Comparative Genomics of *Campylobacter fetus* from Reptiles and Mammals Reveals Divergent Evolution in Host-Associated Lineages

Maarten J. Gilbert¹, William G. Miller², Emma Yee², Aldert L. Zomer^{1,3}, Linda van der Graaf-van Bloois^{1,3}, Collette Fitzgerald⁴, Ken J. Forbes⁵, Guillaume Méric⁶, Samuel K. Sheppard^{6,7,8}, Jaap A. Wagenaar^{1,3,9}, and Birgitta Duim^{1,3,*}

¹Department of Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

²US Department of Agriculture, Produce Safety and Microbiology Research Unit, Agricultural Research Service, Albany, California

³WHO Collaborating Center for Campylobacter/OIE Reference Laboratory for Campylobacteriosis, Utrecht, the Netherlands

⁴Biotechnology Core Facility Branch, Division of Scientific Resources, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia

⁵School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom

⁶College of Medicine, Institute of Life Science, Swansea University, United Kingdom

⁷MRC Cloud-Based Infrastructure for Microbial Bioinformatics (CLIMB) Centre, Swansea University, United Kingdom

⁸Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

⁹Central Veterinary Institute of Wageningen UR, Lelystad, the Netherlands

*Corresponding author: E-mail: b.duim@uu.nl.

Accepted: June 2, 2016

Abstract

Campylobacter fetus currently comprises three recognized subspecies, which display distinct host association. *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *fetus* and *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* are both associated with endothermic mammals, primarily ruminants, whereas *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* is primarily associated with ectothermic reptiles. Both *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* and *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* have been associated with severe infections, often with a systemic component, in immunocompromised humans. To study the genetic factors associated with the distinct host dichotomy in *C. fetus*, whole-genome sequencing and comparison of mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* was performed. The genomes of *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* isolated from either reptiles or humans were compared with elucidate the genetic factors associated with pathogenicity in humans. Genomic comparisons showed conservation of gene content and organization among *C. fetus* subspecies, but a clear distinction between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* strains. Within *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum, sapA, sapB*, and *sapAB* type strains were observed. The recombinant locus *iamABC (mlaFED)* was exclusively associated with invasive *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* strains isolated from humans. A phylogenetic reconstruction was consistent with divergent evolution in host-associated strains and the existence of a barrier to lateral gene transfer between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* is genetically divergent from mammal-associated *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* strains and the existence of a barrier to lateral gene transfer between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* is genetically divergent from mammal-associated *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* strains and the existence of a barrier to lateral gene transfer between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* is genetically divergent from mammal-associated *C. fetus* subspecies.

Key words: campylobacter fetus, reptile, mammal, comparative genomics, recombination, evolution.

Introduction

Campylobacter fetus has been recognized as a significant veterinary pathogen. Until recently, two subspecies were described: *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *fetus* (Cff) and

Campylobacter fetus subsp. *venerealis* (Cfv). Both subspecies have been isolated from multiple vertebrate hosts, mainly mammals, but the primary reservoir is considered to be

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ruminants. These subspecies display distinct host and niche preferences: Cff is often associated with the intestinal tract and aborted fetuses of ruminants, mainly sheep and cattle, whereas Cfv is almost exclusively associated with the genital tract of cattle (van Bergen et al. 2008). Next to the aforementioned subspecies, a genetically distinct variant of *C. fetus* has been isolated from reptiles, with a reported prevalence of 5.5–6.7%, and humans (Harvey and Greenwood 1985; Tu et al. 2004; Dingle et al. 2010 Patrick et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013; Gilbert, Kik, et al. 2014). This reptile-associated *C. fetus* has been described as *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* (Cft) (Fitzgerald et al. 2014).

Human infections caused by Cft have been reported and a reptilian origin in these cases is suspected (Tu et al. 2004; Patrick et al. 2013). In contrast to *C. jejuni*, symptoms of *C. fetus*-associated gastrointestinal illness are rarely reported (Wagenaar et al. 2014). Although cases of human Cft infection can be considered rare and opportunistic, and occur mostly in immunocompromised people, the systemic component in the majority of infections makes it difficult to treat and these infections are often life-threatening.

DNA sequence-based typing, including multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis, have shown that reptile-associated Cft are genetically distinct from mammal-associated Cff and Cfv and that genetic diversity is higher in Cft (Dingle et al. 2010; Fitzgerald et al. 2014). This suggests host-associated evolutionary divergence between mammaland reptile-associated *C. fetus* (Tu et al. 2001, 2005; Dingle et al. 2010; Kienesberger et al. 2014). Furthermore, the diversity among Cft isolates from reptiles is higher than the diversity among Cft isolates from humans (Dingle et al. 2010; Fitzgerald et al. 2014), which suggests that a subset of genotypes is able to colonize and potentially infect humans or that humans are selectively exposed to a subset of the population.

The genetic factors underlying host differentiation of mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* are poorly understood. Comparing the whole-genome sequences of mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* strains can provide valuable insights into this distinct host association, as well as further insights into speciation, taxonomy, and pathogenicity.

In this study, 20 genomes of reptile-associated Cft strains were compared with 39 genomes of mammal-associated Cff and Cfv. To determine features specific to *C. fetus*, genomes of the most closely related species *Campylobacter hyointestinalis* and *Campylobacter iguaniorum* were included in the analyses. A phylogenetic reconstruction provided insights into the distinct host association of *C. fetus*. Furthermore, detailed genome analyses characterized genomic regions specific to *C. fetus*, and to Cft in particular, and revealed multiple species- and subspecies-specific sequence variations, including a distinct putative tricarballylate catabolism locus, and a genomic region associated with human invasive strains.

Materials and Methods

Strains and Growth Conditions

A total of 61 strains were used for this study, including: 20 Cft strains of reptilian (n = 13) and human (n = 7) origin, 39 Cff and Cfv strains of bovine (n = 33), ovine (n = 1), human (n = 2), and unknown (n = 3) origin, one C. *hyointestinalis* strain of porcine origin, and one C. *iguaniorum* strain of reptilian origin. Characteristics of all strains used in this study are shown in table 1. Strains were grown on blood agar in a microaerobic atmosphere (83.3% N₂, 7.1% CO₂, 3.6% H₂, and 6% O₂) at 37°C for 48 h.

Genome Sequencing

In total, 61 genomes were included in this study (table 1). The whole-genome sequence data of 39 Cff and Cfv strains were from published studies (Stynen et al. 2011; Iraola et al. 2013; Barrero et al. 2014; Kienesberger et al. 2014; van der Graafvan Bloois et al. 2014, 2016; Iraola et al. 2015). For 18 Cft strains, Illumina MiSeq reads were generated in this study; an average of 2.18 million Illumina MiSeq reads per strain were assembled using Newbler (v2.6), resulting in draft genomes containing, on average, 31 large contigs (>5,000 bp; average contig size = 61 kb) and 338× coverage.

Whole-genome sequence data of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3 were obtained using a Roche 454 genome analyzer and was assembled into contigs using the Newbler assembler (v2.6). In this study, the genome of Cft strain SP3 was completed using methodology described previously for Cft strain 03-427 (Gilbert et al. 2013). In short, 221,254 Roche 454 reads were assembled into a single scaffold of 24 contigs using the Newbler assembler (v2.6). All Roche 454 base calls were validated using 1,852,600 Illumina MiSeq reads, providing a total coverage of $156 \times$. Sequences across the contig junctions and the S-layer (*sap*) locus were confirmed with Sanger sequencing. Assembly was confirmed using Pacific Biosystems long reads. PacBio RS reads were assembled into contigs using Quiver (Pacific Bioscience, Menlo Park, CA).

