

Recommendations from the international evidence-based guideline for the assessment and management of polycystic ovary syndrome^{†‡}

Helena J. Teede^{1,2,3,*}, Marie L. Misso^{1,2,3}, Michael F. Costello⁴, Anuja Dokras⁵, Joop Laven⁶, Lisa Moran^{1,2,3}, Terhi Piltonen⁷, and Robert J. Norman^{1,2,8}, on behalf of the International PCOS Network[§]

¹National Health and Medical Research Council Centre for Research Excellence in PCOS, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

²National Health and Medical Research Council Centre for Research Excellence in PCOS, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia ³Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Monash Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University and Monash Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴School of Women's and Children's Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia ⁵Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA ⁶Division of Reproductive

Endocrinology and Infertility, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands ⁷Obstetrics and Gynecology, PEDEGO Research Unit, Medical Research Centre, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland ⁸Robinson Research Institute, University of Adelaide and Fertility SA, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

*Correspondence address. Locked Bag 29, Clayton, 3168 Victoria, Australia. E-mail: helena.teede@monash.edu

Submitted on June 21, 2018; accepted on July 16, 2018

STUDY QUESTION: What is the recommended assessment and management of women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), based on the best available evidence, clinical expertise and consumer preference?

SUMMARY ANSWER: International evidence-based guidelines, including 166 recommendations and practice points, addressed prioritized questions to promote consistent, evidence-based care and improve the experience and health outcomes of women with PCOS.

WHAT IS KNOWN ALREADY: Previous guidelines either lacked rigorous evidence-based processes, did not engage consumer and international multidisciplinary perspectives, or were outdated. Diagnosis of PCOS remains controversial, and assessment and management are inconsistent. The needs of women with PCOS are not being adequately met and evidence practice gaps persist.

STUDY DESIGN, SIZE, DURATION: International evidence-based guideline development engaged professional societies and consumer organizations with multidisciplinary experts and women with PCOS directly involved at all stages. Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation (AGREE) II-compliant processes were followed, with extensive evidence synthesis. The Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) framework was applied across evidence quality, feasibility, acceptability, cost, implementation and ultimately recommendation strength.

PARTICIPANTS/MATERIALS, SETTING, METHODS: Governance included a six continent international advisory and a project board, five guideline development groups, and consumer and translation committees. Extensive health professional and consumer engagement informed guideline scope and priorities. Engaged international society-nominated panels included pediatrics, endocrinology, gynecology, primary care, reproductive endocrinology, obstetrics, psychiatry, psychology, dietetics, exercise physiology, public health and other experts, alongside consumers, project management, evidence synthesis and translation experts. In total, 37 societies and organizations covering 71 countries

[†]ESHRE Pages are not externally peer reviewed.

[‡]This article is simultaneously published in *Fertility and Sterility* and *Clinical Endocrinology*.

[§]Participants of the International PCOS Network are listed in the [Appendix](#).

engaged in the process. Twenty face-to-face meetings over 15 months addressed 60 prioritized clinical questions involving 40 systematic and 20 narrative reviews. Evidence-based recommendations were developed and approved via consensus voting within the five guideline panels, modified based on international feedback and peer review, with final recommendations approved across all panels.

MAIN RESULTS AND THE ROLE OF CHANCE: The evidence in the assessment and management of PCOS is generally of low to moderate quality. The guideline provides 31 evidence based recommendations, 59 clinical consensus recommendations and 76 clinical practice points all related to assessment and management of PCOS. Key changes in this guideline include: (i) considerable refinement of individual diagnostic criteria with a focus on improving accuracy of diagnosis; (ii) reducing unnecessary testing; (iii) increasing focus on education, lifestyle modification, emotional wellbeing and quality of life; and (iv) emphasizing evidence based medical therapy and cheaper and safer fertility management.

LIMITATIONS, REASONS FOR CAUTION: Overall evidence is generally low to moderate quality, requiring significantly greater research in this neglected, yet common condition, especially around refining specific diagnostic features in PCOS. Regional health system variation is acknowledged and a process for guideline and translation resource adaptation is provided.

WIDER IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS: The international guideline for the assessment and management of PCOS provides clinicians with clear advice on best practice based on the best available evidence, expert multidisciplinary input and consumer preferences. Research recommendations have been generated and a comprehensive multifaceted dissemination and translation program supports the guideline with an integrated evaluation program.

STUDY FUNDING/COMPETING INTEREST(S): The guideline was primarily funded by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC) supported by a partnership with ESHRE and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Guideline development group members did not receive payment. Travel expenses were covered by the sponsoring organizations. Disclosures of conflicts of interest were declared at the outset and updated throughout the guideline process, aligned with NHMRC guideline processes. Full details of conflicts declared across the guideline development groups are available at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos/guideline> in the Register of disclosures of interest. Of named authors, Dr Costello has declared shares in Virtus Health and past sponsorship from Merck Serono for conference presentations. Prof. Laven declared grants from Ferring, Euroscreen and personal fees from Ferring, Euroscreen, Danone and Titus Healthcare. Prof. Norman has declared a minor shareholder interest in an IVF unit. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. The guideline was peer reviewed by special interest groups across our partner and collaborating societies and consumer organizations, was independently assessed against AGREE-II criteria, and underwent methodological review. This guideline was approved by all members of the guideline development groups and was submitted for final approval by the NHMRC.

Key words: polycystic ovary syndrome / guideline / evidence-based / assessment / management / GRADE

Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common endocrinopathy affecting reproductive aged women, with a prevalence of between 8 and 13% depending on the population studied and definitions used (Teede *et al.*, 2010; Azziz *et al.*, 2016). PCOS is complex with reproductive, metabolic and psychological features (Teede *et al.*, 2010; Azziz *et al.*, 2016). Clinical practice in the assessment and management of PCOS is inconsistent, with key evidence practice gaps, whilst women internationally have highlighted delayed diagnosis and dissatisfaction with care (Teede *et al.*, 2014; Dokras *et al.*, 2017; Gibson-Helm, *et al.*, 2017). Current guidelines either are limited in breadth, do not follow rigorous best practice in development, have not involved consumers, or are outdated (Teede *et al.*, 2011; Legro *et al.*, 2013; Conway *et al.*, 2014; Goodman *et al.*, 2015; Balen *et al.*, 2016), resulting in inconsistent guidance for clinicians and women alike. To address these identified gaps, here we summarize the development process and recommendations from the first international evidence-based guideline for the assessment and management of PCOS, bringing together extensive consumer engagement and international collaboration with leading societies and organizations, multidisciplinary experts, and primary care representatives. In this process, the guideline development groups (GDG) unanimously supported the Rotterdam diagnostic criteria (Group, 2004) for adult women.

This comprehensive evidence-based guideline builds on prior high-quality guidelines and culminates from a rigorous, Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation-II (AGREE-II)-compliant, evidence-based guideline development process. It provides a single source of international evidence-based recommendations (EBR) to guide clinical practice with the opportunity for adaptation in relevant health systems. Together with an extensive translation program, the aim is to reduce worldwide variation in care and promote high-quality service provision to improve health outcomes and quality of life in women with PCOS. The guidelines are supported by a multifaceted international translation program with co-designed resources to enhance the skills of health professionals and empower women with PCOS, with an integrated comprehensive evaluation program.

What Does This Mean for Adolescents and Women With PCOS?

This guideline aims to optimize the evidence-based, consistent care that meets the needs and improves the quality of life of women with PCOS. The guideline and translation program were developed with full consumer participation at all stages, targeting areas and outcomes of priority for women with PCOS. The aim is to support women and

their healthcare providers to optimize diagnosis, assessment and management of PCOS. There is an emphasis on partnership in care and empowerment of women with PCOS. Personal characteristics, preferences, culture and values are considered. With effective translation, the guideline and health professional and consumer resources will address gaps and priorities identified by women with PCOS and will promote vital future research.

Materials and Methods

Best practice evidence-based guideline development methods were applied and are detailed in the full guideline and the technical reports and outlined in Figure 1 and available at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos> (Misso and Teede, 2012). The process aligns with all elements of the AGREE-II tool for quality guideline assessment (Brouwers et al., 2010). This involved extensive evidence synthesis and the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) framework covering evidence quality, feasibility, acceptability, cost, implementation and ultimately recommendation strength (GRADE working group). Evidence synthesis methods are outlined in the full guideline and followed best practice (NHMRC, 2007, 2009; Brouwers et al., 2010; GRADE working group). Categories include evidence-based or consensus recommendations with accompanying clinical practice points (Table I).

