

Connections up to homotopy and characteristic classes ^{*}

Marius Crainic

Department of Mathematics, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Introduction

The aim of this note is to clarify the relevance of “connections up to homotopy” [4, 5] to the theory of characteristic classes, and to present an application to the characteristic classes of algebroids [3, 5, 7] (and of Poisson manifolds in particular [8, 13]).

We have already remarked [4] that such connections up to homotopy can be used to compute the classical Chern characters. Here we present a slightly different argument for this, and then proceed with the discussion of the flat characteristic classes. In contrast with [4], we do not only recover the classical characteristic classes (of flat vector bundles), but we also obtain new ones. The reason for this is that (\mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) non-flat vector bundles may have flat connections up to homotopy. As we shall explain here, in this category fall e.g. the characteristic classes of Poisson manifolds [8, 13].

As already mentioned in [4], one of our motivations is to understand the intrinsic characteristic classes for Poisson manifolds (and algebroids) of [7, 8], and the connection with the characteristic classes of representations [3]. Conjecturally, Fernandes’ intrinsic characteristic classes [7] are the characteristic classes [3] of the “adjoint representation”. The problem is that the adjoint representation is a “representation up to homotopy” only. Applied to algebroids, our construction immediately solves this problem: it extends the characteristic classes of [3] from representations to representations up to homotopy, and shows that the intrinsic characteristic classes [7, 8] are indeed the ones associated to the adjoint representation [5].

I would like to thank J. Stasheff and A. Weinstein for their comments on a preliminary version of this paper.

Non-linear connections

Here we recall some well-known properties of connections on vector bundles. Up to a very slight novelty (we allow non-linear connections), this section is standard [11] and serves to fix the notations.

Let M be a manifold, and let $E = E^0 \oplus E^1$ be a super-vector bundle over M . We now consider \mathbb{R} -linear operators

$$\mathcal{X}(M) \otimes E \longrightarrow E, \quad (X, s) \mapsto \nabla_X(s) \tag{1}$$

^{*}Research supported by NWO

which satisfy

$$\nabla_X(fs) = f\nabla_X(s) + X(f)s$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, $s \in \Gamma(E)$, and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, and which preserve the grading of E . We say that ∇ is a *non-linear connection* if $\nabla_X(V)$ is local in X . This is just a relaxation of the $C^\infty(M)$ -linearity in X , when one recovers the standard notion of (linear) connection. The curvature k_∇ of a non-linear connection ∇ is defined by the standard formula

$$k_\nabla(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]} : \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E). \quad (2)$$

A *non-linear differential form*¹ on M is an antisymmetric (\mathbb{R} -multilinear) map

$$\omega : \underbrace{\mathcal{X}(M) \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}(M)}_n \longrightarrow C^\infty(M) \quad (3)$$

which is local in the X_i 's. It is easy to see (and it has been already remarked in [4]) that many of the usual operations on differential forms do not use the $C^\infty(M)$ -linearity, hence they apply to non-linear forms as well. In particular we obtain the algebra $(\mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(M), d)$ of non-linear forms endowed with De Rham operator. (This defines a contravariant functor from manifolds to dga's.) Considering E -valued operators instead, we obtain a version with coefficients, denoted $\mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(M; E)$. Note that a non-linear connection ∇ can be viewed as an operator $\mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^0(M; E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^1(M; E)$ which has a unique extension to an operator

$$d_\nabla : \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^*(M; E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^{*+1}(M; E)$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\nabla(\omega)(X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \nabla_{X_i} \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We now recall the definition of the (non-linear) connection on $\text{End}(E)$ induced by ∇ . For any $T \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$, the operators $[\nabla_X, T]$ acting on $\Gamma(E)$ are $C^\infty(M)$ -linear, hence define elements $[\nabla_X, T] \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$. The desired connection is then $\nabla_X(T) = [\nabla_X, T]$. Clearly $k_\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^2(M; \text{End}(E))$, and one has Bianchi's identity $d_\nabla(k_\nabla) = 0$.

We will use the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(M; \text{End}(E))$ and its action on $\mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(M; E)$. The product structure that we consider here is the one which arises from the natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(M; E) \cong \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(M) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Gamma(E)$$

and the usual sign conventions for the tensor products (i.e. $\omega \otimes x \cdot \eta \otimes y = (-1)^{|x||\eta|} \omega \eta \otimes xy$). The usual super-trace on $\text{End}(E)$ induces a super-trace

$$Tr_s : (\mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(M; \text{End}(E)), d_\nabla) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(M), d) \quad (5)$$

with the property that $Tr_s d_\nabla = d Tr_s$. We conclude (and this is just a non-linear version of the standard construction of Chern characters [11]):

¹as in the case of connections, the non-linearity refers to $C^\infty(M)$ -non-linearity. As pointed out to me, the terminology might be misleading. Better names would probably be "higher order connections" and "jet-forms"

Lemma 1 *If ∇ is a non-linear connection on E , then*

$$ch_p(\nabla) = Tr_s(k_{\nabla}^p) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^{2p}(M) \quad (6)$$

are closed non-linear forms on M .

