

Chapter 3

Review and retrospective analysis in 156 dogs with degenerative lumbosacral stenosis treated by dorsal laminectomy

N. Suwankong¹, B.P. Meij¹, G. Voorhout², A.H. de Boer¹, H.A.W. Hazewinkel¹

¹ Department of Clinical Sciences of Companion Animals and
² Division of Diagnostic Imaging,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Submitted for publication

Summary

Medical records of 156 dogs with degenerative lumbosacral stenosis (DLS) that underwent decompressive surgery were reviewed for signalment, history, clinical signs, imaging and surgical findings. The German Shepherd dog (GSD) was most commonly affected (40/156, 25.6%). Pelvic limb lameness, caudal lumbar pain and pain evoked by lumbosacral pressure were the most frequent clinical findings. Radiography showed lumbosacral step formation in 78.8% (93/118) of the dogs which was associated with elongation of the sacral lamina in 18.6% (22/118). Compression of the cauda equina was diagnosed by imaging (epidurography, CT, or MRI) in 94.2% (147/156) of the dogs. Loss of the bright nucleus pulposus signal of the L7-S1 disc was found on T2-weighted MR images in 73.5% (25/34) of the dogs. The facet joint angle at L7-S1 was significantly smaller, and the tropism greater in GSD than in the other dog breeds. Abnormal facet joint conformation may predispose the GSD for DLS. Epidurography, CT, and MRI allow adequate visualization of cauda equina compression. During surgery, disc protrusion was found in 70.5% (110/156) of the dogs. Overall improvement after surgery was recorded in 79.0% (83/105) of the dogs. Responses to questionnaires to owners up to five years after surgery, revealed improvement of the clinical signs in 76% (29/38) of the dogs.

Introduction

Degenerative lumbosacral stenosis (DLS) is the most common cause of cauda equina syndrome in dogs. The condition includes lumbosacral Hansen type II intervertebral disc degeneration and protrusion, sclerosis of the vertebral end plates and articular processes, ventral subluxation of the sacrum, and hypertrophy of the ligamentum flavum and joint capsules (1-4). DLS leads to compression and entrapment of cauda equina nerve roots. The clinical signs include caudal lumbar pain, pelvic limb lameness, reduced endurance, reluctance to perform activities such as jumping, rising and climbing stairs, and neurological deficits like urinary and fecal incontinence and self mutilation (2, 5, 6).

Diagnosis of DLS is based on the history, clinical signs, clinical examination, and imaging. Imaging techniques that have been used include radiography, myelography, vertebral venography, epidurography, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (7-9). The most common surgical treatment of DLS consists of dorsal laminectomy. Additional surgical procedures to further relieve cauda equina compression include dorsal fenestration (i.e., incision and removal of dorsal annulus) and partial discectomy with nucleus pulpectomy (10-12). Facetectomy and foraminotomy have been described to further relieve lateralized cauda equina root compression (12-14). Surgical techniques that stabilize L7 and S1 include distraction-fusion procedures, cross-pin fixation, vertebral body fixation with a lag screw, and pedicle screw fixation (15-17).

The aim of this study was to analyze the signalment, clinical signs, imaging findings and surgical findings of a large group of dogs with DLS treated by decompressive surgery and to identify predictors for outcome after decompressive surgery.

Materials and Methods

The medical records of 156 dogs that were treated surgically for DLS at the Department of Clinical Sciences of Companion Animals, Utrecht University between 1989 and 2004 were reviewed. The written medical records and imaging studies were retrieved from the patient archives and reviewed in a protocolized manner. Dogs with other lumbosacral diseases than DLS such as discospondylitis, neoplasia, and traumatic injuries were excluded. Collection of data included

signalment, history, findings on clinical examination (18), results of imaging studies, surgical findings and follow-up evaluation.

The retrospective study spanned a period of 15 years during which time CT and MRI became available at the university clinic. Imaging studies (radiography, myelography, epidurography, discography, CT and MRI) were reviewed by one investigator (NS) without knowledge of the surgical findings. Contrast medium (Iopamiro 200, Bracco Altana Pharma GmbH) was injected in the subarachnoid space between L4-L5 for myelography (0.3 ml/kg), in the epidural space between L7-S1 or S3-Cd1 for epidurography (0.2 ml/kg), and in the nucleus pulposus of L7-S1 for discography. In case of epidurography and myelography, additional radiographs were taken with the lumbosacral spine in flexion and extension. CT was performed with a third-generation CT scanner (Tomoscan CX/S, Philips NV) with the dog in sternal recumbency and the pelvic limbs extended caudally. Contiguous 2-mm-thick CT slices were obtained from the middle portion of the last lumbar to the caudal sacral vertebrae. MRI was performed using a 0.2 Tesla open magnet (Magnetom Open Viva, Siemens AG) with the dog in the same position as for CT. Three-mm-thick contiguous sagittal T1- and T2-weighted and transverse T1-weighted images were made. The following data were recorded: lumbosacral stenosis (measured by the lumbosacral canal ratio), lumbosacral step formation (measured by the lumbosacral step), telescoping of the cranial spinal canal of the sacrum relative to the caudal spinal canal of L7, degree of spondylosis deformans, narrowed intervertebral disc space, end plate sclerosis, transitional vertebral segments (TVS), vacuum phenomenon, osteochondrosis, discographic findings, degree and location of disc protrusion, position of dural sac (center, lateralized left or right), presence or absence of epidural fat dorsal to the dural sac, and spinal nerve root swelling. Disc protrusion was graded on CT and MRI as percentage obstruction of the spinal canal by the bulging disc on transverse and sagittal images (none, slight: <25%, moderate: 25-50%, severe: > 50%). The signal intensity of the nucleus pulposus on T2-weighted MR images was graded (normal, reduced, absent). On lateral radiographs, sagittal CT reconstructions and sagittal MR images, the lumbosacral canal ratio and step were measured. Telescoping of the cranial spinal canal of the sacrum relative to the caudal spinal canal of L7 was recorded as described previously (19). The sacral lamina was elongated when the cranial end of the sacral lamina reached up to or under the caudal end of the lamina of L7. The lumbosacral canal ratio was defined as the ratio between the spinal canal height at the cranial end plate of the first sacral vertebra and the height of the