Terms include 'should', 'could' and 'should not' are informed by the nature of the recommendation (evidence or consensus), the GRADE framework, and quality of the evidence and are independent descriptors reflecting the judgment of the multidisciplinary GDG, including consumers. They refer to overall interpretation and practical application of the recommendation, balancing benefits and harms. 'Should' is used where benefits of the recommendation exceed harms, and where the recommendation can be trusted to guide practice. 'Could' is used where either the quality of evidence was limited or the available studies demonstrate little clear advantage of one approach over another, or the balance of benefits to

harm was unclear. 'Should not' is used where there is either a lack of appropriate evidence, or the harms may outweigh the benefits.

The GRADE of the recommendation is determined by the GDG based on comprehensive structured consideration of all elements of the GRADE framework (GRADE working group), including desirable effects, undesirable effects, balance of effects, resource requirements and cost effectiveness, equity, acceptability and feasibility, and includes:

*Conditional recommendation against the option;

**conditional recommendation for either the option or the comparison;

***conditional recommendation for the option; and

****strong recommendation for the option.

Quality of the evidence is categorized according to the number and design of studies addressing the outcome; judgments about the quality of the studies and/or synthesized evidence, such as risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision and any other considerations that may influence the quality of the evidence; key statistical data; and classification of the importance of the outcomes (Table II). The quality of evidence reflects the extent of confidence in an estimate of the effect to support a particular recommendation (GRADE working group) and was largely determined by the expert evidence synthesis team.

GRADE acknowledges that evidence quality is a continuum; any discrete categorization involves a degree of arbitrariness. Nevertheless, the advantages of simplicity, transparency and clarity outweigh these limitations (GRADE working group).

Results

The recommendation table (Table III) applies the category, descriptive terms, GRADE of the recommendations and the quality of the evidence. The full guideline is available at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos>. The full version of the guideline outlines the clinical need for the question, the clinical question, the




Figure 1 The steps in developing an evidence-based guideline. GDG = guideline development group; PICO = P: patient, problem or population, I: intervention, C: comparison, control or comparator, O: outcome. Reprinted with permissions from Misso and Teede (2012).

Table I Categories of recommendations in the PCOS guideline.

EBR	Evidence-based recommendations are made where evidence is sufficient to inform a recommendation made by the guideline development group.
CCR	Clinical consensus recommendations are made in the absence of adequate evidence on PCOS. These are informed by evidence in other populations and are made by the guideline development group, using rigorous and transparent processes.
CPP	Clinical practice points are made where evidence was not sought and are made where important clinical issues arose from discussion of evidence-based or clinical consensus recommendations.

Table II Quality (certainty) of evidence categories.*

High	⊕⊕⊕⊕	Very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.
Moderate	⊕⊕⊕○	Moderate confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.
Low	⊕⊕○○	Limited confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.
Very Low	⊕○○○	Very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

*Adapted from the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) (GRADE working group).

evidence summary, the recommendation and practice points, and a summary of the justification developed by the GDGs using the GRADE framework, refined by extensive international peer review. The comprehensive evidence reviews, profiles and GRADE frameworks supporting each recommendation, can be found at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos> in the Supplementary Technical Reports. The peer review feedback, administrative report on guideline development and disclosure of interest process and declarations can be found at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos>. Here we present the clinical topic area recommendations and practice points (Table III). This summary, the full guideline and technical reports are supported by a comprehensive co-designed translation program to optimize dissemination and impact with resources freely available at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos>.

Discussion

The International Guideline for the Assessment and Management of PCOS and the related translation program aims to provide clinicians with a quality, reliable source of international EBR to guide consistent clinical practice and to empower women with evidence-based information. All recommendations were formulated after an assessment of

the best available evidence, multidisciplinary clinical expertise, consumer preferences and structured review by the five GDGs. Detailed methods for stakeholder engagement and guideline development can be found at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos>. The guideline provides 166 recommendations: EBR = 31, clinical consensus recommendations (CCR) = 59, and clinical practice points (CPP) = 76. Overall, evidence is of low to moderate quality, requiring significant research expansion in this neglected, yet common condition.

We endorse the Rotterdam PCOS Diagnostic Criteria in adults (two of oligo- or anovulation, clinical and/or biochemical hyperandrogenism, or polycystic ovaries on ultrasound), after exclusion of related disorders. Where both oligo- or anovulation and hyperandrogenism are present, ultrasound is not necessary for diagnosis. In adolescents, both oligo-anovulation and hyperandrogenism are required, with ultrasound not recommended for diagnosis. Ultrasound criteria are refined with advancing technology. Anti-Müllerian hormone levels are not yet adequate for diagnosis of PCOS. Insulin resistance is recognized as a key feature of PCOS, yet clinical measurement is not recommended at the current time. Once diagnosed, assessment and management includes reproductive, metabolic, and psychological features. Education, self-empowerment, multidisciplinary care and lifestyle intervention for prevention or management of excess weight are prioritized. Depressive and anxiety symptoms should be screened, assessed and managed, and health professionals should be aware of other impacts on emotional wellbeing and quality of life. Combined oral contraceptive pills are first-line pharmacological management for menstrual irregularity and hyperandrogenism, with no specific formulation recommended and with low-dose preparations preferred. Metformin is recommended in addition or alone, primarily for management of metabolic features. Letrozole is first-line pharmacological infertility therapy; with clomiphene and metformin both having a role, alone and in combination. In women with PCOS and anovulatory infertility, gonadotrophins are second line. In the absence of an absolute indication for IVF, women with PCOS and anovulatory infertility could be offered IVF third line where other ovulation induction therapies have failed.

The combined effects of the provision of a single source of evidence-based recommendations and a comprehensive international translation and dissemination program will amplify the impact of the guideline and recommendations globally. It will support and build the capability of health professionals to deliver high-quality, evidence-based assessment and management of PCOS and will augment the health literacy and self-management of PCOS health consumers. The guideline recommendations are protected under copyright, however the process for adaption of guideline recommendations to the regional context is available at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos>. The guideline and translation program will contribute to early diagnosis and improved health outcomes, and promote best-practice PCOS models of care. The translation program will be inclusive of a range of outputs such as the first evidence-based PCOS APP (AskPCOS), a rigorously developed question prompt list to optimize health professional engagement, health literacy enhancing tools, comprehensive PCOS-related health information; internationally accessible health professional accredited courses, webinars with international expert panels, and e-health information resources available at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos>. Most importantly, the guideline and translation of the guideline is expected to improve

Table III Recommendations and practice points. © Monash University on behalf of the NHMRC Centre for Research Excellence in PCOS, 2018.