Campylobacter iguaniorum strain 1485E was sequenced as described previously (Gilbert, Miller, et al. 2014). The whole-genome sequence of *C. hyointestinalis* strain DSM 19053 was obtained from GenBank.

The completed genomes of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3, Cff strain 82-40, and Cfv strain 97/608 were used as reference genomes.

Genome Analysis

The genomes of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3 were annotated as described previously (Gilbert et al. 2013). Homopolymeric GC tracts were characterized using the high-depth MiSeq reads. CRISPR regions were identified using CRISPRFinder (Grissa et al. 2007). Genes were assigned a functional category using the RAST subsystem annotation approach as

Cit 04/554 Boine Fetus AR 5 3 B 4 454, Ilumina, Pacibio Cit 98/445 Boine Prepuce US 5 7 5 454, Ilumina, Pacibio Cit 98/445 Boine Feces US 5 7 454, Ilumina, Pacibio Cit 80042 Bovine Feces US 5 3 8 4 104 Cit 80047 Bovine Feces US 3 8 4 Ilumina Cit 80130 Bovine Feces US 3 1 8 1 Ilumina Cit 80131 Bovine Feces US 3 1 8 1 Ilumina Cit 80131 Bovine Feces US 3 1 1 Ilumina Cit 80131 Bovine Feces US 3 8 1 Ilumina Cit <	Т	References	Accession Number
82-40 Human Blood US 6 4 A 98/445 Bovine Prepuce UK 5 1 8 4 98/445 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 4 98/445 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 4 80047 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 4 80056 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 4 80130 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 4 80131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 4 80131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 4 80132 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 4 80152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 4 801	Bio Complete	van der Graaf-van Bloois	CP008808-CP008809
82.40 Human Blood US 6 4 A $ 80/445$ Bovine Prepuce UK 5 4 A $ 80/45$ Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 $+$ 80047 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 $+$ 80057 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 $+$ 80129 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 $+$ 80131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 $+$ 80131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 $+$ 80150 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 $+$ 80151 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 $+$ 80152 Bovine Feces <t< td=""><td></td><td>et al. 2014</td><td></td></t<>		et al. 2014	
98/445 Bovine Prepuce UK 3 1 B + 80042 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + 80047 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + 80047 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + 80056 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 + 80057 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 + 80130 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 + 80131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + 80151 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + 80152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + 80153 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + <	Complete	Unpublished	CP000487
B002 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 4 B0047 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 4 B0047 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 4 B0056 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 8 4 B0059 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 8 4 B0130 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 8 4 B0131 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 4 B0131 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 4 B0147 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 4 B0148 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 4 B0149 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 4	Draft (192)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LMBH00000000
B0042 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0047 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0047 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0056 Bovine Faces UK 2 2 3 8 + B0130 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 8 + B0131 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 8 + B0131 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0151 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0152 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0152 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0153 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 +		et al. 2014	
B0047 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0066 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 B + B0097 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 B + B0139 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 B + B0130 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 B + B0131 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B0131 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B0152 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B11098 Ovine Urknown UK 5 3 B + <tr< td=""><td>Draft (25)</td><td>van der Graaf-van Bloois</td><td>ERR419595</td></tr<>	Draft (25)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419595
B0047 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0056 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 + B0057 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 + B0037 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 + B0139 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 + B0131 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 8 + B0131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + B0152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + B0168 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 8 + B11098 Ovine Urknown UK 5 3 8 + <t< td=""><td></td><td>et al. 2016</td><td></td></t<>		et al. 2016	
B0066 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + B0037 Bovine Feces UK 2 2 A - B0139 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + B0130 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + B0131 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + B0131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B11098 Ovine Heces UK 5 3 B + H1-UY Human Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + </td <td>Draft (16)</td> <td>van der Graaf-van Bloois</td> <td>ERR419600</td>	Draft (16)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419600
B0066BovineFacesUK 3 1 8 $+$ B0097BovineFacesUK 2 2 A $-$ B0130BovineFacesUK 3 1 B $+$ B0130BovineFacesUK 3 1 B $+$ B0131BovineFacesUK 5 2 A $-$ B0131BovineFacesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0151BovineFacesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0152BovineFacesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0167BovineFacesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0167BovineFacesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0168BovineFacesUK 5 3 B $+$ B11098CvineUnknownUK 5 3 B $+$ H1-UYHumanBloodUY 5 3 B $+$ S0633ABovineFacesUK 5 3 B $+$ S078DBovineFacesUK 3 1 B $+$ S078DBovineFacesUK 3 1 B $+$		et al. 2016	
B0077 Bovine Feces UK 2 A - B0129 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + B0130 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + B0130 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + B0131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0151 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0169 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B1040 UK 5 3 B + + B11-UY Human	Draft (29)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419610
80077 Bovine Faces UK 2 2 A -1 80130 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 B $+$ 80130 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 B $+$ 80131 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 1 B $+$ 80131 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B $+$ 80151 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B $+$ 80167 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B $+$ 80168 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B $+$ 80168 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B $+$ 80169 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B $+$ 811-UY Human Blood UY 5 3 B<		et al. 2016	
B0129 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + B0130 Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + B0131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 1 B + B0131 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0151 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B11-UY Human Blood UY 5 3 B + H1-UY Human Blood UY 5 3 B + S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B +	Draft (25)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419623
B0129BovineFecesUK 3 1 B $+$ B0130BovineFecesUK 3 1 B $+$ B0131BovineFecesUK 6 4 A $-$ B0151BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0152BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0167BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0168BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0169BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0168BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0169BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0168BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0168BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0168BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0168BovineFe		et al. 2016	
B0130 Bovine Faces UK 3 1 B + B0131 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0151 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0152 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0167 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0167 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0167 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B0168 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B1068 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 8 + B1-UV Human Blood UK 5 3 8 + Faces UK 5 3 8 + Faces	Draft (32)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419637
B0130BovineFecesUK 3 1 B $+$ B0131BovineFecesUK 6 4 A $-$ B0151BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0152BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0167BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B0168BovineFecesUK 5 3 B $+$ B11098OvineUnknownUK 5 3 B $+$ H1-UYHumanBloodUY 4 1 A $-$ S0693ABovineFecesUK 3 1 B $+$ S0478DBovineFecesUK 3 1 B $+$		et al. 2016	
B0131 Bovine Faces UK 6 4 A - B0151 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B0152 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B0152 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Faces UK 5 3 B + B11098 Ovine Unknown UK 5 3 B + Faces UK 5 3 B 1 + - S0693A Bovine Faces UK 3 1 A -	Draft (26)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419638
B0131 Bovine Feces UK 6 4 A - B0151 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Hordown UK 5 3 B + B11098 Ovine Unknown UK 5 3 B + H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A - S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B + <td></td> <td>et al. 2016</td> <td></td>		et al. 2016	
B0151 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B11098 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B11-UY Human Blood UY 5 3 B + S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +	Draft (28)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419639
B0151 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B11098 Ovine Unknown UK 5 3 B + H1-UY Human Blood UY 5 3 B + S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +		et al. 2016	
B0152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B11098 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B11098 Ovine Unknown UK 5 3 B + H1-UY Human Blood UY 5 3 B + 50633A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + 50478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +	Draft (19)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419648
B0152 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B1 10/98 Ovine Unknown UK 5 3 B + H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A ^a - S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +		et al. 2016	
B0167BovineFecesUK53B+B0168BovineFecesUK53B+B1 1098OvineUnknownUK22A-H1-UYHumanBloodUY41 A^a -K0633ABovineFecesUK53B+S043BDBovineFecesUK31B+	Draft (18)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419649
B0167 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B0168 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + B1 1098 Ovine Unknown UK 5 3 B + H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A ^a - S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +		et al. van der Graaf-	
B0167BovineFecesUK53B+B0168BovineFecesUK53B+B1 10/98OvineUnknownUK22A-H1-UYHumanBloodUY41 A^a -S0693ABovineFecesUK53B+S0478DBovineFecesUK31B+		van Bloois et al. 2016	
B0168BovineFecesUK53B+BT 10/98OvineUnknownUK22A-H1-UYHumanBloodUY41Aa-S0693ABovineFecesUK53B+S0478DBovineFecesUK31B+	Draft (19)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR460866
B0168BovineFecesUK53B+BT 10/98OvineUnknownUK22A-H1-UYHumanBloodUY41Aa-S0693ABovineFecesUK53B+S0478DBovineFecesUK31B+		et al. van der Graaf-	
B0168 Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + BT 10/98 Ovine Unknown UK 2 2 A - H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A ^a - S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +		van Bloois et al. 2016	
BT 10/98 Ovine Unknown UK 2 2 A - H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A ^a - S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +	Draft (27)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR460867
BT 10/98 Ovine Unknown UK 2 2 A - H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A^a - S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +		et al. van der Graaf-	
BT 10/98 Ovine Unknown UK 2 2 A - H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A ^a - S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +		van Bloois et al. 2016	
H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A ^a – S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +	Draft (44)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRAL00000000
H1-UY Human Blood UY 4 1 A ^a – S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +		et al. 2014	
S0693A Bovine Feces UK 5 3 B + S0478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +	Draft (34)	Iraola et al. 2015	JYCP0000000
50478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +	Draft (20)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419284
50478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +		et al. van der Graaf-	
50478D Bovine Feces UK 3 1 B +		van Bloois et al. 2016	
	Draft (24)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	ERR419653
		et al. van der Graaf-	
Cft 03-427 Human Blood US 15 6 A – 454, Illumina, PacBio	Bio Complete	Van Bloois et al. 2016 Gilbert et al. 2013	CP006833