Up to a boundary, these classes are independent of ∇ . This is an instance of the Chern-Simons construction that we now recall. Given $k+1$ non-linear connections ∇_i on E ($0 \leq i \leq k$) we form their affine combination $\nabla^{\text{aff}} = (1-t_1-\dots-t_k)\nabla_0+t_1\nabla_1+\dots+t_k\nabla_k$. This is a non-linear connection on the pullback of E to $\Delta^k \times M$, where $\Delta^k = \{(t_1, \dots, t_k) : t_i \geq 0, \sum t_i \leq 1\}$ is the standard k -simplex. The classical integration along fibers has a non-linear extension

$$\int_{\Delta^k} : \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^*(M \times \Delta^k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^{*-k}(M) \quad (7)$$

given by the explicit formula

$$\left(\int_{\Delta^k} \omega \right) (X_1, \dots, X_{n-k}) = \int_{\Delta^k} \omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}, X_1, \dots, X_{n-k} \right) dt_1 \dots dt_k .$$

We then define

$$cs_p(\nabla_0, \dots, \nabla_k) = \int_{\Delta^k} ch_p(\nabla^{\text{aff}}) . \quad (8)$$

Using a version of Stokes' formula [2] (or integrating by parts repeatedly) we conclude

Lemma 2 *The elements (8) satisfy*

$$dcs_p(\nabla_0, \dots, \nabla_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i cs_p(\nabla_0, \dots, \widehat{\nabla}_i, \dots, \nabla_k) . \quad (9)$$

Connections up to homotopy and Chern characters

From now on, (E, ∂) is a super-complex of vector bundles over the manifold M ,

$$(E, \partial) : E^0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\partial} \\ \xleftarrow{\partial} \end{array} E^1 \quad . \quad (10)$$

We now consider non-linear connections ∇ on E such that $\nabla_X \partial = \partial \nabla_X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. We say that ∇ is a (linear) *connection* on (E, ∂) if it also satisfies the identity $\nabla_{fX}(s) = f\nabla_X(s)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $s \in E$. The notion of *connection up to homotopy* [4, 5] on (E, ∂) is obtained by relaxing the $C^\infty(M)$ -linearity on X to linearity up to homotopy. In other words we require

$$\nabla_{fX}(s) = f\nabla_X(s) + [H_{\nabla}(f, X), \partial] ,$$

where $H_{\nabla}(f, X) \in \text{End}(E)$ are odd elements which are \mathbb{R} -linear and local in X and f .

We say that two non-linear connections ∇ and ∇' are *equivalent* (or homotopic) if

$$\nabla'_X = \nabla_X + [\theta(X), \partial]$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, for some $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^1(M; \text{End}(E))$ of even degree. We write $\nabla \sim \nabla'$.

Lemma 3 *A non-linear connection is a connection up to homotopy if and only if it is equivalent to a (linear) connection.*

Proof: Assume that ∇ is a connection up to homotopy. Let U_a be the domain of local coordinates x^k for M , and put

$$\nabla_X^a = \nabla_X + [u^a(X), \partial],$$

where $u_a \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(U_a; \text{End}(E))$ is given by

$$u_a\left(\sum_k f_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right) = -\sum_k H_{\nabla}(f_k, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}),$$

for all $f_k \in C^\infty(U_a)$. Note that ∇_X is linear on X . Indeed, for any two smooth functions f, g and $X = g \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{fX}^a - f\nabla_X^a &= (\nabla_{fX} + [u^a(fX), \partial]) - f(\nabla_X + [u^a(X), \partial]) = \\ &= (\nabla_{fg \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}} - [H_{\nabla}(fg, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}), \partial]) + f(\nabla_{g \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}} - [H_{\nabla}(g \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}), \partial]) = \\ &= fg\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}} - fg\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next we take $\{\nu_a\}$ to be a partition of unity subordinate to an open cover $\{U_a\}$ by such coordinate domains and put $\nabla'_X = \sum_a \nu_a \nabla_X^a$, $u(X) = \sum_a \nu_a u^a(X)$. Then $\nabla' = \nabla + [u, \partial]$ is a connection equivalent to ∇ . \square