spinal canal at the caudal end plate of the last lumbar vertebra (Figure 1). The lumbosacral step was measured as the distance in millimeters between the horizontal level of the spinal canal floor of the last lumbar vertebra and that of the sacrum (Figure 1). Measurements from radiographs were performed using a Vernier caliper with a scale that was accurate to 0.1 mm, and corrected for geometric magnification. The CT and MRI computer software were used for CT and MRI measurements. The left and right facet joint angle at L7-S1 between the midsagittal line and a line parallel with the cranial articular process (Figure 2) was measured in transverse CT images at the middle of the lumbosacral junction in 16 German Shepherd dogs (GSD), 7 dogs of other Shepherd breeds, and 17 dogs of other breeds (6 Golden Retrievers, 5 Labrador Retrievers, 3 Rottweilers, 3 Bernese Mountain dogs). Facet joint tropism, defined as the difference (in degrees) between the left and right facet joint angles (20), was determined.




Figure 1. A: Lumbosacral step - the distance between a line on the bottom of the spinal canal of L7 and the sacrum, B: Height of the spinal canal at the caudal end plate of L7, C: Height of the spinal canal at the cranial end plate of S1.




Figure 2. The facet joint angle was measured between the midsagittal line (A) and the line connecting the edges of the cranial articular process (B) in the transverse plane.

All dogs underwent dorsal laminectomy; details of additional decompressive procedures to further relieve cauda equina compression were recorded. Surgical findings were obtained from the written surgical reports, i.e., disc protrusion, presence or absence of epidural fat dorsal to the dural sac and spinal nerve root swelling. The surgical findings were assessed subjectively by the surgeon. Results of microbiological and histopathological examinations were obtained from the medical records.

The follow-up data were collected from medical records or by using questionnaires to owners. The follow-up data recorded in the medical records was obtained by re-examination of the dog and interviewing the owners by telephone. Questionnaires for follow-up evaluation were sent to 51 owners of dogs with DLS that underwent surgical treatment within the last 5 years. The questionnaire included questions regarding the history and clinical signs before surgery, the status of neuro-orthopedic functioning after surgery, and satisfaction of the owner with the result of surgery. The questionnaire contained questions that resulted in YES or NO answers, free text answers, and answers on a 5-point frequency scale (i.e., 1-5: ranging from "always" to "never") or a 5-point qualitative scale (i.e., 1-5: ranging from "very bad" to "very good"). In 38 cases useful and reliable responses to the questionnaires were returned for analysis.

The data were pooled in a database and analyzed. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 11 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago). The numerical data were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) (i.e., lumbosacral canal ratio, lumbosacral step, facet joint angle and tropism). The lumbosacral canal ratios were not normally distributed. The Friedman's test followed by the Wilcoxon signed ranks test was used to compare the lumbosacral canal ratio. The Kruskal-Wallis H test was used followed by Mann-Whitney U test to compare the facet joint angles and tropism at the lumbosacral junction. Correlation between facet joint tropism and disc degeneration was tested by the Fisher's exact test. A *P* value for multiple comparison was corrected for Wilcoxon signed ranks test and Mann-Whitney U test. The logistic regression model was used to test the correlation between outcome after surgery and the following parameters: type of clinical signs (i.e., pelvic limb lameness, lumbosacral pain, atrophy of the thigh muscles of the pelvic limbs and urinary incontinence), the duration of clinical signs before surgery, the imaging findings (i.e., lumbosacral step, lumbosacral canal ratio, degree of disc protrusion and spinal nerve root swelling), and the type of surgical decompressive procedure. A *P* value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Signalment, history, and clinical findings

There were 99 (63.5%) male dogs (65 intact, 34 neutered) and 57 (36.5%) female dogs (26 intact, 31 neutered), (M: F ratio 1.7:1) with a mean (\pm SD) age of 5.8 ± 2.5 years, and a mean (\pm SD) body weight of 34.2 ± 10.8 kg. Mean body weight of male dogs was higher than that in female dogs for the GSD, Golden Retriever, Bouvier des Flandres, Rottweiler, Bernese Mountain dog, Great Dane and Border Collie. Type of dogs included companion animals (138/156, 88.5%), aid dogs (8/156, 5.1%), and working dogs (10/156, 6.4%). The GSD was the most commonly (40/156, 25.6%) affected breed (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Breed distribution of 156 dogs with DLS

The most common clinical sign of the dogs with DLS was pelvic limb lameness (unilateral or bilateral), including stiffness, narrowed, and swinging gait. Owners frequently noticed reluctance with jumping into the car, getting up or to climbing stairs, and difficulty with assuming a sitting position. The duration of clinical signs before referral ranged from 5 days to 3 years (median, 9.5 months). On clinical examination the dogs revealed caudal lumbar pain and pain evoked by lumbosacral pressure (104/156, 66.7%). Atrophy of the thigh muscles of the pelvic limbs was present in 30.8% (48/156) of the dogs (Table 1).