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
I. Screening, diagnostic assessment, risk assessment and life-stage		
Irregular cycles and ovulatory dysfunction		
CCR	Irregular menstrual cycles are defined as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Normal in the first year post menarche as part of the pubertal transition • 1 to <3 years post menarche: <21 or >45 days • 3 years post menarche to perimenopause: <21 or >35 days or <8 cycles per year • 1 year post menarche >90 days for any one cycle • Primary amenorrhea by age 15 or >3 years post thelarche (breast development) When irregular menstrual cycles are present a diagnosis of PCOS should be considered and assessed according to the guidelines	****
CCR	In an adolescent with irregular menstrual cycles, the value and optimal timing of assessment and diagnosis of PCOS should be discussed with the patient, taking into account diagnostic challenges at this life stage and psychosocial and cultural factors	****
CPP	For adolescents who have features of PCOS but do not meet diagnostic criteria, an 'increased risk' could be considered and reassessment advised at or before full reproductive maturity, 8 years post menarche. This includes those with PCOS features before combined oral contraceptive pill (COCP) commencement, those with persisting features and those with significant weight gain in adolescence.	
CPP	Ovulatory dysfunction can still occur with regular cycles and if anovulation needs to be confirmed serum progesterone levels can be measured.	—
Biochemical hyperandrogenism		
EBR	Calculated free testosterone, free androgen index or calculated bioavailable testosterone should be used to assess biochemical hyperandrogenism in the diagnosis of PCOS.	**** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	High-quality assays such as liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LCMS) and extraction/ chromatography immunoassays, should be used for the most accurate assessment of total or free testosterone in PCOS.	*** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	Androstenedione and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS) could be considered if total or free testosterone are not elevated; however, these provide limited additional information in the diagnosis of PCOS.	*** ⊕⊕○○
CCR	Direct free testosterone assays, such as radiometric or enzyme-linked assays, preferably should not be used in assessment of biochemical hyperandrogenism in PCOS, as they demonstrate poor sensitivity, accuracy and precision.	
CPP	Reliable assessment of biochemical hyperandrogenism is not possible in women on hormonal contraception, due to effects on sex hormone-binding globulin and altered gonadotrophin-dependent androgen production.	—
CPP	Where assessment of biochemical hyperandrogenism is important in women on hormonal contraception, drug withdrawal is recommended for 3 months or longer before measurement, and contraception management with a non-hormonal alternative is needed during this time.	—
CPP	Assessment of biochemical hyperandrogenism is most useful in establishing the diagnosis of PCOS and/or phenotype where clinical signs of hyperandrogenism (in particular hirsutism) are unclear or absent.	—
CPP	Interpretation of androgen levels needs to be guided by the reference ranges of the laboratory used, acknowledging that ranges for different methods and laboratories vary widely. Normal values are ideally based on levels from a well phenotyped healthy control population or by cluster analysis of a large general population considering age and pubertal specific stages.	—
CPP	Where androgen levels are markedly above laboratory reference ranges, other causes of biochemical hyperandrogenism need to be considered. History of symptom onset and progression is critical in assessing for neoplasia, however, some androgen-secreting neoplasms may only induce mild to moderate increases in biochemical hyperandrogenism.	—
Clinical hyperandrogenism		
CCR	A comprehensive history and physical examination should be completed for symptoms and signs of clinical hyperandrogenism, including acne, alopecia, and hirsutism and, in adolescents, severe acne and hirsutism.	****
CCR	Health professionals should be aware of the potential negative psychosocial impact of clinical hyperandrogenism. Reported unwanted excess hair growth and/or alopecia should be considered important, regardless of apparent clinical severity.	****
CCR	Standardized visual scales are preferred when assessing hirsutism, such as the modified Ferriman Gallwey score (mFG) with a level ≥4–6 indicating hirsutism, depending on ethnicity, acknowledging that self-treatment is common and can limit clinical assessment. (See recommendations on ethnic variation.)	****

Continued

Table III Continued

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
CCR	The Ludwig visual score is preferred for assessing the degree and distribution of alopecia.	****
CPP	There are no universally accepted visual assessments for evaluating acne.	
CPP	The prevalence of hirsutism is the same across ethnicities, yet the mFG cut-off scores for defining hirsutism and the severity of hirsutism varies by ethnicity.	—
CPP	As ethnic variation in vellus hair density is notable, over-estimation of hirsutism may occur if vellus hair is confused with terminal hair; only terminal hairs need to be considered in pathological hirsutism, with terminal hairs clinically growing >5 mm in length if untreated, varying in shape and texture and generally being pigmented.	—
Ultrasound and polycystic ovarian morphology (PCOM)		
CCR	Ultrasound should not be used for the diagnosis of PCOS in those with a gynecological age of <8 years (<8 years after menarche), due to the high incidence of multi-follicular ovaries in this life stage.	****
CCR	The threshold for PCOM should be revised regularly with advancing ultrasound technology, and age-specific cut off values for PCOM should be defined.	****
CCR	The transvaginal ultrasound approach is preferred in the diagnosis of PCOS, if sexually active and if acceptable to the individual being assessed.	****
CCR	Using endovaginal ultrasound transducers with a frequency bandwidth that includes 8 MHz, the threshold for PCOM on either ovary, a follicle number per ovary of ≥ 20 and/or an ovarian volume ≥ 10 ml on either ovary, ensuring no corpora lutea, cysts or dominant follicles are present.	***
CPP	If using older technology, the threshold for PCOM could be an ovarian volume ≥ 10 ml on either ovary.	—
CPP	In patients with irregular menstrual cycles and hyperandrogenism, an ovarian ultrasound is not necessary for PCOS diagnosis; however, ultrasound will identify the complete PCOS phenotype.	—
CPP	In transabdominal ultrasound reporting is best focused on ovarian volume with a threshold of ≥ 10 ml, given the difficulty of reliably assessing follicle number with this approach.	—
CPP	Clear protocols are recommended for reporting follicle number per ovary and ovarian volume on ultrasound. Recommended minimum reporting standards include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Last menstrual period • Transducer bandwidth frequency • Approach/route assessed • Total follicle number per ovary measuring 2–9 mm • Three dimensions and volume of each ovary • Reporting of endometrial thickness and appearance is preferred; 3-layer endometrial assessment may be useful to screen for endometrial pathology • Other ovarian and uterine pathology, as well as ovarian cysts, corpus luteum, dominant follicles ≥ 10 mm 	—
CPP	There is a need for training in careful and meticulous follicle counting per ovary, to improve reporting.	—
Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH)		
EBR	Serum AMH levels should not yet be used as an alternative for the detection of PCOM or as a single test for the diagnosis of PCOS.	*** ⊕⊕○○
CPP	There is emerging evidence that with improved standardization of assays and established cut off levels or thresholds based on large scale validation in populations of different ages and ethnicities, AMH assays will be more accurate in the detection of PCOM.	—
Ethnic variation		
CCR	Health professionals should consider ethnic variation in the presentation and manifestations of PCOS, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A relatively mild phenotype in Caucasians • Higher body mass index (BMI) in Caucasian women, especially in North America and Australia • More severe hirsutism in Middle Eastern, Hispanic and Mediterranean women • Increased central adiposity, insulin resistance, diabetes, metabolic risks and acanthosis nigricans in South East Asians and Indigenous Australians • Lower BMI and milder hirsutism in East Asians • Higher BMI and metabolic features in Africans 	****
Menopause life stage		
CCR	Postmenopausal persistence of PCOS could be considered likely with continuing evidence of hyperandrogenism.	***
CCR	A diagnosis of PCOS postmenopause could be considered if there is a past diagnosis of PCOS, a long-term history of irregular menstrual cycles and hyperandrogenism and/or PCOM, during the reproductive years.	***