57-2 68-1 68-1 16-3 25-3 63-3 47-1 55-1 55-1 55-1 888-15	Source Feces Feces Feces Feces Feces Feces Feces Feces Feces	Origin NL NL NL NL NL NL NL NL NL	MIST 8 58 66 61 63 63 64 64 64 65 64 64 65	atpA sa artpA sa D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	<u>sap wcbK</u> A – A –		Level (contigs) Draft (62)	References This study	Accession Number LFMJ00000000
57-2 68-1 16-3 25-3 63-3 65-3 47-1 55-1 55-1 55-1 888-15		N N N N N N N				Illumina	Draft (62)	This study	LFMJ0000000
68-1 116-3 25-3 63-3 447-1 55-1 55-1 55-1 55-1 888-15		N N N N N N							
68-1 116-3 163-3 163-3 155-1 17-1 17-1 17-1 888-15		N N N N N N							
25-3 25-3 63-3 25-1 217-1 217-1 888-15		N N N N N				Illumina	Draft (109)	This study	LELD0000000
255-3 255-3 447-1 555-1 555-1 555-1 888-15		N N N N N N							111
25-3 63-3 55-1 55-1 17-1 888-15		N N N N N			+	IIIumina	(גל) חומע	I his stuay	LFLJUUUUUUU
25-3 63-3 855-1 855-1 17-1 888-15		N N N N N							
63-3 442-30 555-1 555-1 117-1 888-15		N N N N				Illumina	Draft (54)	This study	LFLK00000000
.63-3 .47-1 .55-1 .17-1 .888-15		N N N N							
442-30 555-1 217-1 888-15		N N NI				Illumina	Draft (116)	This study	LFLL00000000
42-30 47-1 55-1 17-1 88-15		N N N							
447-1 555-1 217-1 888-15		N N N			+	Illumina	Draft (104)	This study	LFLM00000000
47-1 555-1 217-1 888-15		N N							
47-1 555-1 217-1 888-15		NL NL							
355-1 217-1 888-15		NL		5 A	AB +	Illumina	Draft (148)	This study	LFLN00000000
355-1 217-1 888-15		NL							
217-1				5 A	AB +	Illumina	Draft (118)	This study	LFLO00000000
217-1 388-15									
888-15 Ch		NL	66	5 B	+	Illumina	Draft (147)	This study	LFLP0000000
88-15 CF									
τ	Feces	NL	67	5 A		Illumina	Draft (223)	This study	LFLQ00000000
ť									
85-38/ Chelonian	Feces	US	16	5 A	AB +	Illumina	Draft (81)	This study	LFXC0000000
(Terrapene									
carolina)									
CF78-2 Lizard (<i>Tiliqua</i>	Feces	ЧK	26	5 A		Illumina	Draft (59)	This study	LFXD0000000
nigrolutea)									
D4335 Human	Feces	US	31	5 A		Illumina	Draft (56)	This study	LFXE0000000
D6659 Human	Pleural fluid	US	15 (6 A		Illumina	Draft (54)	This study	LFXF0000000
D6683 Human	Hematoma	US		6 A		Illumina	Draft (74)	This study	LFXG00000000
D6690 Human	Blood	US		6 A		Illumina	Draft (137)	This study	LMBF0000000
D6783 Human	Feces	US	30	5 A		Illumina	Draft (65)	This study	LMBE0000000
D6856 Human	Bile	US	15 (6 A		Illumina	Draft (169)	This study	LMBG00000000
SP3 Snake (Heterodon nasirus)	n Feces	Х	27	5		454, Illumina, PacBio	Complete	This study	CP010953
02/298 Bovine	Fetus	AR	4	1 A		454	Draft (233)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRVK00000000
								et al. 2014	
Cfv ^b 03/293 Bovine	Unknown	AR	4	1 A		454, Illumina, PacBio	Complete	van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014	CP0006999CP007002