Lemma 4 *If ∇_0 and ∇_1 are equivalent, then $ch_p(\nabla^0) = ch_p(\nabla^1)$.*

Proof: So, let us assume that $\nabla^1 = \nabla^0 + [\theta, \partial]$. A simple computation shows that

$$k_{\nabla_1} = k_{\nabla_0} + [d_{\nabla}(\theta) + R, \partial], \quad (11)$$

where $R(X, Y) = [\theta(X), [\theta(Y), \partial]]$. We denote by $Z \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}(M; \text{End}(E))$ the space of non-linear forms ω with the property that $[\omega, \partial] = 0$, and by $B \subset Z$ the subspace of element of type $[\eta, \partial]$ for some non-linear form η . The formula

$$[\partial, \omega\eta] = [\partial, \omega]\eta + (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega[\partial, \eta]$$

shows that $ZB \subset B$, hence (11) implies that $k_{\nabla_1}^p \equiv k_{\nabla_0}^p$ modulo B . The desired equality follows now from the fact that Tr_s vanishes on B . \square

For (linear) connections ∇ on (E, ∂) , $ch_p(\nabla)$ are clearly (linear) differential forms on M whose cohomology classes are (up to a constant) the components of the Chern character $Ch(E) = Ch(E^0) - Ch(E^1)$. Hence an immediate consequence of the previous two lemmas is the following [4]

Theorem 1 *If ∇ is a connection up to homotopy on (E, ∂) , then $ch_p(\nabla) = Tr_s(k_{\nabla}^p)$ are closed differential forms on M whose De Rham cohomology classes are (up to a constant) the components of the Chern character $Ch(E)$.*

Flat characteristic classes

As usual, by flatness we mean the vanishing of the curvature forms. Theorem 1 immediately implies

Corollary 1 *If (E, ∂) admits a connection up to homotopy which is flat, then $Ch(E) = 0$.*

As usual, such a vanishing result is at the origin of new “secondary” characteristic classes. Let ∇ be a flat connection up to homotopy. To construct the associated secondary classes we need a metric h on E . We denote by ∂^h be the adjoint of ∂ with respect to h . Using the isomorphism $E^* \cong E$ induced by h (which is anti-linear if E is complex), ∇ induces an adjoint connection ∇^h on (E, ∂^h) . Explicitly,

$$L_X h(s, t) = h(\nabla_X(s), t) + h(s, \nabla_X^h(t)) .$$

The following describes various possible definitions of the secondary classes, as well as their main properties (note that the role of $i = \sqrt{-1}$ below is to ensure real classes).

Theorem 2 *Let ∇ be a flat connection up to homotopy on (E, ∂) , $p \geq 1$.*

(i) *For any (linear) connection ∇_0 on (E, ∂) and any metric h ,*

$$i^{p+1}(cs_p(\nabla, \nabla_0) + cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_0^h) + cs_p(\nabla_0^h, \nabla^h)) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{nl}}^{2p-1}(M) \quad (12)$$

are differential forms on M which are real and closed. The induced cohomology classes do not depend on the choice of h or ∇_0 , and are denoted $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla) \in H^{2p-1}(M)$.

(ii) *For any connection ∇_0 equivalent to ∇ , and any metric h ,*

$$i^{p+1}cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_0^h) \in \mathcal{A}^{2p-1}(M) \quad (13)$$

are real and closed, and represent $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla)$ in cohomology.

(iii) *If ∇ is equivalent to a metric connection (i.e. a connection which is compatible with a metric), then all the classes $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla)$ vanish.*

(iv) *If $\nabla \sim \nabla'$, then $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla) = u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla')$.*

(v) *If ∇ is a flat connection up to homotopy on both super-complexes (E, ∂) and (E, ∂') , then $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla) = u_{2p-1}(E, \partial', \nabla)$.*

(vi) *Assume that E is real. If p is even then $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla) = 0$. If p is odd, then for any connection ∇_0 equivalent to ∇ , and any metric connection ∇_m ,*

$$(-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_m) \in \mathcal{A}^{2p-1}(M)$$

are closed differential forms whose cohomology classes equal to $\frac{1}{2}u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla)$.

Note the compatibility with the classical flat characteristic classes, which correspond to the case where E is a graded vector bundle (and $\partial = 0$), or, more classically, just a vector bundle over M . As references for this we point out [9] (for the approach in terms of frame bundles and Lie algebra cohomology), and [1] (for an explicit approach which we follow here). For the proof of the theorem we need the following

Lemma 5 Given the non-linear connections $\nabla, \nabla_0, \nabla_1$,

(i) If ∇_0 and ∇_1 are connections up to homotopy then $cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_1)$ are differential forms;

(ii) If $\nabla_0 \sim \nabla_1$, then $cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_1) = 0$;

(iii) For any metric h , $ch_p(\nabla^h) = (-1)^p \overline{ch_p(\nabla)}$ and $cs_p(\nabla_0^h, \nabla_1^h) = (-1)^p \overline{cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_1)}$.

