

## Short communication

# EFFECT OF DES-TYR<sup>1</sup>- $\gamma$ -ENDORPHIN AND DES-ENKEPHALIN- $\gamma$ -ENDORPHIN ON ACTIVE AND PASSIVE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOR OF RATS; A DOSE-RESPONSE RELATIONSHIP STUDY

O. GAFFORI \* and D. DE WIED

Rudolf Magnus Institute for Pharmacology, Medical Faculty, University of Utrecht, Vondellaan 6, 3521 GD Utrecht, The Netherlands

Received 29 July 1982, revised MS received 2 September 1982, accepted 8 September 1982

O. GAFFORI and D. DE WIED, *Effects of des-Tyr<sup>1</sup>- $\gamma$ -endorphin and des-enkephalin- $\gamma$ -endorphin on active and passive avoidance behavior of rats; a dose-response relationship study*, European J. Pharmacol. 85 (1982) 115–119.

The potency of two  $\beta$ -endorphin fragments, des-Tyr<sup>1</sup>- $\gamma$ -endorphin (DT $\gamma$ E,  $\beta$ E-(2-17)) and des-enkephalin- $\gamma$ -endorphin (DE $\gamma$ E,  $\beta$ E-(6-17)) was compared on extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior and on retention of a one-trial step-through passive avoidance procedure. Both peptides facilitated the extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior and attenuated passive avoidance behavior. The  $\gamma$ -type endorphins exhibited an inverted U-shaped dose-response curve on passive avoidance behavior but not on extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior. DE $\gamma$ E appeared to be approximately three times more potent than DT $\gamma$ E on extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior but one hundred times more potent on passive avoidance behavior. It is suggested that DE $\gamma$ E rather than DT $\gamma$ E represents the endogenous neurolepticlike neuropeptide derived from  $\beta$ -endorphin.

$\gamma$ -type endorphins      Active and passive avoidance behavior

## 1. Introduction

The  $\beta$ -endorphin ( $\beta$ E-(1-31)) fragments  $\alpha$ -endorphin ( $\beta$ E-(1-16)) and  $\gamma$ -endorphin ( $\beta$ E-(1-17)) have opposite effects on active and passive avoidance behavior (De Wied et al., 1978a,b). It has been suggested that the behavioral effects of  $\gamma$ -endorphin resemble in some aspects those of neuroleptic drugs (De Wied et al., 1978b). Similar and even more pronounced effects were found with the non-opiate  $\gamma$ -endorphin fragment des-Tyr<sup>1</sup>- $\gamma$ -endorphin (DT $\gamma$ E,  $\beta$ E-(2-17)) (De Wied et al., 1978b). Structure-activity relationship studies with  $\gamma$ -endorphin fragments revealed that des-enkephalin- $\gamma$ -endorphin (DE $\gamma$ E;  $\beta$ E-(6-17)) which is one of the main metabolites of DT $\gamma$ E (Burbach et al., 1980), is the shortest sequence with neuroleptic-like activity (De Wied et al., 1980).

The present experiments were aimed at comparing the potency of DE $\gamma$ E to that of DT $\gamma$ E using

the extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior and a one-trial step-through passive avoidance test situation.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Animals

Male Wistar rats of an inbred strain (CPB-TNO, Zeist, The Netherlands) weighing 140–160 g, were used. The animals were housed 5 per cage at room temperature (20–21°C). All animals had access to commercial food and tap water ad libitum and were kept on a controlled illumination schedule (lights on between 6 a.m. and 7 p.m.).

### 2.2. Behavioral procedures

#### 2.2.1. Active avoidance behavior

Active avoidance behavior was studied in a pole-jumping avoidance test. Rats were condi-

\* To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

tioned to avoid the unconditioned stimulus (US) of an electric footshock (0.25 mA, A.C.) by jumping onto a pole (diameter 1.5 cm) located in the center of the box (30 × 30 × 40 cm). The conditioned stimulus (CS) was a light on top of the cage. The US was applied if an avoidance response had not occurred within 5 s after the onset of the CS. The CS remained on during presentation of the US. Ten acquisition trials were given daily with an average intertrial interval of 60 s. Acquisition training for 4 days was followed by extinction sessions on day 5. In the first extinction session, 10 non-reinforced trials were presented per session in which the CS was terminated immediately after the rat had jumped onto the pole within 5 s (positive response, conditioned avoidance response (CAR)) or after 5 s in the absence of avoidance. Those animals which made 8 or more avoidances at the first extinction session on day 4 were used for further experimentation. The rats received the peptide or saline in a volume of 0.5 ml per rat s.c. immediately after completion of the first extinction session. Two more extinction sessions were run at 2 and 4 h after the first one. The training and extinction sessions were carried out between 9 a.m. and 11 a.m.