Table 1. History, clinical signs, and results of clinical examinations in 156 dogs with DLS

History / clinical signs	N (%)
Pelvic limb lameness	92/156 (59.0)
Pelvic limb weakness	54/156 (34.6)
Abnormal gait of pelvic limb	75/156 (48.1)
Caudal lumbar pain	65/156 (41.7)
Hypersensitive caudal lumbar spine	24/156 (15.4)
Difficulty sitting down	36/156 (23.1)
Reluctance in getting up	79/156 (50.6)
Difficulty climbing stairs	46/156 (29.5)
Difficulty jumping	83/156 (53.2)
Urinary incontinence	9/156 (5.8)
Urinary pain	8/156 (5.1)
Fecal incontinence	2/156 (1.3)
Fecal pain	20/156 (12.8)
Results of clinical examination	
Pelvic limb lameness	64/156 (41.0)
Posterior paresis	37/156 (23.7)
Pain evoked by lumbosacral pressure	104/156 (66.7)
Pain on hyperextension of the caudal lumbar spine	107/156 (68.6)
Pain on hip joint extension	65/156 (41.7)
Pain on tail extension	5/156 (3.2)
Atrophy of the thigh muscles of the pelvic limbs	48/156 (30.8)

Imaging findings

Radiographs were available for analysis in 132 dogs. Radiographic abnormalities were seen in 89.4% (118/132) of the dogs (Table 2) of which 29.7%

(35/118) were GSD. The lumbosacral step was seen in 78.8% (93/118) of the dogs. Telescoping of the cranial spinal canal of the sacrum relative to the caudal spinal canal of L7 was present in 21.2% (25/118) of the dogs of which 52.0% (13/25) were GSD. In twenty-two dogs (22/118, 18.6%) a lumbosacral step and telescoping of the cranial spinal canal of the sacrum relative to the caudal spinal canal of L7 were found (Table 3) and 12 of these affected dogs (54.5%) were GSD. Spondylosis deformans was seen in 66.9% (79/118) of the dogs and a narrowed intervertebral lumbosacral disc space in 18.6% (22/118) of the dogs (Figure 4). End plate sclerosis was seen in 17.8% (21/118) of the dogs, of which 19.0% (4/21) was associated with disc degeneration. The mean \pm SD age of dogs with end plate sclerosis (male; 6.4 ± 2.6 years, female; 6.5 ± 2.2 years) was higher than that in dogs without end plate sclerosis (male; 5.8 ± 2.3 years, female; 5.2 ± 2.5 years). Thirteen dogs (4 GSD, 1 Belgium Shepherd and 8 dogs of other breeds) had TVS at the lumbosacral junction (5 symmetrical and 8 asymmetrical). Cauda equina compression was diagnosed in 9 of 12 dogs (75.0%) in which myelography was performed and in 54 of 55 dogs (98.2%) in which epidurography was performed (Figure 5).




Figure 4. Lateral radiograph of the lumbosacral region of a dog showing a lumbosacral step (sacrum subluxated ventral to L7), telescoping of the sacrum relative to L7, extensive spondylosis deformans, a narrowed intervertebral disc and the vacuum phenomenon (arrow).




Figure 5. Lateral radiograph of the lumbosacral region of a dog. The epidurogram shows a bulging disc and there is a positive discogram (arrow).

Table 2. Imaging findings on conventional and contrast radiography in dogs with DLS

Radiography (n =132)	N (%)
Normal radiographic findings	14/132 (10.6)
Abnormal radiographic findings	118/132 (89.4)
Step formation L7-S1	93/118 (78.8)
Telescoping of the sacrum relative to L7	25/118 (21.2)
Spondylosis at L7-S1	
- Slight	23/118 (19.5)
- Moderate	20/118 (16.9)
- Severe, bridging	16/118 (13.6)
- Severe, non-bridging	20/118 (16.9)
Narrowed intervertebral disc space	22/118 (18.6)
End plate sclerosis	21/118 (17.8)
Transitional vertebral segment	13/118 (11.0)
Vacuum phenomenon	15/118 (12.7)
Osteochondrosis	1/118 (0.8)
Myelography (n=12)	
Compression on cauda equina	
- No compression	3/12 (25.0)
- Compression	9/12 (75.0)
Epidurography (n=55)	
Compression on cauda equina	
- No compression	1/55 (1.8)
- Slight compression	26/55 (47.3)
- Moderate compression	19/55 (34.5)
- Severe compression	9/55 (16.4)
Discography (n=29)	
Normal disc	4/29 (13.8)
Ruptured disc	25/29 (86.2)

Table 3. Telescoping of the sacrum relative to L7 and lumbosacral step on radiographs in dogs with DLS (n =118)

Telescoping of the sacrum relative to L7	lumbosacral step (%)	
	+	-
+	22 (18.6)	3 (2.5)
-	71 (60.2)	22 (18.6)

CT was performed in 115 dogs and MRI in 34 dogs including 32 dogs that had both CT and MRI. Disc protrusion was detected by CT (106/115, 92.2%) and MRI (34/34, 100%) and was central or lateralized (Table 4, Figure 6, Figure 7). The vacuum phenomenon was detected on CT images (42/115, 36.5%), but was not detectable on MR images. Loss of the bright signal of the nucleus pulposus at L7-S1 on T2-weighted MR images was seen in 73.5% (25/34) of the dogs (Figure 8).