Proof: (i) follows from the fact that Chern characters of connections up to homotopy are differential forms. For (ii) we use Lemma 4. The affine combination ∇ used in the definition of $cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_1)$ is equivalent to the pull-back $\tilde{\nabla}_0$ of ∇_0 to $M \times \Delta^1$ (because $\nabla = \tilde{\nabla}_0 + t[\theta, \partial]$), while $ch_p(\tilde{\nabla}_0)$ is clearly zero. If h is a metric on E , a simple computation shows that $k_{\nabla^h}(X, Y)$ coincides with $-k_{\nabla}(X, Y)^*$ where $*$ denotes the adjoint (with respect to h). Then (iii) follows from $Tr(A^*) = \overline{Tr(A)}$ for any matrix A . \square

Proof of Theorem 2: (i) Let us denote by $u(\nabla, \nabla_0, h)$ the forms (12). Since (∇_0, ∇_0^h) is a pair of connections on E , and $(\nabla, \nabla_0), (\nabla^h, \nabla_0^h)$ are pairs of connections up to homotopy on (E, ∂) and (E, ∂^h) , respectively, it follows from (i) of Lemma 5 that $u(\nabla, \nabla_0, h)$ are differential forms. From Stokes formula (9) it immediately follows that they are closed. To prove that they are real we use (iii) of the previous Lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{u(\nabla, \nabla_0, h)} &= (-i)^{p+1} \overline{(cs_p(\nabla, \nabla_0) + cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_0^h) + cs_p(\nabla_0^h, \nabla^h))} = \\ &+ (-i)^{p+1} (-1)^p cs_p(\nabla^h, \nabla_0^h) + cs_p(\nabla_0^h, \nabla_0) + cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla) = \\ &= (-i)^{p+1} (-1)^p (-1) u(\nabla, \nabla_0, h) = u(\nabla, \nabla_0, h) \end{aligned}$$

If ∇_1 is another connection, using (9) again, it follows that $u(\nabla, \nabla_0, h) - u(\nabla, \nabla_1, h) = i^{p+1} dv$ where v is the (linear!) differential form

$$v = cs_p(\nabla, \nabla_0, \nabla_1) - cs_p(\nabla^h, \nabla_0^h, \nabla_1^h) + cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_0^h, \nabla_1) - cs_p(\nabla_0^h, \nabla_1, \nabla_1^h).$$

(iii) clearly follows from (ii), which in turn follows from (ii) of Lemma 5 and the fact that $\nabla \sim \nabla_0$ implies $\nabla^h \sim \nabla_0^h$. To see that our classes do not depend on h , it suffices to show that given a linear connection ∇ on a vector bundle F , $cs_p(\nabla, \nabla^h)$ is independent of h up to the boundary of a differential form. Let h_0 and h_1 be two metrics. Although the proof below works for general ∇ 's, simpler formulas are possible when ∇ is flat. So, let us first assume that (actually we may assume that ∇ is the canonical connection on a trivial vector bundle). From Stokes' formula (9) applied to $(\nabla, \nabla^{h_0}, \nabla^{h_1})$, it suffices to show that $cs_p(\nabla^{h_0}, \nabla^{h_1})$ is a closed form. We choose a family h_t of metrics joining h_0 and h_1 . One only has to show that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} cs_p(\nabla^{h_0}, \nabla^{h_t})$ are closed forms. Writing $h_t(x, y) = h_0(u_t(x), y)$, these Chern-Simons forms are, up to a constant, $Tr(\omega_t^{2p-1})$ where

$$\omega_t = \nabla^{h_t} - \nabla^{h_0} = u_t^{-1} d_{\nabla^{h_0}}(u_t)$$

(here is where we use the flatness of ∇). A simple computation shows that

$$\frac{\partial \omega_t}{\partial t} = d_{\nabla^{h_0}}(v_t) + [\omega_t, v_t],$$

where $v_t = u_t^{-1} \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}$. Since $d_{\nabla^{h_0}}(\omega_t^2) = 0$, this implies

$$\frac{\partial \omega_t}{\partial t} \omega_t^{2p-2} = d_{\nabla^{h_0}}(v_t \omega_t^{2p-2}) + [\omega_t, v_t \omega_t^{2p-2}].$$

Now, by the properties of the trace it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Tr_s(\omega_t^{2p-1}) = dTr_s(v_t \omega_t^{2p-2})$$

as desired. Assume now that ∇ is not flat. We choose a vector bundle F' together with a connection ∇' compatible with a metric h' , such that $\tilde{F} = F \oplus F'$ admits a flat connection ∇_0 . We put $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla \oplus \nabla'$ and, for any metric h on F , we consider the metric $\tilde{h} = h \oplus h'$ on \tilde{F} . Clearly $cs_p(\tilde{\nabla}, \tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{h}}) = cs_p(\nabla, \nabla^h)$. Using also (iii) of Lemma 5 and Stokes' formula, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} cs_p(\nabla, \nabla^h) &= cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_0^{\tilde{h}}) - cs_p(\nabla_0, \tilde{\nabla}) + (-1)^p \overline{cs_p(\nabla_0, \tilde{\nabla})} \\ &\quad + d(cs_p(\nabla_0, \tilde{\nabla}, \tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{h}}) - cs_p(\nabla_0, \tilde{\nabla}_0, \tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{h}})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the flat case, $cs_p(\nabla, \nabla^h)$ modulo exact forms does not depend on h .