#### 2.2.2. *Passive avoidance behavior*

Animals were trained in a step-through type one-trial learning passive avoidance test. Training was started between 1 p.m. and 6 p.m. The experimental apparatus consisted of an illuminated platform attached to a large, dark compartment equipped with a grid floor. After habituation to the dark compartment (2 min), the rats were placed on the platform and allowed to enter the dark compartment; since rats prefer dark to light, they normally entered within 15 s. On the next day, after three more trials, an unavoidable scrambled footshock (0.25 mA, 2 s) was delivered through the grid floor of the dark compartment (learning trial). The median entrance latencies at the learning trial for the different groups in the various experiments ranged from 3 to 10 s, and the group differences were not significant. Rats were removed from the shock box 10 s after the termination of the shock. Passive avoidance latencies were tested 24 and 48 h after the learning trial; the rat was placed on

the platform and the latency to enter the dark compartment was measured up to a maximum of 300 s. Treatments with peptide or saline were given one h before the first retention test.

#### 2.3. *Peptides*

The peptides des-Tyr<sup>1</sup>- $\gamma$ -endorphin [DT $\gamma$ E,  $\beta$ E-(2-17)] and des-enkephalin- $\gamma$ -endorphin [DE $\gamma$ E,  $\beta$ E-(6-17)] obtained from Organon International B.V. (Oss, The Netherlands) were dissolved in one drop of 0.01 N HCl then diluted with 0.9% saline (pH: 6.5–6.7). All injections were given s.c. in a volume of 0.5 ml. Control animals received the same volume of the vehicle. Doses between 0.1 ng and 100 ng/rat were used in the active avoidance procedure. Doses ranging from 1 ng to 30  $\mu$ g/rat were used in the passive avoidance test. With each procedure, the lowest dose which had an effect significantly different from that of saline was used to calculate the potency of the two peptides.

#### 2.4. *Statistical analysis*

To analyze the data obtained in the pole-jumping avoidance test, the positive responses obtained during the 3rd extinction session of peptide-treated animals were compared with those of saline-treated rats, using Student's t-test. Passive avoidance latencies were analyzed with Mann-Whitney's non-parametric test.

### 3. Results

Both DT $\gamma$ E and DE $\gamma$ E facilitated the extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior and attenuated passive avoidance behavior. In the pole-jumping avoidance procedure, the lowest dose of DE $\gamma$ E which significantly facilitated extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior was 1 ng/rat (table 1). To facilitate extinction to a similar degree, a 3 times higher dose (3 ng/rat) of DT $\gamma$ E was needed. The difference in the potencies of DE $\gamma$ E and DT $\gamma$ E was more pronounced in the passive avoidance procedure. Thus, 10 ng/rat of DE $\gamma$ E significantly attenuated passive avoidance behavior at the 24 h (first) and 48 h (second)

TABLE 1

Effect of DE $\gamma$ E and DT $\gamma$ E on the rate of extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior in rats.

Treatment	n	Number of avoidances		
		0 <sup>a</sup>	2	4
<i>DE<math>\gamma</math>E</i>				
0.1 ng <sup>b</sup>	6	9.5 ± 0.4 <sup>c</sup>	8.5 ± 0.7	6.6 ± 1.6
0.3 ng	12	9.2 ± 0.3	6.8 ± 2.2	4.5 ± 2.2
1 ng	12	9.2 ± 0.9	4.4 ± 1.5 *	2.6 ± 1.5 **
3 ng	15	8.8 ± 0.4	6.6 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 1.6 *
10 ng	15	9.5 ± 0.3	6.5 ± 1.7	3.3 ± 1.2 *
30 ng	12	9.2 ± 0.5	4.2 ± 1.6 *	3.2 ± 1.5 **
100 ng	6	9.4 ± 0.3	3.8 ± 1.1 **	1.8 ± 0.7 ***
Saline	35	9.6 ± 0.3	8.8 ± 0.5	7.6 ± 0.6
<i>DT<math>\gamma</math>E</i>				
0.3 ng	6	9.6 ± 0.2	7.4 ± 1.4	5.0 ± 1.9
1 ng	12	9.3 ± 0.3	5.1 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 1.5
3 ng	6	9.5 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 1.6 *	1.8 ± 1.1 **
10 ng	6	9.1 ± 0.3	3.8 ± 1.4 **	1.8 ± 1.8 **
30 ng	6	9.1 ± 0.3	3.1 ± 1.3 **	1.6 ± 0.8 **
100 ng	6	9.2 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 1.2 **	1.7 ± 0.9 **
Saline	20	9.3 ± 0.3	8.0 ± 0.8	7.5 ± 0.4

<sup>a</sup> h after injection. <sup>b</sup> Dose per rat s.c. <sup>c</sup> Mean ± S.E. \* P < 0.05; \*\* P < 0.02; \*\*\* P < 0.001 (Student's t-test).