Figure 6. Transverse images of a CT scan through the lumbosacral intervertebral disc space of a dog. Severe intervertebral disc bulging is evident. There is a suspected calcified disc fragment (black arrow), and the dural sac and nerves are displaced dorsally. There is right sided ventrolateral spondylosis and gas accumulation (vacuum phenomenon; white arrow).

Table 4. Imaging findings on CT (n=115) and MRI (n=34) in dogs with DLS

Parameters	CT, N (%)	MRI, N (%)
Step formation at L7-S1	69/115 (60.0)	18/34 (52.9)
Degree of spondylosis at L7-S1		
- None	44/115 (38.3)	18/34 (52.9)
- Slight	15/115 (13.0)	4/34 (11.8)
- Moderate	20/115 (17.4)	4/34 (11.8)
- Severe	36/115 (31.3)	8/34 (23.5)
Symmetrical spondylosis	43/71 (60.6)	10/16 (62.5)
Asymmetrical spondylosis	28/71 (39.4)	6/16 (37.5)
Vacuum phenomenon	42/115 (36.5)	ND
Disc protrusion	106/115 (92.2)	34/34 (100.0)
- Degree of disc protrusion		
- Slight	34/106 (32.1)	17/34 (50.0)
- Moderate	48/106 (45.3)	7/34 (20.6)
- Severe	24/106 (22.6)	10/34 (29.4)
- Location of disc protrusion		
- Center	67/106 (63.2)	24/34 (70.6)
- Lateralized Left	19/106 (17.9)	4/34 (11.8)
- Lateralized Right	20/106 (18.9)	6/34 (17.6)
Position of dural sac		
- Center	51/115 (44.3)	18/34 (53.0)
- Lateralized Left	30/115 (26.1)	8/34 (23.5)
- Lateralized Right	34/115 (29.6)	8/34 (23.5)
Epidural fat dorsally dural sac		
- Absent	12/115 (10.4)	7/34 (20.6)
- Present	103/115 (89.6)	27/34 (79.4)
Swelling of spinal nerve roots	65/115 (56.5)	16/34 (47.1)
- Left	14/65 (21.5)	6/16 (37.5)
- Right	25/65 (38.5)	3/16 (18.8)
- Bilateral	26/65 (40.0)	7/16 (43.8)
NP signal intensity on T2 at L7-S1	ND	
- Normal		5/34 (14.7)
- Reduced		8/34 (23.5)
- Absent		17/34 (50.0)
- Unknown		4/34 (11.8)

NP: Nucleus pulposus; ND: Not detectable



Figure 7. Transverse MR images at the level of the lumbosacral intervertebral disc demonstrating severe disc bulging (arrow), and dorsal displacement of the dural sac and nerve tissue.




Figure 8. Sagittal MR images of the lumbosacral region of a dog with lumbosacral stenosis. The T1-weighted (top) and T2-weighted (bottom) midsagittal images demonstrate severe disc bulging (arrow) at L7-S1, attenuation of epidural fat in the T1-weighted. In the T2-weighted image there is loss of signal intensity of the L7-S1 disc indicating disc degeneration.

The mean \pm SD of the lumbosacral step was 2.85 ± 0.97 mm on radiography, 2.12 ± 0.84 mm on CT, and 2.45 ± 0.75 mm on MRI. The lumbosacral canal ratio measured on radiographs (0.69 ± 0.12) was significantly lower than that on CT (0.73 ± 0.11) and MRI (0.89 ± 0.10) ($P < 0.016$). The mean \pm SD of the facet joint angle in GSD was significantly smaller than that of other Shepherd breeds and that of dogs of other breeds (Table 5). Facet joint tropism at L7-S1 in GSD was significantly greater than that of the other breeds ($P < 0.016$). There was no significant correlation between the presence of tropism and disc degeneration.

Table 5. Facet joint angles and tropism (degrees) at L7-S1 measured on transverse CT images

L7-S1 joint	GSD (n=16)	Other Shepherd breeds (n=7)	Other dogs breeds (n=17)
Facet joint angle	31.2 ± 5.0 *	37.6 ± 4.8	38.0 ± 5.1
Facet joint tropism	3.4 ± 1.7 *	1.9 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.9

* Significantly ($P < 0.016$) different from angle/tropism in other Shepherd dogs and dogs of other breeds

Surgical findings

Dorsal laminectomy was performed in all 156 dogs and extended from L7 to S1 in 85.9% (134/156), from L6 to L7 in 4.5% (7/156), and from L6 to S1 in 9.6% (15/156) because of concomitant cauda equina compression at L6-L7 and L7-S1 (Table 6). Dorsal fenestration was performed in 50.0% (78/156) which included partial discectomy and nucleus pulpectomy in 35.3% (55/156) of the dogs to further relieve compression. In addition to dorsal laminectomy, facetectomy was performed in 6.4% (10/156) and foraminotomy was performed in 5.1% (8/156) of the dogs to further relieve lateralized cauda equina root compression. Disc protrusion (HNP type II) was found in 70.5% (110/156) of the dogs. Adhesions between cauda equina and disc material and/or fat tissue were recorded in 11.5% (18/156) of the dogs.