Continued

Table III Continued

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
CPP	Postmenopausal women presenting with new-onset, severe or worsening hyperandrogenism including hirsutism, require investigation to rule out androgen-secreting tumors and ovarian hyperthecosis.	—
Cardiovascular disease risk (CVD)		
CCR	All those with PCOS should be offered regular monitoring for weight changes and excess weight, in consultation with and where acceptable to the individual woman. Monitoring could be at each visit or at a minimum 6–12 monthly, with frequency planned and agreed between the health professional and the individual.	****
CCR	Weight, height and ideally waist circumference should be measured and BMI calculated with the following considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BMI categories and waist circumference should follow World Health Organization guidelines, also noting ethnic and adolescent ranges. • Consideration should be given for Asian and high-risk ethnic groups including recommended monitoring of waist circumference. 	****
CCR	All women with PCOS should be assessed for cardiovascular risk factors and global CVD risk.	****
CCR	If screening reveals CVD risk factors including obesity, cigarette smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension, impaired glucose tolerance, and lack of physical activity, women with PCOS should be considered at increased risk of CVD.	****
CCR	Overweight and obese women with PCOS, regardless of age, should have a fasting lipid profile (cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglyceride level at diagnosis). Thereafter, frequency of measurement should be based on the presence of hyperlipidemia and global CVD risk.	****
CCR	All women with PCOS should have blood pressure measured annually, or more frequently based on global CVD risk.	****
CPP	Health professionals need to be aware that CVD risk in women with PCOS remains unclear pending high-quality studies, however prevalence of CVD risk factors is increased, warranting consideration of screening.	—
CPP	Consideration needs to be given to the significant differences in CVD risk across ethnicities (See Ethnic variation) when determining frequency of risk assessment.	—
Gestational diabetes, impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes		
CCR	Health professionals and women with PCOS should be aware that, regardless of age, the prevalence of gestational diabetes, impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes (5-fold in Asia, 4-fold in the Americas and 3-fold in Europe) are significantly increased in PCOS, with risk independent of, yet exacerbated by, obesity.	****
CCR	Glycemic status should be assessed at baseline in all women with PCOS. Thereafter, assessment should be every one to three years, influenced by the presence of other diabetes risk factors.	****
CCR	An oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), fasting plasma glucose or HbA1c should be performed to assess glycemic status. In high-risk women with PCOS (including a BMI > 25 kg/m ² or in Asians >23 kg/m ² , history of impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance or gestational diabetes, family history of diabetes mellitus type 2, hypertension or high-risk ethnicity), an OGTT is recommended.	****
CCR	A 75-g OGTT should be offered in all women with PCOS preconception when planning pregnancy or seeking fertility treatment, given the high risk of hyperglycemia and the associated comorbidities in pregnancy. If not performed preconception, an OGTT should be offered at <20 weeks gestation, and all women with PCOS should be offered the test at 24–28 weeks gestation.	****
Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)		
CCR	Screening should only be considered for OSA in PCOS to identify and alleviate related symptoms, such as snoring, waking unrefreshed from sleep, daytime sleepiness and the potential for fatigue to contribute to mood disorders. Screening should not be considered with the intention of improving cardiometabolic risk, with inadequate evidence for metabolic benefits of OSA treatment in PCOS and in general populations.	****
CCR	A simple screening questionnaire, preferably the Berlin tool, could be applied and if positive, referral to a specialist considered.	***
CPP	A positive screen raises the likelihood of OSA, however, it does not quantify symptom burden and alone does not justify treatment. If women with PCOS have OSA symptoms and a positive screen, consideration can be given to referral to a specialist center for further evaluation.	—

Continued

Table III *Continued*

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
Endometrial cancer		
CCR	Health professionals and women with PCOS should be aware of a 2- to 6-fold increased risk of endometrial cancer, which often presents before menopause; however, absolute risk of endometrial cancer remains relatively low.	***
CPP	Health professionals require a low threshold for investigation of endometrial cancer in women with PCOS or a history of PCOS, with investigation by transvaginal ultrasound and/or endometrial biopsy recommended with persistent thickened endometrium and/or risk factors including prolonged amenorrhea, abnormal vaginal bleeding or excess weight. However, routine ultrasound screening of endometrial thickness in PCOS is not recommended.	—
CPP	Optimal prevention for endometrial hyperplasia and endometrial cancer is not known. A pragmatic approach could include COCP or progestin therapy in those with cycles longer than 90 days.	—
2. Prevalence, screening, diagnostic assessment and treatment in emotional wellbeing		
Quality of life		
CCR	Health professionals and women should be aware of the adverse impact of PCOS on quality of life.	****
CCR	Health professionals should capture and consider perceptions of symptoms, impact on quality of life and personal priorities for care to improve patient outcomes.	****
CPP	The PCOS quality of life tool (PCOSQ), or the modified PCOSQ, may be useful clinically to highlight PCOS features causing greatest distress, and to evaluate treatment outcomes on women's subjective PCOS health concerns.	—
Depressive and anxiety symptoms, screening and treatment		
CCR	Health professionals should be aware that in PCOS, there is a high prevalence of moderate to severe anxiety and depressive symptoms in adults; and a likely increased prevalence in adolescents.	****
CCR	Anxiety and depressive symptoms should be routinely screened in all adolescents and women with PCOS at diagnosis. If the screen for these symptoms and/or other aspects of emotional wellbeing is positive, further assessment and/or referral for assessment and treatment should be completed by suitably qualified health professionals, informed by regional guidelines.	****
CCR	If treatment is warranted, psychological therapy and/or pharmacological treatment should be offered in PCOS, informed by regional clinical practice guidelines.	****
CPP	The optimal interval for anxiety and depressive symptom screening is not known. A pragmatic approach could include repeat screening using clinical judgment, considering risk factors, comorbidities and life events.	—
CPP	Assessment of anxiety and or depressive symptoms involves assessment of risk factors, symptoms and severity. Symptoms can be screened according to regional guidelines, or by using simple stepwise approaches (see full guideline for details).	—
CPP	Where pharmacological treatment for anxiety and depression is offered in PCOS, the following need consideration: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Caution is needed to avoid inappropriate treatment with antidepressants or anxiolytics. Where mental health disorders are clearly documented and persistent, or if suicidal symptoms are present, treatment of depression or anxiety need to be informed by clinical regional practice guidelines. • Use of agents that exacerbate PCOS symptoms, including weight gain, need careful consideration. 	—
CPP	Factors including obesity, infertility and hirsutism need consideration along with use of hormonal medications in PCOS, as they may independently exacerbate depressive and anxiety symptoms and other aspects of emotional wellbeing.	—
Psychosexual function		
CCR	All health professionals should be aware of the increased prevalence of psychosexual dysfunction and should consider exploring how features of PCOS, including hirsutism and body image, impact on sex life and relationships in PCOS.	****
CCR	If psychosexual dysfunction is suspected, tools such as the Female Sexual Function Index can be considered.	****
Body image		
CCR	Health professionals and women should be aware that features of PCOS can impact on body image.	***
CPP	Negative body image, can be screened according to regional guidelines or by using a stepped approach (see full guideline for details).	—

Continued

Table III *Continued*

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
Eating disorders and disordered eating		
CCR	All health professionals and women should be aware of the increased prevalence of eating disorders and disordered eating associated with PCOS.	**
CCR	If eating disorders and disordered eating are suspected, further assessment, referral and treatment, including psychological therapy, could be offered by appropriately trained health professionals, informed by regional guidelines or by using a stepped approach (see full guideline for details).	**
Information resources, models of care, cultural and linguistic considerations		
CCR	Information and education resources for women with PCOS should be culturally appropriate, tailored and high-quality, should use a respectful and empathetic approach, and promote self-care and highlight peer support groups.	****
CCR	Information and education resources for healthcare professionals should promote the recommended diagnostic criteria, appropriate screening for comorbidities, and effective lifestyle and pharmacological management.	****
CCR	PCOS information should be comprehensive, evidence-based and inclusive of the biopsychosocial dimensions of PCOS across the life-span.	****
CCR	Women's needs, communication preferences, beliefs and culture should be considered and addressed through provision of culturally and linguistically appropriate co-designed resources and care.	****
CPP	Interdisciplinary care needs to be considered for those with PCOS where appropriate and available. Primary care is generally well placed to diagnose, screen and coordinate interdisciplinary care.	—
CPP	Care needs to be person centered, address women's priorities and be provided in partnership with those with PCOS and where appropriate, their families.	—
CPP	Guideline dissemination and translation including multimodal education tools and resources is important, with consultation and engagement with stakeholders internationally.	—
3. Lifestyle		
Effectiveness of lifestyle interventions		
CCR	Healthy lifestyle behaviors encompassing healthy eating and regular physical activity should be recommended in all those with PCOS to achieve and/or maintain healthy weight and to optimize hormonal outcomes, general health and quality of life across the life course.	****
EBR	Lifestyle intervention (preferably multicomponent including diet, exercise and behavioral strategies) should be recommended in all those with PCOS and excess weight, for reductions in weight, central obesity and insulin resistance.	*** ⊕⊕○○
CPP	Achievable goals such as 5–10% weight loss in those with excess weight yields significant clinical improvements and is considered successful weight reduction within 6 months. Ongoing assessment and monitoring is important during weight loss and maintenance in all women with PCOS.	—
CPP	SMART (Specific Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timely) goal setting and self-monitoring can enable achievement of realistic lifestyle goals.	—
CPP	Psychological factors such as anxiety and depressive symptoms, body image concerns and disordered eating, need consideration and management to optimize engagement and adherence to lifestyle interventions.	—
CPP	Health professional interactions around healthy lifestyle, including diet and exercise, need to be respectful, patient-centered and to value women's individualized healthy lifestyle preferences and cultural, socioeconomic and ethnic differences. Health professionals need to also consider personal sensitivities, marginalization and potential weight-related stigma.	—
CPP	Adolescent and ethnic-specific BMI and waist circumference categories need to be considered when optimizing lifestyle and weight.	—
CPP	Healthy lifestyle may contribute to health and quality of life benefits in the absence of weight loss.	—
CPP	Healthy lifestyle and optimal weight management appears equally effective in PCOS as in the general population and is the joint responsibility of all health professionals, partnering with women with PCOS. Where complex issues arise, referral to suitably trained allied health professionals needs to be considered.	—
CPP	Ethnic groups with PCOS who are at high cardiometabolic risk require greater consideration in terms of healthy lifestyle and lifestyle intervention (see Ethnic Variation).	—