For (iv) one uses Stokes' formula (9) and (ii) of Lemma 5 to conclude that $cs_p(\nabla', \nabla_0) - cs_p(\nabla, \nabla_0)$ is the differential of the linear form $cs_p(\nabla, \nabla', \nabla_0)$. To prove (v) we only have to show (see (i)) that there exists a linear connection ∇^0 on E which is compatible with both ∂ and ∂' . For this, one defines ∇^0 locally by $f \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}}^0 = f \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}}$, and then use a partition of unity argument.

We now assume that E is real. From Lemma 5,

$$cs_p(\nabla_m, \nabla_0^h) = (-1)^p cs_p(\nabla_m^h, \nabla_0) = (-1)^{p+1} cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_m).$$

Combined with Stokes' formula (9), this implies

$$dcs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_m, \nabla_0^h) = (1 + (-1)^{p+1}) cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_m) - cs_p(\nabla_0, \nabla_0^h),$$

which proves (vi). \square

Note that the construction of the flat characteristic classes presented here actually works for ∇ 's which are "flat up to homotopy", i.e. whose curvatures are of type $[-, \partial]$. Moreover, this notion is stable under equivalence, and the flat characteristic classes only depend on the equivalence class of ∇ (cf. (iv) of the Theorem). Note also that, as in [4] (and following [1]), there is a version of our discussion for super-connections [11] up to homotopy. Some of our constructions can then be interpreted in terms of the super-connection $\partial + \nabla$.

If E is regular in the sense that $Ker(\partial)$ and $Im(\partial)$ are vector bundles, then so is the cohomology $H(E, \partial) = Ker(\partial)/Im(\partial)$, and any connection up to homotopy ∇ on (E, ∂) defines a linear connection $H(\nabla)$ on $H(E)$. Moreover, $H(\nabla)$ is flat if ∇ is, and the characteristic classes $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla)$ probably coincide with the classical [1, 9] characteristic classes of the flat vector bundle $H(E, \partial)$. In general, the $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla)$'s should be viewed as invariants of $H(E, \partial)$ constructed in such a way that no regularity assumption is required. Let us discuss here an instance of this. We say that E is \mathbb{Z} -graded if it comes from a cochain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow E(0) \xrightarrow{\partial} E(1) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} E(n) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (14)$$

In other words, it must be of type $E = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n E(k)$ with the even/odd \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading, and with $\partial(E(k)) \subset E(k+1)$. As usual, we say that E is acyclic if $Ker(\nabla) = Im(\nabla)$ (i.e. if (14) is exact).

Proposition 1

- (i) If (E, ∂) is acyclic, then any two connections up to homotopy on (E, ∂) are equivalent. Moreover, if E is \mathbb{Z} -graded, then $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla) = 0$.
- (ii) If $(E^k, \partial^k, \nabla^k)$ are \mathbb{Z} -graded complexes of vector bundles endowed with flat connections up to homotopy which fit into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E^0 \xrightarrow{\delta} E^1 \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta} E^n \longrightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

compatible with the structures (i.e. $[\delta, \partial] = [\delta, \nabla] = [\delta, H_\nabla] = 0$), then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k u_{2p-1}(E^k, \partial^k, \nabla^k) = 0 .$$

Proof: The second part follows from (i) above and (v) of Theorem 2. To see this, we form the super-vector bundle $E = \bigoplus_k E^k$ (which is \mathbb{Z} -graded by the total degree) and the direct sum (non-linear) connection ∇ acting on E . Then ∇ is a connection up to homotopy in both (E, ∂) and $(E, \partial + \delta)$. Clearly $u_{2p-1}(E, \partial, \nabla) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k u_{2p-1}(E^k, \partial^k, \nabla^k)$, while the exactness of (15) implies that $\partial + \delta$ is acyclic. Hence we are left with (i). For the first part we remark that the acyclicity assumption implies that $\partial^* \partial + \partial \partial^*$ is an isomorphism (“Hodge”). Then any operator u which commutes with ∂ can be written as a commutator $[-v, \partial]$ where

$$v = ua, \quad a = -(\partial^* \partial + \partial \partial^*)^{-1} \partial^* . \quad (16)$$

This applies in particular to $u = \nabla' - \nabla$ for any two connections up to homotopy on (E, ∂) . We now have to prove that $cs_p(\nabla, \nabla^h)$ is zero in cohomology, where ∇ is a linear connection on (E, ∂) , and h is a metric. For this we use a result of [1] (Theorem 2.16) which says that $cs_p(A, A^h)$ are closed forms provided $A = A_0 + A_1 + A_2 + \dots$ is a flat super-connection [11] on E with the properties:

- (i) A_1 is a connection on E preserving the \mathbb{Z} -grading,
(ii) $A_k \in \mathcal{A}^k(M; \text{Hom}(E^*, E^{*+1-k}))$ for $k \neq 1$.