Table 6. Surgical procedure and findings in 156 dogs with DLS

Surgical procedure	N (%)
Dorsal laminectomy	156/156 (100)
Site: L7-S1	134/156 (85.9)
L6-L7	7/156 (4.5)
L6-S1	15/156 (9.6)
Dorsal fenestration	78/156 (50.0)
Partial discectomy	55/156 (35.3)
Facetectomy	10/156 (6.4)
Foraminotomy	8/156 (5.1)
Surgical findings	
Disc protrusion	110/156 (70.5)
Degree of disc protrusion	
- Slight	8/110 (7.3)
- Moderate	35/110 (31.8)
- Severe	23/110 (20.9)
- Unknown	44/110 (40.0)
Location of disc protrusion	
- Center	16/110 (14.5)
- Lateralized Left	11/110 (10.0)
- Lateralized Right	15/110 (13.6)
- Unknown	68/110 (61.8)
Position of dural sac	
- Center	10/156 (6.4)
- Lateralized Left	3/156 (1.9)
- Lateralized Right	2/156 (1.3)
- Unknown	141/156 (90.4)
Epidural fat dorsally dural sac	
- Absent	23/156 (14.7)
- Present	30/156 (19.2)
- Unknown	103/156 (66.0)
Swelling of spinal nerve roots	
- Left	5/156 (3.2)
- Right	6/156 (3.8)
- Bilateral	24/156 (15.4)
- Unknown	121/156 (77.6)
Hypertrophy of ligamentum flavum	17/156 (10.9)

During surgery, disc material was collected in 33.3% (52/156) of the dogs for microbiological examination. Bacterial culture was positive in 23.1% (12/52) with *Bacillus* spp. (4/12, 33.3%), and *Staphylococcus intermedius* (3/12, 25.0%) as the most common bacteria. Histopathological examination was conducted in 35.9% (56/156) of the dogs. Specimens that were examined included the ligamentum flavum (22/56, 39.3%), disc material (8/56, 14.3%), and other tissues (26/56, 46.4%) like epidural fat, affected neural tissue, or bone fragments. The results showed inflammation and degenerative changes in 50.0% (28/56) of the dogs.

Follow up

Medical records

Follow-up information from the medical records was available in 67.3% (105/156) of the dogs. The follow-up period for these dogs ranged from 2 months to 3.5 years (median, 1.6 years). Overall improvement after surgery was recorded in 79.0% (83/105) of the dogs. With respect to the clinical signs, caudal lumbar pain was relieved in 68.8% (22/32) of dogs; lameness of pelvic limbs improved in 65.2% (30/46); getting up improved in 66.7% (40/60); jumping improved in 68.5% (37/54); walking stairs improved in 69.2% (18/26); toe dragging improved in 66.7% (18/27); atrophy of the thigh muscles of the pelvic limbs improved in 56.8% (21/37); and urinary incontinence improved in 50% (4/8) of the dogs.

In 83 of 105 dogs (79%), improvement was recorded in all the clinical signs whereas no improvement was recorded in 22 of 105 dogs (21%). Logistic regression revealed that it was 1.4 times more likely to have no improvement after surgery when the age of the dog increased with 1 year ($P = 0.007$). The type and duration of clinical signs before referral were not significantly correlated with outcome after surgery.

For dogs with urinary incontinence before surgery it was 3.6 times more likely to have no improvement after surgery, but the result in this study was not statistically significant ($P = 0.118$). Dogs that underwent dorsal laminectomy and partial discectomy showed significantly less improvement ($P = 0.025$) than dogs that underwent dorsal laminectomy alone. With respect to the imaging findings, it was 6.5 times more likely for dogs with severe disc protrusion ($P = 0.013$), and 1.8 times more likely for dogs with a lumbosacral step ($P = 0.012$) to have no improvement after surgery.

Questionnaires

In 38 cases useful and reliable responses to the questionnaires were returned. The follow-up period for these dogs ranged from 3 months to 5 years (median, 2.1 years). Overall improvement after surgery was seen in 29/38 (76%) of dogs; 27 owners were very satisfied, 2 owners moderately satisfied whereas 9 owners were not satisfied with the result of surgery. With respect to the clinical signs, caudal lumbar pain improved in 69% (22/32) of dogs; lameness of pelvic limbs improved in 67% (18/27); getting up improved in 65% (20/31); jumping improved in 61% (20/33); walking stairs improved in 57% (13/23); assuming a sitting position improved in 57% (12/21); tail movements improved in 57% (8/14); stiffness improved in 50% (15/30); toe dragging improved in 50% (10/20); tail tone improved in 50% (7/14); and urinary incontinence improved in 38% (3/8).