Species	Strain	Host	Source	Origin	MLST	atpA	ap	wcbK	Sequence Method	Level (contigs)	References	Accession Number
cfv	03/596	Bovine	Fetus	AR	4	-	∢	I	454	Draft (103)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRAM00000000
							e v			(JCB) #1	et al. 2014	
25 2	642-21	Bovine	Uterus	AU 1.1	4,		Å •	I	IIIumina 151	Draft (126)	Barrero et al. 2014	AJSG00000000
Cf۷	84-112	Bovine	Genital secretion	SU	4		۷	I	454	Complete	Kienesberger et al. 2014	HG004426-HG004427
Cfv	92/203	Bovine	Placenta	AR	4		A	I	454	Draft (133)	van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014	LRVL00000000
Cfv	97/532	Bovine	Vagina	AR	4	-	٨	I	454	Draft (125)	van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014	LRER0000000
Cfv	97/608	Bovine	Placenta	AR	4	-	۷	I	454, Illumina, PacBio	Complete	van der Graaf-van Bloois	CP008810-CP008812
	30,00				<	÷	<		1E.1	Droft (100)	et al. 2014	
	C7/06	povilie	retus	ЧЧ	4	_	۲	I	404		et al. 2014	LKESUUUUUUU
Cfv	99/541	Bovine	Prepuce	AR	4	-	A ^a	Ι	Illumina	Draft (218)	Iraola et al. 2013	ASTK00000000
Cfv	ADRI 513	Unknown	Unknown	AU	4	-	۷	I	454	Draft (103)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRFA00000000
Cfvb	40RI 1367	Rovine	awoayall	ΔR	۷	.	4	1	АБА	Draft (98)	et al. 2014 van der Graaf-van Rlonic	
						-	C C				et al. 2014	
Cfv	BG	Bovine	Vagina	AU	4	-	Ъª	I	Illumina	Draft (81)	Barrero et al. 2014	AJMC00000000
Cfv	B10	Bovine	Unknown	US	4	-	۷	Ι	454	Draft (133)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LRET00000000
											et al. 2014	
Cfv	CCUG 33872	Unknown	Abortion	FR	4	-	۷	I	454	Draft (101)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LREU00000000
											et al. 2014	
Cfv	CCUG 33900	Bovine	Abortion	FR	4	-	A	I	454	Draft (53)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LREV00000000
Cfv	I MG 6570	Rovine	llnknown	RF	Φ	,	4	I	454	Draft (63)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	
				ł							et al. 2014	
Cfv	NCTC 10354	Bovine	Vagina	ЛK	4	-	۷	I	454	Draft (45)	Stynen et al. 2011	AFGH00000000
Cfv	WBT 011/09	Unknown	Unknown	ЯN	4	-	∢	Ι	454	Draft (77)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LMB100000000
											et al. 2014	
Cfv	Zaf 3	Bovine	Fetus	SA	4	-	∢	I	454	Draft (59)	van der Graaf-van Bloois	LREZ00000000
											et al. 2014	
CfV	Zaf 65	Bovine	Unknown	SA	4		∢	I	454	Draft (90)	van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014	LREY00000000
Chy	DSM 19053	Porcine	Intestine	US	n/a	n/a	n/a	I	lonTorrent	Draft (26)	Unpublished	000000000HL
Cig ,	1485E	Lizard (<i>Pogona</i>	Feces	NL	n/a	n/a	n/a	+	454, Illumina, PacBio	Complete	Gilbert, Miller, et al.	CP009043-CP009044
		wittirens)									2014	

described (Overbeek et al. 2014). In the completed genomes, by comparison with a close relative, genes which were truncated due to a premature stop codon, frame-shifted, fragmented or had a missing start codon were defined as pseudogenes.

A local BLASTP, including all strains listed in table 1, was performed, based on the predicted proteomes of all genomes, and the results were screened for *C. fetus* speciesand subspecies-specific features. To visualize genomic regions specific for *C. fetus* and *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* in particular, the BLAST ring image generator (BRIG) (Alikhan et al. 2011) was used at default settings, based on BLASTN v2.2.26. For this, the contigs of all Cft strains, Cff strain 82-40, and Cfv strain 97/608 were selected and the complete genome of Cft strain 03-427 was used as a reference.

The *sap* locus contains a high number of repeated sequences and its assembly can prove difficult. The *sap* type of the draft Cft genomes was identified by mapping the sequence reads to the primer sequences of SAF01, SAR01, SBF01, and SBR01 (Tu et al. 2001) using PASS (Campagna et al. 2009). Only exact matches to the primer sequences were considered.

Phylogeny and Recombination Analysis

Recombination analysis of C. fetus was performed using Gubbins (Croucher et al. 2014). Briefly, open reading frames were predicted and annotated using Prokka (Seemann 2014) and all versus all BLAST was performed for all predicted proteins of the genomes (table 1) at an E-value cutoff of 1E-6. To determine the orthologous relationships of all proteins, the BLAST output was parsed by Orthagogue (Ekseth et al. 2014). Proteins were considered for orthology clustering if the proteins had at least 50% identity and at least 50% overlap. To determine the orthologous groups (OGs), Markov clustering (MCL) was performed using MCL-edge (Enright et al. 2002). Genes encoding the proteins were aligned with each other within their respective OGs using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004). A super alignment was created by concatenating the aligned genes according to their position in Cft strain 03-427 if they were present in all isolates. Gaps were removed using Gblocks (Castresana 2000). Recombination events were detected in this super alignment using Gubbins (Croucher et al. 2014) with the default settings. Phylogenetic dendrograms were created using Fasttree (Price et al. 2009).

Results and Discussion

General Features of the *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* Genomes

The circular genome size of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3 is 1.78 and 1.82 Mb, respectively, which is within the known size range of *Campylobacter* (1.53–1.97 Mb) and similar to Cff strain 82-40 (1.77 Mb) (Miller 2008) (supplementary table

S1, Supplementary Material online). The average G+C content of both strains is 33.1%. The Cft strain 03-427 genome is predicted to contain 1,695 putative protein-coding genes, 43 tRNA genes, and three rRNA operons. Cft strain SP3 is predicted to contain 1,767 putative protein-coding genes, 40 tRNA genes, and three rRNA operons. No obvious mobile elements or plasmids were identified in either strain. Cft strain 03-427 contained 29 variable homopolymeric GC tracts (≥ 8 bp; 34 total GC tracts) and strain SP3 contained 24 variable homopolymeric GC tracts).

Genetic Features Specific to C. fetus subsp. testudinum

Several genetic features specifically present or absent from Cft were identified (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). A total of 33 genes was present in all Cft strains, but absent from all Cff and Cfv strains (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). The most prominent difference identified between the C. fetus subspecies was a putative tcuRABC locus (CFT03427_0075-0078), present in all Cft strains, but absent from Cff and Cfv (fig. 1). Proteins encoded by the *tcuRABC* locus have been shown to function in the catabolism of tricarballylate (a citrate analog) (Lewis et al. 2004). It has been shown that Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium strain LT2 can use tricarballylate as a carbon and energy source, which feeds directly into the citric acid cycle (Gutnick et al. 1969; Lewis et al. 2004). These results suggest that tricarballylate could potentially be used as a carbon and energy source by Cft. A BLASTP analysis revealed that this pathway was also present in Campylobacter coli, Campylobacter cuniculorum, C. hyointestinalis subsp. lawsonii, C. iguaniorum, and C. jejuni. In C. hyointestinalis subsp. lawsonii, tcuR was absent, whereas in both Cft and C. iquaniorum isolated from reptiles *tcuRABC* was complete. Noteworthy, tcuRABC appears present mainly in Campylobacter taxa associated with hindgut fermenting vertebrates. This suggests that the pathway is conserved in Campylobacter taxa inhabiting a potentially similar intestinal niche where tricarballylate is available as a carbon and energy source.