Continued

Table III Continued

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
Behavioral strategies		
CCR	Lifestyle interventions could include behavioral strategies such as goal-setting, self-monitoring, stimulus control, problem solving, assertiveness training, slower eating, reinforcing changes and relapse prevention, to optimize weight management, healthy lifestyle and emotional wellbeing in women with PCOS.	****
CPP	Comprehensive health behavioral or cognitive behavioral interventions could be considered to increase support, engagement, retention, adherence and maintenance of healthy lifestyle and improve health outcomes in women with PCOS.	—
Dietary intervention		
CCR	A variety of balanced dietary approaches could be recommended to reduce dietary energy intake and induce weight loss in women with PCOS and overweight and obesity, as per general population recommendations.	****
CCR	General healthy eating principles should be followed for all women with PCOS across the life course, as per general population recommendations.	****
CPP	To achieve weight loss in those with excess weight, an energy deficit of 30% or 500–750 kcal/day (1200–1500 kcal/day) could be prescribed for women, also considering individual energy requirements, body weight and physical activity levels.	—
CPP	In women with PCOS, there is no or limited evidence that any specific energy equivalent diet type is better than another, or that there is any differential response to weight management intervention, compared to women without PCOS.	—
CPP	Tailoring of dietary changes to food preferences, allowing for a flexible and individual approach to reducing energy intake and avoiding unduly restrictive and nutritionally unbalanced diets, are important, as per general population recommendations.	—
Exercise intervention		
CCR	Health professionals should encourage and advise the following for prevention of weight gain and maintenance of health: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In adults from 18–64 years, a minimum of 150 min/week of moderate intensity physical activity or 75 min/week of vigorous intensities or an equivalent combination of both, including muscle strengthening activities on 2 non-consecutive days/week • In adolescents, at least 60 min of moderate to vigorous intensity physical activity/day, including those that strengthen muscle and bone at least 3 times weekly • Activity be performed in at least 10-min bouts or around 1000 steps, aiming to achieve at least 30 min daily on most days. 	***
CCR	Health professionals should encourage and advise the following for modest weight-loss, prevention of weight-regain and greater health benefits: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A minimum of 250 min/week of moderate intensity activities or 150 min/week of vigorous intensity or an equivalent combination of both, and muscle strengthening activities involving major muscle groups on 2 non-consecutive days/week. • Minimized sedentary, screen or sitting time. 	***
CPP	Physical activity includes leisure time physical activity, transportation such as walking or cycling, occupational work, household chores, games, sports or planned exercise, in the context of daily, family and community activities. Daily, 10 000 steps is ideal, including activities of daily living and 30 min of structured physical activity or around 3000 steps. Structuring of recommended activities need to consider women's and family routines as well as cultural preferences	—
CPP	Realistic physical activity SMART (Specific, Measureable, Achievable, Relevant, Time limited) goals could include 10 min bouts, progressively increasing physical activity 5% weekly, up to and above recommendations.	—
CPP	Self-monitoring including with fitness tracking devices and technologies for step count and exercise intensity, could be used as an adjunct to support and promote active lifestyles and minimize sedentary behaviors.	—
Obesity and weight assessment		
CCR	Health professionals and women should be aware that women with PCOS have a higher prevalence of weight gain and obesity, presenting significant concerns for women, impacting on health and emotional wellbeing, with a clear need for prevention.	***
CCR	All those with PCOS should be offered regular monitoring for weight changes and excess weight (see Cardiovascular Disease Risk).	****
CPP	When assessing weight, related stigma, negative body image and/or low self-esteem need to be considered and assessment needs to be respectful and considerate. Beforehand, explanations on the purpose and how the information will be used and the opportunity for questions and preferences needs to be provided, permission sought and scales and tape measures adequate. Implications of results need to be explained and where this impacts on emotional wellbeing, support provided.	—

Continued

Table III Continued

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
CPP	Prevention of weight gain, monitoring of weight and encouraging evidence-based and socio-culturally appropriate healthy lifestyle is important in PCOS, particularly from adolescence.	—
4. Pharmacological treatment for non-fertility indications		
Pharmacological treatment principles in PCOS		
CPP	Consideration of the individual's personal characteristics, preferences and values is important in recommending pharmacological treatment.	—
CPP	When prescribing pharmacological therapy in PCOS, benefits, adverse effects and contraindications in PCOS and general populations need to be considered and discussed before commencement.	—
CPP	COCPs, metformin and other pharmacological treatments are generally off label [#] in PCOS. However, off label use is predominantly evidence-based and is allowed in many countries. Where it is allowed, health professionals need to inform women and discuss the evidence, possible concerns and side effects of treatment.	—
CPP	Holistic approaches are required and pharmacological therapy in PCOS needs to be considered alongside education, lifestyle and other options including cosmetic therapy and counseling.	—
Combined oral contraceptive pills (COCPs)		
EBR	The COCP alone should be recommended in adult women with PCOS for management of hyperandrogenism and/or irregular menstrual cycles.	**** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	The COCP alone should be considered in adolescents with a clear diagnosis of PCOS for management of clinical hyperandrogenism and/or irregular menstrual cycles.	*** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	The COCP could be considered in adolescents who are deemed 'at risk' but not yet diagnosed with PCOS, for management of clinical hyperandrogenism and irregular menstrual cycles.	*** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	Specific types or dose of progestins, estrogens or combinations of COCP cannot currently be recommended in adults and adolescents with PCOS and practice should be informed by general population guidelines.	*** ⊕⊕○○
CCR	The 35 µg ethinylloestradiol plus cyproterone acetate preparations should not be considered first line in PCOS as per general population guidelines, due to adverse effects including venous thromboembolic risks.	*
CPP	When prescribing COCPs in adults and adolescents with PCOS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Various COCP preparations have similar efficacy in treating hirsutism. • The lowest effective estrogen doses (such as 20–30 µg of ethinylloestradiol or equivalent), and natural estrogen preparations are preferred, balancing efficacy, metabolic risk profile, side effects, cost and availability. • The generally limited evidence on effects of COCPs in PCOS needs to be appreciated with practice informed by general population guidelines (World Health Organization Guidelines). • The relative and absolute contraindications and side effects of COCPs need to be considered and be the subject of individualized discussion. • PCOS specific risk factors such as high BMI, hyperlipidemia and hypertension need to be considered. 	—
Combined oral contraceptive pills in combination with metformin and/or anti-androgen pharmacological agents		
EBR	In combination with the COCP, metformin should be considered in women with PCOS for management of metabolic features where COCP and lifestyle changes do not achieve desired goals.	**** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	In combination with the COCP, metformin could be considered in adolescents with PCOS and BMI ≥ 25 kg/m ² where COCP and lifestyle changes do not achieve desired goals.	**** ⊕⊕○○
CPP	In combination with the COCP, metformin may be most beneficial in high metabolic risk groups including those with diabetes risk factors, impaired glucose tolerance or high-risk ethnic groups.	—
EBR	In combination with the COCP, antiandrogens should only be considered in PCOS to treat hirsutism, after 6 months or more of COCP and cosmetic therapy have failed to adequately improve symptoms.	** ⊕⊕○○
CCR	In combination with the COCP, antiandrogens could be considered for the treatment of androgen-related alopecia in PCOS.	**
CPP	In PCOS, antiandrogens must be used with effective contraception, to avoid male fetal undervirilisation. Variable availability and regulatory status of these agents is notable and for some agents, potential liver toxicity requires caution.	—
Metformin		
EBR	Metformin in addition to lifestyle, could be recommended in adult women with PCOS, for the treatment of weight, hormonal and metabolic outcomes.	*** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	Metformin in addition to lifestyle, should be considered in adult women with PCOS with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m ² for management of weight and metabolic outcomes.	*** ⊕⊕○○

