  denote the homomorphisms induced by the substitutions  $u \mapsto 0$  and  $u \mapsto 1$ , respectively. Then  $s_1 - s_0$  gives a well defined homomorphism

$$s_1 - s_0 : \text{NK}_{i+1}(A) \longrightarrow K_{i+1}(A).$$

By composing the latter homomorphism with the isomorphism from the fundamental theorem we obtain, for every  $i \geq 0$ , a homomorphism

$$\int_0^1 : \tilde{K}_i(\text{Nil}(A)) \longrightarrow K_{i+1}(A).$$



The bi-exact functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(R) \times \text{Nil}(A) &\longrightarrow \text{Nil}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A) \\ (M, \alpha), (N, \beta) &\mapsto (M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} N, \alpha \otimes \beta) \end{aligned}$$

induces

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_i(\text{End}(R)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{K}_j(\text{Nil}(A)) &\longrightarrow \tilde{K}_{i+j}(\text{Nil}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)) \\ \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{b} &\mapsto \mathbf{ab} \end{aligned}$$

and by composition with  $\int_0^1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_i(\text{End}(R)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{K}_j(\text{Nil}(A)) &\longrightarrow K_{i+j+1}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A) \\ \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{b} &\mapsto \int_0^1 \mathbf{ab} \end{aligned}$$

---

**Remark:** The above pairing  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \mapsto \int_0^1 \mathbf{ab}$  underlies the map

$$\left( H^m(X, W\Omega_X^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \widehat{W}\Omega_A^{n-1-\bullet} \right) \longrightarrow H^m(X, \mathcal{K}_{n, X \times A/X}^s)$$

in my theorem.

$\text{Nil}(A)$  carries an increasing filtration by full exact subcategories:

$$\text{Fil}^n \text{Nil}(A) := \{ (M, \alpha) \mid \alpha^n = 0 \}.$$

This yields an increasing filtration on the K-groups:

$$\text{Fil}^n \tilde{K}_j(\text{Nil}(A)) := \text{image}(\tilde{K}_j(\text{Fil}^n \text{Nil}(A)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_j(\text{Nil}(A)))$$

Now define

$$\text{Fil}_n \tilde{K}_i(\text{End}(R)) := \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \tilde{K}_i(\text{End}(R)) \left| \begin{array}{l} \forall A, \forall j, \forall \mathbf{b} \in \tilde{K}_j(\text{Fil}^n \text{Nil}(A)) \\ \int_0^1 \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} = 0 \text{ in } K_{i+j+1}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$$\tilde{K}_i(\text{End}(R))^\wedge := \lim_{\leftarrow n} \tilde{K}_i(\text{End}(R)) / \text{Fil}_n \tilde{K}_i(\text{End}(R))$$

We define the **generalized De Rham-Witt complex** of  $R$  as the completion of the smallest subring of  $\tilde{K}_*(\text{End}(R))^\wedge$  which contains  $\mathbb{W}(R)$  and is closed under the operations  $d, F_n, V_n$ .

---

The **generalized formal De Rham-Witt complex** of a commutative unital ring  $A$  is similarly defined from  $\tilde{K}_*(\text{Nil}(A))$  and the multiplicative group

$$\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(A) := (1 + u A^{\text{nil}}[u])^\times \subset \text{NK}_1(A) = \tilde{K}_0(\text{Nil}(A))$$

of polynomials with constant term 1 and all other coefficients nilpotent in  $A$ . No need for completion.

---

If  $R$  and  $A$  are rings of prime characteristic  $p$  one can split off the De Rham-Witt complex  $W\Omega_R^\bullet$  of  $R$  and the formal De Rham-Witt complex  $\widehat{W}\Omega_A^\bullet$  of  $A$  from the above generalized forms by means of the idempotent operator ( $\mu$  is the Möbius function):

$$\sum_{n, p \nmid n} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} V_n F_n = \prod_{\ell \text{ prime} \neq p} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} V_\ell F_\ell \right)$$

In physics **branes** are boundary conditions for open strings in a target manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ .

One speaks of **D-branes** (D for Dirichlet) if the boundary conditions specify the position of the endpoints of the string.

One speaks of **D-branes of B-type** if the boundary conditions require the string to end on a *holomorphic submanifold* in the target manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*Chien-Hao Liu and Shing-Tung Yau are working on a project to find structures in algebraic geometry to model D-branes of B-type.*

see e.g. their paper

*D-branes and Azumaya noncommutative geometry: From Polchinski to Grothendieck*

Two key ideas:

- Polchinski: stacks of branes ( $\approx$  branes with multiplicities) should be described by matrix valued functions on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Grothendieck: instead of substructures of  $\mathcal{M}$  use structures that map into  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Liu and Yau implement these ideas in what they call an **Azumaya scheme with fundamental module**.

The visible part of such a structure consists of a scheme  $X$  and a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of finite type  $\mathcal{E}$ .

The (as yet) invisible part of such a structure can only be probed through the set of morphisms from it to other schemes  $Y$  (acting as target spaces like  $\mathcal{M}$ ).