A total of 23 genes was present in all Cff and Cfv strains, but absent from all Cft strains (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). Interestingly, an aspartate racemase-encoding gene (CFF8240_1412) was present in all of the Cff and Cfv strains, but absent from Cft strains. As aspartate racemase catalyzes the conversion of L-aspartate to D-aspartate, Cft is predicted to be unable to convert L-aspartate to D-aspartate. As this was a pseudogene due to a premature stop codon in Cff 04/554, but not in the other completed Cff and Cfv genomes, and this gene is located in lipooligosaccharide (LOS) biosynthesis the locus (CFF8240_1399-1414) bound by waa genes and containing multiple glycosyltransferases (Gilbert et al. 2008), it is likely related to LOS structure, and one might expect expression to vary depending on serotype in Cff and Cfv.




Fig. 1.—BRIG plot of *C. fetus*. BLASTN-based genomic comparison of all Cft strains, including Cft strain 03-427 (reference genome), Cff strain 82-40, and Cfv strain 97/608. Cft strains isolated from humans are shown in blue; Cft strains isolated from reptiles are shown in green, with predicted Cft *sapA* strains shown in dark green and predicted Cft *sapB* and *sapAB* strains shown in light green; Cff is shown in yellow; and Cfv is shown in red. Characteristic genomic features of *C. fetus* and Cft in particular have been highlighted. Features containing only hypothetical proteins are not indicated.

Genetic Features Specific to C. fetus

Several genetic features were identified in *C. fetus*, but were absent from the related species *C. hyointestinalis* and *C. igua-niorum* (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). In total, 65 genes were present in all *C. fetus* strains, but absent from both *C. hyointestinalis* and *C. iguaniorum* strains (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online).

Genes encoding succinyl-CoA synthetase alpha and beta subunits (*sucDC*; CFT03427_0903-0904), proline dehydrogenase (*putA*; CFT03427_1218) and sodium/proline symporter (*putP*; CFT03427_1219), hemin ABC transporter system (*chuABCD*; CFT03427_1703-1706), and serine protease (CFT03427_1733) were present in all *C. fetus* strains examined, but absent from its closest relatives.

In addition to catalase (CFT03427_1038), another catalaselike protein encoding region (CFT03427_1708) was present in all *C. fetus* strains examined. In Cff 82-40 and Cfv 97/608 however, this was a probable pseudogene. In parallel with catalase, which catalyzes the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide to water and oxygen and is present in many *Campylobacter* species, this catalase-like protein might be involved in protection against oxidative damage by reactive oxygen species.

The L-fucose permease-encoding gene fucP and the surrounding coding region (CFT03427 1042-1047) was present in all C. fetus strains examined, but was absent from its closest relatives C. iguaniorum and C. hyointestinalis. Low homology orthologs (57-65% identity) were identified in C. coli and C. jejuni by an online BLASTP search against the nonredundant database. In some C. jejuni strains, fucP and the surrounding coding region (cj0480c-cj0490) are implicated in the uptake of the sugar L-fucose, which is released from the host's mucin glycoproteins and has been shown to be important in colonizing hosts (Muraoka and Zhang 2011; Stahl et al. 2011). Noteworthy, fucP and the surrounding coding region were present in C. coli showing introgression by C. jejuni genes, suggesting that the presence of this region provides C. coli an advantage in the gastrointestinal tract (Sheppard et al. 2013). Fermentation of carbohydrates is considered uncommon in Campylobacter, which might be related to the absence of 6-phosphofructokinase needed for glycolysis and key enzymes in the Entner-Doudoroff pathway (Parkhill et al. 2000; Kelly 2008). However, the presence of fucP and the surrounding coding region in C. fetus predicts that, besides amino acids and organic acids, L-fucose can be metabolized by C. fetus. In parallel with C. jejuni, this region might be involved in colonization of the host's gastrointestinal tract.

Prophage and Foreign DNA Defense Mechanisms

In Cft strain SP3, a 35,178 bp putative prophage was present between a leucyl tRNA and the *cas* genes of the CRISPR/Cas system. No known toxins or virulence factors were identified within this putative prophage. In Cft strain 03-427, no prophages were identified, although a region encoding hypothetical proteins with unknown function was identified in the same CRISPR/Cas region, between the leucyl tRNA and the *cas* genes. In the complete genomes of the Cff and Cfv strains examined, this location contained phage-like elements in Cff strains 82-40 and 04/554, but not in Cfv.

CRISPRs were identified in the complete genomes of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3. Six genes coding for the CRISPR-associated proteins Cas1-6 (CFT03427_0656-0663) were conserved in all 20 Cft strains examined. However, these cas genes were identified in only 20.5% (8/39) of the Cff and Cfv genomes (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). Noteworthy, all Cfv strains were lacking the cas genes, while eight Cff strains, including strains 82-40, B0131, and JYCP01, which are most closely related to Cfv, did contain these genes. Although the cas genes were absent from Cfv strain 97/608, this strain did contain CRISPRs. The presence of CRISPRs suggests that cas genes may have been present, but have been lost in Cfv strain 97/608. Notably, the cas genes identified in C. fetus were not homologous with cas genes found in other Campylobacter species and showed highest homology with Sulfurospirillum and Sulfurovum species.

Interestingly, four additional CRISPR/Cas system-associated RAMP superfamily protein coding loci (CFT03427_1628-CFT03427_1633) were conserved in 93.2% (55/59) of the *C. fetus* strains (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). These genes are largely confined to *C. fetus*, although orthologs were identified with low homology in *C. concisus* and *C. rectus*. The exact function of these proteins is unknown. No CRISPRs were identified surrounding these genes, suggesting that this cluster of genes is unlike currently known CRISPR/Cas systems.

Virulence Determinants and Surface Structures

Most known and predicted virulence determinants, such as cadF, ciaB, and cytolethal distending toxins cdtABC, identified in C. fetus previously (Ali et al. 2012), were also identified in Cft. Two adjacent genes encoding a hemagglutinin/haemolysin-related protein (CFT03427_0734) and a haemolysin secretion/activation protein (CFT03427_0735) were identified in all C. fetus strains examined, but not in C. hyointestinalis and C. iquaniorum. Additionally, a patatin-like phospholipase (CFT03427_1717) was exclusively found in C. fetus. In Pseudomonas aeruginosa, a patatin-like protein has been linked to the development of lung injury, sepsis, and bacterial dissemination in animal models and human infections (Baneriji and Flieger 2004). Type IV secretion system related gene clusters, such as virB genes, were commonly present in Cfv and in Cff strain 98/445 (van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2016), but were absent from Cft (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online).

One of the most prominent and distinguishing surface structures in C. fetus is the S-layer, which is associated with resistance to complement-mediated killing and is considered to be an important virulence factor (Blaser et al. 2008). The C. fetus S-layer is encoded by the sap locus, which contains the conserved sapCDEF locus and multiple copies of either sapA or sapB, and occasional sapAB recombinants. The completed genomes of Cft strains 03-427 and SP3 were predicted to contain eight sapA copies. Of all Cft strains examined, 70% (14/ 20) were sapA type, 15% (3/20) were sapB type, and 15% (3/ 20) were sapAB type (table 1). Remarkably, the sapCDEF locus was not observed in Cft strain D6683, Cff strains B0047 and S0478D, and Cfv strains 642-21, CCUG 33900, and ADRI 513 (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). Considering the high variability of this region and the draft nature of the genomes, the sequence reads of these particular strains were searched for parts of these genes and were found absent in the reads as well. As these genes are essential in formation of the S-layer (Blaser et al. 2008), these particular strains may be unable to form an S-layer. The inability to form an S-layer, associated with an 8–9 kb deletion in the sap locus, has been shown before in spontaneous C. fetus mutants (Dworkin et al. 1995).