TABLE 2

Effect of DE $\gamma$ E and DT $\gamma$ E on retention of the one-trial learning passive avoidance response in rats<sup>a</sup>.

Treatment	n	Latency (median s)	
		First retention test (24 h <sup>b</sup> )	Second retention test (48 h)
<i>DE<math>\gamma</math>E</i>			
0.001 $\mu$ g	6	38	28
0.003 $\mu$ g	6	29	28
0.01 $\mu$ g	6	19 *	12 *
0.03 $\mu$ g	6	12 **	13 *
0.1 $\mu$ g	6	9 ***	3 **
0.3 $\mu$ g	6	18 **	10 *
1 $\mu$ g	15	27 *	8 *
3 $\mu$ g	12	9 ***	21 *
10 $\mu$ g	15	18 **	49
15 $\mu$ g	15	16 **	31
30 $\mu$ g	6	34	48
Saline	25	88	75
<i>DT<math>\gamma</math>E</i>			
0.03 $\mu$ g	5	62	37
0.1 $\mu$ g	5	77	39
0.3 $\mu$ g	5	39	15
1 $\mu$ g	6	16 **	31
3 $\mu$ g	5	14 **	7 *
10 $\mu$ g	6	6 ***	32
15 $\mu$ g	5	23 *	18
30 $\mu$ g	6	43	49
Saline	21	85	70

<sup>a</sup> Pre-retention test. <sup>b</sup> h after learning trial. \* P < 0.05; \*\* P < 0.02; \*\*\* P < 0.002 (Mann-Whitney U-test).

retention tests (table 2). To obtain a comparable effect, a hundred-fold greater dose (1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{rat}$ ) of  $\text{DR}\gamma\text{E}$  was needed. However, this dose was not statistically significantly active in the second retention test. No clear dose-response relation was found. Interestingly, a relatively high dose of 30  $\mu\text{g}$   $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  or  $\text{DT}\gamma\text{E}$  did not significantly affect passive avoidance behavior, indicating a U-shaped dose-response curve.

#### 4. Discussion

The present results confirm earlier findings (De Wied et al., 1978b, 1980) showing that  $\text{DT}\gamma\text{E}$  and  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  facilitated the extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior after peripheral injection, and attenuated passive avoidance behavior after micro-injection into the nucleus accumbens (Kovács et al., 1982). Both peptides affected active and passive avoidance behavior in the same way as did the neuroleptic drug haloperidol (De Wied et al., 1978b).  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  appeared to be three times more potent than  $\text{DT}\gamma\text{E}$  on active avoidance behavior but one hundred times more potent on passive avoidance behavior. In addition, the effect of  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  on passive avoidance behavior appeared to be longer-lasting. This finding cannot yet be explained but is remarkable because the half-life of  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  is much shorter than that of  $\text{DT}\gamma\text{E}$  (A. Witter, personal communication).

The extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior was facilitated irrespective of whether relatively low or high doses were used. However, doses of  $\text{DT}\gamma\text{E}$  or  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  much higher than the least effective dose appeared to be inactive in the passive avoidance procedure. This cannot easily be explained. Such U-shaped dose-response curves have been found for the effect of  $\text{DT}\gamma\text{E}$  on the melatonin level in the pineal gland of the rat (Geffard et al., 1981) and with the effects of peptides related to ACTH (Gold and Van Buskirk, 1976).

It is known that performance is related to arousal. A characteristic inverted U-shaped function can describe the relationship: an increase in arousal on the ascending part of the dose-response curve may stimulate the behavior and an increase

at the peak or thereafter may have an inhibitory effect (Iversen and Iversen, 1975). In the present experiments this U-shaped function was only found with respect to passive avoidance behavior although higher doses have given the same effect on extinction of pole-jumping avoidance behavior (Le Moal et al., 1980). The reason for this is unknown and may have to do with the type of behavior studied. Interestingly, a similar U-shaped dose-response curve was found for the effects of the ACTH-(4-9) analog (Org 2766) on passive but not on active avoidance behavior (Fekete and De Wied, 1982). Such biphasic effects stress the need for finding the effective dose ranges if the behavioral effects of neuropeptides are to be established.