Lemma 6 *Given a (linear) connection ∇ on the acyclic cochain complex (14), there exists a super-connection on E of type*

$$A = \partial + \nabla + A_2 + A_3 + \dots : \mathcal{A}(M; E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(M; E) ,$$

which is flat and satisfies (i) and (ii) above.

Let us show that this lemma, combined with the result of [1] mentioned above, prove the desired result. Using Stokes’ formula it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} cs_p(\nabla, \nabla^h) &= cs(A, A^h) + d(cs_p(\nabla, \nabla^h, A^h) - cs_p(\nabla, A, A^h)) + \\ &\quad + cs_p(\nabla, A) - cs_p(\nabla^h, A^h) , \end{aligned}$$

and we show that $cs_p(\nabla, A) = 0$ (and similarly that $cs_p(\nabla^h, A^h) = 0$). Writing $\theta = A - \nabla$ and using the definition of the Chern-Simons forms, it suffices to prove that

$$Tr_s(((1 - t^2)\nabla^2 + (t - t^2)[\nabla, \theta])^{p-1}\theta) = 0$$

for any t . Since the only endomorphisms of E which count are those preserving the degree, we see that the only term which can contribute is $Tr_s(\nabla^{2(p-2)}[\nabla, \theta]\theta) = Tr_s(\nabla^{2(p-2)}[\nabla, A_2]\partial)$. But $\nabla^{2(p-2)}[\nabla, A_2]\partial$ commutes with ∂ hence its super-trace must vanish (since Tr_s commutes with taking cohomology). \square

Proof of Lemma 6: (Compare with [6]). The flatness of A gives us certain equations on the A_k 's that we can solve inductively, using the same trick as in (16) above. For instance, the first equation is $[\partial, A_2] + \nabla^2 = 0$. Since $u_1 = \nabla^2$ commutes with ∂ , this equation will have the solution $A_2 = u_1 a$ (with a as in (16)). The next equation is $[\partial, A_3] + [A_1, A_2] = 0$. It is not difficult to see that $u_2 = [A_1, A_2]$ commutes with ∂ , and we put $A_3 = u_2 a$. Continuing this process, at the n -th level we put $A_{n+1} = u_n a$ where $u_n = [\nabla, A_n] + [A_1, A_{n-2}] + \dots$ as they arise from the corresponding equation. We leave to the reader to show that the u_n 's also satisfy the equations

$$u_n = u_{n-1}[\nabla, a] + \left(\sum_{i+j=n-1} u_i u_j \right) a^2.$$

Since $[\partial, a] = -1$, ∂ will commute with both $[\nabla, a]$ and a^2 , hence also with the u_n 's (induction on n). It then follows that A_{n+1} satisfies the desired equation $[\partial, A_{n+1}] = -u_n$. \square

Application to algebroids

Recall [10] that an *algebroid* over M consists of a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined on the space \mathfrak{g} of sections of a vector bundle \mathfrak{g} over M , together with a morphism of vector bundles $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow TM$ (*the anchor* of \mathfrak{g}) satisfying $[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + \rho(X)(f) \cdot Y$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Important examples are tangent bundles, Lie algebras, foliations, and algebroids associated to Poisson manifolds. It is easy to see (and has already been remarked in many other places [10], [3], [7], etc. etc.) that many of the basic constructions involving vector fields have a straightforward \mathfrak{g} -version (just replace $\mathcal{X}(M)$ by \mathfrak{g}). Let us briefly point out some of them.

- (a) *Cohomology:* the Lie-type formula (4) for the classical De Rham differential makes sense for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and defines a differential d on the space $C^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}^*$, hence a cohomology theory $H^*(\mathfrak{g})$. Particular cases are De Rham cohomology, Lie algebra cohomology, foliated cohomology, and Poisson cohomology.
- (b) *Connections and Chern characters:* According to the general philosophy, \mathfrak{g} -connections on a vector bundle E over M are linear maps $\mathfrak{g} \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfying the usual identities. Using their curvatures, one obtains \mathfrak{g} -Chern classes $Ch^{\mathfrak{g}}(E) \in H^*(\mathfrak{g})$ independent of the connection.
- (c) *Representations:* Motivated by the case of Lie algebras, and also by the relation to groupoids (see e.g. [3]), vector bundles E over M together with a flat \mathfrak{g} -connection are called representations of \mathfrak{g} . This time ∇ should be viewed as an (infinitesimal) action of \mathfrak{g} on E .
- (d) *Flat characteristic classes:* The explicit approach to flat characteristic classes (as e.g. in [1], or as in the previous section) has an obvious \mathfrak{g} -version. Hence, if E is a representation

