

Dimethyl fumarate

Huub Kooijman,^{a*} Jeroen W. Sprengers,^b Melvin J. Agerbeek,^b Cornelis J. Elsevier^b and Anthony L. Spek^a

^aBijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Department of Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands, and ^bVan 't Hoff Institute of Molecular Sciences, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, 1018 WV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence e-mail: h.kooijman@chem.uu.nl

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study

$T = 150\text{ K}$

Mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002\text{ \AA}$

R factor = 0.045

wR factor = 0.122


Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see <http://journals.iucr.org/e>.

Dimethyl fumarate, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_4$, crystallizes in the fully stretched planar conformation. The molecule is located on a crystallographic inversion centre and has local symmetry $2/m$. The fumarate molecules aggregate in layers coinciding with the $(1\bar{1}1)$ lattice planes.

Comment

Dimethyl fumarate, (I), is frequently used as a coordinating ligand. The January 2004 update of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Allen, 2002) contains 62 crystal structures of compounds with dimethyl fumarate acting as a ligand coordinated to a metal atom, mostly Ru and Pt. Knaggs & Lonsdale (1942) reported the unit-cell parameters of the crystal structure of the isolated molecule. Up until now, the full crystal structure determination of this compound has not been reported. The only other diester of fumaric acid reported in the CSD is diphenyl fumarate (Albano *et al.*, 2003).



Dimethyl fumarate adopts the fully stretched planar conformation in the crystal structure reported in this paper (Fig. 1). The molecule is located on a crystallographic inversion centre and has local symmetry $2/m$, in contrast with diphenyl fumarate, which has neither crystallographic nor local symmetry. The intermolecular contact $\text{C3}-\text{H3B}\cdots\text{O2}(1+x, 1+y, z)$ joins the molecules into chains running in the $[110]$ direction (Fig. 2). The $\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ distance for this contact is $2.48(3)\text{ \AA}$, *i.e.* 0.24 \AA shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii. The orientations of groups within these chains suggest the presence of a close contact $\text{C1}-\text{H1}\cdots\text{O1}(1-x, 1-y, 1-z)$. With an $\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ distance of $2.65(2)\text{ \AA}$, *i.e.* 0.07 \AA shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii, this contact is less pronounced. The chains of fumarate molecules are arranged into layers in such a way that all non-H atoms are more or less located on one of the $(1\bar{1}1)$ lattice planes (Fig. 3).




Figure 1

View of the title compound, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry code: (i) $-x, -y, -z$.]

Experimental

Crystals of the title compound were grown from a solution containing [platinum(dimethylfumarate)(norbornene)₂] and excess dimethyl fumarate in ether–pentane (2:1) at 253 K (Sprengers *et al.*, 2004). The dimethyl fumarate crystals in this batch were of highly irregular shape, but displayed reasonable extinction effects under crossed polarization filters.

Crystal data

$C_6H_8O_4$	$Z = 1$
$M_r = 144.12$	$D_x = 1.429 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 3.8680 (14) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 530 reflections
$b = 5.643 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.0\text{--}25.0^\circ$
$c = 8.364 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 100.843 (16)^\circ$	$T = 150 \text{ K}$
$\beta = 100.289 (18)^\circ$	Irregular block, colourless
$\gamma = 105.706 (14)^\circ$	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$
$V = 167.44 (11) \text{ \AA}^3$	

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer	542 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ scans, and ω scans with κ offsets	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.037$
Absorption correction: none	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.2^\circ$
1315 measured reflections	$h = -4 \rightarrow 3$
597 independent reflections	$k = -6 \rightarrow 6$
	$l = -9 \rightarrow 10$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0721P)^2 + 0.03P]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.122$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
$S = 1.11$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.24 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
597 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.26 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
58 parameters	
Only coordinates of H atoms refined	

Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (\AA , $^\circ$).

$D\text{--}H\cdots A$	$D\text{--}H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{--}H\cdots A$
$C1\text{--}H1\cdots O1^i$	0.96 (2)	2.65 (2)	3.603 (2)	173.2 (14)
$C3\text{--}H3B\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.95 (3)	2.48 (3)	3.416 (2)	167 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $1 - x, 1 - y, -z$; (ii) $1 + x, 1 + y, z$.

The crystals diffracted poorly. Broad fuzzy reflections were observed and the mosaicity of the crystal refined to approximately 3.0° . H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and their coordinates were refined [$C\text{--}H = 0.94 (2)\text{--}0.96 (2) \text{ \AA}$]. The isotropic displacement parameters of the H atoms were set at 1.5 or 1.2 times the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter of the carrier atom for methyl and alkene H atoms, respectively.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1985); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON*.




Figure 2

The title compound forms chains joined by close $C\text{--}H\cdots O$ contacts, running in the $[110]$ direction.




Figure 3

Arrangement of chains of dimethyl fumarate molecules into layers coinciding with the $(1\bar{1}1)$ lattice planes.

This work was supported in part (ALS) by the Council for the Chemical Sciences of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (CW-NWO). The National Research School Combination Catalysis (project No. 2000-14) is gratefully acknowledged for supporting this work in part (JWS, MJA and CJE).

References

- Albano, V. G., Monari, M., Orabona, I., Panunzi, A., Roviello, G. & Ruffo, F. (2003). *Organometallics*, **22**, 1223–1230.
- Allen, F. H. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 380–388.
- Knaggs, I. E. & Lonsdale, K. (1942). *J. Chem. Soc.* p. 417.
- Nonius (1998). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). *SHELXS86*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.
- Sprengers, J. W., Agerbeek, M. J., Elsevier, C. J., Kooijman, H. & Spek, A. L. (2004). *Organometallics*, **23**. In the press.