The increased potency of  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  as compared to that of  $\text{DT}\gamma\text{E}$  may be due to the absence of the enkephalin moiety [ $\beta\text{E}$ -(1-5)] which itself carries information for the inhibition of extinction of pole-jumping avoidance and facilitation of passive avoidance behavior (De Wied et al., 1978a).

Previous studies have shown that  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  possesses neuroleptic-like activities viz. the effect on active and passive avoidance behavior. In addition,  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  is also active in various grip tests (De Wied et al., 1980) and in attenuating apomorphine-induced hypolocomotion when injected either s.c. or into the nucleus accumbens (Van Ree et al., 1982). Following their injection into the nucleus accumbens, classical and atypical neuroleptic drugs (Van Ree et al., 1982) have effects similar to those of  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$ . Moreover, clinical studies have shown that  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  has antipsychotic activities in schizophrenic patients (Verhoeven et al., 1982). These findings led to the hypothesis that reduced bioavailability of  $\gamma$ -type endorphins (as a result of altered synthesis or biotransformation) is an etiological factor in psychopathological states for which neuroleptic drugs are beneficial (De Wied et al., 1978b). The present study of dose-response relationships shows that  $\text{DE}\gamma\text{E}$  is a more potent neuroleptic-like neuropeptide than  $\text{DT}\gamma\text{E}$  and therefore that it rather than  $\text{DT}\gamma\text{E}$  may play a key role as endogenous neuroleptic-like peptide generated from  $\gamma$ -endorphin.

## Acknowledgements

The peptides were kindly supplied by Organon International B.V., Oss, The Netherlands. This research was supported by the Stichting Pharmacologisch Studiefonds, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

## References

- Burbach, J.P.H., P. Schotman, J. Verhoef, E.R. De Kloet and D. De Wied, 1980, Conversion of des-tyrosine- $\gamma$ -endorphin by brain synaptic membrane associated peptides: identification of generated peptide fragments, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 97, 995.
- De Wied, D., B. Bohus, J.M. Van Ree and I. Urban, 1978a, Behavioral and electrophysiological effects of peptides related to lipotropin ( $\beta$ -LPH), *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 204, 570.
- De Wied, D., G.L. Kovács, B. Bohus, J.M. Van Ree and H.M. Greven, 1978b, Neuroleptic activity of the neuropeptide  $\beta$ -LPH 62-77 ([des-tyr<sup>1</sup>]- $\gamma$ -endorphin; DT $\gamma$ E), *European J. Pharmacol.* 49, 427.
- De Wied, D., J.M. Van Ree and H.M. Greven, 1980, Neuroleptic-like activity of peptides related to (des-tyr<sup>1</sup>)- $\gamma$ -endorphin: structure activity studies, *Life Sci.* 26, 1575.
- Fekete, M. and D. De Wied, 1982, Potency and duration of action of the ACTH 4-9 analog (ORG 2766) as compared to ACTH 4-10 and [D-Phe<sup>7</sup>]ACTH 4-10 on active and passive avoidance behavior of rats, *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 16, 387.
- Geffard, M., P. Gaffori, J. Chauveau, J.P. Muyard and M. Le Moal, 1981, Dramatic increase in pineal melatonin levels in the rat after subcutaneous injection of Des-Tyrosine<sup>1</sup>- $\gamma$ -endorphin, *Neurosci. Lett.* 27, 329.
- Gold, P.E. and R. Van Buskirk, 1976, Effects of posttrial hormone injections on memory processes, *Horm. Behav.* 7, 509.
- Iversen, S.D. and L.L. Iversen, 1975, *Behavioral Pharmacology*, Oxford University Press, London/New York.
- Kovács, G.L., G. Telegdy and D. De Wied, 1982, Selective attenuation of passive avoidance behavior by microinjection of  $\beta$ -LPH 62-77 and  $\beta$ -LPH 66-77 into the nucleus accumbens in rats, *Neuropharmacology* 21, 451.
- Le Moal, M., O. Gaffori, J. Rossier, N. Ling, G.F. Koob and F.E. Bloom, 1980, Behavioral effects of peripheral of endorphins: Memory or motivation? *Neurosci. Abstr.* 319.
- Van Ree, J.M., A.R. Caffé and G. Wolterink, 1982, Non-opiate  $\beta$ -endorphin fragments and dopamine-III- $\gamma$ -type endorphins, and various neuroleptics counteract the hypoactivity elicited by intra-accumbens injection of apomorphin, *Neuropharmacology* (in press).
- Verhoeven, W.M.A., J.M. Van Ree, A. Van Heezius-Bentum, D. De Wied and H.M. Van Praag, 1982, Antipsychotic properties of Des-enkephalin- $\gamma$ -endorphin in treatment of schizophrenic patients, *Arch. Gen. Psychiat.* 39, 648.