of \mathfrak{g} , then $Ch^{\mathfrak{g}}(E) = 0$, and one obtains the secondary characteristic classes $u_{2p-1}(E) \in H^{2p-1}(\mathfrak{g})$. Maybe less obvious is the fact that one can also extend the Chern-Weil type approach, at the level of frame bundles (as e.g. in [9]). This has been explained in [3], and has certain advantages (e.g. for proving “Morita invariance” of the $u_{2p-1}(E)$ ’s and for relating them to differentiable cohomology).

- (e) *Up to homotopy:* All the constructions and results of the previous sections carry over to algebroids without any problem. As above, a *representation up to homotopy* of \mathfrak{g} is a supercomplex (10) of vector bundles over M , together with a flat \mathfrak{g} -connection up to homotopy.
- (f) *The adjoint representation:* The main reason for working “up to homotopy” is that the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} only makes sense as a representation up to homotopy [5]. Roughly speaking, it is the formal difference $\mathfrak{g} - TM$. The precise definition is:

$$\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g}) : \quad \mathfrak{g} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{0} \\ \xrightarrow{\rho} \end{array} TM \quad , \quad (17)$$

with the flat \mathfrak{g} -connection up to homotopy ∇^{ad} given by $\nabla_X^{ad}(Y) = [X, Y]$, $\nabla_X^{ad}(V) = [\rho(X), Y]$ (and the homotopies $H(f, X)(Y) = 0$, $H(f, X)(V) = V(f)X$), for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $V \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

Let us denote by $u_{2p-1}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ the characteristic classes $u_{2p-1}(\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g}))$ of the adjoint representation. The most useful description from a computational (but not conceptual) point of view is given by (vi) of Theorem 2 (more precisely, its \mathfrak{g} -version).

1 Definition We call *basic \mathfrak{g} -connection* any \mathfrak{g} -connection on $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ which is equivalent to the adjoint connection ∇^{ad} .

It is not difficult to see that any such connection is also basic in sense of [7] (and the two notions are equivalent at least in the regular case). Hence we have the following possible description of the $u_{2p-1}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ ’s, which shows the compatibility with Fernandes’ intrinsic characteristic classes [7, 8]:

$$u_{2p-1}^{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p = \text{even} \\ \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} c_{S_p}(\nabla_{\text{bas}}, \nabla_{\text{m}}) & \text{if } p = \text{odd} \end{cases} ,$$

where ∇_{bas} is any basic \mathfrak{g} -connection, and ∇_{m} is any metric connection on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus TM$. Hence the conclusion of our discussion is the following (which can also be taken as definition of the characteristic classes of [7, 8]).

Theorem 3 *If E is a representation up to homotopy then $Ch^{\mathfrak{g}}(E) = 0$, and the secondary characteristic classes $u_{2p-1}(E) \in H^{2p-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ of representations [4] can be extended to such representations up to homotopy. When applied to the adjoint representation $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g})$, the resulting classes $u_{2p-1}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ are (up to a constant) the intrinsic characteristic classes of \mathfrak{g} [7].*

More on basic connections: Let us try to shed some light on the notion of basic \mathfrak{g} -connection. In our context these are the linear connections which are equivalent to the adjoint connection, while in [7] they appear as a natural extension of Bott’s basic connections

for foliations. Although not flat in general, they are always flat up to homotopy. The existence of such connections is ensured by Lemma 3 and it was also proven in [7]. There is however a very simple and explicit way to produce them out of ordinary connections on the vector bundle \mathfrak{g} .

Proposition 2 *Let ∇ be a connection on the vector bundle \mathfrak{g} . Then the formulas*

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\nabla}_X^0(Y) &= [X, Y] + \nabla_{\rho(Y)}(X) \\ \check{\nabla}_X^1(V) &= [\rho(X), V] + \rho(\nabla_V(X))\end{aligned}$$

$(X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, V \in TM)$ define a basic \mathfrak{g} -connection $\check{\nabla} = (\check{\nabla}^0, \check{\nabla}^1)$.