Discussion

The signalment of the patients with DLS in this study is in accordance with previous reports (2, 6, 21). DLS commonly affects medium sized to large breed dogs, at a mean age of 6 to 7 years (21), and occurs more often in male dogs (2, 6, 22). Male predisposition (1.7:1) with a higher mean body weight for male dogs than for female dogs with DLS, suggests that biomechanical loading plays a role in the pathogenesis. Other factors must also play a role since there was no overrepresentation of giant breeds. Also, there is no difference between males and females in the mobility of the lumbar portions including the lumbosacral region (23, 24). The predisposition of the GSD for DLS in our study is in accordance with previous reports (1, 2, 6). However, the apparent predisposition of the GSD for DLS found in this study may be biased since the GSD is the number two most popular breed in the Netherlands (2005 data National Kennel Club) and the number four most common dog breed in the general hospital statistics at the Utrecht University. The lumbosacral angles, range of motion, facet joint orientation and motion pattern have been analyzed as possible causes of DLS in GSD (23-25). In our study, angles of the facet joint at L7-S1 were more sagittally oriented in GSD than in other breeds which is in accordance with other studies (23, 25, 26). More sagittal orientation of facet joints may affect the motion pattern (i.e., flexion and extension, axial rotation) of the lumbosacral junction. According to Benninger the high prevalence of facet joint tropism in GSD may lead to DLS (23). However, no significant correlation was found in our study between facet joint tropism and disc degeneration, which is in accordance with previous reports (25-27).

The clinical signs of dogs affected by DLS may show considerable variation related to the severity of compression of the cauda equina. The most common clinical signs are pelvic limbs lameness, abnormal gait, and caudal lumbar pain. Dogs affected with DLS may not show all clinical signs at the same time, but caudal lumbar pain is usually predominant (2, 6, 22). In our study, many dogs showed difficulty and reluctance to get up and to jump. The dogs appeared to have more problems with extension of the caudal lumbar spine than with flexion. This can be explained by the increase in cauda equina compression that occurs when the caudal lumbar spine is extended. During clinical examination, exerting pressure over the lumbosacral area, hyperextension of the caudal lumbar spine and hip joint extension also evoked signs suggesting pain and discomfort in dogs with DLS.

Conventional radiography revealed lumbosacral step formation in the majority of dogs in our study (93/118, 78.8%). This step is considered a sign of lumbosacral instability, although the size of the lumbosacral step does not always correlate with the clinical signs (3, 6, 7). In our study, the lumbosacral step measured a mean of 2.9 mm on conventional radiographs. The lumbosacral step was reported previously only to be clinically relevant when greater than 4 mm (24).

In our study, telescoping of the sacral spinal canal in the spinal canal of L7 was observed frequently in GSD with DLS (13/25, 52.0%). Telescoping of the sacral spinal canal in the spinal canal of L7 contributes to cauda equina compression, especially when disc protrusion and a lumbosacral step are present, as evident from the findings of this study.

In 19.0% (4/21) of the dogs, end plate sclerosis was associated with disc degeneration in our study. The association between end plate sclerosis and disc degeneration was also raised by Gruber et al (28). Although it will take some time before end plate sclerosis becomes evident on radiographs, no significant difference was found between the age of our dogs with or without end plate sclerosis on radiographs.

TVS was found in 11.0% (13/118) of the dogs. It has been reported that TVS may be hereditary in GSD (29). Both asymmetrical and symmetrical TVS (with bilateral, lumbar-like lateral processes) results in a weakening of the sacroiliac attachment and may contribute to premature disc degeneration (30). TVS may play a role in the development of lumbosacral instability and cauda equina compression (7, 8, 29).

The vacuum phenomenon has been associated with degenerative disc disease (31, 32). The vacuum phenomenon was observed in 12.7% (15/118) of the dogs on

conventional radiography and in 36.5% (42/115) of the dogs on CT images, but in none of the MR images for 34 dogs. This can be explained by the fact that contrast between gas and bone is much higher on radiographs and CT images than on MR images. In our study, compression in the lumbosacral region was seen on myelography in 9 of 12 cases. Myelography allows visualization of the entire spinal cord, but not the cauda equina or compressive lesions at L7-S1 that involve the intervertebral foramen or lateral recesses (8). The site of dural sac termination is variable (8). However, more than 80% of dogs has a dural sac extending well into the sacrum (33). Epidurography revealed elevation and/or obstruction of the contrast medium on lateral views only in dogs with DLS. Epidurography has been used for evaluation of neural compression at the lumbosacral junction (9, 34, 35). However, the interpretation is difficult when epidural filling with contrast medium is poor (8). Discography was reliable for diagnosing lumbosacral disc degeneration, which is in accordance with other studies (34, 36).

The degree and extension of the disc protrusion was adequately visualized on CT and MRI in our study. There was strong agreement between CT and MRI findings with regard to disc protrusion, position of the dural sac, and distribution of epidural fat. The bright signal on T2-weighted MR images is correlated with hydration of the nucleus pulposus (37). Reduced bright signal on T2 implicated disc degeneration. In other studies, CT and MRI were preferred over conventional radiography for diagnosis of DLS (37-39). A previous study has reported that the primary abnormality on CT is replacement of epidural fat with soft tissue density which represents epidural fibrosis (40). It is the surgeon's opinion that loss of epidural fat (on CT) may not be a significant finding of DLS in older animals, but there are no studies available documenting loss of epidural fat content with progressing age.

The mean lumbosacral canal ratio in our study measured on radiographs, CT and MRI was greater than 0.5 in all cases. Morgan et al (7, 21) reported that a ratio of 0.5 or less is noted as a primary canal stenosis (i.e., due to the lumbosacral joint instability) and contributes to cauda equina compression. This study showed that in dogs with DLS, a lumbosacral canal ratio that was greater than 0.5 may cause clinically relevant spinal canal stenosis especially when combined with disc protrusion.