Continued

Table III *Continued*

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
EBR	Metformin in addition to lifestyle, could be considered in adolescents with a clear diagnosis of PCOS or with symptoms of PCOS before the diagnosis is made.	*** ⊕⊕○○
CPP	Metformin may offer greater benefit in high metabolic risk groups including those with diabetes risk factors, impaired glucose tolerance or high-risk ethnic groups (see Ethnic variation).	—
CPP	Where metformin is prescribed the following need to be considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adverse effects, including gastrointestinal side-effects that are generally dose dependent and self-limiting, need to be the subject of individualized discussion. • Starting at a low dose, with 500 mg increments 1–2 weekly and extended release preparations may minimize side effects. • Metformin use appears safe long term, based on use in other populations, however, ongoing requirement needs to be considered and use may be associated with low vitamin B12 levels. • Use is generally off label and health professionals need to inform women and discuss the evidence, possible concerns and side effects. 	—
Anti-obesity pharmacological agents		
CCR	Anti-obesity medications in addition to lifestyle, could be considered for the management of obesity in adults with PCOS after lifestyle intervention, as per general population recommendations.	—
CPP	For anti-obesity medications, cost, contraindications, side effects, variable availability and regulatory status need to be considered and pregnancy needs to be avoided whilst taking these medications.	—
Anti-androgen pharmacological agents		
EBR	Where COCPs are contraindicated or poorly tolerated, in the presence of other effective forms of contraception, antiandrogens could be considered to treat hirsutism and androgen-related alopecia.	*** ⊕○○○
CPP	Specific types or doses of antiandrogens cannot currently be recommended with inadequate evidence in PCOS.	—
Inositol		
EBR	Inositol (in any form) should currently be considered an experimental therapy in PCOS, with emerging evidence on efficacy highlighting the need for further research.	* ⊕○○○
CPP	Women taking inositol and other complementary therapies are encouraged to advise their health professional.	—
5. Assessment and treatment of infertility		
Assessment of factors that may affect fertility, treatment response or pregnancy outcomes		
CPP	Factors such as blood glucose, weight, blood pressure, smoking, alcohol, diet, exercise, sleep and mental, emotional and sexual health need to be optimized in women with PCOS, to improve reproductive and obstetric outcomes, aligned with recommendations in the general population. Refer to Lifestyle, Emotional Wellbeing and Diabetes risk sections.	—
CPP	Monitoring during pregnancy is important in women with PCOS, given increased risk of adverse maternal and offspring outcomes.	—
CCR	In women with PCOS and infertility due to anovulation alone with normal semen analysis, the risks, benefits, costs and timing of tubal patency testing should be discussed on an individual basis.	***
CCR	Tubal patency testing should be considered prior to ovulation induction in women with PCOS where there is suspected tubal infertility.	***
Ovulation induction principles		
CPP	The use of ovulation induction agents, including letrozole, metformin and clomiphene citrate is off label in many countries. Where off label use of ovulation induction agents is allowed, health professionals need to inform women and discuss the evidence, possible concerns and side effects.	—
CPP	Pregnancy needs to be excluded prior to ovulation induction.	—
CPP	Unsuccessful, prolonged use of ovulation induction agents need to be avoided, due to poor success rates.	—
Letrozole		
EBR	Letrozole should be considered first line pharmacological treatment for ovulation induction in women with PCOS with anovulatory infertility and no other infertility factors to improve ovulation, pregnancy and live birth rates.	**** ⊕⊕○○
CPP	Where letrozole is not available or use is not permitted or cost is prohibitive, health professionals can use other ovulation induction agents.	—

Continued

Table III Continued

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
CPP	Health professionals and women need to be aware that the risk of multiple pregnancy appears to be less with letrozole, compared to clomiphene citrate.	—
Clomiphene citrate and metformin		
EBR	Clomiphene citrate could be used alone in women with PCOS with anovulatory infertility and no other infertility factors to improve ovulation and pregnancy rates.	*** ⊕○○○
EBR	Metformin could be used alone in women with PCOS, with anovulatory infertility and no other infertility factors, to improve ovulation, pregnancy and live birth rates, although women should be informed that there are more effective ovulation induction agents.	*** ⊕⊕⊕○
EBR	Clomiphene citrate could be used in preference, when considering clomiphene citrate or metformin for ovulation induction in women with PCOS who are obese (BMI is ≥ 30 kg/m ²) with anovulatory infertility and no other infertility factors.	*** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	If metformin is being used for ovulation induction in women with PCOS who are obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m ²) with anovulatory infertility and no other infertility factors, clomiphene citrate could be added to improve ovulation, pregnancy and live birth rates.	*** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	Clomiphene citrate could be combined with metformin, rather than persisting with clomiphene citrate alone, in women with PCOS who are clomiphene citrate-resistant, with anovulatory infertility and no other infertility factors, to improve ovulation and pregnancy rates.	*** ⊕⊕○○
CPP	The risk of multiple pregnancies is increased with clomiphene citrate use and therefore monitoring needs to be considered.	—
Gonadotrophins		
EBR	Gonadotrophins could be used as second line pharmacological agents in women with PCOS who have failed first line oral ovulation induction therapy and are anovulatory and infertile, with no other infertility factors.	*** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	Gonadotrophins could be considered as first line treatment, in the presence of ultrasound monitoring, following counseling on cost and potential risk of multiple pregnancy, in women with PCOS with anovulatory infertility and no other infertility factors.	*** ⊕⊕○○
EBR	Gonadotrophins, where available and affordable, should be used in preference to clomiphene citrate combined with metformin therapy for ovulation induction, in women with PCOS with anovulatory infertility, clomiphene citrate-resistance and no other infertility factors, to improve ovulation, pregnancy and live birth rates.	**** ⊕⊕⊕○
EBR	Gonadotrophins with the addition of metformin could be used rather than gonadotrophin alone, in women with PCOS with anovulatory infertility, clomiphene citrate-resistance and no other infertility factors, to improve ovulation, pregnancy and live birth rates.	*** ⊕⊕⊕○
EBR	Either gonadotrophins or laparoscopic ovarian surgery could be used in women with PCOS with anovulatory infertility, clomiphene citrate-resistance and no other infertility factors, following counseling on benefits and risks of each therapy.	**** ⊕⊕⊕○
CPP	Where gonadotrophins are prescribed, considerations include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost and availability. • Expertise required for use in ovulation induction. • Degree of intensive ultrasound monitoring required. • Lack of difference in clinical efficacy of available gonadotrophin preparations. • Low dose gonadotrophin protocols optimize monofollicular development. • Risk and implications of potential multiple pregnancy. 	—
CPP	Gonadotrophin induced ovulation is only triggered when there are fewer than three mature follicles and needs to be canceled if there are more than two mature follicles with the patient advised to avoid unprotected intercourse.	—
Anti-obesity pharmacological agents		
CCR	Pharmacological anti-obesity agents should be considered an experimental therapy in women with PCOS for the purpose of improving fertility, with risk to benefit ratios currently too uncertain to advocate this as fertility therapy.	*
Laparoscopic surgery		
EBR	Laparoscopic ovarian surgery could be second line therapy for women with PCOS, who are clomiphene citrate resistant, with anovulatory infertility and no other infertility factors.	*** ⊕⊕○○
CCR	Laparoscopic ovarian surgery could potentially be offered as first line treatment if laparoscopy is indicated for another reason in women with PCOS with anovulatory infertility and no other infertility factors.	***