Adjacent to the sap region, 14 Cft strains contained a conserved glycosylation region associated with sapA (CFT03427_0495-0499), whereas the conserved glycosylation region characteristic for sapB (CFF04554_0484-0487), includ-GDP-D-mannose dehydratase-encoding ina wcbK (Kienesberger et al. 2014), was identified in six Cft strains isolated from reptiles, which were sapB and sapAB type strains (table 1). These latter strains were also missing another glycosylation region (CFT03427_1352-1354), which was also absent from all mammal-associated sapB type C. fetus strains, but was present in all sapA type C. fetus strains examined, suggesting it is associated with glycosylation in sapA type C. fetus (supplementary figs. S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online). The two different conserved glycosylation regions adjacent to the sap locus are likely involved in LPSbiosynthesis and Sap binding, as has been shown for wcbK in sapB type C. fetus (Kienesberger et al. 2014).

In seven of the Cft strains isolated from reptiles, including all predicted *sapB* and *sapAB* type strains, the region containing *flaAB* and the motility accessory factor *maf* (CFT03427_1587-1590) was highly divergent from all other *C. fetus* strains examined, and *flaAB* and *maf* showed highest homology with the related species *C. hyointestinalis* and *C. iguaniorum* (Gilbert, Miller, et al. 2014), suggesting recombination between Cft and a strain closely related to these taxa, which was supported by recombination analysis. Recombination of *flaAB*, possibly due to selective pressure of the host immune response, has been shown in *C. jejuni* (Wassenaar et al. 1995).

Remarkably, a large region (~49 kb) adjacent to *flaAB*, encoding many O-linked glycosylation-related proteins

(CFT03427 1543-1586), was conserved in all Cff/Cfv and most Cft strains examined, but was absent from the seven flaAB recombinant Cft strains (fig. 1). Within this region, genes encoding multiple glycosyltransferases and two asparagine synthases were identified. Of the 29 variable homopolymeric GC tracts identified within the Cft strain 03-427 genome, 12 hypervariable GC tracts (41%) were located within this glycosylation region. In C. jejuni, O-linked glycosylation is associated with flagellar assembly and function (Guerry 2007), and genes in the pseudaminic acid biosynthetic pathway (pseB and pseH) are found in and adjacent to this region. The strong association with *flaAB* in the nonrecombinant strains suggests that this region is involved in the Olinked glycosylation of flagellin. Like in the *flaAB* recombinant Cft strains, this region was missing in C. iguaniorum (Gilbert, Miller, et al. 2014). No other comparable glycosylation region was found conserved in all recombinant *flaAB* Cft strains, suggesting differential glycosylation of flagellin in these strains.

Interestingly, a region encoding ABC-transporters iamABC (also annotated as *mlaFED*; CFT03427_0480-0484), and showing higher than expected amino acid homology (99-100%) between all Cff and Cfv strains and human clinical Cft strains, but not reptilian Cft strains (93–97%), was observed. Recombination analysis indicated a recombination event between Cff and Cft isolated from humans. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic dendrogram based on iamA (mlaF) illustrated this recombination event (fig. 2). This recombination was identified in 71.4% (5/7) of the Cft strains isolated from humans, but was not identified in strains isolated from reptiles. Although from different geographical origins (Dingle et al. 2010; Patrick et al. 2013), all strains with this potential recombination were closely related and belonged to the same sequence type (ST15). Notably, all these Cft strains were atpA (uncA) MLST allele type 6, whereas all other Cft strains known are MLST allele type 5 (Dingle et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2013; Gilbert, Kik, et al. 2014), which corresponds to one point mutation. A closer inspection of the clinical background of the Cft strains isolated from humans revealed that all of the recombinant strains were isolated from bile, blood, a hematoma, or pleural fluid, whereas the nonrecombinant strains were isolated from stool samples (table 1), suggesting that the recombinant strains may be invasive. Orthologs of iamABC are found across the Campylobacter genus. Notably, in all C. fetus strains examined, the iamABC region is conserved amidst the highly recombining sap region. The ABC-transporter encoded by *iamA* is considered a virulence factor associated with invasion in C. jejuni (Carvalho et al. 2001). Most C. fetus infections in humans are systemic or have a systemic component and the ratio of systemic infection to diarrheal illnesses for C. fetus is much higher than for C. jejuni, indicating a propensity for invasive disease compared with C. jejuni (Blaser et al. 2008; Patrick et al. 2013; Wagenaar et al. 2014). The mechanisms of invasion in C. fetus are poorly understood; however, considering both mammal-




Fig. 2.—Single-gene phylogeny of *iamA* (*mlaF*) for all strains examined. The recombinant invasive Cft strains isolated from humans are shown in bold.

and reptile-associated *C. fetus* with recombinant *iamABC* show invasion, the *iamABC* region may have a similar function in invasive *C. fetus* strains.


Campylobacter fetus Phylogeny

Campylobacter fetus is generally considered a genetically coherent species with low genetic diversity compared with some other *Campylobacter* species (van Bergen et al. 2005). A phylogenetic reconstruction accounting for the effects of

homologous recombination was performed for *C. fetus* and the most closely related species, based on a 781,293 nt gapless alignment (fig. 3). Mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* formed two clearly separated clades. This is in line with earlier observations that mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* form two distinct clades with an average amino acid identity of 95–96% and supports the description of *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* as a novel subspecies (Fitzgerald et al. 2014). The core genome phylogeny confirmed that genetic diversity was higher among Cft than among Cff and Cfv. A shorter branch length suggests that Cft is more closely related to the last common ancestor. Genetic diversity was higher in Cft isolated from reptiles, which may be related to a larger diversity among the sampled reptile population. All invasive Cft strains isolated from humans showed little genetic diversity among each other and formed a separate lineage together with Cft strain D6783, distinct from the Cft strains isolated from reptiles, confirming earlier observations based on MLST and AFLP (Dingle et al. 2010; Fitzgerald et al. 2014).

The number of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) detected inside recombinations was higher in Cft, whereas the number of SNPs outside recombinations was lower in Cft, compared with Cff and Cfv (table 2). Also the ratio

of base substitutions predicted to have been imported through recombination to those occurring through point mutation (r/m) and the ratio of the number of recombination events to point mutations (rho/theta) were higher in Cft. This indicates that mutation through recombination is more important than mutation through point mutation in Cft, compared with Cff and Cfv, based on the strains in this study. Predicted recombinant regions were subdivided into regions shared by two or more strains, indicated by red blocks, or unique regions, indicated by blue blocks (fig. 3). More shared recombination events were detected in Cff/Cfv than in Cft. In contrast, more unique recombination events were detected in Cft strains isolated from reptiles. However, the invasive Cft strains isolated from humans and Cft strain



8700

Fig. 3.—Phylogenetic reconstruction of the *C. fetus* clade based on Gubbins. Recombination regions within the 781,293 nt gapless core genome alignment are indicated in red (similar recombination region in multiple strains) or blue (unique recombination region). Species and subspecies from top to bottom: *C. iguaniorum*, purple; *C. hyointestinalis*, dark blue; *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* (human strains), light blue; *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* (reptilian strains), dark green (*sapA* strains) or light green (*sapB* and *sapAB* strains); *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* (based on genotype), yellow (*sapB* strains) or orange (*sapA* strains); *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* (based on genotype), red. For *C. fetus*, the *sap* type of the corresponding strains is indicated with A, B, or AB. To increase the intraspecies resolution for *C. fetus*, the branches of the dendrogram are truncated for *C. hyointestinalis* and *C. iguaniorum*. Recombination regions of interest have been highlighted.