Dorsal laminectomy was performed to relieve compression. Dorsal fenestration, partial discectomy, and nucleus pulpectomy were performed in cases of moderate to severe protrusion of a degenerated disc (10, 11). During surgery, disc protrusion was less frequently observed than on CT and MRI. This is probably

due to differences in positioning during imaging and surgery. Disc protrusion is more pronounced during imaging since the caudal lumbar spine is in extension during imaging, whereas the caudal lumbar spine is in a neutral position during surgery. In the surgical reports, the position of the dural sac and epidural fat corresponded with the imaging findings on CT and MRI. *S. intermedius* was cultured in 25.0% of the dogs with DLS from routine intervertebral disc space swabs after partial discectomy, revealing undetected discospondylitis in accordance with other studies (41, 42). In case microbial examination of intervertebral disc space content returns positive, it is recommended to start or prolong treatment with appropriate antibiotics to eliminate the pathogens.

In the present study, an overall success rate of 79% was recorded after decompressive surgery in dogs with DLS, which is in accordance with other studies that reported a success rate of 70 to 93% (22, 43-45). These studies reported a median follow-up period after decompressive surgery of 6 months (44), 18 months (43) and 36 months (45), respectively. Evaluation was done subjectively by the surgeon or by (telephone) interview or questionnaire of the owner, similar to our study. Urinary incontinence is an infrequent finding in dogs with DLS, but may be present if sacral nerve function is impaired. In our study, dogs with urinary incontinence showed less improvement after decompressive surgery, which is in accordance with findings in other studies (5, 45). The aim of decompressive surgery is to relieve the cauda equina compression. In this retrospective study, the outcome after more extensive surgery, such as dorsal laminectomy combined with partial discectomy, was poorer than the outcome after dorsal laminectomy alone. This may be related to the initial severe degree of disc degeneration and protrusion or due to further accelerated degeneration of the lumbosacral junction with increasing spinal instability. In our study, imaging criteria such as the lumbosacral step and the degree of disc protrusion were found to be predictors of the outcome after decompressive surgery for DLS. Future prospective studies on the evaluation of the surgical outcome in dogs with DLS should focus on a more objective analysis of the gait of the dogs before and after decompressive surgery, for example by measurement of ground reaction forces through force plate analysis.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ms. Y. ter Steege, Ms. C. A. E. Petersen, Mr. J. Fama for technical assistance and Mr. P. Jirawattanapong for statistical assistance.

References

1. Indrieri RJ. Lumbosacral stenosis and injury of the cauda equina. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract* 1988; 18: 697-710.
2. Ness MG. Degenerative lumbosacral stenosis in the dog: A review of 30 cases. *J Small Anim Pract* 1994; 35:185-90.
3. Tarvin G, Prata RG. Lumbosacral stenosis in dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1980; 177: 154-9.
4. Wheeler SJ. Lumbosacral disease. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract* 1992; 22: 937-50.
5. De Risio L, Thomas WB, Sharp NJH. Degenerative lumbosacral stenosis. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract* 2000; 30: 111-32.
6. Watt PR. Degenerative lumbosacral stenosis in 18 dogs. *J Small Anim Pract* 1991; 32: 125-34.
7. Morgan JP, Bailey C. Cauda equina syndrome in the dog: Radiographic evaluation. *J Small Anim Pract* 1990; 31: 69-77.
8. Ramirez III O, Thrall DE. A review of imaging techniques for canine cauda equina syndrome. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1998; 39: 283-96.
9. Sisson AF, LeCouteur RA, Ingram JT, Park RD, Child G. Diagnosis of cauda equina abnormalities by using electromyography, discography, and epidurography in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med* 1992; 6: 253-63.
10. Denny HR, Gibbs C, Holt PE. The diagnosis and treatment of cauda equina lesions in the dog. *J Small Anim Pract* 1982; 23: 425-43.
11. Palmer RH, Chambers JN. Canine lumbosacral diseases. Part II: Definitive diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis. *Compend Contin Educ Pract Vet* 1991; 13: 213-21.
12. Prata RG. Cauda equina syndrome. In: Slatter D, editor. *Textbook of Small Animal Surgery*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 1993. p. 1094-105.
13. Chambers JN. Degenerative lumbosacral stenosis in dogs. *Vet Med Rep* 1989; 1:166-80.
14. Sjöström L. Lumbosacral disorders. Degenerative lumbosacral stenosis: Surgical decompression. In: Slatter D, editor. *Textbook of Small animal surgery*. 3rd ed. Elsevier Science; 2003. p. 1227-37.
15. Slocum B, Devine T. L7-S1 fixation-fusion for treatment of cauda equina compression in the dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1986;188:31-5.
16. Slocum B, Devine T. Optimal treatment for degenerative lumbosacral stenosis. Traction, internal fixation, and fusion. *Vet Med Report* 1 1989; 249-57.
17. Slocum B, Devine T. L7-S1 fixation-fusion technique for cauda equina syndrome. In: Bojrab MJ, editor. *Current Techniques in Small Animal Surgery*, 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lea&Febiger; 1998. p. 861-4.