Continued

Table III Continued

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
CPP	Risks need to be explained to all women with PCOS considering laparoscopic ovarian surgery.	—
CPP	Where laparoscopic ovarian surgery is to be recommended, the following need to be considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparative cost. • Expertise required for use in ovulation induction. • Intra-operative and post-operative risks are higher in women who are overweight and obese. • There may be a small associated risk of lower ovarian reserve or loss of ovarian function. • Periadnexal adhesion formation may be an associated risk. 	—
Bariatric surgery		
CCR	Bariatric surgery should be considered an experimental therapy in women with PCOS, for the purpose of having a healthy baby, with risk to benefit ratios currently too uncertain to advocate this as fertility therapy.	*
CPP	If bariatric surgery is to be prescribed, the following need to be considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparative cost. • The need for a structured weight management program involving diet, physical activity and interventions to improve psychological, musculoskeletal and cardiovascular health to continue post-operatively. • Perinatal risks such as small for gestational age, premature delivery, possibly increased infant mortality. • Potential benefits such as reduced incidence of large for gestational age fetus and gestational diabetes. • Recommendations for pregnancy avoidance during periods of rapid weight loss and for at least 12 months after bariatric surgery with appropriate contraception. If pregnancy occurs, the following need to be considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Awareness and preventative management of pre- and post-operative nutritional deficiencies is important, ideally in a specialist interdisciplinary care setting. • Monitoring of fetal growth during pregnancy. 	—
In vitro fertilization (IVF)		
CCR	In the absence of an absolute indication for IVF ± ICSI, women with PCOS and anovulatory infertility could be offered IVF as third line therapy where first or second line ovulation induction therapies have failed.	***
CPP	In women with anovulatory PCOS, the use of IVF is effective and when elective single embryo transfer is used, multiple pregnancies can be minimized.	—
CPP	Women with PCOS undergoing IVF ± ICSI therapy need to be counseled prior to starting treatment including on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Availability, cost and convenience. • Increased risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. • Options to reduce the risk of ovarian hyperstimulation. 	—
CCR	Urinary or recombinant follicle stimulation hormone can be used in women with PCOS undergoing controlled ovarian hyperstimulation for IVF ± ICSI, with insufficient evidence to recommend specific follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) preparations.	—
CCR	Exogenous recombinant luteinizing hormone treatment should not be routinely used in combination with follicle stimulating hormone therapy in women with PCOS undergoing controlled ovarian hyperstimulation for IVF ± ICSI.	—
EBR	A gonadotrophin releasing hormone antagonist protocol is preferred in women with PCOS undergoing an IVF ± ICSI cycle, over a gonadotrophin releasing hormone agonist long protocol, to reduce the duration of stimulation, total gonadotrophin dose and incidence of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS).	*** ⊕⊕○○
CPP	Human chorionic gonadotrophin is best used at the lowest doses to trigger final oocyte maturation in women with PCOS undergoing an IVF ± ICSI cycle to reduce the incidence of OHSS.	—
CPP	Triggering final oocyte maturation with a gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist and freezing all suitable embryos could be considered in women with PCOS having an IVF/ICSI cycle with a GnRH antagonist protocol and at an increased risk of developing OHSS or where fresh embryo transfer is not planned.	—
CPP	In IVF ± ICSI cycles in women with PCOS, consideration needs to be given to an elective freeze of all embryos.	—
EBR	Adjunct metformin therapy could be used before and/or during follicle stimulating hormone ovarian stimulation in women with PCOS undergoing a IVF ± ICSI therapy with a GnRH agonist protocol, to improve the clinical pregnancy rate and reduce the risk of OHSS.	*** ⊕⊕○○
CCR	In a GnRH agonist protocol with adjunct metformin therapy, in women with PCOS undergoing IVF ± ICSI treatment, the following could be considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metformin commencement at the start of GnRH agonist treatment. • Metformin use at a dose of between 1000 and 2550 mg daily. • Metformin cessation at the time of the pregnancy test or menses (unless the metformin therapy is otherwise indicated). • Metformin side-effects (see metformin section in this table). 	—

Continued

Table III Continued

Category (see Table I for definition)	Recommendation	GRADE and quality
CPP	In IVF ± ICSI cycles, women with PCOS could be counseled on potential benefits of adjunct metformin in a GnRH antagonist protocol to reduce risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (see above for metformin therapy considerations).	–
CPP	The term <i>in vitro</i> maturation (IVM) treatment cycle is applied to ‘the maturation <i>in vitro</i> of immature cumulus oocyte complexes collected from antral follicles’ (encompassing both stimulated and unstimulated cycles, but without the use of a human gonadotrophin trigger).	–
CCR	In units with sufficient expertise, IVM could be offered to achieve pregnancy and livebirth rates approaching those of standard IVF ± ICSI treatment without the risk of OHSS for women with PCOS, where an embryo is generated, then vitrified and thawed and transferred in a subsequent cycle.	**

EBR = evidence based recommendation; CCR = clinical consensus recommendation; CPP = clinical practice point. Evidence quality: ⊕⊕⊕⊕ = high quality; ⊕⊕⊕○ = moderate quality; ⊕⊕○○ = low quality and ⊕○○○ = very low quality evidence. ****Strong recommendation for the option; ***Conditional recommendation for the option; **Conditional recommendation for either the option or the comparison; *Conditional recommendation against the option. Note: Off-label prescribing occurs when a drug is prescribed for an indication, a route of administration, or a patient group that is not included in the approved product information document for that drug by the regulatory body. Prescribing off-label is often unavoidable and common, and does not mean that the regulatory body has rejected the indication, but more commonly there has not been a submission to request evaluation of the indication or that patient group for any given drug.

GRADE working group. Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) guidelines.

© International evidence-based guideline for the assessment and management of polycystic ovary syndrome 2018, Helena Teede et al. Monash University (monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos), 2018, by permission of Monash University, on behalf of the NHMRC Centre for Research Excellence in PCOS. This image/content is not covered by the terms of the Creative Commons licence of this publication. For permission to reuse, please contact the rights holder.

patient experiences through the provision of timely and accurate diagnosis, accessible evidence-based information and improved multidisciplinary support. Ultimately, this initiative may serve as an exemplar for international collaborative engagement and healthcare impact. Key elements included extensive collaboration, broad stakeholder representation, including consumer partnership, distributive leadership, adequate funding, robust project management and governance, adherence to best practice and integrated comprehensive translation and evaluation.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of our partners, engaged and collaborating organizations:

- (1) The Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Centre for Research Excellence in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome and the members of this Centre who coordinated this international guideline effort
- (2) Our partner organizations are:
 - American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM)
 - European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE)
- (3) Our collaborating and engaged societies and consumer groups:
 - Androgen Excess and Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Society (AEPPOS)
 - American Pediatric Endocrine Society
 - Asia Pacific Paediatric Endocrine Society (APPES)
 - Asia Pacific Initiative on Reproduction (ASPIRE)
 - Australasian Paediatric Endocrine Group (APEG)
 - Australian Diabetes Society (ADS)
 - British Fertility Society (BFS)
 - Canadian Society of Endocrinology and Metabolism (CSEM)
 - Dietitians Association Australia
 - Endocrine Society (US Endo)
 - Endocrine Society Australia (ESA)

- European Society of Endocrinology (ESE)
- European Society for Paediatric Endocrinology (ESPE)
- Exercise and Sports Science Australia (ESSA)
- Federation of Obstetric and Gynaecological Societies of India (FOGSI)
- Fertility Society Australia (FSA)
- International Society of Endocrinology (ISE)
- International Federation of Fertility Societies (IFFS)
- International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO)
- Italian Society of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
- Japanese Society for Paediatric Endocrinology (JSPE)
- Latin American Society for Paediatric Endocrinology (SLEP)
- Nordic Federation of Societies of Obstetrics and Gynaecology (NFOG)
- PCOS Challenge
- PCOS Society of India
- Paediatric Endocrine Society (PES)
- Polycystic Ovary Association Australia (POSSA)
- Royal Australasian College of Physicians (RACP)
- Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (RACGP)
- Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG)
- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG)
- South African Society of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (SASOG)
- Verity UK
- Victorian Assisted Reproductive Technology Association (VARTA)

Other relevant organizations are welcome to partner in guideline translation once approved.