Table 2

Summary of the Recombination Analysis for Cft and Cff and Cfv

	Cft	Cff and Cfv
Total SNPs	25,562	62,228
Number of SNPs inside recombinations	7,970	3,103
Number of SNPs outside recombinations	17,592	59,125
Number of recombination blocks	107	56
Bases in recombinations	497,384	820,273
r/m	0.453	0.052
rho/theta	0.006	0.001
Genome length	781,293	781,293

Note.—The ratio of base substitutions predicted to have been imported through recombination to those occurring through point mutation is indicated by r/m. The ratio of the number of recombination events to point mutations is indicated by rho/theta.

D6783 showed no unique recombination events, which supports the close genetic relationship of these strains.

A clear association between phylogeny and sap type was observed in Cff and Cfv, with sapA and sapB type strains forming separate clusters (fig. 3). This confirms the previously shown correlation between MLST sequence types and sap types (van Bergen et al. 2005). However, in Cft no association between phylogeny and *sap* type was observed, which may be explained by the larger influence of recombination in Cft. The presence of *sapAB* recombinant Cft strains also suggests that the sap locus is less conserved in Cft. Reptile-associated Cft can be sapA, sapB, or sapAB, which is in contrast to previous studies (Tu et al. 2005; Dingle et al. 2010). The identification of these sap types in genetically diverse Cft strains shows that these sap types are widespread in Cft and are present in both mammal- and reptile-associated C. fetus. In contrast to previous studies (Tu et al. 2005; Kienesberger et al. 2014), this suggests that both sap types were likely present before the mammal- and reptile-associated C. fetus lineages diverged, although it cannot be excluded that sap types recombined between mammal and reptile-associated C. fetus at a later stage. The presence of sapAB chimeras confirms that recombination of these different sap types occurs. As such, the diversity of the sap locus, which encodes a surface antigen under diversifying selection imposed by the host immune response, may hamper evolutionary assumptions.

Despite the different, well-separated niches, and genomewide genetic divergence, mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* are similar in overall gene content and synteny. In this study, only a few recombination events between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* were observed, suggesting that recombination between mammal- and reptileassociated *C. fetus* can be considered rare and that effective barriers to recombination exist, likely due to the separate host reservoirs, although other factors, such as genetic divergence and other intrinsic factors inhibiting recombination cannot be excluded. This is consistent with allopatric speciation. In contrast, Cff and Cfv show a nearly identical core proteome (van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014), and different niche preferences are potentially associated with laterally acquired elements in Cfv (Ali et al. 2012; Kienesberger et al. 2014). Indeed, within C. fetus, Cfv showed the largest (accessory) genomes. Based on the high genetic similarity between Cff and Cfv, and the lack of a clear boundary between these subspecies, the validity of these subspecies has been questioned (van Bergen et al. 2008; van der Graaf-van Bloois et al. 2014). Nevertheless. Cff and Cfv do show small but consistent genetic differences based on phylogenetic analyses, indicating genetic divergence of these two lineages, which could be explained by an ecological barrier in which Cff and Cfv do not or barely recombine despite a high degree of niche overlap, as has been demonstrated for C. *jejuni* (Sheppard et al. 2014). Alternatively, the low genetic diversity of C. fetus may be explained by a lack of natural competence, as observed in vitro (Tu et al. 2003; Kienesberger et al. 2007, 2014), or, conversely, by a high rate of homologous recombination within the different C. fetus lineages, maintaining lineage coherence. With the advent of whole-genome sequencing, recombination is considered more common than previously assumed and observed clonality does often not exclude recombination (Feil et al. 2001), as was identified in this study for C. fetus.

Conclusions

The genomes of *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* in this study show high conservation in gene content and synteny compared with other *C. fetus* subspecies. Although the similarity between reptile- and mammal-associated *C. fetus* is high, the genomes are clearly divergent in overall sequence identity and in gene content.

Most notable features shared by the majority of *C. fetus* strains in this study are the S-layer, a CRISPR/Cas system and CRISPR/Cas system-associated RAMP superfamily proteins unique for *Campylobacter*. Several genomic differences were observed between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus*, of which the presence of a putative tricarballylate catabolism pathway in Cft is most notable. In contrast to earlier observations, *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* can contain *sapA*, *sapB*, or *sapAB*. In seven Cft strains isolated from reptiles the region containing *flaAB* and *maf* was highly divergent. These strains were lacking a large adjacent glycosylation region conserved in all other *C. fetus* strains.

Recombination between mammal- and reptile-associated *C. fetus* seems rare, indicating effective barriers to recombination between these two divergent lineages, likely due to the separate host reservoirs, consistent with allopatric speciation. Nevertheless, a recombination event of *iamABC* between mammal-associated *C. fetus* and Cft strains isolated from humans was observed, which is associated with invasion in humans.

The whole-genome sequences of reptile-associated *C. fetus* subsp. *testudinum* provide a better understanding of *C. fetus* as a species and genomic features associated with subspecies, host type, and virulence, and provide further insights in *C. fetus* biology and evolution.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary figures S1 and S2 and tables S1–S3 are available at *Genome Biology and Evolution* online (http://www.gbe.oxfordjournals.org/).

Acknowledgments

The authors like to thank Brian Brooks and John Devenish (Canadian Food Inspection Agency) for providing strains and valuable suggestions.

Literature Cited

- Ali A, et al. 2012. Campylobacter fetus subspecies: comparative genomics and prediction of potential virulence targets. Gene 508:145–156.
- Alikhan N, Petty NK, Zakour NLB, Beatson SA. 2011. BLAST ring image generator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. BMC Genomics 12:402.
- Banerji S, Flieger A. 2004. Patatin-like proteins: a new family of lipolytic enzymes present in bacteria? Microbiology 150:522–525.
- Barrero RA, et al. 2014. Draft genome sequences of *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *venerealis* bv. venerealis strain B6 and bv. intermedius strain 642-21. Genome Announc 2:e00943–e00914.
- Blaser MJ, Newell DG, Thompson SA, Zechner EL. 2008. Pathogenesis of *Campylobacter fetus*. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C: ASM Press. p. 401–428.
- Campagna D, et al. 2009. PASS: a program to align short sequences. Bioinformatics 25:967–968.
- Carvalho AC, et al. 2001. Molecular characterization of invasive and noninvasive *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates. J Clin Microbiol. 39:1353–1359.
- Castresana J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol Biol Evol. 17:540–552.
- Croucher NJ, et al. 2014. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Res. 43:e15.
- Dingle KE, et al. 2010. Genetic relationships among reptilian and mammalian *Campylobacter fetus* strains determined by multilocus sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol. 48:977–980.
- Dworkin J, Tummuru MK, Blaser MJ. 1995. A lipopolysaccharide-binding domain of the *Campylobacter fetus* S-layer protein resides within the conserved N terminus of a family of silent and divergent homologs. J Bacteriol. 177:1734–1741.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:1792–1797.
- Ekseth OK, Kuiper M, Mironov V. 2014. orthAgogue: an agile tool for the rapid prediction of orthology relations. Bioinformatics 30:734–736.
- Enright AJ, Van Dongen S, Ouzounis CA. 2002. An efficient algorithm for large-scale detection of protein families. Nucleic Acids Res. 30:1575–1584.
- Feil EJ, et al. 2001. Recombination within natural populations of pathogenic bacteria: short-term empirical estimates and long-term phylogenetic consequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 98:182–187.