18. Hazewinkel HAW, Meutstege FJ. Locomotor system. In: Rijnberk A, de Vries HW, editors. *Medical History and Physical Examination in Companion Animals*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1995. p. 175-201.
19. Sharp NJH, Wheeler SJ. Lumbosacral disease. In: Sharp NJH and Wheeler SJ, editors. *Small Animal Spinal Disorders. Diagnosis and Surgery*, 2nd ed, Elsevier Mosby, Edinburgh; 2005. p. 181-209.
20. Noren R, Trafimow J, Andersson GB, Huckman MS. The role of facet joint tropism and facet angle in disc degeneration. *Spine* 1991; 16: 530-2.
21. Morgan JP, Wind A, Davidson AP. Lumbosacral disease. In: *Hereditary Bone and Joint Disease in the Dog*. Hannover: Schlütersche; 2000. p. 209-29.
22. Danielsson F, Sjöström L. Surgical treatment of degenerative lumbosacral stenosis in dogs. *Vet Surg* 1999; 28: 91-8.
23. Benninger MI, Seiler GS, Robinson LE, Ferguson SJ, Bonel HM, Busato AR, et al. Three-dimensional motion pattern of the caudal lumbar and lumbosacral portions of the vertebral column of dogs. *Am J Vet Res* 2004; 65: 544-52.
24. Schmid V, Lang J. Measurements on the lumbosacral junction in normal dogs and those with cauda equina compression. *J Small Anim Pract* 1993; 34: 437-42.
25. Seiler GS, Häni H, Busato AR, Lang J. Facet joint geometry and intervertebral disk degeneration in the L5-S1 region of the vertebral column in German Shepherd Dogs. *Am J Vet Res* 2002; 63: 86-90.
26. Benninger MI, Seiler GS, Robinson LE, Ferguson SJ, Bonel HM, Busato AR, et al. Effects of anatomic conformation of three-dimensional motion of the caudal lumbar and lumbosacral portions of the vertebral column of dogs. *Am J Vet Res* 2006; 67: 43-50.
27. Boden SD, Riew KD, Yamakuchi K, Branch TP, Schelleinger D, Wiesel SW. Orientation of the lumbar facet joints: association with degenerative disc disease. *J Bone and Joint Surg-Am* 1996; 78: 403-11.
28. Gruber HE, Gordon B, Williams C, Norton HJ, Hanley EN. Bone mineral density of lumbar vertebral end plates in the aging male sand rat spine. *Spine* 2003; 28: 1766-72.
29. Morgan JP, Bahr A, Franti CE, Bailey CS. Lumbosacral transitional vertebrae as a predisposing cause of cauda equina syndrome in German shepherd dogs: 161 cases (1987-1990). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1993; 202: 1877-82.

30. Morgan JP. Transitional lumbosacral vertebral anomaly in the dog: A radiographic study. *J Small Anim Pract* 1999; 40: 167-72.
31. Hathcock JT. Vacuum phenomenon of the canine spine: CT findings in 3 patients. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1994; 35: 285-9.
32. Schwarz T, Owen MR, Long S, Sullivan M. Vacuum disk and facet phenomenon in a dog with cauda equina syndrome. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2000; 217: 862-4.
33. Lang J. Flexion-extension myelography of the canine cauda equina. *Vet Radiol* 1988; 29: 242-57.
34. Barthez PY, Morgan JP, Lipsitz D. Discography and epidurography for evaluation of the lumbosacral junction in dogs with cauda equina syndrome. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1994; 35: 152-7.
35. Feeney DA, Wise M. Epidurography in the normal dog: Technique and radiographic findings. *Vet Radiol* 1981; 22: 35-9.
36. Lang J, Jaggy A. The radiographic examination on the canine cauda equina. *Eur J Comp Anim Pract* 1993; 3: 40-7.
37. Adams WH, Daniel GB, Pardo AD, Selcer RR. Magnetic resonance imaging of the caudal lumbar and lumbosacral spine in 13 dogs (1990-1993). *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1995; 36: 3-13.
38. Jones JC, Sorjonen DC, Simpson ST, Coates JR, Lenz SD, Hathcock JT, et al. Comparison between computed tomographic and surgical findings in nine large-breed dogs with lumbosacral stenosis. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1996; 37: 247-56.
39. Jones JC, Banfield CM, Ward DL. Association between postoperative outcome and results of magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography in working dogs with degenerative lumbosacral stenosis. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2000; 216: 1769-74.
40. Meij BP, Voorhout G, Wolvekamp WTC. Epidural lipomatosis in a six-year-old dachshund. *Vet Rec* 1996;138:492-5.
41. Bennett D, Carmichael S, Griffiths IR. Discospondylitis in the dog. *J Small Anim Pract* 1981;22:539-47.
42. Taga A, Taura Y, Nishimoto T, Takiguchi M, Higuchi M. The advantage of magnetic resonance imaging in diagnosis of cauda equina syndrome in dogs. *J Vet Med Sci* 1998;60:1345-48.
43. Oliver JE Jr, Selcer RR, Simpson S. Cauda equina compression from lumbosacral malarticulation and malformation in the dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1978; 73:207-14.
44. Chambers JN, Selcer BA, Oliver JE. Results of treatment of degenerative lumbosacral stenosis in dogs by exploration and excision. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 1988; 3:130-3.
45. De Risio L, Sharp NJ, Olby NJ, Munana KR, Thomas WB. Predictors of outcome after dorsal decompressive laminectomy for degenerative lumbosacral stenosis in dogs: 69 cases (1987-1997). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2001;219:624-8.