Authors' roles

Professor Teede, Professor Norman and all listed authors, were members of the project board, and coordinated GDG activities from prioritizing clinical questions, providing clinical input into evidence synthesis,

chairing the GDG process and GRADE framework application, finalizing recommendations, responding to feedback and endorsing the guideline. Helena Teede was the guideline development and translation lead and engaged with all GDG meetings, overseeing the process. Joop Laven, Anuja Dokras, Lisa Moran, Terhi Piltonen and Michael Costello chaired the GDGs. Marie Misso led the guideline development and evidence synthesis processes. Robert Norman was the PCOS Centre for Research Excellence co-director, the deputy chair of the International advisory board and the deputy chair of two GDG's. All other authors, were actively engaged as guideline development group, consumer, translation or international advisory board members or members of the evidence synthesis and translation team, contributed to the article, prioritizing clinical questions, discussing recommendations until voting and consensus, responses to external peer review and approval of the final recommendations across all GDGs.

Funding

The Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (APPI078444) funded this work, supported by European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) and American society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM).

Conflict of interest

Disclosures of conflicts of interest were declared at the outset and updated throughout the guideline process, aligned with NHMRC guideline processes. Full details of conflicts declared across the guideline development groups are available at <https://www.monash.edu/medicine/sphpm/mchri/pcos/guideline> in the Register of disclosures of interest. Of named authors, Dr Costello has declared shares in Virtus Health and past sponsorship from Merck Serono for conference presentations. Prof. Laven declared grants from Ferring, Euroscreen and personal fees from Ferring, Euroscreen, Danone and Titus Healthcare. Prof. Norman has declared a minor shareholder interest in an IVF unit. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Appendix

International PCOS Network

Marianne Andersen, Odense University Hospital, Denmark
 Ricardo Azziz, State University of New York System Administration, USA
 Adam Balen, Leeds Teaching Hospitals, UK
 Estifanos Baye, Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Australia
 Jacqueline Boyle, Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Australia
 Leah Brennan, Australian Catholic University, Australia
 Frank Broekmans, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Netherlands
 Preeti Dabadghao, Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, India
 Luigi Devoto, University of Chile, Faculty of Medicine, Chile
 Didier Dewailly, University of Lille, France
 Linda Downes, Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Australia

Bart Fauser, University Medical Center Utrecht, Netherlands
 Stephen Franks, Imperial College, London, UK
 Rhonda M. Garad, Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Australia
 Melanie Gibson-Helm, Monash University, Australia
 Cheryce Harrison, Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Australia
 Roger Hart, The University of Western Australia, Australia
 Rachel Hawkes, Verity, UK
 Angelica Hirschberg, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden
 Kathleen Hoeger, University of Rochester, USA
 Femke Hohmann, Huisartsenpraktijk Hohmann & De Vet, Rotterdam, Netherlands
 Samantha Hutchison, Monash Health Centre for Research Implementation, Australia
 Anju Joham, Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Australia
 Louise Johnson, Victorian Assisted Reproductive Treatment Authority, Australia
 Cailin Jordan, Genea Hollywood Fertility, Australia
 Jayashri Kulkarni, Monash Alfred Psychiatry Research Centre, Australia
 Richard S. Legro, Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, PA, USA
 Rong Li, Peking University Third Hospital, China
 Marla Lujan, Cornell University, USA
 Jaideep Malhotra, Rainbow Hospital, India
 Darren Mansfield, Monash University and Monash Health, Australia
 Kate Marsh, Northside Nutrition & Dietetics, Australia
 Veryan McAllister, Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Association Australia, Australia
 Edgar Mocanu, Royal College of Surgeons, Rotunda Hospital, Dublin 1, Ireland
 Ben W. Mol, Monash University, Australia
 Ernest Ng, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
 Sharon Oberfield, Columbia University Medical Center, USA
 Sasha Ottey, The PCOS Challenge: The National Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Association, USA
 Alexia Peña, The Robinson Research Institute at the University of Adelaide, Australia
 Jie Qiao, Peking University Third Hospital, China
 Leanne Redman, Pennington Biomedical Research Center, USA
 Raymond Rodgers, The Robinson Research Institute at the University of Adelaide, Australia
 Luk Rombauts, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Monash University, Australia
 Daniela Romualdi, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli, Rome
 Duru Shah, Gynaecworld, India
 Jane Speight, Deakin University, Australia
 Poli Mara Spritzer, Federal University of Rio Grande Do Sul, Brazil
 Elisabet Stener-Victorin, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden
 Nigel Stepto, Victoria University, Australia
 Juha S. Tapanainen, University of Helsinki, Helsinki University Hospital, Finland
 Eliza C. Tassone, Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Australia
 Shakila Thangaratinam, BARC (Barts Research Centre for Women's Health) Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, UK
 Mala Thondan, Harp Family Medical Centre, Australia
 Chii-Ruey Tzeng, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taiwan

Zephne van der Spuy, University of Cape Town, South Africa
 Eszter Vanky, Dept. of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
 Maria Vogiatzi, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania, USA
 Angela Wan, Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Australia
 Chandrika Wijeyaratne, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka
 Selma Witchel, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC, University of Pittsburgh, USA
 Jane Woolcock, Women's and Children's Hospital Adelaide, Australia
 Bulent O. Yildiz, Hacettepe University School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

References

- Azziz R, Carmina E, Chen Z, Dunaif A, Laven JS, Legro RS, Lizneva D, Natterson-Horowitz B, Teede HJ, Yildiz BO. Polycystic ovary syndrome. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2016;**2**:16057.
- Balen AH, Morley LC, Misso M, Franks S, Legro RS, Wijeyaratne CN, Stener-Victorin E, Fauser BC, Norman RJ, Teede H. The management of anovulatory infertility in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: an analysis of the evidence to support the development of global WHO guidance. *Hum Reprod Update* 2016;**22**:687–708.
- Brouwers M, Kho ME, Browman GP, Burgers JS, Cluzeau F, Feder G, Fervers B, Graham ID, Grimshaw J, Hanna SE et al. AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in health care. *CMAJ* 2010;**182**:E839–E842.
- Conway G, Dewailly D, Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Escobar-Morreale HF, Franks S, Gambineri A. The polycystic ovary syndrome: a position statement from the European Society of Endocrinology. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2014;**171**:P1–P29.
- Dokras A, Saini S, Gibson-Helm M, Schulkin J, Cooney L, Teede H. Gaps in knowledge among physicians regarding diagnostic criteria and management of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril* 2017;**107**:1380–1386.e1.
- Gibson-Helm M, Teede H, Dunaif A, Dokras A. Delayed diagnosis and a lack of information associated with dissatisfaction in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2017;**102**:604–612.
- Goodman NF, Cobin RH, Futterweit W, Glueck JS, Legro RS, Carmina E. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, American College of Endocrinology and Androgen Excess and PCOS Society Disease State Clinical Review: guide to the best practices in the evaluation and treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Endocr Pract* 2015;**21**:1291–1300.
- GRADE Working Group. *Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Guidelines*. 2017. <http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/>.
- Group REA-SPCW. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). *Hum Reprod* 2004;**19**:41–47.
- Legro RS, Arslanian SA, Ehrmann DA, Hoeger KM, Hassan Murad M, Pasquali R, Welt CK. Diagnosis and treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013;**98**:4565–4592.
- Misso M, Teede H. Evidence based guideline (EBG) development: a practical guide. In: Ilic D (ed). *Knowledge Transfer: Practices, Types and Challenges*. New York: Nova Publishers, 2012.
- National Health and Medical Research Council. *NHMRC Standards and Procedures for Externally Developed Guidelines*. Australia; 2007. <https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/guidelines-publications/nh56>.
- National Health and Medical Research Council. *NHMRC Levels of Evidence and Grades for Recommendations for Developers Of Guidelines*. Australia; 2009. https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/_files_nhmrc/file/guidelines/developers/nhmrc_levels_grades_evidence_120423.pdf.
- Teede H, Deeks A, Moran L. Polycystic ovary syndrome: a complex condition with psychological, reproductive and metabolic manifestations that impacts on health across the lifespan. *BMC Med* 2010;**8**:41.
- Teede H, Gibson-Helm M, Norman RJ, Boyle J. Polycystic ovary syndrome: perceptions and attitudes of women and primary health care physicians on features of pcos and renaming the syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2014;**99**:E107–E111.
- Teede HJ, Misso ML, Deeks AA, Moran LJ, Stuckey BGA, Wong JLA, Norman RJ, Costello MF, Guideline Development Groups. Assessment and management of polycystic ovary syndrome: Summary of an evidence-based guideline. *Med J Aust* 2011;**195**:S65–S112.