Proof: We have $\check{\nabla} = \nabla^{ad} + [\theta, \partial]$, where θ is the (non-linear) $\text{End}(\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -valued form on \mathfrak{g} given by $\theta(X)(V) = \nabla_V(X)$, $\theta(X)(Y) = 0$. \square

Depending on the special properties of \mathfrak{g} , there are various other useful basic connections. This happens for instance when \mathfrak{g} is regular, i.e. when the rank of the anchor ρ is constant. Let us argue that, in this case, the adjoint representation is (up to homotopy) the formal difference $K - \nu$, where K is the kernel of ρ , and ν is the normal bundle TM/\mathcal{F} of the foliation $\mathcal{F} = \rho(\mathfrak{g})$. This time, Bott's formulas [2] trully make sense on ν and K , making them into honest representations of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\nabla_X(\bar{Y}) = \overline{[X, Y]}, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}, \bar{Y} \in \nu \quad (18)$$

$$\nabla_X(Y) = [X, Y], \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}, Y \in K \quad (19)$$

Now, choosing splittings $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ for ρ , and $\beta : TM \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ for the inclusion, we have induced decompositions

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong K \oplus \mathcal{F}, \quad TM \cong \nu \oplus \mathcal{F}.$$

As mentioned above, the formal difference $K - \nu$ (view it as a graded complex with K in even degree, ν in odd degree, and zero differential) is a representation of \mathfrak{g} . On the other hand, any \mathcal{F} -connection ∇ on \mathcal{F} defines a \mathfrak{g} -connection on the super-complex

$$D(\mathcal{F}) : \mathcal{F} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{0} \\ \xrightarrow{id} \end{array} \mathcal{F}$$

(and its homotopy class does not depend on ∇). Hence one has an induced \mathfrak{g} -connection $\nabla^{\alpha, \beta}$ on $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g})$, so that $(\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g}), \nabla^{\alpha, \beta})$ is isomorphic to $(K - \nu) \oplus D(\mathcal{F})$. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X^{\alpha, \beta}(Y) &= [X, Y - \alpha\rho(Y)] + \alpha\nabla_{\rho(Y)}(\rho X) \\ \nabla_X^{\alpha, \beta}(V) &= [\rho(X), V] - \beta[\rho(X), V] + \nabla_{\rho(X)}(\beta(V))\end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, V \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Note that the second part of the following proposition can also be derived from (iv) of Proposition 1.

Proposition 3 *Assume that \mathfrak{g} is regular. For any \mathcal{F} -connection ∇ on \mathcal{F} , and any splittings α, β as above, $\nabla^{\alpha, \beta}$ is a basic \mathfrak{g} -connection. In particular*

$$u_{2p-1}^{\mathfrak{g}} = u_{2p-1}(K) - u_{2p-1}(\nu),$$

where K and ν are the representations of \mathfrak{g} defined by Bott's formulas (18), (19).

Proof: We have $\nabla^{\alpha,\beta} = \nabla^{\text{ad}} + [\theta, \partial]$, where θ is the $\text{End}(\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -valued non-linear form which is given by

$$\theta(X)(V) = \alpha[\rho(X), \beta(V)] - \alpha\beta[\rho(X), V] - [X, \alpha\beta(V)] + \alpha\nabla_{\rho(X)}\beta(V)$$

for $V \in \mathfrak{g}$, (TM) , while $\theta(X) = 0$ on \mathfrak{g} (we leave to the reader to check that the previous formula is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear on V). \square .

References

- [1] J.M. BISMUT and J. LOTT, *Flat vector bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), pp. 291-363
- [2] R. BOTT, *Lectures on characteristic classes and foliations*, Springer LNM **279**, 1-94
- [3] M. CRAINIC, *Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes*, Preprint 2000
- [4] M. CRAINIC, *Chern characters via connections up to homotopy*, Preprint 2000 (available as math.DG/0008064)
- [5] S. EVENS, J.H. LU and A. WEINSTEIN, *Transverse measures, the modular class, and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **50** (1999), 417-436
- [6] B. FEDOSOV, *A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization*, J. Differential Geometry **40** (1994), 213-238
- [7] R.L. FERNANDES, *Lie Algebroids, Holonomy and Characteristic Classes*, preprint DG/0007132
- [8] R.L. FERNANDES, *Connections in Poisson Geometry I: Holonomy and Invariants*, to appear in J. of Differential Geometry (available as math.DG/0001129)
- [9] F. KAMBER and P. TONDEUR, *Foliated Bundles and Characteristic Classes*, Springer LNM **493**
- [10] K. MACKENZIE, *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, Lecture Notes Series **124**, London Mathematical Society, 1987
- [11] D. QUILLEN, *Superconnections and the Chern character*, Topology **24** 89-95 (1985)
- [12] I. VAISMAN, *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds*, Birkhauser, 1994
- [13] A. WEINSTEIN, *The modular automorphism group of a Poisson manifold*, J. Geom. Phys. **23** (1997), 379-394

Marius Crainic,
 Utrecht University, Department of Mathematics,
 P.O.Box:80.010,3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands,
 e-mail: crainic@math.ruu.nl
 home-page: <http://www.math.uu.nl/people/crainic/>