Liu and Yau define these sets of morphisms as

$$\text{Mor}((X, \mathcal{E}), Y) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{coherent } \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}\text{-modules } \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \text{ on} \\ X \times Y \text{ with } p_{1*}\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E} \end{array} \right\}$$

---

They remark that it may suffice to “probe” the structure of the Azumaya scheme with fundamental module by taking  $Y$  from a fixed (well chosen) collection of “basic spaces”.

---

They also say (parenthetically)  
“Furthermore, from ..... , one expects that one finally has to consider everything in the derived(-category) sense.”

## Comments and Questions.

- The assignment

$$Y \mapsto \text{Mor}((X, \mathcal{E}), Y)$$

is a *covariant* functor from the category of schemes to the category of sets.

One should compare this with standard constructions in moduli problems where one uses a *contravariant* functor:

$$F : \text{schemes} \rightarrow \text{sets}$$

and shows that there is a scheme  $Z$  such that

$$F(Y) = \text{Mor}(Y, Z) \quad \text{for all schemes } Y.$$

- What does it mean:  
*has to consider everything in the derived(-category) sense?*

Are  $X$  and  $Y$  fixed and can only  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$  vary?

For fixed  $X$  and  $Y$  the  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ 's form an exact category:

$$\text{Brane}(X; Y) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{coherent } \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}\text{-modules } \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \text{ on } X \times Y \text{ s.t.} \\ p_{1*}\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \text{ is locally free of finite type on } X \end{array} \right\}$$

Should one then take the corresponding derived category?

Or can one take its K-theory  $K_*(\text{Brane}(X; Y))$  instead?

Should one then vary  $Y$  and consider for fixed  $X$  the co-variant functor

$$Y \mapsto K_*(\text{Brane}(X; Y))$$

on the category of schemes?

**Liu-Yau in affine context:**

$$X = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$$

$$Y = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{finitely generated projective } R\text{-module } M$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Mor}((X, \mathcal{E}), Y) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\text{rings}}(A, \operatorname{End}_R(M)).$$

For fixed  $(R, M)$  the question becomes:

Study the *contravariant* functor:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{commutative unital rings}\} &\longrightarrow \{\text{sets}\} \\ A &\longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\text{rings}}(A, \operatorname{End}_R(M)) \end{aligned}$$

---



For fixed  $R$  and  $A$  we have the exact category

$$\text{End}(R; A) := \left\{ \text{pairs } (M, \varphi) \left| \begin{array}{l} M \text{ fin. gen. proj. } R\text{-mod.} \\ \varphi : A \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M) \text{ ring hom.} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

---

**Example:**

$$\text{End}(R; \mathbb{Z}[u]) = \text{End}(R)$$

*So there is a link with De Rham-Witt when Azumaya schemes are “probed” by morphisms to the affine line.*

---

A ring homomorphism  $f : A' \rightarrow A$  induces an exact functor

$$\text{End}(R; A) \longrightarrow \text{End}(R; A'), \quad (M, \varphi) \mapsto (M, \varphi \circ f)$$

This makes the construction *contravariantly* functorial in  $A$ .

Recall the question Spencer Bloch asked in 1970's:

Determine, for smooth projective  $X$ , the structure of the functor

$$\{ \text{augmented Artinian local } k\text{-algebras} \} \longrightarrow \{ \text{Abelian groups} \}$$

$$A \quad \mapsto \quad H^m(X, \mathcal{K}_{n, X \times A/X})$$

and notice behind the façade of K-theory, sheaves and cohomology on the affine level the exact category

$$\{ \text{fin. gen. proj. } R \otimes_k A\text{-modules} \}$$

Being an augmented Artinian local algebra over the field  $k$  the ring  $A$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space.

Therefore every fin. gen. proj.  $R \otimes_k A$ -module  $M$  is also

*a fin. gen. proj.  $R$ -module with  $A$ -module structure given by a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$*

A ring homomorphism  $f : A' \rightarrow A$  induces an exact functor

$$\{ \text{f.g.pr. } R \otimes_k A'\text{-mod.} \} \xrightarrow{- \otimes_{R \otimes_k A'} (R \otimes_k A)} \{ \text{f.g.pr. } R \otimes_k A\text{-mod.} \}$$

This makes the construction *covariantly* functorial in  $A$ .

As Liu and Yau remarked, it may suffice to “probe” the structure of the Azumaya scheme with fundamental module by taking  $Y$  from a fixed (well chosen) collection of “basic spaces”.

*Do toric varieties constitute a good collection of “basic spaces” for that purpose?*

Since toric varieties are locally modeled on commutative semi-groups this would mean in the affine context :

*For a commutative unital ring  $R$  and a commutative semi-group  $S$  look at the exact category of representations of  $S$  in finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules:*

$$\text{Rep}(R; S) := \left\{ \text{pairs } (M, \varphi) \left| \begin{array}{l} M \text{ fin. gen. proj. } R\text{-mod.} \\ \varphi : S \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M) \text{ s.-grp hom.} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

Restricting to representations by nilpotent endomorphisms should probably be the same as working with Artinian local algebras.

**Question:**

*Working with Artinian local algebras is a kind of analysis. Are there other sensible ways to bring in (non-Archimedean) analysis?*

*c.f. Payne’s work on analytification and tropicalization using homomorphisms from commutative semi-groups into the semi-ring  $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \max, \cdot)$ .*