- Fitzgerald C, et al. 2014. Description of *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *testudinum* subsp. nov., isolated from humans and reptiles. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 64:2944–2948.
- Gilbert M, Parker CT, Moran AP. 2008. Campylobacter jejuni lipooligosaccharides: structures and biosynthesis. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C.: ASM Press. p. 483–504.
- Gilbert MJ, et al. 2013. Complete genome sequence of *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *testudinum* strain 03-427^{T.} Genome Announc. 1:e01002–e01013.
- Gilbert MJ, Kik M, et al. 2014. Occurrence, diversity, and host association of intestinal Campylobacter, *Arcobacter*, and *Helicobacter* in reptiles. PLoS One 9:e101599.
- Gilbert MJ, Miller WG, et al. 2014. Complete genome sequence of *Campylobacter iguaniorum* strain 1485E^T, isolated from a bearded dragon (*Pogona vitticeps*). Genome Announc. 2:e00844–e00814.
- Grissa I, Vergnaud G, Pourcel C. 2007. CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. Nucleic Acids Res. 35:W52–W57.
- Guerry P. 2007. *Campylobacter* flagella: not just for motility. Trends Microbiol. 15:456–461.
- Gutnick D, Calvo JM, Klopotowski T, Ames BN. 1969. Compounds which serve as the sole source of carbon or nitrogen for *Salmonella typhimurium* LT-2. J Bacteriol. 100:215–219.
- Harvey S, Greenwood JR. 1985. Isolation of *Campylobacter fetus* from a pet turtle. J Clin Microbiol. 21:260–261.
- Iraola G, et al. 2013. Complete genome sequence of *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *venerealis* biovar intermedius, isolated from the prepuce of a bull. Genome Announc. 1:e00526–e00513.
- Iraola G, et al. 2015. A rural worker infected with a bovine-prevalent genotype of *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *fetus* supports zoonotic transmission and inconsistency of MLST and whole-genome typing. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 8:1593–1596.
- Kelly DJ. 2008. Complexity and versatility in the physiology and metabolism of *Campylobacter jejuni*. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C.: ASM Press. p. 41–61.
- Kienesberger S, et al. 2007. Development of experimental genetic tools for *Campylobacter fetus*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 73:4619–4630.
- Kienesberger S, et al. 2014. Comparative genome analysis of *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies revealed horizontally acquired genetic elements important for virulence and niche specificity. PloS One 9:e85491.
- Lewis JA, Horswill AR, Schwem BE, Escalante-Semerena JC. 2004. The tricarballylate utilization (*tcuRABC*) genes of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium LT2. J Bacteriol. 186:1629–1637.
- Miller WG. 2008. Comparative genomics of *Campylobacter* species other than *Campylobacter jejuni*. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C.: ASM Press. p. 73–95.
- Muraoka WT, Zhang Q. 2011. Phenotypic and genotypic evidence for Lfucose utilization by *Campylobacter jejuni*. J Bacteriol. 193:1065– 1075.
- Overbeek R, et al. 2014. The SEED and the rapid annotation of microbial genomes using subsystems technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res. 42:D206–D214.
- Parkhill J, et al. 2000. The genome sequence of the food-borne pathogen *Campylobacter jejuni* reveals hypervariable sequences. Nature 403:665–668.
- Patrick ME, et al. 2013. Human infections with new subspecies of *Campylobacter fetus*. Emerg Infect Dis. 19:1678–1680.
- Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2009. FastTree: computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. Mol Biol Evol. 26:1641–1650.

- Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30:2068–2069.
- Sheppard SK, et al. 2013. Progressive genome-wide introgression in agricultural *Campylobacter coli*. Mol Ecol. 22:1051–1064.
- Sheppard SK, et al. 2014. Cryptic ecology among host generalist *Campylobacter jejuni* in domestic animals. Mol Ecol. 23:2442–2451.
- Stahl M, et al. 2011. L-fucose utilization provides Campylobacter jejuni with a competitive advantage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108:7194– 7199.
- Stynen AP, et al. 2011. Complete genome sequence of type strain Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis NCTC 10354^{T.} J Bacteriol. 193:5871–5872.
- Tu Z, Wassenaar TM, Thompson SA, Blaser MJ. 2003. Structure and genotypic plasticity of the *Campylobacter fetus sap* locus. Mol Microbiol. 48:685–698.
- Tu ZC, Dewhirst FE, Blaser MJ. 2001. Evidence that the *Campylobacter fetus sap* locus is an ancient genomic constituent with origins before mammals and reptiles diverged. Infect Immun. 69:2237–2244.
- Tu ZC, Eisner W, Kreiswirth BN, Blaser MJ. 2005. Genetic divergence of *Campylobacter fetus* strains of mammal and reptile origins. J Clin Microbiol. 43:3334–3340.
- Tu ZC, et al. 2004. *Campylobacter fetus* of reptile origin as a human pathogen. J Clin Microbiol. 42:4405–4407.

- van Bergen MA, et al. 2005. Clonal nature of *Campylobacter fetus* as defined by multilocus sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol. 43:5888–5898.
- van Bergen MA, van Putten JP, Dingle KE, Blaser MJ, Wagenaar JA. 2008. Isolation, identification, subspecies differentiation, and typing of *Campylobacter fetus*. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski CM, Blaser MJ, editors. Campylobacter. Washington D.C.: ASM Press. p. 213–225.
- van der Graaf-van Bloois L, et al. 2014. Inconsistency of phenotypic and genomic characteristics of *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies requires re-evaluation of current diagnostics. J Clin Microbiol. 52:4183–4188.
- van der Graaf-van Bloois L, et al. 2016. *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies contain conserved type IV secretion systems on multiple genomic islands and plasmids. PLoS One 11:e0152832.
- Wagenaar JA, et al. 2014. *Campylobacter fetus* infections in humans: exposure and disease. Clin Infect Dis. 58:1579–1586.
- Wang CM, Shia WY, Jhou YJ, Shyu CL. 2013. Occurrence and molecular characterization of reptilian *Campylobacter fetus* strains isolated in Taiwan. Vet Microbiol. 164:67–76.
- Wassenaar TM, Fry BN, van der Zeijst BA. 1995. Variation of the flagellin gene locus of *Campylobacter jejuni* by recombination and horizontal gene transfer. Microbiology 141:95–101.

Associate editor: Tal Dagan